

Thiobencarb Resistance Mechanism is Distinct from Cyp81a-Based Cross-Resistance in Late Watergrass (*Echinochloa phyllopogon*)

Authors: Dimaano, Niña Gracel, Tominaga, Tohru, and Iwakami, Satoshi

Source: Weed Science, 70(2) : 160-166

Published By: Weed Science Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/wsc.2022.4>

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.bioone.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Research Article

Cite this article: Dimaano NG, Tominaga T, Iwakami S (2022) Thiobencarb resistance mechanism is distinct from CYP81A-based cross-resistance in late watergrass (*Echinochloa phyllopogon*). *Weed Sci.* **70**: 160–166. doi: [10.1017/wsc.2022.4](https://doi.org/10.1017/wsc.2022.4)

Received: 9 September 2021

Revised: 21 December 2021

Accepted: 9 January 2022

First published online: 20 January 2022

Associate Editor:

Vipin Kumar, Kansas State University

Keywords:

Cytochrome P450; metabolism-based herbicide resistance; non-target site resistance; segregation

Author for correspondence:

Satoshi Iwakami, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.
Email: iwakami.satoshi.2v@kyoto-u.ac.jp

Thiobencarb resistance mechanism is distinct from CYP81A-based cross-resistance in late watergrass (*Echinochloa phyllopogon*)

Niña Gracel Dimaano¹ , Tohru Tominaga²  and Satoshi Iwakami³ 

¹Assistant Professor, College of Agriculture and Food Science, University of the Philippines Los Baños, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines; ²Professor, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan and ³Assistant Professor, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan

Abstract

The genetic and molecular basis of resistance evolution in weeds to multiple herbicides remains unclear despite being a great threat to agriculture. A population of late watergrass [*Echinochloa phyllopogon* (Stapf.) Koso-Pol.] was reported to exhibit resistance to ≥ 15 herbicides from six sites of action, including thiobencarb (TB). While previous studies disclosed that the resistance to a majority of herbicides such as acetolactate synthase (ALS) and acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibitors is caused by the overexpression of herbicide-metabolizing cytochrome P450s (*CYP81A12* and *CYP81A21*), the resistance mechanisms to some herbicides remain unknown. Here, we analyzed the resistance segregation in the progenies between resistant and sensitive populations and performed a transgenic plant sensitivity assay to resolve whether TB resistance is endowed by the same *CYP81A12/21*-based cross-resistance mechanism or other unknown multiple-resistance mechanisms. In the F_6 progenies, resistance to the ALS inhibitor bensulfuron-methyl cosegregated with the resistances to many other herbicides under the *CYP81A12/21*-based cross-resistance mechanism; however, TB resistance segregated independently. Furthermore, *CYP81A12/21* failed to confer TB resistance in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Heynh, thus confirming that TB resistance in resistant *E. phyllopogon* is not endowed by the two P450s that are responsible for the metabolism-based cross-resistance. This study provides evidence that resistance in *E. phyllopogon* to herbicides with multiple sites of action is endowed by both P450-based and other uncharacterized non-target site based mechanisms. Our findings add another layer in the understanding of resistance evolution to multiple herbicides in *E. phyllopogon*. Identification of the key genes endowing TB resistance will be the future direction of this research.

Introduction

Herbicide resistance is the inherited ability of weed biotypes to survive and reproduce following herbicide treatment that is normally lethal to the wild type. Resistance is an outcome of the adaptive evolution of weed populations to the intense selection pressure exerted by herbicide applications (Jasieniuk et al. 1996; Powles and Yu 2010). Two types of mechanisms are involved in herbicide resistance: target-site resistance (TSR) and non-target site resistance (NTSR) (Délye 2013; Powles and Yu 2010; Yuan et al. 2007). TSR is caused by structural alterations or increased activity of the herbicide target protein, while NTSR involves all the other mechanisms of resistance (Délye 2013). NTSR can be attributed to reduced herbicide uptake or translocation or enhanced metabolism of the herbicide (Délye et al. 2015; Yu and Powles 2014). The herbicide resistance observed in weeds can be caused by the expression of one or a combination of the abovementioned mechanisms.

A great concern nowadays is the increasing number of weed populations/individuals that are resistant to herbicides with multiple mechanisms of action (MOAs). The underlying mechanisms of resistance to herbicides with multiple MOAs are classified into (1) accumulations of distinct resistance mechanisms, that is, multiple resistance; and (2) a single mechanism, that is, cross-resistance (Hall et al. 1994). Multiple-resistance cases are often caused by the sequential acquisition of altered herbicide target sites, which are often reflected by the alternative herbicide applications to the populations that had acquired resistance to particular herbicides. The typical examples are accumulations of TSR mechanisms, namely, TSR-based multiple resistance, as reported in diverse weed species worldwide, e.g., acetolactate synthase (ALS) and acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACCase) substitutions in Japanese foxtail [*Alopecurus japonicus* Steud.] (Feng et al. 2016) and barnyardgrass [*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv.] (Fang et al. 2019, 2020), and an ALS substitution and a protoporphyrinogen oxidase deletion in waterhemp [*Amaranthus tuberculatus* (Moq.) Sauer] (Shergill et al. 2018). On the other hand,

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that no alterations are made and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use and/or adaptation of the article.



cross-resistance to multiple herbicide MOAs can only be expressed via an NTSR mechanism, namely, NTSR-based cross-resistance. This often refers to enhanced herbicide metabolism based on the observations that weeds, especially in Poaceae with metabolism-based resistance to one herbicide, often extend the resistance to several chemically dissimilar herbicides with diverse MOAs, though the underlying mechanisms mostly remain unknown (Yu and Powles 2014). Phenotypically, it is difficult to characterize whether cross-resistance is conferred by a single metabolic mechanism or whether other multiple distinct metabolic mechanisms are present (Gaines et al. 2020); thus molecular and genetic studies are necessary to identify the underlying resistance mechanisms.

The mystery of extending resistance even to herbicides that are not used in the field is one of the major issues to be solved in herbicide-resistance research. Recent molecular works on the noxious weeds late watergrass [*Echinochloa phyllopogon* (Stapf) Koso-Pol.] and rigid ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum* Gaudin) with resistance to five or more MOAs disclosed that grass weeds carry enzymes that metabolize a large number of herbicides, whose activation can result in the evolution of metabolism-based cross-resistance (Dimaano et al. 2020; Han et al. 2021). However, it is still not well understood whether resistance to multiple MOAs via enhanced metabolism is solely endowed by a single NTSR mechanism (cross-resistance) or multiple NTSR mechanisms (multiple resistance). A proper understanding of the extended range of resistance conferred by a single mechanism will provide insight into the limits of cross-resistance, a fundamental for the control of resistant weeds.

In the late 1990s, a resistant (R) population of *E. phyllopogon* (syn.: *Echinochloa oryzicola* Vasing.) to multiple herbicides was reported in Sacramento Valley, CA (Fischer et al. 2000). *Echinochloa phyllopogon* is a predominantly self-pollinated allotetraploid ($2n = 4x = 36$) grass under the Panicoideae subfamily (Yamasue 2001). If not controlled, this noxious weed can cause approximately 50% yield reduction, as observed in California water-seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)-cropping systems (Hill et al. 1985). Reports of resistance in the R line encompass inhibitors of ALS, ACCase, 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase (DXPS), very-long-chain fatty-acid elongase (VLCFAE), and 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase, and synthetic auxin herbicides (Dimaano et al. 2020; Fischer et al. 2000; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019; Osuna et al. 2002; Ruiz-Santaella et al. 2006; Yasuor et al. 2009, 2010, 2012). The genetic segregation pattern and molecular mechanism of resistance in R *E. phyllopogon* to ALS inhibitors (bensulfuron-methyl and penoxsulam), ACCase inhibitors (diclofop-methyl, tralkoxydim, and pinoxaden), and a DXPS inhibitor (clomazone) were previously elucidated (Guo et al. 2019; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019). Segregation analysis in the F_2 progenies from a cross between R and sensitive (S) lines showed that the resistances to these herbicides are under the control of a single locus. In the F_6 progenies, the segregation patterns of resistance are perfectly associated in all these herbicides. Moreover, it was revealed that the resistance to these herbicides is due to a single NTSR cross-resistance mechanism, specifically enhanced herbicide metabolism by two cytochrome P450s, CYP81A12 and CYP81A21 (Guo et al. 2019; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019).

The mechanism(s) of resistance in the R line to other herbicides, among which is the VLCFAE inhibitor thiobencarb (TB), remain unknown. TB is a thiocarbamate herbicide that potently inhibits shoot elongation of germinated seeds due to the inhibition of VLCFAEs (Roberts et al. 1998; Tanetani et al. 2013). It was first

introduced to control *Echinochloa* spp. in the rice fields in California in the late 1980s (Hill et al. 1985). Roughly 10 yr after its introduction, resistance to TB as well as a few other herbicides was reported, confirming complaints by farmers that the herbicides were no longer effective (Fischer et al. 2000).

Previous evaluation on transformed *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Heynh hinted that CYP81A12 and CYP81A21 are not involved in TB resistance (Dimaano et al. 2020). This study was conducted to confirm whether CYP81A12 and CYP81A21, which confer the concomitant NTSR-based cross-resistance to at least four MOAs, could also explain the resistance to TB. By performing trait segregation and transgenic plant sensitivity analysis, we hypothesized that if TB resistance is endowed by CYP81A12 and CYP81A21, then the resistance to multiple MOAs in R *E. phyllopogon* is solely explained by a single NTSR mechanism. Otherwise, another unknown mechanism is endowing multiple resistance in R *E. phyllopogon*. Results of this study will help resolve whether the resistance evolution in *E. phyllopogon* to diverse herbicide MOAs is instigated by a single NTSR mechanism (cross-resistance) or multiple NTSR mechanisms (multiple resistance).

Materials and Methods

Origin of Plant Materials and Preparation

The resistant (R) line, 511 and susceptible (S) line, 401 of *E. phyllopogon* were originally collected from the rice fields of Sacramento Valley, California in 1997 and were crossed by Tsuji et al. (2003) to produce the F_1 population, then self-pollinated for five successive generations to produce F_6 seeds by single seed descent method (Iwakami et al. 2014; Tsuji et al. 2003). Sterilization was done by washing the seeds with 70% (v/v) ethanol for 60 s; then with 2.5% (active chlorine) sodium hypochlorite solution containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 (Wako, Osaka, Japan) for 15 min. Seeds were further sterilized for 30 min with 0.25% (active chlorine) sodium hypochlorite solution; then washed three times with sterile water.

Dose–Response Assays of Parental Lines

Dose–response assays of the parental S and R lines of *E. phyllopogon* were performed in the greenhouse and laboratory facilities of Kyoto University, Japan, during the summer (July to September) of 2019. Each experiment was conducted twice, and each herbicide treatment was replicated three times.

For the greenhouse experiments, sterilized seeds of S and R lines were pre-germinated for 3 d in an incubator set at constant 30 °C and constant light (approximately $40 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), then 10 pre-germinated seeds were sown at a depth of 1 cm into each plastic pot (0.01 m²) containing 0.8 kg of autoclaved (120 °C for 20 min) sandy loam soil with 8-8-8 fertilizer added (after soil was autoclaved). Two days after sowing, TB (Saturn Emulsion, Kumiai Chemical Industry, Tokyo, Japan) was applied to each pot using a hand sprayer at the rates of 0, 0.09, 0.28, 0.83, 2.5, 7.5, 22.5, and 67.5 kg ai ha⁻¹. After 10 d, the responses of S and R lines were visually assessed, and the aboveground biomass was harvested. Fresh weights were measured and expressed as a percentage of the mean of untreated plants. The dose by which growth is reduced by 50% (GR₅₀) was estimated by log-logistic regression with the DRC package (Ritz et al. 2015) in R v. 3.4.4 (R Core Team 2018). The resistance index (RI) was computed as GR₅₀ of the R line over GR₅₀ of the S line.

For the laboratory experiments, three sterilized seeds each of the parental S and R lines were grown in glass tubes (130 mm by 40 mm) containing 30 ml Murashige and Skoog (MS) solid media mixed with different concentrations (0, 0.1, 1, 10, 30, and 100 μM) of TB (Fujifilm Wako Chemicals, Miyazaki, Japan). The seedlings were grown at $28 \pm 5^\circ\text{C}$, 15-h photoperiod, and approximately $70 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity. After 10 d, the sensitivities of S and R lines were visually assessed. Using ImageJ software (Rasband 1997), the height of each seedling was measured from the basal part of the seedling up to the tip of the shoot and expressed in centimeters. All data were expressed as a percentage of the mean of untreated plants. The GR_{50} dose was determined as described earlier, and the RI for each herbicide was computed as GR_{50} of the R line over GR_{50} of the S line.

Inheritance of Thiobencarb Resistance in F_6 Progenies

Laboratory experiments were performed to determine the cosegregation of TB resistance with bensulfuron-methyl (BSM) resistance in the F_6 progenies from crosses between S and R *E. phyllopogon*. The F_6 lines used in the experiments were the lines whose sensitivities to BSM and penoxsulam, ACCase inhibitors (diclofop-methyl, tralkoxydim, and pinoxaden), and DXPS inhibitor (clomazone) were perfectly cosegregated and whose gene expressions of *CYP81A12* and *CYP81A21* were previously assessed (Guo et al. 2019; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019).

Sensitivity tests were performed as described earlier. Four sterilized seeds of each parental and F_6 line were grown on MS solid media mixed with 1 μM TB or 10 μM BSM, the optimized concentrations that can suppress the growth of the parental S line. The experiments were replicated and conducted only twice due to the limited number of available seeds per each F_6 line. The seedlings were then grown following the same procedures described earlier. After 10 d, the responses of each line to TB and BSM were visually assessed and compared. The height of each seedling was measured from the basal part of the seedling up to the tip of the shoot using ImageJ. The F_6 progenies were classified phenotypically as (1) resistant, when the seedlings were healthy and had tolerance similar to the parental R line; (2) intermediate, when the seedlings had the average growth and sensitivity of the parental S and R lines; (3) segregating, when some seedlings showed tolerance similar to the parental R line, susceptibility similar to the parental S line, and intermediate response; and (4) susceptible, when the seedlings had susceptibility similar to the parental S line.

Herbicide Sensitivity of Transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* Expressing *CYP81A12/21* Genes

Homozygous T4 transgenic lines of *A. thaliana* plants, with a single-copy gene and highest expression of *CYP81A12* and *CYP81A21* under the Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter generated in our previous study (Iwakami et al. 2014), were used in this experiment. Herbicide sensitivities of the wild-type (WT; Colombia-0) and transgenic *A. thaliana* plants were assessed by growth on petri plates (90 mm by 20 mm) containing MS solid media (30 ml) mixed with the varying concentrations of TB (0, 3, 10, 30, and 100 μM) or BSM (0, 1, 10, 100, and 1000 nM). Each experiment was conducted twice in three replications. Each MS medium was divided into three equal portions for the two transgenic *A. thaliana* lines and the WT. Nine seeds of each line were placed in each portion. The MS media were placed in a 4 $^\circ\text{C}$ refrigerator

for 3 d, then transferred to an incubator set at 22 $^\circ\text{C}$ and 70 $\mu\text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ light intensity. At 12 d after treatment, the growth and sensitivity of transgenic *A. thaliana* plants in all concentrations of TB and BSM were assessed and compared with the WT. The maximum distance (mm) between two points on the *A. thaliana* rosette boundary, referred to hereafter as “rosette diameter,” was measured using ImageJ. Tukey’s honest significant difference tests (5% significance level) were performed to analyze variances among the mean rosette diameter of WT and transgenic lines per each herbicide concentration using Statistical Tool for Agricultural Research v. 2.0.1 (International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines).

Results and Discussion

Thiobencarb Resistance in Parental Lines

In this study, TB resistance was confirmed in the R line of *E. phyllopogon* collected from California. Results of the greenhouse experiment using the commercial formulation of TB showed a noticeable difference in TB sensitivity between the R and S lines (Figure 1A and B). Ten days after herbicide application, the S line exhibited severe symptoms, such as stunting of growth and leaf chlorosis, even at 2.5 kg ai ha⁻¹ TB application rate, while the R line increased in height and produced healthy leaves (Figure 1A). Dose-response curve analysis showed that with the use of the commercial product of TB, the R line ($\text{GR}_{50} = 5.12 \text{ kg ai ha}^{-1}$) was 6.1-fold more resistant to TB compared with the S line ($\text{GR}_{50} = 0.84 \text{ kg ai ha}^{-1}$) (Figure 1B). These results were similar to those of a previous study (Fischer et al. 2000), wherein the computed RI of the R line in comparison to the S line was 7.8 to >13.3-fold.

Results of the laboratory experiment showed that TB had a marked effect on the growth of the S line even at low doses, while the same doses had a negligible effect on the R line (Figure 1C and D). The S line showed stunting and cessation of growth at as low as 1 μM TB concentration, while the R line remained healthy and green at the same herbicide concentration (Figure 1C). Dose-response curve analysis in MS media revealed that the R line ($\text{GR}_{50} = 7.73 \mu\text{M}$) was 14.6-fold more resistant to TB compared with the S line ($\text{GR}_{50} = 0.53 \mu\text{M}$) (Figure 1D). The result was within close range of the computed RI in the previous study (Fischer et al. 2000) mentioned, thus showing that sensitivity assay in a more controlled setup such as MS media can be applicable for an accurate evaluation of resistance in *E. phyllopogon* toward TB.

Inheritance of Thiobencarb Resistance in F_6 Progenies

Previous reports showed that the resistances in the F_6 progenies perfectly cosegregated across multiple herbicides: ALS inhibitors (BSM and penoxsulam), ACCase inhibitors (diclofop-methyl, tralkoxydim, and pinoxaden), and DXPS inhibitor (clomazone) (Guo et al. 2019; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019). The cosegregation of resistances among these herbicides is due to a concomitant NTSR mechanism, specifically, a metabolism-based cross-resistance conferred by two cytochrome P450 genes, *CYP81A12* and *CYP81A21*, whose overexpression also cosegregated with the resistances in the F_6 progenies (Guo et al. 2019; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019). To elucidate whether the same NTSR mechanism confers the resistance to TB, the TB resistance segregation in the F_6 progenies was determined and tested to see if it cosegregated with the resistance to ALS inhibitor, BSM.




Figure 1. Sensitivity of the parental sensitive (S) line and resistant (R) lines of *Echinochloa phyllopogon* at 10 d after treatment of thiobencarb (TB). (A) Sensitivity of parental S and R lines to the commercial formulation of TB (2.5 kg ai ha⁻¹). (B) Dose–response curve of parental S and R lines to 0, 0.09, 0.28, 0.83, 2.5, 7.5, 22.5, and 67.5 kg ai ha⁻¹ application rates of TB commercial formulation. (C) Sensitivity of parental S and R lines to 1 μM pure active ingredient of TB. (D) Dose–response curve of parental S and R lines to 0, 0.1, 1, 10, 30, and 100 μM of TB pure active ingredient. Bars, SE ($n = 3$).

In the cosegregation analysis, we assessed the TB sensitivity of the F₆ lines whose sensitivity to BSM and penoxsulam, ACCase inhibitors (diclofop-methyl, tralkoxydim, and pinoxaden), and DXPS inhibitor (clomazone) was previously reported: one resistant line (no. 35), one segregating line (no. 34), and five sensitive lines (nos. 6, 11, 38, 54, and 55) (Guo et al. 2019; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019). As depicted in Figure 2A, the parental R line survived and grew healthy at 10 μM BSM and 1 μM TB, while the parental S line almost completely stopped growing and turned brown at 10 μM BSM and had suppressed growth at 1 μM TB. As for the sensitivity of the F₆ progenies, the results clearly showed different resistance trait segregation between BSM and TB (Figure 2B). For instance, F₆ line 34 showed segregating response to BSM but exhibited a fixed sensitive phenotype toward TB (Figure 3B). Moreover, F₆ line 35 was resistant to BSM but sensitive to TB, while F₆ lines 54 and 55 exhibited an opposite trend (Figure 2B). Meanwhile, F₆ lines 6 and 11 were both sensitive to BSM but exhibited intermediate responses to TB, whereas F₆ line 38 was sensitive to BSM but showed a segregating phenotype to TB (Figure 2B). The resistances to BSM and TB segregated independently in the F₆ progenies, which provides strong evidence that the mechanism involved in TB resistance in R *E. phyllopogon* is distinct from that of resistance to ALS, ACCase, and DXPS inhibitors. The occurrence of F₆ lines with intermediate response to TB may suggest that TB resistance is under the control of multiple loci, although the limited number of subjected seeds per line cannot exclude the possibility that we misannotated the resistant phenotype as intermediate or segregating due to variation of seedling growth rate. Further investigation will be required to clarify this notion.

Sensitivity of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Expressing CYP81A12/21 to Thiobencarb and Bensulfuron-Methyl

In this study, the non-involvement of CYP81A12 and CYP81A21, the constitutively highly expressed P450s in the R line, in TB resistance was assessed by sensitivity testing of transgenic *A. thaliana* expressing the genes. BSM sensitivity of the transgenic lines was also tested as a positive control. Based on the results, the sensitivities of CYP81A12- and CYP81A21-expressing lines as compared with WT were not significantly different toward TB (Figure 3A and C), but the transgenic lines showed a very high level of tolerance toward BSM (Figure 3B and C). Leaf curling and chlorosis were evident in both WT and transgenic lines at as low as 10 μM of TB, and complete cessation of growth was observed at 30 μM and 100 μM concentrations (Figure 3A and C). On the other hand, the transgenic lines were tolerant to even 1,000-fold higher concentration of BSM, while the WT showed significant reduction in rosette diameter at as low as 1 nM concentration and completely stopped growing at 10, 100, and 1,000 nM concentrations (Figure 3B and C). These results confirm that CYP81A12 and CYP81A21, which confer resistance to BSM and other herbicides (Guo et al. 2019; Iwakami et al. 2014, 2019), are not the genes conferring TB resistance in R *E. phyllopogon*. This is consistent with our recent findings that none among the nine putative functional CYP81As of *E. phyllopogon* conferred the high level of TB tolerance (RI of ≥ 3.0) when the genes were ectopically expressed in *A. thaliana* (Dimaano et al. 2020). These results suggest that aside from the CYP81As that were found to be involved in




Figure 2. Sensitivity of the parental lines and F₆ progenies of *Echinochloa phyllopogon* to bensulfuron-methyl (BSM) and thiobencarb (TB). (A) Sensitivity of parental sensitive (S) and resistant (R) lines to 10 μ M BSM and 1 μ M TB. (B) F₆ progenies from crosses between S and R lines showing distinct sensitivities to 10 μ M BSM and 1 μ M TB. The values on each figure are the mean shoot length and SE of the seedlings of each F₆ line ($n = 8$). #6, F₆ line 6; #11, F₆ line 11; #34, F₆ line 34; #35, F₆ line 35; #38, F₆ line 38; #54, F₆ line 54; and #55, F₆ line 55.

metabolism-based cross-resistance in *E. phyllopogon*, there might be other resistance-conferring genes that may have been selected for by the many years of TB application in California rice fields.

Probable Mechanism(s) of Thiobencarb Resistance in *Echinochloa phyllopogon*

The repeated use of few available grass herbicides (thiobencarb, molinate, fenoxaprop-*P*-ethyl, etc.) in predominantly monoculture rice fields in California has resulted in the selection for genes conferring resistance to multiple MOAs in *E. phyllopogon* (Fischer et al. 2000). Resistance to multiple herbicides including TB was also reported in other related species such as *E. crus-galli* (Heap 1997) and early water-grass [*Echinochloa oryzoides* (Ard.) Fritsch] (Fischer et al. 2000). Similar to *E. phyllopogon*, it has not been determined whether the mechanism of resistance to multiple herbicides in *E. crus-galli* and *E. oryzoides* is caused by a single NTSR mechanism (cross-resistance) or by multiple NTSR mechanisms (multiple resistance). Although a TSR-based multiple resistance was recently reported for several ACCase inhibitors in *E. crus-galli* (Fang et al. 2020), TSR-based TB resistance in these R *Echinochloa* spp. populations is less likely to occur. This is because VLCFAEs inhibited by TB are composed of a multienzyme complex, which would require highly improbable concomitant mutations in several different targets before the resistance could occur (Busi 2014; Tanetani et al. 2013; Trenkamp et al.

2004). Thus, the TB resistance observed in R populations of *E. phyllopogon*, *E. oryzoides*, and *E. crus-galli* is highly likely to be due to an NTSR-based mechanism. The inheritance study and transgenic plant assay we performed strongly hinted the coexistence of another mechanism involved in TB resistance in R *E. phyllopogon*. The next important step for this research is to identify the key gene(s) endowing TB resistance in the R line.

TB metabolism in *Echinochloa* spp. is similar to that of rice, but the detoxification activity in rice is higher (Usui 2001). Accordingly, TB is metabolically detoxified via monooxygenation/hydroxylation reactions, for example, de-ethylation and hydroxylation of a phenyl ring at the 2-position (Usui 2001). As these are typical reactions mediated by plant P450s (Dimaano and Iwakami 2021), it would be probable that an enhanced activity of P450(s) underlies TB resistance in R *E. phyllopogon*. Induced TB-metabolizing microsomal activity in the R line (Yun et al. 2005) also supports this notion. Meanwhile, the study does not exclude the possible involvement of other mechanisms, such as detoxification by other endogenous enzymes, reduced uptake and translocation, or protection against oxidative damages, among others. All possible NSTR-based mechanisms conferring TB resistance merit careful investigation.

Taking advantage of the molecular identification of the responsible genes and the development of crossed progenies, we demonstrated that the “single mechanism” extending resistance to a wide range of herbicides fails to endow resistance to TB. Our findings




Figure 3. Sensitivity of wild-type (WT) and transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* expressing *CYP81A12* and *CYP81A21* cytochrome P450 genes to thiobencarb and bensulfuron-methyl. (A) Sensitivity to thiobencarb. (B) Sensitivity to bensulfuron-methyl. (C) Mean rosette diameter of WT and transgenic *A. thaliana* at various concentrations of thiobencarb and bensulfuron-methyl. Rosette diameter was measured as the maximum distance between two points on the *A. thaliana* rosette boundary and expressed in millimeters. Means with the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey's honest significant difference test, $P < 0.05$). Bars, SEM ($n = 3$). 12, *CYP81A12*; and 21, *CYP81A21*.

that not all resistance conforms to a single mechanism will aid in the understanding of resistance evolution to multiple MOAs in *E. phyllopogon* and, consequently, in designing better management strategies to control the R population.

Backed up by trait segregation and transgenic plant analysis data, this study revealed that another unknown NTSR mechanism endowing TB resistance is present in R *E. phyllopogon*. Understanding the segregation of TB resistance in R *E. phyllopogon* provides clues that the evolution of resistance to multiple MOAs in this grassy weed is caused by a single metabolism-based cross-resistance mechanism plus plausible accumulations of other gene(s) involved in NTSR-based mechanisms. It is of great importance to identify the key players involved in TB resistance to fully elucidate the mechanism(s) of resistance to multiple MOAs in *E. phyllopogon*. Inevitably, identifying the responsible gene(s) for TB resistance will be the future direction of this research. A

quantitative trait locus mapping method may be performed in the future to reveal the specific loci controlling TB resistance, which will then be a rich source of information for gene identification and gene functional characterization.

Acknowledgments. This research was partly supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) grant numbers 17KI5234 and 19H02955 to SI and by the Program for the Development of Next-Generation Leading Scientists with Global Insight (L-INSIGHT), sponsored by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan. No conflicts of interest have been declared.

References

- Busi R (2014) Resistance to herbicides inhibiting the biosynthesis of very long-chain fatty acids. *Pest Manag Sci* 70:1378–1384

- Délye C (2013) Unravelling the genetic bases of non-target-site-based resistance (NTSR) to herbicides: a major challenge for weed science in the forthcoming decade. *Pest Manag Sci* 69:176–187
- Délye C, Duhoux A, Pernin F, Riggins CW, Tranel PJ (2015) Molecular mechanisms of herbicide resistance. *Weed Sci* 63:91–115
- Dimaano NG, Iwakami S (2021) Cytochrome P450-mediated herbicide metabolism in plants: current understanding and prospects. *Pest Manag Sci* 77:22–32
- Dimaano NG, Yamaguchi T, Fukunishi K, Tominaga T, Iwakami S (2020) Functional characterization of cytochrome P450 CYP81A subfamily to disclose the pattern of cross-resistance in *Echinochloa phyllopogon*. *Plant Mol Biol* 102:403–416
- Fang J, He Z, Liu T, Li J, Dong L (2020) A novel mutation Asp-2078-Glu in ACCase confers resistance to ACCase herbicides in barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*). *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 168:article 104634
- Fang J, Liu T, Zhang Y, Li J, Dong L (2019) Target site-based penoxsulam resistance in barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) from China. *Weed Sci* 67:281–287
- Feng Y, Gao Y, Zhang Y, Dong L, Li J (2016) Mechanisms of resistance to pyrox-sulam and ACCase inhibitors in Japanese foxtail (*Alopecurus japonicus*). *Weed Sci* 64:695–704
- Fischer AJ, Atef CM, Bayer DE, Hill JE (2000) Herbicide-resistant *Echinochloa oryzoides* and *E. phyllopogon* in California *Oryza sativa* fields. *Weed Sci* 48:225–230
- Gaines TA, Duke SO, Morran S, Rigon CA, Tranel PJ, Küpper A, Dayan FE (2020) Mechanisms of evolved herbicide resistance. *J Biol Chem* 295:10307–10330
- Guo F, Iwakami S, Yamaguchi T, Uchino A, Sunohara Y, Matsumoto H (2019) Role of CYP81A cytochrome P450s in clomazone metabolism in *Echinochloa phyllopogon*. *Plant Sci* 283:321–328
- Hall L M, Holtum JAM, Powles SB (1994) Mechanisms responsible for cross resistance and multiple resistance. Pages 243–261 in Powles SB, Holtum JAM, eds. *Herbicide Resistance in Plants: Biology and Biochemistry*. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis Publishers
- Han H, Yu Q, Beffa R, Gonzalez S, Maiwald F, Wang J, Powles S (2021) Cytochrome P450 CYP81A10v7 in *Lolium rigidum* confers metabolic resistance to herbicides across at least five modes of action. *Plant J* 105:79–92
- Heap I (1997) The occurrence of herbicide-resistant weeds worldwide. *Pestic Sci* 51:235–243
- Hill JE, Le Strange ML, Bayer DE, Williams JF (1985) Integrated weed management in California. Pages 100–104 in *Proceedings of the Western Society of Weed Science (USA)*. Phoenix, AZ: Western Society of Weed Science
- Iwakami S, Endo M, Saika H, Okuno J, Nakamura N, Yokoyama M, Watanabe H, Toki S, Uchino A, Inamura T (2014) Cytochrome P450 CYP81A12 and CYP81A21 are associated with resistance to two acetolactate synthase inhibitors in *Echinochloa phyllopogon*. *Plant Physiol* 165:618–629
- Iwakami S, Kamidate Y, Yamaguchi T, Ishizaka M, Endo Masaki, Suda H, Nagai K, Sunohara Y, Toki S, Uchino A, Tominaga T, Matsumoto H (2019) CYP81A P450s are involved in concomitant cross-resistance to acetolactate synthase and acetyl-CoA carboxylase herbicides in *Echinochloa phyllopogon*. *New Phytol* 221:2112–2122
- Jasieniuk M, Brûlé-Babel AL, Morrison IN (1996) The evolution and genetics of herbicide resistance in weeds. *Weed Sci* 44:176–193
- Osuna MD, Vidotto F, Fischer AJ, Bayer DE, De Prado R, Ferrero A (2002) Cross-resistance to bispyribac-sodium and bensulfuron-methyl in *Echinochloa phyllopogon* and *Cyperus difformis*. *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 73:9–17
- Powles S, Yu Q (2010) Evolution in action: plants resistant to herbicides. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 61:317–347
- Rasband WS (1997) ImageJ. Bethesda, MD: U.S. National Institutes of Health
- R Core Team (2018) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing
- Ritz C, Baty F, Streibig JC, Gerhard D (2015) Dose-response analysis using R. *PLoS ONE* 10(12):e0146021
- Roberts TR, Hutson DH, Lee PW, Nicholls PH, Plimmer JR, Roberts MC, Croucher L (1998) *Metabolic Pathways of Agrochemicals. Part 1: Herbicides and Plant Growth Regulators*. Cambridge, UK: Royal Society of Chemistry. Pp 610–617
- Ruiz-Santaella JP, De Prado R, Wagner J, Fischer A, Gerhards R (2006) Resistance mechanisms to cyhalofop-butyl in a biotype of *Echinochloa phyllopogon* (Stapf) Koss. from California. *J Plant Dis Protect* XX:95–100
- Shergill LS, Bish MD, Jugulam M, Bradley KW (2018) Molecular and physiological characterization of six-way resistance in an *Amaranthus tuberculatus* var. *rudis* biotype from Missouri. *Pest Manag Sci* 74:2688–2698
- Tanetani Y, Kaku K, Ikeda M, Shimizu T (2013) Action mechanism of a herbicide, thiobencarb. *J Pestic Sci* 38:39–43
- Trenkamp S, Martin W, Tietjen K (2004) Specific and differential inhibition of very long-chain fatty acid elongases from *Arabidopsis thaliana* by different herbicides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:11903–11908
- Tsuji R, Fischer AJ, Yoshino M, Roel A, Hill JE, Yamasue Y (2003) Herbicide-resistant late watergrass (*Echinochloa phyllopogon*): similarity in morphological and amplified fragment length polymorphism traits. *Weed Sci* 51:740–747
- Usui K (2001) Metabolism and selectivity of rice herbicides in plants. *Weed Biol Manag* 1:137–146
- Yamasue Y (2001) Strategy of *Echinochloa oryzicola* Vasing. for survival in flooded rice. *Weed Biol Manag* 1:28–36
- Yasuor H, Milan M, Eckert JW, Fischer AJ (2012) Quinclorac resistance: a concerted hormonal and enzymatic effort in *Echinochloa phyllopogon*. *Pest Manag Sci* 68:108–115
- Yasuor H, Osuna MD, Ortiz A, Saldain NE, Eckert JW, Fischer AJ (2009) Mechanism of resistance to penoxsulam in late watergrass [*Echinochloa phyllopogon* (Stapf) Koss.]. *J Agric Food Chem* 57:3653–3660
- Yasuor H, Zou W, Tolstikov VV, Tjeerdema RS, Fischer AJ (2010) Differential oxidative metabolism and 5-ketoclomazone accumulation are involved in *Echinochloa phyllopogon* resistance to clomazone. *Plant Physiol* 153: 319–326
- Yu Q, Powles S (2014) Metabolism-based herbicide resistance and cross-resistance in crop weeds: a threat to herbicide sustainability and global crop production. *Plant Physiol* 166:1106–1118
- Yuan JS, Tranel PJ, Stewart CN Jr (2007) Non-target-site herbicide resistance: a family business. *Trends Plant Sci* 12:6–13
- Yun M-S, Yogo Y, Miura R, Yamasue Y, Fischer AJ (2005) Cytochrome-P450 monooxygenase activity in herbicide-resistant and -susceptible late watergrass (*Echinochloa phyllopogon*). *Pestic Biochem Physiol* 83:107–114