



Response of Hairy Galinsoga (*Galinsoga quadriradiata*) to Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Competition from Lettuce

Authors: DiTommaso, Antonio, Mohler, Charles L., and Westbrook, Anna S.

Source: Weed Science, 70(5) : 579-586

Published By: Weed Science Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/wsc.2022.40>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Research Article

Cite this article: DiTomaso A, Mohler CL, Westbrook AS (2022) Response of hairy galinsoga (*Galinsoga quadriradiata*) to nitrogen, phosphorus, and competition from lettuce. *Weed Sci.* **70**: 579–586. doi: [10.1017/wsc.2022.40](https://doi.org/10.1017/wsc.2022.40)

Received: 24 January 2022

Revised: 11 May 2022

Accepted: 27 June 2022

First published online: 25 July 2022

Associate Editor:

Carlene Chase, University of Florida

Keywords:



Annual weed; cultural weed management; fertility; fertilizer; nutrient; vegetable production; weed–crop competition

Author for correspondence:

Antonio DiTomaso, 903 Bradfield Hall,
Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853.
Email: ad97@cornell.edu

[†]Deceased.

Response of hairy galinsoga (*Galinsoga quadriradiata*) to nitrogen, phosphorus, and competition from lettuce

Antonio DiTomaso¹ , Charles L. Mohler^{2,†} and Anna S. Westbrook³ 

¹Professor, Soil and Crop Sciences Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA;

²Senior Research Associate, Soil and Crop Sciences Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA and ³Graduate Student, Soil and Crop Sciences Section, School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Abstract

Hairy galinsoga (*Galinsoga quadriradiata* Cav.) is a troublesome weed in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) and other low-growing crops. Many troublesome weed species are more responsive to fertilizer than major crops, and hence potentially more competitive under fertile conditions. This study examined the responses of *G. quadriradiata* and lettuce, in monoculture or competition with each other, to nitrogen and phosphorus. A greenhouse experiment assessed the biomass and flower production of *G. quadriradiata* grown in 16 nutrient treatments. The nutrient treatments were arranged in a phosphorus series, in which phosphorus varied, while nitrogen was either low or high, and a nitrogen series, in which nitrogen varied, while phosphorus was either low or high. A field experiment examined *G. quadriradiata* biomass, flower production, and competition with lettuce using the same nutrient treatments as the greenhouse experiment. *Galinsoga quadriradiata* dry biomass increased with phosphorus addition, especially when nitrogen was abundant. In the phosphorus series at high nitrogen, *G. quadriradiata* produced more biomass when competing with lettuce than in monoculture. Nutrient addition generally increased flower production per unit mass. Lettuce fresh biomass increased with phosphorus, but lettuce dry biomass was largely unaffected by fertility. Lettuce fresh and dry biomass were sometimes decreased by competition from *G. quadriradiata*, relative to intraspecific competition. We conclude that high phosphorus rates increase the productivity of *G. quadriradiata* and may increase its competitiveness. Therefore, high phosphorus rates should be avoided unless good weed control can be assured.

Introduction

Many agricultural weed species are more responsive to fertilizer than major crops (Blackshaw et al. 2003, 2004; DiTomaso 1995; Little et al. 2021). Increasing fertilization rates may increase the competitiveness of these weeds, potentially reducing or eliminating the positive effects of fertilization on crops (Blackshaw and Brandt 2008, 2009; DiTomaso 1995; Little et al. 2021). For this reason, fertilization methods (rate, source, placement, and timing of nutrients supplied) should ensure that crops have more access to nutrients than weeds (DiTomaso 1995; Little et al. 2021). Precision fertilization approaches may play an important role in cultural weed management (Mohler et al. 2021), but these approaches may also increase management complexity and do not eliminate nutrient uptake by weeds. Thus, species-specific information about fertility effects on weed–crop competition can help growers develop fertilization and weed management strategies tailored to their operations.

Hairy galinsoga [*Galinsoga quadriradiata* Cav.; syn.: *Galinsoga ciliata* (Raf.) S.F. Blake] is an important summer annual agricultural weed species in the Asteraceae, closely related to small-flower galinsoga (*Galinsoga parviflora* Cav.). It is most likely native to Central America (Canne 1977) but is currently found in most temperate and tropical areas of the world (Uva et al. 1997). It forms associations with arbuscular mycorrhizae (Harley and Harley 1987). *Galinsoga quadriradiata* has several characteristics that make it a troublesome weed in low-growing crops, especially vegetables, where it can cause yield reductions up to 50% (Warwick and Sweet 1983). It is capable of rapid early growth, eventually reaching a height of up to 60 cm with profuse branching (Damalas 2008). In moist conditions, severed or uprooted plants can reestablish (Damalas 2008). *Galinsoga quadriradiata* can begin flowering as early as 30 to 40 d after emergence (Brainard 2002) and produce up to 1.3 million seeds m⁻² in unmanaged fields (Kumar et al. 2009). The seeds appear to lack primary dormancy and exhibit low rates of secondary dormancy (De Cauwer et al. 2014). Rapid reproduction, coupled with the lack of seed dormancy, gives *G. quadriradiata* the ability to complete two or more generations in a single growing season in the northeastern United States.

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.



Control options for *G. quadriradiata* are limited. Some herbicides registered for use in vegetable crops are not very effective against this species (Kumar et al. 2009). Mechanical control can also be difficult, because *G. quadriradiata* may emerge at high densities over a long emergence period, grows rapidly, and can reestablish from severed or uprooted stems (Damalas 2008; De Cauwer et al. 2019). Difficulties with chemical and mechanical management increase the importance of cultural strategies to promote crop competitiveness relative to *G. quadriradiata*. These strategies should include fertilization practices that adequately fertilize crops without unnecessarily increasing competition from this weed. Such fertilization practices should be informed by knowledge about the nutrient responses of *G. quadriradiata*.

Increased soil fertility may increase the competitiveness of *G. quadriradiata*. In one site-year of a field experiment on weed suppression from a rolled cereal rye (*Secale cereale* L.) cover crop, *G. quadriradiata* was associated with the highest rate of poultry litter (160 kg N ha⁻¹) according to an indicator species analysis (Ryan et al. 2011). A greenhouse experiment found that elevated nitrogen increased the competitiveness of *G. quadriradiata* relative to confamilial species [common sowthistle (*Sonchus oleraceus* L.) and *Heteropappus hispidus* (Thunb.) Less.] (Liu et al. 2018). Recently, De Cauwer et al. (2021) reported that soils with lower levels of plant-available phosphorus had smaller *G. quadriradiata* seedbanks. They suggested that growers seeking to control this weed should use organic fertility amendments low in readily available phosphorus. Overall, these studies illustrate the capacity of *G. quadriradiata* to thrive in highly competitive environments, especially when it is not nutrient limited.

The objective of our study was to assess how nitrogen and phosphorus affect biomass and flower production of *G. quadriradiata*, as well as its competitiveness against lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Given that *G. quadriradiata* has been observed to thrive in highly competitive environments when nutrients are not limiting, we hypothesized that increasing nutrient rates would benefit *G. quadriradiata* over lettuce.

Materials and Methods

The study consisted of two experiments. The greenhouse experiment, conducted in the fall of 2013, examined how *G. quadriradiata* growth and flower production responded to nitrogen and phosphorus rates. The field experiment, conducted in the summer of 2014, had the same goal, but also examined how nitrogen and phosphorus rates affected competition between *G. quadriradiata* and lettuce.

Galinsoga quadriradiata seeds were collected in late summer of 2013 from a garden population in Slaterville Springs, NY, USA (42.40°N, 76.35°W). The lettuce variety was 'New Red Fire MT0' (Johnny's Selected Seeds, Winslow, ME, USA). Sixteen nutrient rate combinations were used. In the "nitrogen series," five nitrogen rates of 0, 45, 90, 180, or 360 kg N ha⁻¹ were applied in a low- (0 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) or high- (140 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) phosphorus environment. In the "phosphorus series," five phosphorus rates of 0, 35, 70, 140, or 280 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ were applied in a low- (0 kg N ha⁻¹) or high- (180 kg N ha⁻¹) nitrogen environment. Nitrogen was applied as ammonium nitrate, NH₄NO₃, and phosphorus was applied as triple superphosphate, Ca(H₂PO₄)₂·H₂O. Lime was added to treatments such that calcium addition for all treatments was the same as for the highest rate of triple superphosphate.

Greenhouse Experiment

A randomized block design was used. Pots were arranged in eight blocks, each containing one 15-cm pot for each of the 16 nutrient treatments. The potting medium was a mixture of decompressed peat moss, horticultural vermiculite, and field soil in a 1:1:4 ratio by volume. The field soil was a Mardin channery silt loam (coarse-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Fragiudepts). The field soil would have provided most of the nutrients for seedling establishment. In 2011, this soil had a pH of 4.1, an organic matter content of 4.5%, 323 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrate, and 12 kg ha⁻¹ of elemental phosphorus (Morgan extraction). The field soil was screened through 1.3-cm hardware cloth before use. Each pot was filled with moist potting mix fertilized with nitrogen and phosphorus according to its nutrient treatment. Fifteen *G. quadriradiata* seeds were distributed on the surface of the pot and covered with a thin layer of dry potting mix from the same nutrient treatment. Finally, each pot was lightly watered to improve seed contact with the potting mix.

The plants were kept in the greenhouse for 49 d at 28/21 C day/night temperatures. Natural light was supplemented with 1,000-W metal-halide lights between 8 AM and 6 PM. Positions of blocks and of plants within blocks were rerandomized weekly. The pots were initially surface watered twice a day. Because pots were observed to dry very fast, 15-cm aluminum pans were placed under the pots at 12 d after planting, and pots were subirrigated for the remainder of the study. Subirrigation kept the pots adequately and uniformly moist and reduced potential loss of nutrients by leaching.

Emergence of *G. quadriradiata* was not synchronous, which caused high variation in seedling size (data not shown). Some pots did not show emergence by 19 d after planting, so they received seedlings transplanted from pots in the same treatment that had multiple emerged seedlings. Because of seedling mortality, some further transplanting was necessary between 25 and 36 d after planting. Seedlings from pots with multiple living seedlings were transplanted into pots with no living seedlings. The transplants were small relative to the size of the pots and generally established well. Plants were thinned periodically to prevent competition until only 1 plant remained per pot at 36 d after planting. When thinning, we removed the smallest and largest plants in each pot to reduce size variation.

The first open flowers were observed on day 39. As flowers matured, seed heads were collected and saved before seed shed. After 49 d, when all plants had flowered, the total number of flowers on each plant was recorded. Plants were clipped at soil level, and each individual plant was placed in a separate paper bag. Seed heads that had previously been removed from the plants were added to the corresponding bags. The plant materials were dried at 60 C for 7 d. Dried plants were allowed to equilibrate with atmospheric moisture in a lab before they were individually weighed.

Field Experiment

On May 23, 2014, seeds were planted in the greenhouse in 72-cell flats. The seeding rate was 2 seeds per cell for lettuce and 8 seeds per cell for *G. quadriradiata*. The potting medium was prepared by mixing compressed peat moss, horticultural vermiculite, horticultural perlite, dolomite powder, and AquaGro® 2000G Media Surfactant (Aquatrols, Paulsboro, NJ, USA) at a ratio of 535:180:180:6.25:1, respectively, by volume. Nutrient treatments were the same 16 treatments used in the greenhouse experiment. The potting medium did not contain any field soil that could supply nutrients for seedling establishment, so a commercial fertilizer




Figure 1. Whole-plot and subplot design for the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA. Dimensions are given in centimeters, and subplots were arranged randomly (open circles, lettuce plants; filled circles, *Galinsoga quadriradiata* plants).

blend was added (Jack's Classic All Purpose 20-20-20 with Micronutrients®, JR Peters, Allentown, PA, USA) to all treatments at a rate equivalent to 175 kg ha⁻¹, plus an extra 30 kg ha⁻¹ of ammonium nitrate.

Seedlings were grown in the greenhouse for 17 d under natural light at 30/23 C day/night temperatures. They were watered twice a day, and the positions of flats were randomized weekly. Plants were thinned to 1 individual per cell on days 11 and 12 after planting. In some treatments with low emergence, *G. quadriradiata* seedlings were transplanted to empty cells instead of being discarded during thinning. On day 18, flats were moved to uncovered cold frames outdoors to harden off seedlings before transplanting them into the field at 24 to 27 d after planting.

The field selected for the experiment was located at the Musgrave Research Farm, near Aurora, NY, USA (42.73°N, 76.66°W). The soil was a Lima silt loam (fine-loamy, mixed, semi-active, mesic Oxyaquic Hapludalfs) with pH 7.1, 3.4% organic matter, and 3.6 mg kg⁻¹ phosphorus (modified Morgan extraction). Each of the 16 nutrient treatments was replicated five times in a spatially optimized complete block design (van Es et al. 2007). Each plot (716 by 304 cm) contained three subplots of either *G. quadriradiata*, lettuce, or alternating *G. quadriradiata* and lettuce plants. Each subplot contained 12 plants in two rows of six (Figure 1). The distance between rows was 76 cm, and the distance between plants within rows was 23 cm. The positions of subplots within plots were randomized, and 2 extra lettuce plants were added at the ends of each main plot row to ensure normal competitive conditions at the edges of the plot.

Before transplanting, the field was moldboard plowed and disked to destroy weeds and to incorporate maize (*Zea mays* L.) residue from the previous growing season. Plot corners were marked with flags, and the appropriate mix of fertilizers was hand broadcast in each plot. A field cultivator was used to incorporate fertilizer into the field, and an empty planter was used to mark rows 76-cm apart. An electrified fence was built around the field to protect plants from animals inhabiting adjacent natural areas.

Seedlings were hand transplanted into the field on June 16 to 19, 2014 (24 to 27 d after planting). One or two entire blocks were planted on each day. Plants were given 500 ml of water each immediately after transplanting. The field was largely rainfed, with supplemental watering on June 20 (500 ml per plant) and July 9 to 10 (1,000 ml per plant). The plots were hand cultivated twice, July 1

to 2 and 24 to 25, to prevent competition from naturally establishing weeds. Monthly mean average temperatures were 19.1 C for June and 20.3 C for July (Northeast Regional Climate Center 2022). These values represent 101% and 95%, respectively, of the 30-yr monthly normals (1991 to 2020) of 18.9 C and 21.3 C. Monthly precipitation totals were 7.3 cm for June and 11.7 cm for July (Northeast Regional Climate Center 2022). These values represent 76% and 123%, respectively, of the 30-yr monthly normals of 9.6 cm and 9.6 cm. Therefore, we conclude that temperature conditions were typical for the area and that a relatively wet July may have compensated for a relatively dry June.

The number of flowers produced by a random sample of 3 *G. quadriradiata* plants in each subplot was recorded July 21 to 23. Plots were harvested August 1 to 5, with replicate blocks harvested in the order in which they were planted. The central 8 of the 12 plants in each subplot were clipped at soil level; the plants at the ends of each subplot were excluded to ensure that all individuals included in the analysis had grown under the same competitive conditions. The *G. quadriradiata* plants from each subplot were placed together in a large paper bag. The lettuce plants from each subplot were washed in a bucket of water and any lower leaves judged to be below marketable quality were placed in a paper bag. Clean fresh heads of lettuce were weighed and subsequently placed in the corresponding paper bag that contained the lower leaves. All samples were dried at 60 C for 8 d, and dry biomass values were recorded.

Data Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using R 3.1.0 and 4.1.0 (R Core Team 2021) and SAS v. 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Results were analyzed by examining two nutrient series: the phosphorus series, in which phosphorus was a continuous variable and the nitrogen background environment was either low (0 kg N ha⁻¹) or high (180 kg N ha⁻¹); and the nitrogen series, in which nitrogen was a continuous variable and the phosphorus background environment was either low (0 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) or high (140 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹).

To analyze biomass data, we first divided subplot sample biomass values in the field experiment by the number of plants harvested to show all data on a per-plant basis. Curvilinearity in biomass responses to nutrient rate was tested with exploratory

ANOVAs by examining the significance of a quadratic term. The threshold for further nonlinear analysis was a significance level of $P < 0.1$. We used this liberal standard for rejection of the null hypothesis of linearity ($P < 0.1$ rather than $P < 0.05$) because biological principles dictate that biomass responses to nutrient rate should be asymptotic. When the threshold for curvilinearity was not met ($P > 0.1$), a second ANOVA was run with only linear terms to test whether biomass was significantly affected by nitrogen or phosphorus rate, the difference between low and high rates of the contrasting nutrient (phosphorus or nitrogen), interactions between the two nutrients, and (in the field experiment) the difference between interspecific and intraspecific competition.

When the threshold for curvilinearity was met, nonlinear regression analysis was performed. We fit the data to an alternative to the Mitscherlich equation (Gardner et al. 1985) that is more likely to produce convergence during nonlinear analysis:

$$Y = a - be^{-cR} \quad [1]$$

where Y is the response variable, a is a fitted parameter representing the asymptotic value of Y , b is a fitted parameter representing the difference between a and the value of Y with no added nutrient, c is a fitted parameter controlling the rate of change in the response variable with increasing nutrient rate, and R is the nutrient rate (in kg ha^{-1}) (Little et al. 2015). The function *nls* (package *STATS*) was used to build these models. The function *pairComp* (package *AOMISC*) was used to perform pairwise comparisons at $\alpha = 0.05$ with a Holm correction (Onofri 2021).

We analyzed the response of flower production to nutrient rates in the same manner as described for the biomass data. In addition, we evaluated the relationship between dry biomass and flower production in *G. quadriradiata*. Preliminary analyses showed that the threshold for curvilinearity was not met in either experiment ($P > 0.05$), so the relationship between dry biomass and flower production in each experiment was evaluated with a linear model and ANOVA.

Results and Discussion

Galinsoga quadriradiata Response to Nutrient Addition

In the greenhouse experiment, phosphorus had a positive linear effect on the dry biomass of *G. quadriradiata* ($P < 0.001$; Figure 2). However, no significant effect of nitrogen was observed in either the nitrogen series (data not shown) or the phosphorus series (Figure 2). Flower production was proportional to plant dry biomass ($P < 0.001$; Figure 3). The fitted model for the relationship between flower production per plant (count) and dry biomass (g) was $y = -10.6 + 24.5x$ ($R^2 = 0.73$).

In the field experiment, the effect of nutrient addition on dry biomass of *G. quadriradiata* was nonlinear (Figure 4; Table 1). Dry biomass of *G. quadriradiata* responded more strongly to phosphorus than to nitrogen. At high nitrogen, phosphorus rate had a strong positive effect on dry biomass of *G. quadriradiata* (Figure 4A). The increase in dry biomass from no phosphorus addition to the highest phosphorus rate was greater than 300% in the biculture and greater than 200% in the monoculture. At low nitrogen, the increase in dry biomass with increasing phosphorus was more modest, approximately 30% to 50% (Figure 4B). At high phosphorus, dry biomass reached its maximum at a relatively low nitrogen rate ($\sim 90 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$), both in biculture and monoculture (Figure 4C). At low phosphorus, increasing nitrogen rates did




Figure 2. Response of *Galinsoga quadriradiata* dry biomass to phosphorus rate in the greenhouse experiment conducted in 2013 at Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA. Phosphorus was added in low-nitrogen (open circles) or high-nitrogen (filled circles) environments. Nitrogen level did not affect dry biomass or response to phosphorus, so a single model was fit to the data.




Figure 3. Relationship between *Galinsoga quadriradiata* dry biomass and flower production in the greenhouse experiment conducted in 2013 at Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA. Each observation represents one plant (both nitrogen and phosphorus series).

not increase the dry biomass of *G. quadriradiata* (Figure 4D); in fact, parameter b (controlling the direction and magnitude of the effect of nutrient addition on biomass) was negative in the fitted model (Table 1). In general, the dry biomass of *G. quadriradiata* was similar between the biculture (competition with lettuce) and monoculture (self-competition) treatments. However, in the phosphorus series at high nitrogen, the asymptotic biomass (parameter a) was higher in the biculture than the monoculture (Figure 4A; Table 1).

As in the greenhouse experiment, flower production was proportional to plant dry biomass in the field experiment (Figure 5). Rate of both phosphorus and nitrogen generally had positive linear effects on flowers produced per unit mass (Figure 6), except that increasing nitrogen rate had a negligible effect when no phosphorus was applied (Figure 6D). Plants tended to produce more flowers per unit mass when grown in monoculture rather than biculture, especially in the phosphorus series at high nitrogen (Figure 6), in which phosphorus addition (280 vs. 0 kg ha^{-1}) increased flower production per unit mass by 130% in monoculture and 40% in biculture (Figure 6A).

To summarize, both greenhouse and field experiments demonstrated positive effects of phosphorus addition on *G. quadriradiata* biomass. In the field experiment, the positive effect of phosphorus

Table 1. Fitted parameters of regression curves for the response of *Galinsoga quadriradiata* dry biomass to nutrient rates and competition with lettuce in the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA.^a

		<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>
Phosphorus series				
High N	Biculture	73 a	56 a	0.011 a
	Monoculture	49 b	33 ab	0.018 a
Low N	Biculture	51 ab	15 b	0.013 a
	Monoculture	45 b	15 b	0.013 a
Nitrogen series				
High P	Biculture	57 a	7 a	0.034 a
	Monoculture	47 a	5 a	0.023 a
Low P	Biculture	16 b	-22 b	0.011 a
	Monoculture	18 b	-12 ab	0.011 a

^aThe model has the form $Y = a - be^{-cR}$, where Y is dry biomass (in g plant⁻¹), a is a fitted parameter representing the asymptotic dry biomass, b is a fitted parameter representing the difference between a and the dry biomass produced without added nutrient, c is a fitted parameter controlling the rate of change in dry biomass with increasing nutrient rate, and R is the added nutrient rate (in kg ha⁻¹). Parameter values within a series followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

was larger in the presence of high nitrogen. *Galinsoga quadriradiata* plants with high biomass tended to have more flowers than those with lower biomass. Flower production per unit mass generally increased with nutrient addition. Thus, increased fertility is likely to increase *G. quadriradiata* seed production (not measured in this study) both by increasing biomass production and by increasing flower production per unit mass. Fertility conditions might also affect other stages of the *G. quadriradiata* life cycle, such as germination and emergence rates.

Our results are consistent with previous reports that *G. quadriradiata* is highly responsive to nutrient addition. The finding that

phosphorus addition increased biomass and flower production corroborates a report that phosphorus has positive effects on *G. quadriradiata* growth and flowering (Ivany 1971, cited by Warwick and Sweet 1983). *Galinsoga quadriradiata* has been associated with a high rate of poultry litter (Ryan et al. 2011). High rates of poultry manure are often associated with excessive phosphorus levels in agricultural fields (Magdoff and van Es 2021). Soils with low levels of plant-available phosphorus may have smaller *G. quadriradiata* seedbanks (De Cauwer et al. 2021). In our field experiment, biomass and flower production were highest when both nitrogen and phosphorus rates were high. Similarly, previous research has demonstrated that nitrogen addition increases biomass and seed production in *G. quadriradiata* (Liu et al. 2018; Rai and Tripathi 1986). Thus, our findings confirm that *G. quadriradiata* thrives in fertile soils and highlight the strong influence of phosphorus availability on its performance.

Lettuce Response to Nutrient Addition

Fresh biomass of lettuce increased linearly in response to phosphorus addition (Figure 7). In the phosphorus series at the high nitrogen rate, lettuce fresh biomass doubled in monoculture and increased by 60% in biculture at the highest phosphorus rate compared with no phosphorus addition (280 vs. 0 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹; Figure 7A). The rate of increase in fresh lettuce biomass in response to phosphorus addition was higher in the high-nitrogen monoculture compared with the high-nitrogen biculture, low-nitrogen monoculture, or low-nitrogen biculture (Table 2). In the nitrogen series, lettuce fresh biomass was not strongly responsive to nitrogen addition (Table 2). When grown without nitrogen addition, lettuce fresh biomass was highest in the high-phosphorus monoculture (Table 2).




Figure 4. Response of *Galinsoga quadriradiata* dry biomass to nitrogen and phosphorus rates in the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA. Data are shown for the phosphorus series in (A) a high-nitrogen environment and (B) a low-nitrogen environment and for the nitrogen series in (C) a high-phosphorus environment and (D) a low-phosphorus environment. In the low-phosphorus environment for the nitrogen series, biculture and monoculture treatments were aggregated because they did not differ [Open circles and dotted curves, biculture (*G. quadriradiata* and lettuce); filled circles and solid curves, monoculture; open triangles and dashed curve, aggregated biculture and monoculture].




Figure 5. Relationship between mean dry biomass and mean flower production per *Galinsoga quadriradiata* plant in the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA. Each observation represents one subplot.

In contrast with fresh biomass, lettuce dry biomass showed no significant relationship with phosphorus rate in the phosphorus series or nitrogen rate in the nitrogen series (Table 3). Lettuce dry biomass was slightly higher in monoculture than in biculture when averaged across the phosphorus series in the low-nitrogen environment (21 vs. 16 g plant⁻¹; $P=0.05$) or when averaged across the nitrogen series in the high-phosphorus environment (22 vs. 17 g plant⁻¹; $P=0.04$). Lettuce dry biomass was higher at high phosphorus than low phosphorus when averaged across the nitrogen series in monoculture (22 vs. 17 g plant⁻¹; $P=0.02$). Nutrient background environment did not affect lettuce dry biomass in the nitrogen series biculture or the phosphorus series.

The fact that phosphorus addition generally did not affect lettuce dry biomass was surprising, because the soil test indicated low available phosphorus in the unamended soil. The highest addition rates of nitrogen and phosphorus tested in our experiment exceeded the recommended rates for lettuce production (Cornell Cooperative Extension 2014). It is possible that lettuce dry biomass was limited largely by some factor other than phosphorus or nitrogen. Discrepancies between fresh and dry biomass sometimes occur because fresh lettuce has a very high water content (greater than 90%; Sakamoto and Suzuki 2015). In our study, high-phosphorus treatments may have produced large, expanded leaf cells containing a large amount of water, while low-phosphorus treatments may have produced slightly smaller cells that had similar dry weights but contained less water. As lettuce is typically sold by fresh weight, phosphorus addition would have increased value in this case.

Implications for Weed–Crop Competition

Comparisons between monoculture and biculture treatments provide insight into the relative competitiveness of *G. quadriradiata* and lettuce. Dry biomass of *G. quadriradiata* was usually similar between monoculture and biculture treatments. However, in the phosphorus series in the high-nitrogen environment, *G. quadriradiata* reached a higher maximum biomass when grown in competition with lettuce than when grown in monoculture. In the phosphorus series at the high phosphorus rates in the high-nitrogen environment, flower production per unit of biomass was higher in the monoculture treatment, indicating that vegetative biomass is not a perfect proxy for reproductive capacity. Nonetheless, our results suggest that high-nutrient conditions promote *G. quadriradiata* competitiveness against lettuce. Consistent




Figure 6. Response of flower production per unit mass of *Galinsoga quadriradiata* to nitrogen and phosphorus rates in the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA. Data are shown for the phosphorus series in (A) a high-nitrogen environment and (B) a low-nitrogen environment and for the nitrogen series in (C) a high-phosphorus environment and (D) a low-phosphorus environment. In the low-phosphorus environment for the nitrogen series, biculture and monoculture treatments were aggregated because they did not differ [open circles and dotted lines, biculture (*G. quadriradiata* and lettuce); filled circles and solid lines, monoculture; open triangles and dashed line, aggregated biculture and monoculture].

Table 2. Response of lettuce fresh biomass to nutrient rates and competition with *Galinsoga quadriradiata* in the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA.^a

		<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>
Phosphorus series	Biculture	171.2 b	0.38 b
	Monoculture	212.6 ab	0.79 a
Low N	Biculture	201.7 ab	0.18 b
	Monoculture	235.9 a	0.40 b
Nitrogen series	Biculture	242.6 b	0.08 a
	Monoculture	305.8 a	0.10 a
High P	Biculture	170.1 c	-0.04 a
	Monoculture	206.4 bc	-0.14 a
Low P	Biculture	170.1 c	-0.04 a
	Monoculture	206.4 bc	-0.14 a

^aData were fit to a linear model, $Y = a + bR$, where Y is fresh biomass, a is fresh biomass without added nutrient, and b controls the rate of change in fresh biomass with increasing nutrient rates. Parameter values within a series followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.




Figure 7. Response of lettuce fresh biomass to nitrogen and phosphorus rates in the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA. Data are shown for the phosphorous series in (A) a high-nitrogen environment and (B) a low-nitrogen environment [open circles and dotted lines, biculture (*Galinsoga quadriradiata* and lettuce); filled circles and solid lines, monoculture].

with this interpretation, lettuce biomass (dry or fresh) was sometimes higher in monoculture treatments relative to biculture treatments. For example, lettuce fresh biomass increased at a higher rate with increasing phosphorus in the monoculture treatment, relative to the biculture treatment, in the high-nitrogen environment.

In weed species with strong growth responses to nutrients, nutrient addition may increase competitiveness relative to crop plants (Little et al. 2021). For example, Blackshaw and Brandt (2009) found that the competitiveness of round-leaved mallow (*Malva pusilla* Sm.) against wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) increased with increasing phosphorus rates. This finding was consistent with an earlier report that *M. pusilla* exhibits a strong growth response to phosphorus (Blackshaw et al. 2004). In contrast, the competitive ability of less phosphorus-responsive weed species (kochia [*Bassia*

Table 3. Response of mean lettuce dry biomass to nutrient rates and competition with *Galinsoga quadriradiata* in the field experiment conducted in 2014 at Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm, Aurora, NY, USA.^a

Series	Contrasting nutrient	Dry biomass (g plant ⁻¹)		P-value
		Biculture	Monoculture	
Phosphorus series	High N	17	20	0.32
	Low N	16	21	0.05
Nitrogen series	P-value	0.99	0.99	
	High P	17	22	0.04
	Low P	15	17	0.44
	P-value	0.44	0.02	

^aBecause the regressions for the nitrogen and phosphorus addition series were not significant, each value in the table is the mean of the addition series, e.g., the value labeled "Biculture High N" is the mean of the five phosphorus rate treatments in biculture in the high-nitrogen environment. P-values in the rightmost column indicate whether biculture and monoculture treatments differ within nutrient series and contrasting nutrient environment. P-values below nutrient series indicate whether contrasting nutrient environments differ within nutrient series and biculture or monoculture treatment.

scoparia (L.) A.J. Scott] and Persian darnel [*Lolium persicum* Boiss. & Hohen.] decreased with increasing phosphorous rates (Blackshaw and Brandt 2009). In some cases, weeds that are strong competitors for nutrients may be highly damaging to crops when nutrients are limited. For example, Santos et al. (2004a) reported that combined shoot and root interference from common purslane (*Portulaca oleracea* L.) reduced lettuce shoot dry weight by 84% at a phosphorus rate of 0 g L⁻¹ soil and 71% at 0.8 g L⁻¹ soil. Shrefler et al. (1994b) found that phosphorus addition increased the competitiveness of lettuce relative to spiny amaranth (*Amaranthus spinosus* L.), although this weed remained more competitive than lettuce at all fertility levels. Thus, our finding that phosphorus addition may exacerbate the competitive effect of *G. quadriradiata* on lettuce is plausible; however, this finding is not necessarily generalizable to other competitive agricultural weed species.

From a management perspective, our results underscore the importance of preventing plant-available phosphorus from reaching excessive levels. Unlike nitrogen, phosphorus is not very mobile in the soil, so it is possible to build a surplus over time in most soil types (Magdoff and van Es 2021). Fields that regularly receive organic amendments, such as manure and compost, are especially at risk of phosphorus surpluses, because such amendments often oversupply phosphorus when they are used to fulfill crop nitrogen needs (Magdoff and van Es 2021). Excessive phosphorus buildup may lead to multiple issues, including environmental pollution, toxicity to crops, and increased weed-crop competition. To prevent excessive phosphorus buildup and heavy infestations of weeds such as *G. quadriradiata*, growers can regularly test their soil, calculate crop nutrient requirements each growing season, and apply fertilizer only within the crop rows. For example, banded phosphorus applications can reduce the negative effects of weeds on lettuce yield, relative to broadcast applications (Santos et al. 2004b; Shrefler et al. 1994a). Precision approaches to fertility management are likely to reduce *G. quadriradiata* performance and help minimize lettuce yield losses.

Acknowledgments. We dedicate this work to Charles L. Mohler. We would like to especially thank Xe Garcia for performing the research and writing an early draft of the manuscript. We thank current and former members of the Weed Ecology and Management Laboratory: Scott Morris, Javaid Iqbal, and undergraduate assistants Kendra Ellis, Elizabeth Kennedy, Kathleen Bitter,

Christina Hall, and Melissa Harbert. Other individuals who provided invaluable support include Paul Stachowski and the rest of the staff at the Cornell University Musgrave Research Farm. This project was funded by the Dextra Undergraduate Research Endowment Fund, the CALS Alumni Association Academic Enrichment Program, and Cornell Experiment Station Multi-State Hatch Project NE-1047 (project no. NYC-125800). No conflicts of interest have been declared.

References

- Blackshaw RE, Brandt RN (2008) Nitrogen fertilizer rate effects on weed competitiveness is species dependent. *Weed Sci* 56:743–747
- Blackshaw RE, Brandt RN (2009) Phosphorus fertilizer effects on the competition between wheat and several weed species. *Weed Biol Manag* 9:46–53
- Blackshaw RE, Brandt RN, Janzen HH, Entz T (2004) Weed species response to phosphorus fertilization. *Weed Sci* 52:406–412
- Blackshaw RE, Brandt RN, Janzen HH, Entz T, Grant CA, Derksen DA (2003) Differential response of weed species to added nitrogen. *Weed Sci* 51:532–539
- Brainard DC (2002) Weed Management Implications of a Broccoli–Winter Rye Intercropping System. PhD dissertation. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University. 136 p
- Canne J (1977) A revision of the genus *Galinsoga* (Compositae: Heliantheae). *Rhodora* 79:319–389
- Cornell Cooperative Extension (2014) 2014 Cornell Integrated Crop and Pest Management Guidelines for Commercial Vegetable Production. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University. <http://veg-guidelines.cce.cornell.edu/20frameset.html>. Accessed: November 15, 2014
- Damalas CA (2008) Distribution, biology, and agricultural importance of *Galinsoga parviflora* (Asteraceae). *Weed Biol Manag* 8:147–153
- De Cauwer B, Biesemans N, De Ryck S, Delanote L, Dewaele K, Willekens K, Vanden Nest T, Reheul D (2021) Effects of soil and crop management practices and pedo-hydrological conditions on the seedbank size of *Galinsoga* spp. in organic vegetable fields. *Weed Res* 61:55–67
- De Cauwer B, De Cuyper T, De Ryck S, Delanote L, DeWaele K, Willekens K, Reheul D (2019) Reduction in field emergence and seedbank density of *Galinsoga quadriradiata* and other weeds after contrasting false seedbed strategies in organic vegetable fields. *Weed Res* 59:265–278
- De Cauwer B, Devos R, Claerhout S, Bulcke R, Reheul D (2014) Seed dormancy, germination, emergence and seed longevity in *Galinsoga parviflora* and *G. quadriradiata*. *Weed Res* 54:38–47
- DiTommaso JM (1995) Approaches for improving crop competitiveness through the manipulation of fertilization strategies. *Weed Sci* 43:491–497
- Gardner FP, Pearce RB, Mitchell RL (1985) *Physiology of Crop Plants*. 1st ed. Ames: Iowa State University Press. 327 p
- Harley JL, Harley EL (1987) A check-list of mycorrhiza in the British flora. *New Phytol* 105:1–102
- Kumar V, Brainard DC, Bellinder RR (2009) Effects of spring-sown cover crops on establishment and growth of hairy galinsoga (*Galinsoga ciliata*) and four vegetable crops. *HortScience* 44:730–736
- Little NG, DiTommaso A, Westbrook AS, Ketterings QM, Mohler CL (2021) Effects of fertility amendments on weed growth and weed–crop competition: a review. *Weed Sci* 69:132–146
- Little NG, Mohler CL, Ketterings QA, DiTommaso A (2015) Effects of organic nutrient amendments on weed and crop growth. *Weed Sci* 63:710–722
- Liu G, Yang Y-B, Zhu Z-H (2018) Elevated nitrogen allows the weak invasive plant *Galinsoga quadriradiata* to become more vigorous with respect to inter-specific competition. *Sci Rep* 8:3136
- Magdoff F, van Es H (2021) *Building Soils for Better Crops: Ecological Management for Healthy Soils*. 4th ed. College Park, MD: Sustainable Agriculture Research & Education (SARE). 394 p
- Mohler CL, Teasdale JR, DiTommaso A (2021) *Manage Weeds on Your Farm: A Guide to Ecological Strategies*. College Park, MD: Sustainable Agriculture Research & Education (SARE). 416 p
- Northeast Regional Climate Center (2022) CLIMOD 2. <http://climod2.nrrcc.cornell.edu>. Accessed: May 7, 2022
- Onofri A (2021) Pairwise Comparisons in Nonlinear Regression. The Broken Bridge between Biologists and Statisticians. https://www.statforbiology.com/2021/stat_nls_paircomp. Accessed: January 10, 2022
- Rai JPN, Tripathi RS (1986) Effects of density and soil nitrogen levels on growth of *Galinsoga quadriradiata* and *G. parviflora* in pure and mixed stands. *Can J Bot* 64:2708–2715
- R Core Team (2021) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing
- Ryan MR, Curran WS, Grantham AM, Hunsberger LK, Mirsky SB, Mortensen DA, Nord EA, Wilson DO (2011) Effects of seeding rate and poultry litter on weed suppression from a rolled cereal rye cover crop. *Weed Sci* 59:438–444
- Sakamoto M, Suzuki T (2015) Effect of root-zone temperature on growth and quality of hydroponically grown red leaf lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. cv. Red Wave). *Am J Plant Sci* 6:2350–2360
- Santos BM, Dusky JA, Stall WM, Bewick TA, Shilling DG (2004a) Mechanisms of interference of smooth pigweed (*Amaranthus hybridus*) and common purslane (*Portulaca oleracea*) on lettuce as influenced by phosphorus fertility. *Weed Sci* 52:78–82
- Santos BM, Dusky JA, Stall WM, Gilreath JP (2004b) Influence of common lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album*) densities and phosphorus fertilization on lettuce. *Crop Prot* 23:173–176
- Shreffler J, Dusky J, Shilling D, Brecke B, Sanchez C (1994a) Effects of phosphorus fertility on competition between lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and spiny amaranth (*Amaranthus spinosus*). *Weed Sci* 42:556–560
- Shreffler J, Shilling D, Dusky J, Brecke B (1994b) Influence of phosphorus fertility on intra- and interspecific interference between lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) and spiny amaranth (*Amaranthus spinosus*). *Weed Sci* 42:574–578
- Uva RH, Neal JC, DiTommaso JM (1997) *Weeds of the Northeast*. 1st ed. Ithaca, NY: Comstock Publishing. 408 p
- van Es HM, Gomes CP, Sellmann M, van Es CL (2007) Spatially-balanced complete block designs for field experiments. *Geoderma* 140:346–352
- Warwick SI, Sweet RD (1983) The biology of Canadian weeds: 58. *Galinsoga parviflora* and *G. quadriradiata* (= *G. ciliata*). *Can J Plant Sci* 63:695–709