

Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria in Hospital Wastewater of the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital in Accra, Ghana

Authors: Addae-Nuku, Daisy S, Kotey, Fleischer CN, Dayie, Nicholas TKD, Osei, Mary-Magdalene, Tette, Edem MA, et al.

Source: Environmental Health Insights, 16(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/11786302221130613>




BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria in Hospital Wastewater of the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital in Accra, Ghana

Daisy S Addae-Nuku¹, Fleischer CN Kotey^{1,2} , Nicholas TKD Dayie¹ , Mary-Magdalene Osei^{1,2}, Edem MA Tette³, Philip Debrah⁴ and Eric S Donkor¹ 

¹Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Ghana Medical School, Accra, Ghana.

²FleRhoLife Research Consult, Teshie, Accra, Ghana. ³Department of Community Health, University of Ghana Medical School, Accra, Ghana. ⁴Department of Pharmaceutics and Microbiology, School of Pharmacy, College of Health Sciences, University of Ghana, Legon, Accra, Ghana.

Environmental Health Insights

Volume 16: 1–9

© The Author(s) 2022

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/11786302221130613



ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is one of the top 10 public health threats. One approach to tackling the AMR menace could involve expanding the range of AMR surveillance domains to include hospital wastewater (HWW), a domain that has largely been overlooked by researchers.

AIM: To evaluate the occurrence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in hospital wastewater of the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital (KBTH).

METHODOLOGY: This was a longitudinal study involving 288 HWW samples consecutively collected across 12 weeks from the pool of wastewater emanating from 2 critical care units of KBTH—The Child Health Unit and the Maternity Unit—on Mondays and Thursdays, each week. The samples were cultured for bacteria, which were identified using the Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) technique and subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility testing via the Kirby-Bauer method.

RESULTS: In total, 294 bacteria of 23 different types, all being Gram-negative, were isolated from the 288 samples. The predominant ones were *Escherichia coli* (30.6%, $n = 90$), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (11.2%, $n = 33$), *Citrobacter freundii* (10.9%, $n = 32$), *Alcaligenes faecalis* (5.8%, $n = 17$), and *Pseudomonas mendocina* (5.4%, $n = 16$). The prevalence of multidrug resistance among the isolates was 55.4% ($n = 163$). Moreover, the prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producers was 15.6% ($n = 46$). *E. coli* accounted for the most ESBL-producing organisms (28.9%, $n = 26$).

CONCLUSION: The wastewater generated by the Maternity and Child Health Units of KBTH harbored a wide range of multidrug resistant bacteria, with a good proportion of these being ESBL producers, and the predominant one being *E. coli*. The study thus identifies the wastewater of KBTH as an important source of multidrug resistant organisms, and underscores the significance of appropriate treatment of wastewater of the hospital and other clinical, and related settings prior to its discharge.

KEYWORDS: Hospital wastewater, antibiotic resistance, multidrug-resistant bacteria, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase, ESBL

RECEIVED: March 19, 2022. **ACCEPTED:** September 15, 2022.

TYPE: Original Research

FUNDING: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Eric S Donkor, Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Ghana Medical School, P. O. Box KB 4236, Korle Bu, Accra 00233, Ghana. Emails: esampane-donkor@ug.edu.gh; ericsdon@hotmail.com

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) has listed antimicrobial resistance (AMR) as one of the top ten public health threats facing humanity.¹ The cost of AMR to the economy is significant, spanning across prolonged illness and longer hospital stays, the need for more high-priced medicines (thereby, causing financial challenges for those impacted), as well as disability and death.^{2–4} One recent systematic analysis estimated 4.95 million deaths to have occurred in 2019 from bacterial AMR.⁵ According to another estimate, not less than 700 000 lives are lost each year from drug-resistant diseases.¹ By 2030, AMR could force up to 24 million people into extreme poverty, and by 2050, it could result in the loss of 10 million lives each year.¹

The high public health threat posed by AMR demands that efforts aimed at curbing the menace are done holistically.

One approach could involve expanding the range of AMR surveillance domains, such as expanding them to include hospital wastewater (HWW), a significant source of multidrug-resistant pathogens.^{6–14} That notwithstanding, the majority of AMR surveillance efforts in sub-Saharan Africa have focused on human and animal populations,^{15–26} with little attention paid to the environment^{27–31} (In this context, the environment refers to ambient air, soil, water, and other locations that are neither on or within the bodies of humans and animals, as conceptualized by Huijbers et al.³²). Elsewhere, AMR surveillance in the environment has spanned a variety of domains, including hospital wastewater, domestic wastewater, surface water, and sewage.^{33–42} The little attention paid to the environment presents a major setback to having robust AMR surveillance data, a key that is critical to unlocking the AMR



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without

further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).
Downloaded From: <https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Environmental-Health-Insights> on 24 Apr 2024
Terms of Use: <https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use>

conundrum, and may explain some of the bottlenecks regarding the effective management of the AMR menace.

As filling the identified knowledge gaps is important, this study aimed to evaluate the occurrence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in wastewater of the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital (KBTH). Specifically, the prevalence of different bacteria present in the hospital wastewater, the spatio-temporal distribution of the predominant wastewater-contained bacteria, and the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and multidrug-resistant organisms, especially, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* among the hospital wastewater isolates, were investigated. Such insights, particularly, the distribution of HWW bacteria spatially and temporally, are limited in sub-Saharan Africa.²⁷⁻³¹

Methods

Study site, design and sampling

This was a longitudinal study conducted in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana. Being a tertiary referral hospital and a premier health facility that caters to an estimated population of 15 million people within southern Ghana, the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital (KBTH) was selected for this study. KBTH is equipped with 2000 beds and a central laboratory with several departments, including Microbiology to which all microbiological specimens from patients are brought for processing. Hospital wastewater sampling was done at the Maternity and Child Health units of the hospital, specifically, at the outlets where wastewater exit the wards after being contaminated with microorganisms (prior to discharge into surface waters). These 2 units were selected owing to their being critical care units and the relatively easier accessibility of their wastewater effluents.

Sterile bottles were used for the collection of the untreated wastewater samples. A total of 288 grab samples of 100 ml volumes each (144 from each Unit) were systematically collected. The sampling was done across 12 weeks, on 2 days—Mondays and Thursdays—each week, twice each sampling day (morning and evening), to ensure that the sampling was consistent and well-spaced. Three samples were collected per sampling site at each sampling time (at intervals of 20 minutes), in the months of October to December 2021 at ambient temperatures, ranging from 24°C to 31°C. Hence 24 samples were collected each week from both units. In the mornings, samples were collected between 7:00 a.m. and 8:00 a.m., and in the evenings, between 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m. The samples were kept on ice and conveyed to the research laboratory (within 2 hours) of the Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Ghana Medical School, which is located on the hospital compound, for microbiological analysis.

Laboratory investigations

Isolation and identification of bacteria. Each sample was cultured for bacteria by direct streaking of 0.5 ml of it on 5% sheep blood agar and chocolate agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hants, UK) (the resultant plates of which were incubated at 37°C in

5% CO₂), as well as mannitol salt agar and MacConkey agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hants, UK) (the resultant plates were aerobically incubated at 37°C). After 18 to 24 hours of incubation, each of the plates was examined for growth, and subcultures done (including for 6 of the plates that had mixed bacterial growth) until pure cultures were obtained. The pure bacterial cultures were subsequently identified using a combination of colonial morphologies, motility, biochemical tests (including catalase, oxidase, urease, indole, citrate, sugar fermentation [triple sugar iron test]), and the Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-Time of Flight (MALDI-TOF) biotyper, and stocked.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Following the directives of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI),⁴³ disk diffusion assay on Mueller-Hinton agar plates (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hants, UK) were used; the antimicrobials used were amikacin (30 µg), ampicillin (10 µg), cefuroxime (30 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), cefepime (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (10 µg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75 µg), imipenem (10 µg), ertapenem (10 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), and amoxicillin-clavulanate (20/10 µg). Using a nephelometer, a suspension similar in turbidity to that of 0.5 McFarland standard was achieved by emulsifying the test isolate in normal saline. A sterile cotton swab was dipped into the suspension and swabbed evenly across the entire surface of a Mueller Hinton agar plate (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, Hants, UK), in 3 different dimensions. This was done to obtain a semi-confluent growth following incubation. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours, after which the zones of inhibition around the antimicrobial disks were measured and interpreted according to the breakpoints of the CLSI.⁴³ *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 was used as control strain. The CLSI⁴³ guidelines were used for the interpretation of the bacterial isolates being resistant, susceptible or intermediate. A bacterial isolate was regarded as multidrug-resistant when they showed resistance to 3 or more classes of antimicrobial drugs.

Phenotypic screening for extended-spectrum beta-lactamase in enterobacteriaceae. A standard inoculum (0.5 McFarland) of test isolate was streaked on Mueller Hinton agar and tested for ceftazidime (30 µg) and cefotaxime-clavulanic acid (30 µg/10 µg) using the double-disk synergy test (DDST). A disk of clavulanic acid was placed in the center of Mueller Hinton agar plates (90 mm) at 20 mm distance to ceftazidime and cefotaxime. ESBL production was detected by the appearance of keyhole effect due to the enhanced activity of ceftazidime and cefotaxime with clavulanic acid. *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 were used as negative and positive control strains, respectively.

Data analysis

Data were entered into and analyzed with Microsoft Excel, 2019, and Statistical Products and Services Solutions (SPSS),

Table 1. Prevalence of the bacteria in the hospital wastewater samples.

ORGANISM	TOTAL (N = 294) (%)	MATERNITY (N = 141) (%)	CHILD HEALTH (N = 153) (%)	p VALUE
<i>Escherichia coli</i> *	90 (30.6)	37 (41.1)	53 (58.9)	.02
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	33 (11.2)	15 (45.5)	18 (54.6)	.4
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	32 (10.9)	15 (46.9)	17 (53.1)	.6
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i>	17 (5.8)	8 (47.0)	9 (52.9)	.4
<i>Pseudomonas mendocina</i>	16 (5.4)	12 (75.0)	4 (25.0)	—
<i>Pseudomonas alcaligenes</i>	10 (3.4)	5 (50.0)	5 (50.0)	—
<i>Acinetobacter towneri</i>	10 (3.4)	2 (20.0)	8 (80.0)	—
<i>Acinetobacter kookii</i>	9 (3.1)	6 (66.7)	3 (33.3)	—
<i>Acinetobacter junii</i>	8 (2.7)	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	—
<i>Acinetobacter gernerii</i>	8 (2.7)	4 (50.0)	4 (50.0)	—
<i>Enterobacter bugandensis</i>	8 (2.7)	4 (50.0)	4 (50.0)	—
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	8 (2.7)	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	—
<i>Aeromonas caviae</i>	8 (2.7)	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	—
<i>Comamonas jiangduensis</i>	6 (2.0)	3 (50.0)	3 (50.0)	—
<i>Acidovorax temperans</i>	6 (2.0)	2 (33.3)	4 (66.7)	—
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	5 (1.7)	3 (60.0)	2 (40.0)	—
<i>Aeromonas veronii</i>	5 (1.7)	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)	—
<i>Pseudomonas extremorientalis</i>	4 (1.3)	4 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	—
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	3 (1.0)	3 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	—
<i>Comamonas testosteroni</i>	3 (1.0)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	—
<i>Pseudomonas koreensis</i>	2 (0.7)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	—
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	2 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	2 (100.0)	—
<i>Rahnella aquatilis</i>	1 (0.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	—

*Significant at .05 alpha level.

version 25. The data on the types of bacteria and their spatio-temporal distribution, as well as antimicrobial resistance data were summarized using descriptive statistics. Chi square tests were used to compare the 2 critical care units with regard to the proportions of the bacteria isolated from their wastewater effluents, at an alpha level of .05.

Results

Prevalence and types of bacteria present in the hospital wastewater

The 288 samples collected from the wastewater pools of the 2 critical care units selected for this study—Maternity and Child Health—yielded 294 bacterial isolates, with all being Gram-negative. The Maternity Unit accounted for 48.0% (n = 141) of these, whereas the Child Health Unit accounted for 52.0% (n = 153).

The bacteria were of 23 different types, with the predominant ones being *Escherichia coli* (30.6%, n = 90), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (11.2%, n = 33), *Citrobacter freundii* (10.9%, n = 32), *Alcaligenes faecalis* (5.8%, n = 17), and *Pseudomonas mendocina* (5.4%, n = 16). Besides *Escherichia coli* recording the highest prevalence (30.6%, n = 90), it was the only organism whose proportion significantly differed between the 2 units studied [Maternity Unit = 23.8%, n = 37; Child Health Unit = 38.0%, n = 53, $p = .02$]. The distribution of the organisms isolated from the wastewater samples is presented in Table 1.

Spatio-temporal distribution of the predominant bacteria isolated from the hospital wastewater samples across the 12 weeks of sampling

As observed in Figure 1, *Escherichia coli* was present in the wastewater samples collected from the Maternity Unit




Figure 1. Spatio-temporal distribution of the predominant bacteria recovered from hospital wastewater collected from the Maternity Unit.




Figure 2. Spatio-temporal distribution of the predominant bacteria recovered from hospital wastewater collected from the Child Health Unit.

throughout Weeks 1 to 6 for the first days of sampling of those weeks. The organism, however, demonstrated an intermittent occurrence (Weeks 1 to 3, Weeks 5 and 6, Week 9, and Weeks 11 and 12) on the second days of sampling (Figure 1).

The other hospital wastewater-contained organisms that recorded a high prevalence—*Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Alcaligenes faecalis*, and *Pseudomonas mendocina*—occurred sporadically during the sampling period. *Citrobacter freundii* was

additionally present from Weeks 3 through to 6, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, Weeks 10 to 12, on the second days of sampling.

Similar to the case of the wastewater samples collected from the Maternity Unit, in the wastewater collected from the Child Health Unit, *Escherichia coli* was observed to have persisted, particularly, during Weeks 1 to 4 and 6 to 11 of the first days of sampling and Weeks 3 to 7 and 9 and 10 of the second days of sampling (Figure 2). Similarly, the occurrence of each of the

Table 2. Distribution of the predominant ESBL-producing bacteria in the hospital wastewater samples.

ORGANISM	TOTAL (%)	MATERNITY (%)	CHILD HEALTH (%)	P VALUE
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (n=90)	26 (28.9)	11 (42.3)	15 (57.7)	.27
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (n=33)	10 (30.3)	10 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	–
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (n=32)	6 (18.8)	3 (50.0)	3 (50.0)	–
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> (n=5)	2 (40.0)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	–
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i> (n=2)	2 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (100.0)	–

Table 3. Antimicrobial resistance patterns of the wastewater bacteria.

ANTIBIOTICSORGANISMS	RATES OF RESISTANCE (%)											
	SXT	GEN	AMK	AMP	AMC	CFU	CFTX	CFTZ	CFP	CIP	IMI	ERT
<i>Escherichia coli</i> (n=90)	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	26.7	28.9	28.9	28.9	28.9	5.6	0.0	0.0
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (n=33)	45.5	0.0	0.0	100.0	6.1	30.3	100.0	30.3	21.2	12.1	0.0	0.0
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> (n=32)	100.0	96.9	0.0	100.0	100.0	12.5	100.0	12.5	18.8	100.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Alcaligenes faecalis</i> (n=17)	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Pseudomonas mendocina</i> (n=16)	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	17.6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Abbreviations: AMC, Amoxicillin-clavulanate; AMK, Amikacin; AMP, Ampicillin; CFP, Cefepime; CFTX, Ceftriaxone; CFTZ, Ceftazidime; CFU, Cefuroxime; CIP, Ciprofloxacin; ERT, Ertapenem; GEN, Gentamicin; IMI, Imipenem; SXT, Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

other organisms was intermittent, with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* additionally occurring repeatedly during Weeks 2 to 4 of the second days of sampling.

Prevalence of multidrug resistance among the hospital wastewater isolates

The prevalence of multidrug resistance among the hospital wastewater isolates was 55.4% (n=163) [Maternity Unit = 53.4%, n=87; Child Health Unit=46.6%, n=76, $p=.22$]. Moreover, the prevalence of ESBL producers among the hospital wastewater isolates was 15.6% (n=46) [Maternity Unit=18.4%, n=26; Child Health Unit=13.1%, n=20, $p=.21$]. *E. coli* accounted for the highest proportion of ESBL-producing organisms (28.9%, n=26). The distribution of the predominant ESBL-producing bacteria is presented in Table 2.

Antimicrobial resistance among the hospital wastewater bacteria

All the *Escherichia coli* isolates recovered were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and ampicillin, but not gentamicin, amikacin, imipenem, and ertapenem, and the resistance rates recorded for amoxicillin-clavulanate, cefuroxime, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, and cefepime ranged between 24% and 30%. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* resistance rates against amoxicillin-clavulanate, cefuroxime, ceftazidime, and cefepime ranged between 10% and 67%. Also, *Citrobacter freundii* resistance

rates against cefuroxime, ceftazidime, and cefepime ranged between 20% and 30%. In addition, all the *Alcaligenes faecalis* isolates recovered were resistant to ampicillin and amoxicillin-clavulanate, but showed no resistance to the other antibiotics tested. Moreover, all the *Pseudomonas mendocina* isolates were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, ampicillin, ceftriaxone, ciprofloxacin, imipenem, and ertapenem. Details of the antimicrobial resistance patterns of the predominant bacteria are presented in Table 3.

Discussion

This study evaluated the occurrence of multidrug-resistant bacteria in hospital wastewater of the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital. One aspect of this study investigated the prevalence and types of bacteria isolated from the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital wastewater. The bacterial organisms present in the hospital wastewater sampled from both the Maternity and the Child Health Units comprised 23 different species of Gram negatives, with the predominant ones being *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Citrobacter freundii*, *Alcaligenes faecalis*, and *Pseudomonas mendocina*.

The range of bacteria recovered from the wastewater emanating from the 2 KBTH units could be a reflection of the bacterial infections managed at the units during the study period, and compares well with many of the hospital wastewater evaluation studies conducted previously. For instance, Daoud et al.³³ who conducted their study in a hospital in

Lebanon reported the range of organisms they found to be *E. coli*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, and *K. pneumoniae* (all of which were isolated in the current study), as well as *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Serratia odorifera* (which were not isolated in the current study). Le et al.⁴⁴ also reported *Acinetobacter junii*, *Comamonas testoteroni*, *Enterobacter* spp., and *Pseudomonas* spp. as the organisms they recovered from the wastewater of a hospital in Singapore. Although these 5 organisms were also isolated in the current study, none of the 18 other bacterial species isolated in the current study were recovered in the study of Le et al.⁴⁴ Similarly, Anssour et al.²⁹ recovered *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *Citrobacter freundii* (all of which were isolated in the current study), as well as *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Escherichia vulneris*, and *Citrobacter koseri/farmer* (which were not isolated in the current study) from the wastewater of an Algerian hospital. Likewise, Moges et al.²⁷ also recovered 13 different types of bacterial species—*E. coli*, *Enterobacter* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp., *Citrobacter* spp., *K. pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Klebsiella ozaenae*, *Shigella* spp., *S. aureus*, *Providencia* spp., *Edwardsiella* spp., *Serratia* spp., and *Morganella* spp., from the wastewater of Gondar University Hospital in Ethiopia. Only the first 5 of the bacteria listed, however, overlapped with those isolated from the current study. The findings of these studies, along with those of the current study, confirm that hospital wastewater is an important reservoir of a wide variety of potentially pathogenic bacteria. Accordingly, individuals who rely on water bodies contaminated with hospital wastewater for domestic use, without appropriate prior treatment, may put themselves at risk of a wide range of microbial infections.

The subtle disparities between the range of organisms reported in the current study and those of the other studies highlighted could be accounted for by differences in the bacterial identification methods employed. Most of these other studies used manual methods of bacterial identification, compared to the MALDI-TOF technique used in the current study which is more specific, and hence would identify a wider range of organisms following their isolation.⁴⁵ Also plausible is the potential role that differences in the content and brands of agar used may have played in the growth of the target bacteria.^{46–48}

Moreover, in the current study, 5 bacterial species dominated in both units, with *Escherichia coli* being the frequently isolated organism, at a prevalence of 30.6%, followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (11.2%), *Citrobacter freundii* (10.9%), *Alcaligenes faecalis* (5.8%), and *Pseudomonas mendocina* (5.4%). Similar to these findings, Daoud et al.,³³ in a study on Lebanese hospital wastewater, isolated *Escherichia coli* as the predominant organism (accounting for 56.3% of the isolated bacteria). Anssour et al.²⁹ likewise recovered *Escherichia coli* as the predominant bacteria, with a prevalence of 85%, alongside *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (6.7%) and *Citrobacter freundii* (3.3%) from hospital wastewater in Algeria. Again, Sakkas et al.¹³ also recorded *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* as the predominant bacteria with a prevalence of 34.1% each, followed

by *Pseudomonas* spp. (12.8%). Contrarily, Moges et al.²⁷ recorded *Klebsiella pneumoniae* as the frequently isolated organism accounting for 23.5%, followed by *Pseudomonas* spp. (16.8%), *Escherichia coli* (11.5%), and *Citrobacter* spp. (11.5%). Asfaw et al.,³⁰ in a study on antibiotic resistant bacteria in a North Ethiopia hospital wastewater, also isolated *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Citrobacter freundii*, with prevalence of 17.9%, 12.7%, and 6.7%, respectively.

One other key objective of this study was to determine the spatio-temporal distribution of the hospital wastewater-contained bacteria. This study is one of the few in sub-Saharan Africa to go beyond determining the prevalence of different bacteria in hospital wastewater to use a design that allows for gaining insights into the dynamics in bacterial composition of hospital wastewater effluents overtime. As observed, *Escherichia coli* persisted for several of the weeks in the wastewater from the 2 critical care units. Some of these *E. coli* isolates may not necessarily have originated from the critical care units studied, as it is conceivable that the wastewater system may host environmental *E. coli* contaminants. While it is difficult to explain the intermittent absence of *E. coli*, changes in environmental conditions within the wastewater system, the wastewater system configuration, such as biofilms, and other environmental factors may have played a role in the *E. coli* transiency. As *E. coli* is a known indicator of fecal contamination, its persistence during those periods could also have been influenced by shedding of the organism by patients and hospital staff alike into the lavatory wastewater of the 2 KBTH units during those periods.⁴⁹ Possibly, too, it could reflect a prevalence of *E. coli*-associated diarrhea, *E. coli* UTI, some other *E. coli* infections like neonatal meningitis, cholangitis, or pneumonia, or a mixture thereof, during those weeks at the units studied. In like manner, the sporadic occurrence of the other organisms could be a reflection of sporadic cases of infection involving those bacteria at the units during the specified periods. The absence of a distinction between facultative and obligate pathogenic *E. coli*, however, minimizes the conclusiveness of this infection hypothesis. Future studies on microbiological evaluation of hospital wastewater could include an investigation of cases managed at the units of the hospitals from which the effluents emanate to add to the robustness of the data generated.

This study additionally investigated the prevalence of multidrug resistance among the hospital wastewater isolates that were recovered. The prevalence of multidrug resistance among the hospital wastewater isolates was 55.4%, and that of ESBL producers was 15.6%, with the organisms generally demonstrating high resistance rates. The high antibiotic resistance rates, including multidrug resistance, is consistent with the high rates reported by various studies among clinical isolates emanating from the study hospital.^{16,18,23,24,50–52} Several studies have also reported high prevalence of multidrug resistant bacteria in hospital wastewater. For example, Voigt et al.⁵³ found between 85.7% and 96.4% of hospital

wastewater they sampled from different sites to contain ESBL *E. coli*, *Citrobacter* spp., *Enterobacter* spp., and *Klebsiella* spp. That in the current study, organisms with the identified resistance traits were recovered from the wastewater effluent of a leading referral hospital in the country is worrying, as the wastewater effluents could potentially end up in water bodies used in community settings. Previous studies have demonstrated a high potential for transmission of multidrug resistant organisms from such contaminated water bodies to humans, such as those involving IMI2-producing *Enterobacteriales* and KPC3-producing *K. pneumoniae* in south of France and Frankfurt, Germany, respectively.^{54,55} Consequently, the pool of hospital wastewater evaluated in this study, and by extension, those generated in the hospital, could serve as a reservoir for dissemination of drug-resistant infections. In fact, previous studies in the country have demonstrated that fomites, as well as cockroaches, are important in harboring and disseminating multidrug resistant organisms and drug resistance determinants.^{18,56,57}

The public health concerns raised by the findings of the current study may be accentuated by the fact that besides potentially providing a snapshot of the nature of the wastewater generated by other hospitals in the country, the hospital studied is a major referral healthcare facility, and by virtue of this status, may have more robust waste management strategies than would lower-tier hospitals in the country. It is also possible that the findings of the current study may be a reflection of the complexity of cases handled at the hospital, and not necessarily be a general reflection of the wastewater of the entire healthcare system of the country. To clarify this hypothesis and adequately quantify the aforementioned public health threat, it would be necessary to replicate this study at other hospitals in the country which belong to other tiers of healthcare classification, as well as in community settings. As an additional public health measure to safeguard antimicrobials, it is important that hospitals in the country routinely monitor the microbiological safety of their wastewater effluents and disinfect them. These monitorings could encompass evaluation of prevalence and types of antibiotic residues. Moreover, as noted earlier, the high rates of resistance recorded highlight the need to intensify antimicrobial stewardship efforts to help tackle the antimicrobial resistance menace efficiently.

One limitation this study has is that it was conducted in only one hospital, and focused on only 2 units of the hospital, and hence the results may not be representative of all the departments in the hospital or all the hospitals in the country. A study of the presence of antibiotic residue in the waste water and the pattern of microbial resistance in human specimens from these units would have provided further insights. Also, the source of contamination could have been exogenous.

Conclusion

The wastewater generated by the Maternity and Child Health Units of KBTH harbored a wide range of multidrug resistant

bacteria, with a good proportion of these being ESBL producers, and the predominant and persistent one being *E. coli*. The study, thus, identifies the wastewater of KBTH as an important source of multidrug resistant organisms, and underscores the significance of appropriate treatment of wastewater of the hospital and other clinical and related settings prior to its discharge.

Findings from this study, particularly, the high prevalence of multidrug resistance, suggest that studies of this nature should be done routinely and expanded to other hospitals in the country. Also, education on the local treatment of water like boiling before usage is important, as this is likely to reduce the adverse effects these contaminated treated effluents have on inhabitants in the environment. Extensive research is to be conducted to identify efficient and cost-effective ways to remove antibiotic resistant organisms from hospital effluents. Again, hospitals should be built to include waste treatment plants, and the effectiveness and functioning of these plants should be regulated by the authorized bodies. In fact, it is recommended that wastewater from hospital settings is pre-treated, even prior to arrival in local treatment plants.⁵⁸

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: ESD, FCNK, and NTKDD. Design: FCNK, ESD, EMAT, and NTKDD. Sampling: DSA-N and M-MO. Validation: NTKDD, FCNK, ESD, M-MO, and PD. Data curation: FCNK, DSA-N, and NTKDD. Formal analysis: FCNK, NTKDD, EMAT, and DSA-N. Investigation: DSA-N, M-MO, FCNK, NTKDD, and ESD. Resources: NTKDD, ESD, FCNK, DSA-N, PD, and M-MO. Visualization: FCNK, DSA-N, EMAT, and ESD. Software: FCNK and DSA-N. Supervision: NTKDD, ESD, and PD. Project administration: NTKDD, ESD, DSA-N, and FCNK. Writing—original draft preparation: FCNK, DSA-N, NTKDD, EMAT, and ESD. Writing—review and editing: FCNK, NTKDD, DSA-N, PD, EMAT, M-MO, and ESD. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

ORCID iDs

Fleischer CN Kotey  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0286-3638>

Nicholas TKD Dayie  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4491-6902>

Eric S Donkor  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5179-546X>

REFERENCES

1. Antimicrobial resistance: a top ten global public health threat (2021). *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021;41:101221. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.101221
2. Klevens R, Morrison M, Fridkin S, et al. Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and healthcare risk factors. *JAMA*. 2006;12:1991-1993.
3. de Kraker MEA, Wolkewitz M, Davey PG, Grundmann H; BURDEN Study-Group. Clinical impact of antimicrobial resistance in european hospitals: excess mortality and length of hospital stay related to methicillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011;55:1598-1605.

4. Ledingham K, Hinchliffe S, Jackson M, Thomas F, Tomson G. *Antibiotic Resistance: Using a Cultural Contexts of Health Approach to Address a Global Health Crisis*. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2019.
5. Murray CJL, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Aguilar GR, Gray A, et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. *Lancet*. 2022;399:629-655.
6. Wang Q, Wang P, Yang Q. Occurrence and diversity of antibiotic resistance in untreated hospital wastewater. *Sci Total Environ*. 2018;621:990-999.
7. Khan AH, Khan NA, Ahmed S, et al. Application of advanced oxidation processes followed by different treatment technologies for hospital wastewater treatment. *J Clean Prod*. 2020;269:122411.
8. Hassoun-Kheir N, Stabholz Y, Kreft J-U, et al. Comparison of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes abundance in hospital and community wastewater: a systematic review. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;743:140804.
9. Korichi W, Ibrahim M, Loqman S, Ouhdouch Y, Younes K, Lemée L. Assessment of actinobacteria use in the elimination of multidrug-resistant bacteria of ibn tofail hospital wastewater (Marrakesh, Morocco): a chemometric data analysis approach. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 2021;28:26840-26848.
10. Diwan V, Tamhankar AJ, Khandal RK, et al. *Antibiotics and Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria in Waters Associated With a Hospital in Ujjain*. BMC Public Health. 2010;10:414.
11. Hocquet D, Muller A, Bertrand X. What happens in hospitals does not stay in hospitals: antibiotic-resistant bacteria in hospital wastewater systems. *J Hosp Infect*. 2016;93:395-402.
12. Maheshwari M, Yaser NH, Naz S, Fatima M, Ahmad I. Emergence of ciprofloxacin-resistant extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing enteric bacteria in hospital wastewater and clinical sources. *J Glob Antimicrob Resistance*. 2016;5:22-25.
13. Sakkas H, Bozidis P, Ilia A, Mpekoulis G, Papadopoulou C. Antimicrobial resistance in bacterial pathogens and detection of carbapenemases in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from hospital wastewater. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2019;8(3):85.
14. King TLB, Schmidt S, Essack SY. Antibiotic resistant *Klebsiella spp.* from a hospital, hospital effluents and wastewater treatment plants in the uMgungundlovu District, kwazulu-natal, South Africa. *Sci Total Environ*. 2020;712:135550.
15. Adzitey F. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella spp.* in beef samples sold at Tamale Metropolis, Ghana. *Int J Meat Sci*. 2014;5:8-13.
16. Obeng-Nkrumah N, Labi AK, Acquah ME, Donkor ES. Bloodstream infections in patients with malignancies: implications for antibiotic treatment in a Ghanaian tertiary setting. *BMC Research Notes*. 2015;8:742.
17. Tette EM, Neizer M, Nyarko MY, Sifah EK, Nartey ET, Donkor ES. Changing patterns of disease and mortality at the children's hospital, Accra: are infections rising? *PLoS One*. 2016;11:e0150387.
18. Donkor ES, Jamroz D, Mills RO, et al. A genomic infection control study for *Staphylococcus aureus* in two Ghanaian hospitals. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2018;11:1757-1765.
19. Eibach D, Dekker D, Gyau Boahen K, et al. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in local and imported poultry meat in Ghana. *Vet Microbiol*. 2018;217:7-12.
20. Donkor ES, Kotey FCN, Dayie NTKD, et al. Colonization of HIV-infected children with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Pathogens*. 2019;8:35.
21. Appiah VA, Pesewu GA, Kotey FCN, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal colonization among children with sickle cell disease at the Children's Hospital, Accra: Prevalence, risk factors, and antibiotic resistance. *Pathogens*. 2020;9:329.
22. Dsani E, Afari EA, Danso-Appiah A, Kenu E, Kaburi BB, Egyir B. Antimicrobial resistance and molecular detection of extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from raw meat in Greater Accra Region, Ghana. *BMC Microbiol*. 2020;20:253-258.
23. Anafu RB, Atiase Y, Dayie NTKD, et al. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infection of diabetic foot ulcers at a tertiary care hospital in Accra, Ghana. *Pathogens*. 2021;10:937.
24. Anafu RB, Atiase Y, Kotey FCN, et al. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) nasal carriage among patients with diabetes at the Korle Bu Teaching Hospital. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0257004.
25. Dayie NTKD, Sekoh DNK, Kotey FCN, et al. Nasopharyngeal carriage of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) among sickle cell disease (SCD) children in the pneumococcal conjugate vaccine era. *Infect Dis Rep*. 2021;13:191-204.
26. Dayie NTKD, Osei M-M, Opintan JA, et al. Nasopharyngeal carriage and antimicrobial susceptibility profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* among children under five years in Accra. *Pathogens*. 2021;10:136.
27. Moges F, Endris M, Belyhun Y, Worku W. Isolation and characterization of multiple drug resistance bacterial pathogens from waste water in hospital and non-hospital environments, Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Research Notes*. 2014;7:215-217.
28. Fekadu S, Merid Y, Beyene H, Teshome W, Gebre-Selassie S. Assessment of antibiotic- and disinfectant-resistant bacteria in hospital wastewater, South Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2015;9:149-156.
29. Anssour L, Messai Y, Estepa V, Torres C, Bakour R. Characteristics of ciprofloxacin-resistant enterobacteriaceae isolates recovered from wastewater of an Algerian hospital. *J Infect Dev Ctries*. 2016;10:728-734.
30. Asfaw T, Negash L, Kahsay A, Weldu Y. Antibiotic resistant bacteria from treated and untreated hospital wastewater at Ayder Referral Hospital, Mekelle, North Ethiopia. *Adv Microbiol*. 2017;07:871-886.
31. Tesfaye H, Alemayehu H, Desta AF, Eguale T. Antimicrobial susceptibility profile of selected Enterobacteriaceae in wastewater samples from health facilities, abattoir, downstream rivers and a WWTP in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control*. 2019;8:1-11.
32. Huijbers PMC, Flach CF, Larsson DGJ. A conceptual framework for the environmental surveillance of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance. *Environ Int*. 2019;130:104880.
33. Daoud Z, Farah J, Salem ES, Kfoury KE, Dahdouh E. *Lebanese Hospital Wastewater*. Microb Drug Resist. 2017; 24(2):166-174.
34. Caplin JL, Hanlon GW, Taylor HD. Presence of vancomycin and ampicillin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* of epidemic clonal complex-17 in wastewaters from the south coast of England. *Environ Microbiol*. 2008;10:885-892.
35. Korzeniewska E, Korzeniewska A, Harnisz M. Antibiotic resistant *Escherichia coli* in hospital and municipal sewage and their emission to the environment. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*. 2013;91:96-102.
36. Zhang C, Qiu S, Wang Y, et al. Higher isolation of NDM-1 producing *Acinetobacter baumannii* from the sewage of the hospitals in Beijing. *PLoS One*. 2014;8:e64857.
37. Blaak H, Lynch G, Italiaander R, Hamidjaja RA, Schets FM, de Roda Husman AM. Multidrug-resistant and extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in Dutch surface water and wastewater. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0127752.
38. Kwak YK, Colque P, Byfors S, Giske CG, Möllby R, Kühn I. Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance among *Escherichia coli* in wastewater in Stockholm during 1 year: does it reflect the resistance trends in the society? *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2015;45:25-32.
39. Li J, Cheng W, Xu L, Strong PJ, Chen H. Antibiotic-resistant genes and antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the effluent of urban residential areas, hospitals, and a municipal wastewater treatment plant system. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 2015;22:4587-4596.
40. Buelow E, Bayjanov JR, Majoor E, et al. Limited influence of hospital wastewater on the microbiome and resistome of wastewater in a community sewerage system. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2018;94:fyy087.
41. Müller H, Sib E, Gajdiss M, et al. Dissemination of multi-resistant Gram-negative bacteria into German wastewater and surface waters. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2018;94:1-11.
42. Aarestrup FM, Woolhouse MEJ. Using sewage for surveillance of antimicrobial resistance. *Science*. 2020;367:630-632.
43. CLSI. *M100 Performance Standard for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*. 31st ed. CLSI; 2021.
44. Le TH, Ng C, Chen H, et al. Occurrences and characterization of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and genetic determinants of hospital wastewater in a tropical country. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2016;60:7449-7456.
45. Croxatto A, Prod'homme G, Greub G. Applications of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry in clinical diagnostic microbiology. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 2012;36:380-407.
46. Stecchini ML, Del Torre M, Donda S, Maltini E, Pacor S. Influence of agar content on the growth parameters of bacillus cereus. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2001;64:81-88.
47. Donkor E, Nortey T, Opintan J, Dayie N, Akyeh M. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella typhi* and *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates and the effect of some media on susceptibility testing results. *Internet J Microbiol*. 2008;4(2):1-6.
48. Costa OYA, Kuramae EE. The influence of agar brands and micronutrients in the growth optimization of granulicella sp. (Acidobacteriota). *J Microbiol Methods*. 2021;181:106148.
49. Croxen MA, Law RJ, Scholz R, Keeney KM, Wlodarska M, Finlay BB. Recent advances in understanding enteric pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2013;26:822-880.
50. Labi AK, Obeng-Nkrumah N, Addison NO, Donkor ES. *Salmonella* blood stream infections in a tertiary care setting in Ghana. *BMC Infectious Diseases*. 2014;14:697.
51. Newman MJ, Frimpong E, Donkor ES, Opintan JA, Asamoah-Adu A. Resistance to antimicrobial drugs in Ghana. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2011;4:215-220.
52. Opintan JA, Newman MJ, Arhin RE, Donkor ES, Gyansa-Lutterodt M, Mills-Pappoe W. Laboratory-based nationwide surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Ghana. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2015;8:379-389.
53. Voigt AM, Zacharias N, Timm C, et al. Association between antibiotic residues, antibiotic resistant bacteria and antibiotic resistance genes in anthropogenic wastewater - an evaluation of clinical influences. *Chemosphere*. 2020;241:125032.

54. Heudorf U, Kempf V, Reinheimer C, et al. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* KPC-3 Ausbruch am Universitätsklinikum Frankfurt am Main – teil II: weitere umwelthygienische Erkenntnisse. *Das Gesundheitswesen*. 2018;80:383.
55. Laurens C, Jean-Pierre H, Licznar-Fajardo P, et al. Transmission of IMI-2 carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* from river water to human. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist*. 2018;15:88-92.
56. Donkor ES. Nosocomial pathogens: An in-depth analysis of the vectorial potential of cockroaches. *Trop Med Infect Dis*. 2019;4:E14.
57. Obeng-Nkrumah N, Labi AK, Blankson H, et al. Household cockroaches carry CTX-M-15-, OXA-48- and NDM-1-producing enterobacteria, and share beta-lactam resistance determinants with humans. *BMC Microbiol*. 2019; 19:272.
58. Paulus GK, Hornstra LM, Alygizakis N, Slobodnik J, Thomaidis N, Medema G. The impact of on-site hospital wastewater treatment on the downstream communal wastewater system in terms of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2019;222:635-644.