BioOne COMPLETE

Nationwide Maps of Atmospheric Deposition Are Highly Skewed When Based Solely on Wet Deposition

Authors: Fenn, Mark E., Bytnerowicz, Andrzej, and Liptzin, Daniel

Source: BioScience, 62(7) : 621

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.7.18

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/BioScience on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

Nationwide Maps of Atmospheric Deposition Are Highly Skewed When Based Solely on Wet Deposition

We appreciate the value of the recent article by Brown and Froemke (2012) in providing an updated assessment of nonpoint-source threats to water quality in the United States. However, we highlight some concerns with the measure chosen to quantify atmospheric deposition in the United States. Our primary concern is that the selective use of only atmospheric wet deposition of nitrate plus sulfate as the chosen index of the level of atmospheric deposition perpetuates a long-standing impression that atmospheric deposition is low and of minimal environmental and ecological importance throughout the western United States. Brown and Froemke's figure 2i shows varying levels of elevated deposition in the eastern half of the country, with no areas showing elevated deposition in the West.

The source of the deposition data in Brown and Froemke's article is the National Atmospheric Deposition Program National Trends Network (NADP/NTN), which measures atmospheric wet deposition. The long-term data from the NADP have proven invaluable in advancing our understanding of deposition effects and temporal deposition trends in the United States. However, as in the Brown and Froemke article, NADP data have been frequently used as the sole measure of atmospheric deposition without acknowledging the limitations of measuring only wet deposition. Actual air pollution inputs can be dramatically underestimated when dry deposition is ignored, particularly in areas with semiarid or arid climates.

Dry deposition and deposition in fog or cloudwater—both of which can be major atmospheric deposition inputs—are not measured by the NADP/NTN. The Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet) is a dry deposition network, with monitoring sites collocated at a subset of the NADP/NTN sites. However, there are insufficient data points in the CASTNet network to create dry deposition maps. Dry deposition fluxes of nitrogen (N) and other pollutants are important in all regions of the United States but can constitute as much as 85 percent or more of the N deposition inputs in arid regions.

Brown and Froemke considered both the acidification and nutrient effects of atmospheric deposition on water quality. The authors mentioned the impressive reductions in sulfate deposition in the eastern United States in past decades. The deposition of nitrate is also decreasing in some areas. However, in the United States, ammonium is becoming an increasingly larger fraction of total atmospheric N deposition, with increasing ammonium deposition trends in many areas (Lehman et al. 2005). However, Brown and Froemke did not include ammonium in their atmospheric deposition metric.

Wet plus dry deposition of both reduced (i.e., ammonia, ammonium) and oxidized (i.e., nitric acid vapor, various nitrogen oxides, nitrate) N forms should be included in any assessment of N deposition effects on water quality, either as a nutrient effect or when considering acidification effects. However, it does not seem appropriate to include sulfate deposition when evaluating nutrient effects on water quality.

We argue that N deposition is not well represented unless dry deposition of N in its various gaseous and particulate forms, including reduced forms of N (e.g., ammonium and ammonia), and, in some cases, cloudwater deposition are also included. Otherwise, a skewed N deposition map will result. An example of a more appropriate portrayal of N deposition in the United States is the total (wet + dry) N deposition map recently published in *BioScience* (Baron et al. 2011, figure 3c).

Finally, the use of the sum of nitrate and sulfate in wet deposition expressed on a mass basis (kilograms per hectare) as a measure of deposition inputs (Brown and Froemke 2012) is also highly unconventional. Each of these ions has a unique molecular weight and on a chemical basis are not appropriately summed unless they are first converted to moles or equivalents (the latter is more appropriate when considering acidification effects; e.g., equivalents per hectare per year).

MARK E. FENN, ANDRZEJ BYTNEROWICZ, AND DANIEL LIPTZIN

Mark E. Fenn (mfenn@fs.fed.us) and Andrzej Bytnerowicz are affiliated with the US Department of Agriculture Forest Service's Pacific Southwest Research Station, in Riverside, California, and Daniel Liptzin is affiliated with the Department of Natural Resources and the Environment at the University of New Hampshire, in Durham.

References cited

Baron JS, Driscoll CT, Stoddard JL, Richer EE. 2011. Empirical critical loads of atmospheric nitrogen deposition for nutrient enrichment and acidification of sensitive US Lakes. BioScience 61: 602–613.

Brown TC, Froemke P. 2012. Nationwide assessment of nonpoint source threats to water quality. BioScience 62: 136–146.

Lehmann CMB, Bowersox VC, Larson SM. 2005. Spatial and temporal trends of precipitation chemistry in the United States, 1985–2002. Environmental Pollution 135: 347–361.

doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.7.18

Improved Measures of Atmospheric Deposition Have a Negligible Effect on Multivariate Measures of Risk of Water-Quality Impairment: Response from Brown and Froemke

In their comment on our article on nonpoint-source pollution threats to water quality (Brown and Froemke 2012), Fenn and colleagues pointed out that we used a simplified measure of atmospheric deposition as one of our nine water-quality stressors. Our atmospheric stressor ignored dry nitrogen (N) deposition and the contribution of ammonium to total N. Furthermore, we used a simplified approach for combining nitrate and sulfate to capture acidic deposition.

As we stated in our article, we avoided the complications of computing more refined measures of our stressors because prior research had shown that

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/BioScience on 28 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use