

Why Not Consider the Commercialization of Deer Harvests?

Author: THOGMARTIN, WAYNE

Source: BioScience, 56(12): 957

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2006)56[957:WNCTCO]2.0.CO;2

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Why Not Consider the Commercialization of Deer Harvests?

Overabundance of white-tailed deer in eastern North America is as much, or more, of a conservation issue today than was the near absence of the deer in the same landscape only 70 years earlier. As Sharon Levy's (2006) review of the problem in BioScience suggests, overabundance of deer has multifarious and pernicious ecological ramifications. Changes occurring in the landscape because of deer have become the "new normal" for exurban landowners and recreational users of the outdoors. Many people can no longer conceive of a landscape unaltered by an overabundance of deer; they have no experience with it.

There is a solution to this problem of overabundant deer, one that wildlife managers are reluctant to consider: namely, a commercialized deer harvest. The problems associated with instituting a commercial harvest of deer are many, but none of them is ecological. The foremost difficulty is that commercialization would be competitive with two long-standing constituencies, deer hunters and deer farmers.

An example of how a commercialized deer harvest might be implemented is that of the salmon harvest in the northwestern United States. Constituencies associated with this harvest, which are arguably more diverse than those associated with the harvest of deer, include recreational anglers, nearshore commercial gillnetters, and pelagic trawlers, each further divided by national, tribal, provincial, and state jurisdiction. A further layer of complexity is the coincidence of wild and pen-reared salmon. Interactions among these constituencies are governed by a complex set of laws and policies. Such complexities should not be used to justify the continued absence of this additional tool for removing deer from the landscape.

If this example of salmon harvest is not convincing, then consider the commercialized harvest of seven taxa of deer in New Zealand. Nugent and Choquenot (2004, p. 482) suggested that "deer populations are now often held well below...ecological carrying capacity." The

Letters to the Editor

BioScience 1444 I Street, NW, Suite 200 Washington, DC 20005 E-mail: bioscience@aibs.org The staff of *BioScience* reserves the right to edit letters for clarity without notifying the author. Letters are published as space becomes available. New Zealand example also shows that there is substantial demand for wild venison.

The biggest stumbling block to the consideration of commercial harvesting is not ecological. It is the human perspective. As Levy (2006) correctly describes, this problem of overabundant deer cannot be solved until this perspective is addressed. In addressing these political problems, consideration should be given to commercial harvest.

WAYNE THOGMARTIN

Wayne Thogmartin (e-mail: wthogma1000@yahoo.com) is a quantitative ecologist at a North American governmental agency. The substance of this letter was written outside of the correspondent's duties with his bureau and in no way reflects governmental policy or perspective.

References cited

Levy S. 2006. A plague of deer. BioScience 56: 718–721.

Nugent G, Choquenot D. 2004. Comparing costeffectiveness of commercial harvesting, statefunded culling, and recreational deer hunting in New Zealand. Wildlife Society Bulletin 32: 481–492.

 ΦΣ Phi Sigma ΦΣ
The Academic Honor Society for the Biological Sciences
established in 1915 for the recognition of academic excellence and research in the biological sciences
member of the American Institute of Biological Sciences (AIBS)
member of the Association of College Honor Societies (ACHS)
affiliate of the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)
For more information, contact: Henry R. Owen, Ph.D. President of Phi Sigma Honor Society Department of Biological Sciences Eastern Illinois University Charleston, IL 61920 USA
or visit our web site at: www.phisigmasociety.org

www.biosciencemag.org