

WHITE-TAILED KITE (ELANUS LEUCURUS) DIET IN THE SOUTHERN ARGENTINE PAMPAS: IMPROPER DOCUMENTATION OF PREVIOUSLY-PUBLISHED DATA

Author: Hernán Sarasola, José

Source: Journal of Raptor Research, 40(2): 178

Published By: Raptor Research Foundation

URL: https://doi.org/10.3356/0892-1016(2006)40[178:WKELDI]2.0.CO;2

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

J. Raptor Res. 40(2):178 © 2006 The Raptor Research Foundation, Inc.

WHITE-TAILED KITE (*ELANUS LEUCURUS*) DIET IN THE SOUTHERN ARGENTINE PAMPAS: IMPROPER DOCUMENTATION OF PREVIOUSLY-PUBLISHED DATA

In Argentina, no dietary studies had been conducted on White-tailed Kites (*Elanus leucurus*) until Leveau et al. (2002, *Ornitol. Neotrop.* 13:307–311). In a recent issue of the *Journal*, Leveau et al. (2004, *J. Raptor Res.* 38:178–181) published a second report on the diet of White-tailed Kite for Argentina, a comparison of prey with that of the Barn Owl (*Tyto alba*).

I compared the dietary samples contained in both papers and determined that some of the data in the *Journal of Raptor Research* article were previously published by the authors. Both studies were conducted in the same area of Argentina (Villa Cacique, Buenos Aires Province, 37°40′S, 59°23′W), the dates for sample collection were similar (August to November of 1998 and August to December 1998 for Leveau et al. 2002 and 2004, respectively) and the sample sizes were the same (77 pellets). The authors did not acknowledge that the diet data used in the second paper (Leveau et al. 2004) were the same as previously published (Leveau et al. 2002).

Because the second paper included a comparison of White-tailed Kite diet to an owl diet, the authors may have considered the second paper as a different analysis of previously-collected and published data, a legitimate research approach, as data on food habits of the two species came from the same habitat and locality. The use of previously-published data may be necessary when reviewing geographical patterns of food habits or studying bird assemblages in a given area. Bibliographic sources consulted or information reproduced should be adequately acknowledged independent of the authorship of the previous studies. Readers of both journals, as well as researchers reviewing existing literature on the food habits of White-tailed Kites, must then be cautioned to consider the information from only one of these papers as representative of the diet of White-tailed Kites for the habitat described by the authors of these reports.

I want to thank Juan José Negro, Michael Goldstein, Kim Titus, and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments that greatly improved this manuscript.—José Hernán Sarasola, (e-mail address: sarasola@ebd.csic.es), Estación Biológica de Doñana-CSIC, Department of Applied Biology, Avda. de María Luisa s/n, Pabellión del Perú, 41013 Sevilla, España.

Received 22 November 2004; accepted 9 December 2005 Associate Editor: Michael I. Goldstein