

Exon-Primed Intron-Crossing (EPIC) Markers for Evolutionary Studies of Ficus and Other Taxa in the Fig Family (Moraceae)

Authors: Yao, Xiaohong, Li, Chenhong, and Dick, Christopher W.

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 1(10)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1300037

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



PRIMER NOTE

Exon-primed intron-crossing (EPIC) markers for evolutionary studies of Ficus and other taxa in the fig family (Moraceae)¹

XIAOHONG YAO^{2,3}, CHENHONG LI⁴, AND CHRISTOPHER W. DICK^{3,5,6}

²Key Laboratory of Plant Germplasm Enhancement and Specialty Agriculture, Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, People's Republic of China; ³Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1048 USA; ⁴Key Laboratory of Exploration and Utilization of Aquatic Genetic Resources, Shanghai Ocean University, Ministry of Education, Shanghai, People's Republic of China; and ⁵Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, P.O. Box 0843-03092, Balboa, Ancón, Republic of Panama

- Premise of the study: The genus Ficus (fig trees) comprises ca. 750 species of trees, vines, and stranglers found in tropical
 forests throughout the world. Fig trees are keystone species in many tropical forests, and their relationship with host-specific
 wasp pollinators has received much attention, although many questions remain unresolved regarding the levels of host specificity, cospeciation, and the role of hybridization in fig and wasp speciation. We developed exon-primed intron-crossing (EPIC)
 markers to obtain phylogenetic resolution needed to address these questions.
- *Methods and Results:* Expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from *F. elastica* were compared to *Arabidopsis* and *Populus* genomes to locate introns and to design primers in flanking exons. Primer pairs for 80 EPIC markers were tested in samples from divergent clades within *Ficus* and the outgroup *Poulsenia* (Moraceae).
- Conclusions: Thirty-one EPIC markers were successfully sequenced across Ficus, and 29 of the markers also amplified in
 Poulsenia, indicating broad transferability within Moraceae. All of the EPIC markers were polymorphic and showed levels of
 polymorphism similar to that of the widely used internal transcribed spacer (ITS).

Key words: exons; *Ficus*; Moraceae; nuclear DNA markers; phylogeny; transcriptome.

Ficus L. (Moraceae) is a pantropical genus comprised of ca. 750 species of trees, epiphytes, shrubs, vines, and stranglers found primarily in humid tropical forests. As a year-round source of calcium-rich fig fruits, Ficus trees are often described as keystone species. However, Ficus may be best known for their pollination mutualism with small (1–2 mm), short-lived (1–2 d) "fig wasps" in the family Agaonidae (Weiblen, 2002; Herre et al., 2008). Female fig wasps pollinate flowers and oviposit within the enclosed inflorescence (syconium or "fig"), in which the larvae develop before emerging to pollinate and oviposit in the syconia of asynchronously flowering conspecific trees. For sustained reproduction of the figs and the wasps, the wasps must exhibit a high degree of host-specificity, and the host population must provide access to flowers (i.e., figs) throughout the year.

Although the fig-wasp pollination mutualism is one of the tightest known in terms of host-pollinator specificity, there are many exceptions to the one pollinator species/one host species rule. In some cases, two or more wasp species pollinate the

¹Manuscript received 12 May 2013; revision accepted 17 June 2013.

Financial support was received from the National Science Foundation (DEB 0640379 to C.W.D.). The authors thank Allen Herre, Adalberto Gomez, and Katrin Heer for help in locating Panamanian *Ficus*, and the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute and Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente (ANAM) for facilitating research and providing research permits for work in Panama.

⁶Author for correspondence: cwdick@umich.edu

doi:10.3732/apps.1300037

same host species in different parts of its geographic range, and multiple wasp species have been found in a single host tree (Herre et al., 2008). Furthermore, in Central America and in South Africa some wasp species have been shown to use more than one fig species in the local fig community (reviewed in Herre et al., 2008). The nonspecificity of some pollinators, in addition to some genetic studies (e.g., Machado et al., 2005), suggests that hybridization is possible.

Most phylogenetic studies of *Ficus* have used chloroplast DNA and/or one or two commonly used nuclear DNA markers (e.g., internal transcribed spacer [ITS]) (e.g., Rønsted et al., 2005). These markers are insufficient in number for studies of introgression, and they do not resolve phylogenies of closely related species or phylogeographic structure in widespread species (C. Dick, unpublished). To address the deficiency in nuclear genomic markers for *Ficus*, we have developed a set of exonprimed intron-crossing (EPIC) markers by comparing an expressed sequence tag (EST)–library for *F. elastica* Roxb. ex Hornem. with the annotated genomes of *Populus trichocarpa* Torr. & A. Gray (Salicaceae) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. (Brassicaceae) using a bioinformatics pipeline developed by Li et al. (2010).

METHODS AND RESULTS

Selection of taxa—Neotropical Ficus contains two distinct and phylogenetically distant subgenera, which represent two important neotropical life forms: the free-standing fig trees (subg. Pharmacosycea (Miq.) Miq. sect. Pharmacosycea)

Applications in Plant Sciences 2013 1(10): 1300037; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2013 Yao et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

TABLE 1. Characterization of 31 EPIC markers designed to amplify broadly across the genus Ficus.^a

Locusb	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Total/intron length (bp) (+range)	No. of polymorphic sites	Nucleotide diversity	GenBank accession no.	Reference locus ^c	Gene abbreviation ^d
FA08190	٠	484/435 (+2)	24	0.05053	JQ341915	AT1G08190	ATVAM2
FA02580	R: TTTAGAGCATCGGTCATGGA F: CTAGATCTTGCACAGCAG	487/381 (+2)	22	0.04593	JQ341916 JQ341917	AT4G02580	T10P11_14
		740/581 (+2)	43	0.05866	JQ341918 JQ341919	AT3G03310	
			j		JQ341920		
FA07360a	F: GCTGATAAAATGGTTGCTGCTGR: CCCCTTGATCTTCCCCATTACT	540/287	29	0.05410	JQ341921 JO341922	AT2G07360	T13E11.13
FA08510		893/741	51	0.05724	JQ341923 JQ341923	AT1G08510	FATB
FA11980		851/734 (+4)	35	0.04142	JQ341925 JQ341925	AT5G11980	F14F18_150
FA14000		443/278 (+7)	23	0.05349	JQ341926 JQ341927	AT1G14000	F7A19_9
FA16180b		417/281 (+3)	21	0.05147	JQ341928 JQ341929	AT4G16180	DL4130C
FA16690b		964/674 (+3)	40	0.04171	JQ341930 JQ341931	AT5G16690	ATORC3
FA19690*		386/258 (+2)	12	0.03158	JQ341932 JQ341933	AT5G19690	STT3A
FA23640*		1032/821 (+1)	55	0.05478	JQ341935 JQ341935	AT3G23640	HGL1
FA24620a		513/323	20	0.04219	JQ341936 JQ341937	AT4G24620	PGI1
FA24620b		980/827 (+4)	50	0.05149	JQ341938 JQ341939	AT4G24620	PGI1
FA26990		476/246	13	0.02760	JQ341940 JQ341941	AT2G26990	FUS12
FA32180	R: CATCAGAGCCATCTTCCTTTTG F: TGCTCGAACTAAGGGAAGAATG	741/628 (+13)	38	0.05163	JQ341942 JQ341943	AT4G32180	ATPANK2
FA32910		455/284 (+2)	12	0.02655	JQ341944 JQ341945	AT4G32910	F26P21_30
FA36880b		1044/896 (+6)	41	0.03958	JQ341946 JQ341947	AT5G36880	F5H8_15
FA45300		890/684	41	0.04622	JQ341948 JQ341949	AT3G45300	ATIVD
FA48520*		1059/890 (+4)	71	0.07305	JQ341950 JQ341951	AT5G48520	ATAUG3
FA73180		470/235	18	0.03863	JQ341952 JQ341953 TQ341054	AT1G73180	T18K17_15
FP04090b		438/275 (+10)	15	0.03529	JQ341954 JQ341955 JQ341056	POPTR_0006s00800	CYP97B3
FP08470		550/404 (+7)	25	0.04630	JQ341956 JQ341957 TQ341058	POPTR_0017s08470	BGAL9
FP08550		741/451 (+5)	36	0.05233	JQ341958 JQ341959 JQ341060	POPTR_0006s08550	F6E21_100
FP09670		642/509	32	0.05016	JQ341900 JQ341961 JQ341063	POPTR_0001s09670	XPB1
FP10430		1021/658 (+161)	44	0.05176	JQ341962 JQ341963 JQ341064	POPTR_0009s10430	FUT11
FP10550	R: CAGCCCAGGAAAAGIAICCA F: GGTGAAGGTGCAGTTGATCAGT R: GCTTGACAGCCTCTTCATCAGT	473/325 (+1)	24	0.05172	JQ341965 JQ341966 JQ341966	POPTR_0008s10550	ALDH22a1

TABLE 1. Continued.	Continued.						
		Total/intron length	Total/intron length No. of polymorphic				
Locusb	Primer sequences $(5'-3')$	(bp) (+range)	sites	Nucleotide diversity	Nucleotide diversity GenBank accession no.	Reference locus ^c	Gene abbreviation ^d
FP11540b		661/496 (+4)	28	0.04328	JQ341967	POPTR_0017s11540	MZN14.21
	R: AGCATGTGCTTGTACTCATCAAC				JQ341968		
FP12610a	F: GGATGCACTGGTTATGGTCA	362/238	14	0.03889	JQ341969	POPTR_0011s12610	uncharacterized
	R: TCGTAAGGAGCACCAGCAAC				JQ341970		
FP13070	F: GGCACATTTGCTTCCATTCT	844/748 (+2)	38	0.04612	JQ341971	POPTR_0013s13070	uncharacterized
	R: TAATGCATGATTCCTGTTCCAA				JQ341972		
FP17290	F: CICACAIGCCICACICAIGC	781/642 (+2)	33	0.04465	JQ341973	POPTR_0001s17290	F18B13_28
	R: GICICCACACGGICCITICI				JQ341974		
FP35460	F: TCTCTGGTTGTTGCTGATTTTGG	735/634 (+8)	41	0.05840	JQ341975	POPTR_0001s35460	unknown
	R: TGGGGTCTGCTCCTCCAGT				JQ341976		

= Ficus/Populus) followed by the numerical locus identifier of the reference ^a The locus descriptions (total and intron length, polymorphism) represent comparisons between Ficus obtusifolia (sect. Americana) and F. maxima (sect. Pharmacocysea). ⁶The first two letters of the marker name indicate the genomic comparisons (e.g., FA = Ficus/Arabidopsis; FPgenome.

^cFull reference genome locus name.

^dAbbreviation for the putative gene function.
* Denotes markers that were not transferable to the *Poulsenia armata* (Moraceae) outgroup.

and the strangler figs (subg. Urostigma (Gasp.) Miq. sect. Americana Miq.). Sect. Pharmacocysea is sister to all the other fig subgenera, and therefore our sect. Americana and sect. Pharmacocysea samples share a most recent common ancestor that is the base of the entire Ficus crown clade, which, based on fossil records, dates back to at least 60 million years before present (Rønsted et al., 2005). All primers were tested on *F. obtusifolia* Kunth (sect. *Pharmacocysea*) and F. maxima Mill. (sect. Americana), which were collected from the Barro Colorado National Monument (BCNM) in central Panama. The subset of primers that amplified in both Ficus species were also tested on Poulsenia armata (Miq.) Standl., which is a monotypic genus in the fig family Moraceae (Datwyler and Weiblen, 2004). Botanical vouchers (Dick and Gomez 234, F. obtusifolia; Dick and Gomez 240, F. maxima; and Dick and Gomez 180, P. armata) were deposited at the herbaria of the University of Panama (PMA) and University of Michigan, Ann Arbor (MICH). Genomic DNA was extracted with the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method of Doyle and Doyle (1987).

Bioinformatics pipeline—Researchers from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) previously developed an EST library of F. elastica to characterize the genetic basis of rubber biosynthesis (McMahan and Whalen, personal communication). We compared 9289 unique F. elastica ESTs from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database with the annotated genomes of A. thaliana (Brassicaeae) and P. trichocarpa (Salicaceae) using the informatics pipeline developed by Li et al. (2010). Briefly, we (1) retrieved coding sequences (CDS) that were longer than 100 bp from the annotated genomes of A. thaliana and P. trichocarpa. (2) We compared those CDS with the genome of the same species to identify "single-copy" CDS. (3) The candidate single-copy CDS thus identified were subsequently compared to the EST library of F. elastica to find markers that were conserved (identity >80%) among all three species. (4) After locating the single-copy conserved CDS, we screened for CDS flanking small introns, which were smaller than 1000 bp in the compared genomes, to facilitate the subsequent PCR and sequencing steps. Primers based on the F. elastica exons were initially designed by eye and subsequently checked with the Primer3 web interface program (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000).

Primer assays—PCR was performed in a final volume of 20 µL containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.1 µM each primer, 2 ng of genomic DNA, and 0.5 units of *Taq* polymerase (BioTherm, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA). The amplification profiles included an initial denaturing at 94°C for 5 min; followed by 35 cycles of 50 s at 94°C, 50 s at 54°C, and 1 min at 72°C; and a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were ligated into the pMD 18-T plasmid vector (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) and transformed into E. coli strain (DH5α, Promega Corporation). Insert-positive plasmids were isolated using the E.Z.N.A. Plasmid Mini Kit I (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, Georgia, USA) and amplified using M13 primers. Forward and reverse strands of each amplicon were sequenced on an ABI 3730xL DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, California, USA) at the University of Michigan Sequencing Core Facility. All Ficus insert sequences have been deposited in GenBank (accession numbers JQ341915-JQ341980; also see Table 1). For comparisons with ITS, we also obtained ITS sequences for F. obtusifolia, F. maxima, and P. armata (GenBank accessions JX137113–JX137114) using standard methods.

Data analyses—DNA chromatograms were edited using the SEQUENCHER program (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA). DNA sequences were initially aligned using ClustalX version 1.81 (Thompson et al., 1997) with default settings, and subsequently aligned manually using Se-Al (Rambaut, 1996). We determined number of polymorphic sites, nucleotide diversity (π) , and GC content using MEGA 5 software (Kumar et al., 2008).

Results—We identified 200 ESTs that satisfied our criterion of 80% exon identity with the published genomes. Based on intron length, we selected a subset of 80 ESTs for further marker development, of which 31 amplified successfully in *Ficus* species from both subgenera, 16 amplified in one species only, and 33 did not amplify in either species. The 31 cross-amplifying primer pairs were further tested in *P. armata*, of which 29 amplified successfully (Table 1). The number of polymorphic sites in *F. obtusifolia* and *F. maxima* comparisons ranged from 12 to 71 (mean = 32), whereas nucleotide diversity ranged from 0.02655 to 0.07305 (mean = 0.0470) (Table 1). In comparison, there were 45 variable sites in ITS between *F. obtusifolia* and *F. maxima*, falling within the range of the EPIC marker variation.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 3 of 4

CONCLUSIONS

The 31 EPIC markers that amplified between the two Ficus subgenera indicate that these markers might be useful across the full phylogenetic breadth of the >60 Ma genus and its >750 species. The markers that transfer to Poulsenia indicate an even broader phylogenetic utility within the Moraceae (ca. 40 genera and 1000 species), which probably originated in the Cretaceous. These markers should therefore be extremely useful for phylogenetic analysis at the family level and potentially beyond. The markers show a level of intron divergence that is of a similar magnitude as ITS, which is one of the most informative and broadly used markers in plant molecular systematics. These EPIC loci should be useful for analyzing recent divergences in which incomplete lineage sorting and/or introgression may be factors, including recent speciation, hybridization, and comparative phylogeography. In combination with EPIC markers developed for chalcid wasps (Lohse et al., 2011), it should now be possible to jointly analyze wasp and host plant phylogenies to study coevolution at both population and phylogenetic scales.

LITERATURE CITED

- DATWYLER, S. L., AND G. D. WEIBLEN. 2004. On the origin of the fig: Phylogenetic relationships of Moraceae from *ndhF* sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 91: 767–777.
- DOYLE, J., AND J. L. DOYLE. 1987. Genomic plant DNA preparation from fresh tissue—CTAB method. *Phytochemical Bulletin* 19: 11–15.
- HERRE, E. A., K. C. JANDER, AND C. A. MACHADO. 2008. Evolutionary ecology of figs and their associates: Recent progress and outstanding

- puzzles. Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics 39: 439–458
- Kumar, S., M. Nei, J. Dudley, and K. Tamura. 2008. MEGA: A biologist-centric software for evolutionary analysis of DNA and protein sequences. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 9: 299–306.
- LI, C., J. M. RIETHOVEN, AND L. MA. 2010. Exon-primed intron-crossing (EPIC) markers for non-teleost fishes. BMC Evolutionary Biology 10: 90.
- Lohse, K., B. Sharanowski, M. Blaxter, J. A. Nicholls, and G. N. Stone. 2011. Developing EPIC markers for chalcidoid Hymenoptera from EST and genomic data. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11: 521–529.
- MACHADO, C. A., N. ROBBINS, M. T. P. GILBERT, AND E. A. HERRE. 2005. Critical review of host specificity and its coevolutionary implications in the fig/fig-wasp mutualism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA 102: 6558–6565.
- RAMBAUT, A. 1996. Se-Al; Sequence Alignment Editor. Available at http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/ [accessed 11 September 2013].
- RØNSTED, N., G. D. WEIBLEN, J. M. COOK, N. SALAMIN, C. A. MACHADO, AND O. SAVOLAINEN. 2005. 60 million years of co-divergence in the figwasp symbiosis. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences 272: 2593–2599.
- ROZEN, S., AND H. J. SKALETSKY. 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *In* S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], Methods in molecular biology, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
- THOMPSON, J. D. T. J., F. GIBSON PLEWNIAK, F. JEANMOUGIN, AND D. G. HIGGINS. 1997. The CLUSTAL-X Windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Research 25: 4876–4882.
- Weiblen, G. D. 2002. How to be a fig wasp. *Annual Review of Entomology* 47: 299–330.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 4 of 4