

Re-evaluation of the C120 Magnum and Bionic® Traps to Humanely Kill Mink

Authors: Proulx, Gilbert, Pawlina, Iwona M., and Wong, Raymond K.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 29(1) : 184

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: <https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-29.1.184>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

TO THE EDITOR . . .

Re-evaluation of the C120 Magnum and Bionic® Traps to Humanely Kill Mink

In the search of humane killing traps, Proulx et al. (1990) and Proulx and Barrett (1991a) evaluated the ability of the C120 Magnum (no registered trademark) and the Bionic® (W. Gabry, Vavenby, British Columbia, Canada V0E 2A0) traps to humanely kill mink (*Mustela vison*) in simulated natural environments. Both traps initially passed mechanical evaluation tests, approach tests, and preselection tests; they also rendered nine of nine mink irreversibly unconscious in ≤ 3 min in kill tests. The researchers estimated that these traps could be expected, at a 95% level of confidence, to humanely kill $>79\%$ of mink captured on traplines. We now believe that this performance level is incorrect. If a one-tailed binomial test is performed with an outcome of nine of nine or 13 of 14 successful kills, the traps can be expected, at a 95% level of confidence, to render $\geq 70\%$ of mink captured on traplines irreversibly unconscious in ≤ 3 min (Zar, 1984). We consider that such a performance level still is very high since most commercial traps and inventions tested to this date did not pass the initial tests or have failed to meet the acceptance criterion of nine of nine or 13 of 14 successful kills in the kill tests in simulated natural

environments (Proulx and Barrett, 1989, 1991b).

LITERATURE CITED

- PROULX, G., AND M. W. BARRETT. 1989. On the development and implications of the Conibear 120 Magnum trap to harvest marten and mink. In *Proceedings of the Northeast Fur Resources Technical Committee*, R. Lafond (ed.). Beauport, Quebec, Canada, pp. 194–209.
- , AND ———. 1991a. Evaluation of the Bionic® trap to quickly kill mink (*Mustela vison*) in simulated natural environments. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 27: 276–280.
- , AND ———. 1991b. Ideological conflict between animal rightists and wildlife professionals over trapping wild furbearers. *North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference* 56: 387–399.
- , ———, AND S. R. COOK. 1990. The C120 Magnum with pan trigger: A humane trap for mink (*Mustela vison*). *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 26: 511–517.
- ZAR, J. H. 1984. *Biostatistical analysis*, 2nd ed. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 718 pp.

Received for publication 3 August 1992.

Gilbert Proulx,¹ Iwona M. Pawlina,¹ and Raymond K. Wong,² ¹ Wildlife Section, Forestry Department, Alberta Research Council, P.O. Box 8330, Postal Station F, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6H 5X2; ² Environmental Research and Engineering, Alberta Research Council, P.O. Box 8330, Postal Station F, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6H 5X2.