BioOne COMPLETE

A Serologic Survey of Selected Viral and Bacterial Diseases of European Wild Hogs, Great Smoky Mountains National Park, USA

Authors: New, John C., Delozier, Kim, Barton, Claude E., Morris, Patrick J., and Potgieter, Leon N. D.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 30(1) : 103-106

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-30.1.103

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Wildlife-Diseases on 29 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 30(1), 1994, pp. 103–106
© Wildlife Disease Association 1994

A Serologic Survey of Selected Viral and Bacterial Diseases of European Wild Hogs, Great Smoky Mountains National Park, USA

John C. New, Jr.,[1] Kim Delozier,[2] Claude E. Barton,[3] Patrick J. Morris,[4] and Leon N. D. Potgieter[1] [1] Department of Environmental Practice College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tennessee, Box 1071, Knoxville, Tennessee 37901-1071, USA; [2] Division of Resource Management and Science, Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Gatlinburg, Tennessee 37738, USA; [3] United States Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services, Nashville, Tennessee 37222, USA; [4] San Diego Zoo, San Diego, California 92112-0551, USA

ABSTRACT: Blood samples were collected from 108 wild hogs (*Sus scrofa*) from the Great Smoky Mountains National Park (GSMNP), USA, February to July 1990. We found no antibodies for swine brucellosis, pseudorabies, bovine virus diarrhea virus or porcine rotavirus infection. Antibody titers to porcine parvovirus were found in 15 (14%) samples and antibody to one or more leptospiral serovars was found in 48 (44%) samples. Thirty-nine (89%) of the 44 positive samples reacted to all five leptospiral serovars tested.

Key words: Wild hogs, *Sus scrofa*, swine brucellosis, pseudorabies, porcine parvovirus, leptospirosis.

European wild hogs (*Sus scrofa*) were brought to the southern Appalachian Mountains in 1912 to stock a private game reserve in North Carolina (USA) (Jones, 1959). In 1920, about 100 of these hogs escaped from their enclosure and dispersed throughout the surrounding area. They interbred with feral domestic swine (Conley et al., 1972) and their descendants entered the southwestern corner of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park (GSMNP) in the late 1940's (Jones, 1959). Wild hogs have spread throughout most of the park and the population is well established.

Wild hogs may be a source and reservoir of infectious diseases, particularly pseudorabies and swine brucellosis (Nettles, 1989) and movements of wild hogs can potentially result in dissemination of these diseases (Witter, 1981). Pseudorabies and swine brucellosis are the focus of national eradication campaigns in domestic swine. Our objective was to assess the prevalence of pseudorabies and swine brucellosis antibodies in the European wild hog population of GSMNP (35°22′ to 35°45′N, 83°00′ to 84°00′W).

From 5 February through 3 July 1990, 108 blood samples were collected from hogs that were shot or captured using a trap described by Williamson and Pelton (1971). Trapped hogs were immobilized using an intramuscular injection of tiletamine HCl/zolazepam HCl (Telazol, A. H. Robins Company, Richmond, Virginia, USA) as described by Gray et al. (1974) combined with xylazine (Rompun, Mobay Corporation, Shawnee, Kansas, USA) at a dose of 4.4 mg/kg body weight.

Twenty milliliters of blood were collected from the cranial vena cava of immobilized hogs. Blood samples were collected from the large vessels and heart of dead hogs as soon as possible after they were shot. All samples were stored at 5 C until serum could be separated. Serum samples then were stored at −7 to −12 C for ≤1 mo. Age was based on tooth eruption and wear patterns described by Barrett (1971).

Samples were tested by C. E. Kord Diagnostic Laboratory (Ellington Agricultural Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA) for pseudorabies and brucellosis. A serum neutralization test was used to detect antibodies to pseudorabies virus (Hill et al., 1977) at a screening serum dilution of 1:4. For *Brucella* antibody detection, a buffered acidified plate antigen test (pH = 4.0) was used at a screening serum dilution of 1:25 (U.S. Department of Agriculture, no date).

In addition, we tested sera for the presence of antibody to bovine virus diarrhea

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Wildlife-Diseases on 29 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

virus (BVDV), porcine parvovirus (PPV), porcine rotavirus, and five serovars of *Leptospira interrogans* (*canicola, pomona, hardjo, grippothyphosa, icterohaemorrhagiae*). An indirect fluorescent antibody techniqne (Potgieter and Aldridge, 1977) was used to screen sera diluted 1:20 for antibodies to BVDV, PPV, and rotavirus. We used a screening level of 1:100 for leptospiral serovar antibodies with a microagglutination test (Faine, 1982). We did not attempt to isolate any of the agents. We used EPI INFO, Version 5.01 (Dean et al., 1990) for data management and analysis.

Ages of the 108 hogs sampled ranged from 1 to 60 mo; 26 were ≤1 yr, 33 were >1 yr to ≤2 yr, 28 were >2 yr to ≤3 yr; and 21 were >3 yr. Fifty-seven (53%) animals were female. We collected nine (8%) animals in February, 21 (19%) in March, 22 (20%) in April, 29 (27%) in May, 26 (24%) in June, and one animal in July.

We found no serologic evidence of pseudorabies, swine brucellosis, BVDV or rotavirus infections. Fifteen (14%) samples were positive for PPV; based on a chi-square test, there was no significant association ($P = 0.09$) with sex of positive animals.

Leptospira serovar antibodies were detected in 48 (44%) samples, 39 of which were positive for all five serovars. Such a pattern can indicate recent infection resulting in cross-reactivity due to immunoglobulin M antibody (Awad-Masalmeh and Willinger, 1983). Nine hogs were positive for only one serovar. Four hogs (three males, one female) were positive for *Leptospira* serovar *pomona* and four different hogs (two males, two females) were positive for *Leptospira* serovar *hardjo*. One female was positive for serovar *grippothyphosa*.

There is considerable variation in the literature regarding the nomenclature of free-ranging *Sus scrofa* populations. Populations can represent descendants of domestic breeds, European wild boar, or crosses. In our discussion of other studies, we have retained the terminology used in each original paper.

In the USA, *Brucella* infection is enzootic in several wild swine populations (Zygmont et al., 1982). Zygmont et al. (1982) tested 10 serum samples from hogs from GSMNP, all of which were negative for brucellosis. With a sample size of 108 and assuming a prevalence of ≥3%, the probability of failure to detect at least one positive animal is 0.05 (Cannon and Roe, 1982). Samples were not randomly selected, but were taken from the most dense hog concentrations within the park. It is reasonable to assume that if brucellosis existed in this population, it would be present in the areas of greatest population density. Consequently, even though brucellosis is enzootic in wild swine populations in other states including three neighboring states, it is unlikely that swine brucellosis exists in GSMNP. However, all hogs would have to be tested to prove this.

Serologic evidence of pseudorabies infection also has been reported in wild swine (Clark et al., 1983; Corn et al., 1986). Besides the risk to domestic swine, infected wild hog populations represent a risk to other wildlife, especially wild canids, and hunting dogs (Tozzini et al., 1982).

Pirtle et al. (1989) reported that the presence of PRV infection is best determined by testing adult (≥8 mo of age) feral swine since juveniles (<8 mo of age) may not yet have produced antibodies or may have maternal antibodies to PRV. In our study, 94 samples were from hogs >8 mo. With a sample size of 94 and assuming a prevalence of ≥3%, the probability of failure to detect at least one positive animal if infection is present is between 0.1 and 0.05 (Cannon and Roe, 1982). In an earlier study, Smith (1979) did not find evidence of pseudorabies infection in 36 wild hog serum samples from GSMNP. It is unlikely that the virus is present in the wild hog population of GSMNP.

Liebermann et al. (1986) evaluated 406 serum samples for the presence of antibodies to PPV using a hemagglutination-

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Wildlife-Diseases on 29 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

inhibition test, and found that 66% were positive at a titer of ≥1:20. Payeur et al. (1989) tested three adult feral sows and four piglets from Florida (USA); all were positive. Virus isolation attempts using spleens and tonsils from 278 wild swine predominantly from the southeastern United States were negative for PPV (Nettles, 1989). We are unaware of any other reports to compare with our antibody prevalence level of 14%.

All PPV seropositive hogs with known sampling locations were collected in the south central region of GSMNP. Hogs from this area could represent a source of infection for non-infected populations inside as well as outside GSMNP if individuals are translocated. However, the virus already is common in many domestic swine populations in the U.S. (Mengeling, 1986).

Serologic surveys for *Leptospira* species antibodies have been conducted on wild hog populations in several states (Clark et al., 1983; Corn et al., 1986; Nettles, 1989). Antibody prevalances ranged from 5 to 87% depending on which serovars were used. Our seroprevalance of 44% was within this range.

As efforts continue to control and perhaps eliminate brucellosis and pseudorabies in domestic livestock, it becomes more important to know the status of wildlife populations that could be a source of these diseases. By having data on the likely presence of these diseases and others, we are in a better position to assess the risk of reinfection.

We thank the Division of Resource Management and Science, GSMNP, especially Gary Martin, James Stone, Rick Varner, Gary Skinner, Doug Ivey, Walt West, and Sue Powell. Dr. Stuart Powell and Dr. John Ragan, The Tennessee Department of Agriculture, provided important laboratory support, and Dr. Colleen Erbel and Dr. J. B. Anderson, U.S. Department of Agriculture, provided technical advice and support. Betsy Cagle, Kim Cline, Terri Geiser, and Vickie Mellon provided technical assistance at the University of Tennessee College of Veterinary Medicine.

LITERATURE CITED

AWAD-MASALMEH, M., AND H. WILLINGER. 1983. Evaluation of usefulness of 2-mercapto-ethanol treatment in serodiagnosis of swine leptospirosis. Microbiologica 6: 133–143.

BARRETT, R. H. 1971. Ecology of the feral hog in Tehama County, California. Ph.D. Thesis. University of California, Berkeley, California, 368 pp.

CANNON, R. M., AND R. T. ROE. 1982. Livestock disease surveys: A field manual for veterinarians. Bureau of Rural Science, Department of Primary Industry. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, Australia, p. 20.

CLARK, R. K., D. A. JESSUP, D. W. HIRD, R. RUPPANNER, AND M. E. MEYER. 1983. Serologic survey of California wild hogs for antibodies against selected zoonotic disease agents. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 183: 1248–1251.

CONLEY, R. H., G. MATSCHKE, AND V. G. HENRY. 1972. Final report for the European wild hog research project W-34, Tennessee Game and Fish Commission, Nashville, Tennessee, 259 pp.

CORN, J. L., P. K. SWIDEREK, B. O. BLACKBURN, G. A. ERICKSON, A. B. THIERMANN, AND V. F. NETTLES. 1986. Survey of selected diseases of wild swine in Texas. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 189: 1029–1032.

DEAN, A. G., J. A. DEAN, A. H. BARTON, AND R. C. DICKER. 1990. Epi Info, Version 5.01: A word processing, database, and statistics program for epidemiology on microcomputers. USD, Incorporated, Stone Mountain, Georgia, 384 pp.

FAINE, S. 1982. Guidelines for the control of leptospirosis. Publication Number 67, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 171 pp.

GRAY, C. W., M. BUSH, AND C. C. BECK. 1974. Clinical experience using CI-744 in chemical restraint and anesthesia of exotic specimens. Journal of Zoo Animal Medicine 5: 12–21.

HILL, H. T., R. A. CRANDELL, C. L. KANITZ, J. P. MCADARAGH, G. L. SEAWRIGHT, R. F. SOLORZANO, AND W. C. STEWART. 1977. Recommended minimum standards for diagnostic tests employed in the diagnosis of pseudorabies (Aujesky's disease). Proceedings of the American Association of Veterinary Laboratory Diagnosticians 20: 375–390.

JONES, P. 1959. The European wild boar in North Carolina. Game Division, North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission, Raleigh, North Carolina, 29 pp.

LIEBERMANN, H., J. DEDEK, H. LOEPELMANN, AND G. HILLE. 1986. Serological studies of wild boar

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Wildlife-Diseases on 29 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

for porcine parvovirus. Monatshefte für Veterinarmedizin 41: 410–412.

MENGELING, W. L. 1986. Parvovirus-induced reproductive failure. *In* Current veterinary therapy 2: Food animal practice, J. L. Howard (ed.). W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, pp. 539–540.

NETTLES, V. F. 1989. Diseases of wild swine. Proceedings of the Feral Pig Symposium. Livestock Conservation, Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 16–18.

PAYEUR, J. B., D. R. EWALT, R. L. MORGAN, D. A. STEVEN, JR., AND P. L. GEER. 1989. Brucellosis in feral swine from Florida. Proceedings of the United States Animal Health Association 93: 220–231.

PIRTLE, E. C., J. M. SACKS, V. F. NETTLES, AND E. A. ROLLOR, III. 1989. Prevalence and transmission of pseudorabies virus in an isolated population of feral swine. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 25: 605–607.

POTGIETER, L. N. D., AND P. L. ALDRIDGE. 1977. Use of the indirect fluorescent antibody test in the detection of bovine respiratory syncytial virus antibodies in bovine serum. American Journal of Veterinary Research 38: 1341–1343.

SMITH, P. C. 1979. Research and diagnostic techniques used in chronic pseudorabies virus infections of swine. Proceedings of the United States Animal Health Association 83: 432–443.

TOZZINI, F., A. POLI, AND G. DELLA CROCE. 1982. Experimental infection of European wild swine (*Sus scrofa* L.) with pseudorabies virus. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 18: 425–428.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. No date. Supplemental test procedures for the diagnosis of brucellosis. Diagnostic reagents manual 65E, Agricultural Research Service, National Animal Disease Laboratory, Ames, Iowa, 22 pp.

WILLIAMSON, M. J., AND M. R. PELTON. 1971. New design for a large portable mammal trap. Transactions of the Southeastern Association of Game and Fish Commissioners 24: 315–322.

WITTER, J. F. 1981. Brucellosis. *In* Infectious diseases of wild mammals, J. W. Davis, L. H. Karstad, and D. O. Trainer (eds.). Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, pp. 280–287.

ZYGMONT, S. M., V. F. NETTLES, E. B. SHOTTS, JR., W. A. CARMEN, AND B. O. BLACKBURN. 1982. Brucellosis in wild swine: A serologic and bacteriologic survey in the southeastern United States and Hawaii. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 181: 1285–1287.

Received for publication 19 March 1992.

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-Wildlife-Diseases on 29 Mar 2024
Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use