

Hantavirus in Montana Deer Mouse Populations: Preliminary Results

Authors: Douglass, Richard J., Van Horn, Russel, Coffin, Kenneth W., and Zanto, Susanne N.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 32(3): 527-530

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-32.3.527

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Hantavirus in Montana Deer Mouse Populations: Preliminary Results

Richard J. Douglass,' Russel Van Horn,' Kenneth W. Coffin,' and Susanne N. Zanto,² Department of Biology, Montana Tech, 1300 West Park, Butte, Montana 59701, USA; ² Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Helena, Montana 59601, USA

ABSTRACT: Dynamics of small mammal populations and the prevalence of antibodies for hantavirus were determined in six locations in central and western Montana (USA). Eighteen live-trapping grids were trapped monthly from June through September 1994. Deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus) populations ranged from 0 to over 90 on one-hectare grids. Our bleeding technique had no apparent effect on survival of deer mice. Deer mice, meadow voles (Microtus pennsylvanicus), and sagebrush voles (Lagurus curtatus) were seropositive. Thirty-eight (8%) (range, 0% to 30%) of 471 deer mice were seropositive for hantavirus antibodies. Seropositive mice were older and had lower monthly survival rates than seronegative deer mice. We found no relationship between prevalence of hantavirus antibodies and population density.

Key words: Hantavirus, Peromyscus maniculatus, populations, Lagurus curtatus.

The infection of humans by rodent borne hantavirus in the southwestern United States (Childs et al., 1994) during 1993 stimulated us to study the disease in rodents in Montana (USA). We present preliminary results of the first year of a continuing study.

In June 1994, we initiated a study of the incidence of hantavirus and population dynamics of rodents, primarily deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*), in various locations in central and western Montana. Our objectives were to compare the changes in densities survival, and recruitment rates of seropositive mouse populations, to populations seronegative for exposure to hantavirus antibodies.

We attempted to provide replicated data for rodent populations selected from a broad geographic area. Because deer mice seem to be the major host of the hantavirus transmitted to humans (Childs et al., 1994), we selected an array of habitats suitable for deer mice and which represented common land uses not in reserves, such as grazing, logging, and recreation. We replicated our sampling over 18 sites for four continuous months.

Nine live-trapping grids were located in western (45°40' to 47°46'N, 113°00' to 113°46') and nine in central (46°31' to 48°58'N, 108°35' to 112°31'W) sites in Montana. Elevations ranged from 738 m to 1957 m. Habitats in western Montana included four lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta), one Douglas-fir (Psuedotsuga menziesii), two sagebrush (Artemisia spp.), one subalpine fir (Abies lasiocarpa), and one grassland community. Habitats in central Montana included six grassland, two sagebrush, and one mixed pine (Pinus ponderosa) and Douglas-fir community. Habitat names were derived from the dominant plants. Most areas were subject to livestock grazing. Three of the grids were located within a few hundred meters of human dwellings. Ten by ten grids consisted of 100 Sherman (H.B. Sherman Traps, Tallahassee, Florida, USA) live-traps spaced at approximately 10-m intervals in 1 ha. Traps were baited with peanut butter and oatmeal, provided with cotton bedding and set for three nights per month for 4 mo. Three grids were trapped concurrently at each of the six locations. On one grid at each location, all animals were marked and released. On the other two grids, a blood sample was taken from each individual before it was released. The non-bleed grid served as a control to allow the determination of the effect of bleeding on rodent populations.

With the exception that we did not anesthetize animals, animal handling, blood collection, data collection techniques and safety precautions used in this study are the same as described in Mills et al. (1995). Age was inferred from weight

Common name ^a	Scientific name	Indivi- duals/100 trap-nights	Number tested	Number sero- positive	Prevalence of antibodies (%)	
Deer mouse	Peromyscus maniculatus	7.4	471	38	8.0	
Meadow vole	Microtus pennsylvanicus	0.6	83	6	7.2	
Montane vole	Microtus montanus	< 0.1	l	0	0	
Boreal redback vole	Clethrionomys gapperi	0.3	23	0	0	
Sagebrush vole	Lagurus curtatus	0.1	10	2	20	
Western jumping mouse	Zapus princeps	0.1	5	0	0	
Wyoming pocket mouse	Perognathus fasciatus	< 0.1	2	0	0	
Yellow pine chipmunk	Tamias amoenus	0.3	39	0	0	

Table 1. Species of small mammals sampled on 18 live-trapping grids over 20,592 trap-nights in Montana during 1994.

for deer mice: <14 g were considered juveniles, 14 to 17 g were subadults, >17 g were adults (Fairbairn, 1977). Animals were bled once per month. Blood samples were immediately stored on dry ice until delivered to the Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences (MDA), Helena, Montana.

Whole rodent blood was tested at the MDA using the enzyme immunoassay method (Feldman et al., 1993). Titers greater than or equal to 400 were classified as positive (Childs et al., 1995). Specimens were classified as equivocal if the titers were 100, or if the two measures of interpretation were discordant. Titer less than 100 were classified as negative. In this paper, we only discuss those classified as positive.

The enumeration technique (Chitty and Phipps, 1966) was used to determine the minimum number alive, survival, and recruitment. We analyzed data with computer programs provided by C. J. Krebs of the University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. The programs produced standard population summaries used in small mammal population biology (Krebs, 1966). Enumeration was used to calculate the minimum number alive which is within 10% of the actual number if trapability is above 50% (Hilborn et al., 1976). Trapability for deer

mice exceeded 50% for all grids for all trapping periods.

For a preliminary analysis, we combined data from all grids for general population dynamics and from 12 grids from which blood samples were collected for comparisons between seropositive and seronegative populations. The results were based on 20,592 trap-nights of effort.

Eleven species of rodents, one mustelid species, and several species of shrews were captured (Table 1). Deer mice were the most commonly captured species, followed by meadow voles (*Microtus pennsylvanicus*) and red backed voles (*Clethrionomys gapperi*). Antibodies reacting with SNV were detected in deer mice, meadow voles, and sagebrush voles (*Lagurus curtatus*).

The minimum number alive ranged from zero on a forested grid to 98 in sage habitat. The same forested grid had a minimum number alive of 10 during August 1992 (Coffin, 1994). The mean (\pm SD) minimum number alive increased from 13.8 \pm 11.8/grid (range, 0 to 39) in June to 27.3 \pm 26.4 (range, 1 to 95) in September. The minimum number alive fell within the ranges of densities previously found for this species (Petticrew and Sadlier, 1974; Sullivan, 1977; Fairbairn, 1978; Douglass, 1989).

We collected 706 blood samples from

^a Infrequently captured animals included bushy-tailed woodrat (*Neotoma cinerea*) (n = 2), golden-mantled ground squirrel (*Spermophilus lateralis*) (n = 1), Richardson's ground squirrel (*Spermophilus richardsonii*) (n = 1), shortail weasel (*Mustela erminia*) (n = 1) and several species of shrews (*Sorex* spp) (n = 15). These animals were not bled.

471 deer mice. Based on combined data from all grids, monthly survival was higher for bled mice: 79 (54%) of 146 mice survived June to July, 155 (66%) of 235 mice survived July to August, and 210 (77%) of 273 mice survived August to September. In contrast for non-bled mice, 24 (39%) of 61 mice survived June to July, 75 (51%) of 148 mice survived July to August, and 115 (55%) of 210 mice survived August to September. This was true for both sexes and all age classes except that male non-bled deer mice had a higher survival rate than bled deer mice from June to July: 19 (51%) of 37 non-bled mice survived, compared to three of seven bled mice. The differences in survival were small and we believe that our bleeding did not affect survival.

The percent of deer mice seropositive for hantavirus ranged from 0% to 30%/ grid/mo and averaged between 8% and 10%/mo for all grids. Seropositive mice were identified on all grids except two and these were located in a forested area where we captured only five deer mice. In a plot of antibody prevalence for each grid against the minimum number alive for each grid, there was no correlation between population density and antibody prevalence (r = -0.03, P = 0.08, n = 37) (Zar, 1974). The prevalences reported herein are similar to those recently reported by others (Kaufman et al., 1994; Burek et al., 1994). Our maximum of 30% was equal to that found in the Four Corners area during 1993 (Childs et al., 1995).

Lee et al. (1981) and Childs et al. (1987a) suggested that hantavirus in species other than deer mice was unlikely to directly cause mortality. However, the seropositive population of deer mice in our study consistently had lower survival rates than the seronegative mice. Based on data from all grids, seropositive deer mice had survival rates of 36% (four of 11 mice) from June to July, 33% (six of 17 mice) from July to August and 41% (seven of 17 mice) from August to September. Seronegative mice had survival rates of 44% (48 of 108 mice) from June to July, 64%

TABLE 2. Age distribution for deer mice seropositive (+) for hantavirus antibodies compared to that of mice without antibodies (-). Data are from 12 grids in Montana sampled during 1994.

	June		July		August		September	
	+		+		+		+	
Adults	9	42	12	54	11	58	12	83
Sub-adults	2	37	3	64	3	96	6	120
Juveniles	0	29	2	54	3	58	0	83
Totals	11	108	17	172	17	212	18	286

(110 of 172 mice) from July to August, and 51% (108 of 212 mice) from August to September. Also, most seropositive deer mice trapped in June and July did not survive to the following month and none trapped in June or July survived to the third month. However, there were only 38 seropositive deer mice for which we could determine survival rates. Many seronegative mice lived through all four months.

Verhagen et al. (1986) found that the hantavirus antibody prevalence in bank voles (Clethrionomys glareolus) increased with age and sexual maturity. Childs et al. (1987a,b) found the same for Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus). During all months the seropositive population of deer mice was skewed towards adults when compared to the seronegative population (Table 2). Except for September (Chi-square = 5.87, P = 0.053, 2df) (Zar, 1974) there was always a statistically smaller percentage of juveniles and subadults in the seropositive population than in the seronegative population (June, Chi-square = 8.03, P = 0.01, 2df; July, Chi-square = 9.99, P < 0.01, 2df; August, Chi-square = 10.11, P < 0.01, 2df). Also the percent of seropositive population that was adult was always higher than that of the seronegative population. Because we found no juveniles and very few sub-adult mice based on our weight classification that were sexually mature, our findings that antibody prevalence is higher in older and sexually mature animals is consistent with the findings of others (Verhagen et al., 1986, Childs et al., 1987a).

After one summer season of a long-term

study of hantavirus in deer mice, we report that population densities over a broad area of Montana are in the range of previous studies and that the prevalence of infection and types of animals infected were similar to those reported by other studies. We found no correlation between population density and prevalence of infection. Seropositive deer mice appeared to survive less well than seronegative deer mice.

This project was a cooperative effort of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention through the U.S. Indian Health Service, Deaconess Medical Research (Billings), Montana Tech, Montana State University, and the Montana Department of Health and Human Services.

LITERATURE CITED

- BUREK, K. A., C. A. ROSSI, J. W. LEDUC, AND T. M. YUILL. 1994. Serologic and virologic evidence of a Prospect Hill-like hantavirus in Wisconsin and Minnesota. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 51: 286–294.
- CHILDS, J. E., GLASS, G. E., G. W. KORCH, AND J. W. LEDUC. 1987a. Prospective seroepidemiology of hantaviruses and population dynamics of small mammal communities of Baltimore, Maryland. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 37: 648–662.
- ——, G. W. KORCH, G. E. GLASS, J. W. LEDUC, AND K. V. SHAW. 1987b. Epizootiology of hantavirus infections in Baltimore: Isolation of a virus from Norway rats, and characteristics of infected rat populations. American Journal of Epidemiology 126: 55–68.
- T. G. KSIAZEK, C. F. SPIROPOULOU, J. W. KREBS, S. MORZUNOV, G. O. MAUPIN, K. L. GAGE, P. ROLLIN, J. SARISKY, R. ENSCORE, J. FREY, C. J. PETERS, AND S. T. NICHOL. 1994. Serologic and genetic identification of *Peromyscus maniculatus* as the primary rodent reservoir for a new hantavirus in the southwestern United States. The Journal of Infectious Diseases 169: 271-1280
- , J. W. KREBS, T. G. KSIAZEK, G. O. MAUPIN, K. L. GAGE, P. E. ROLLIN, P. S. ZEITZ, J. SARISKY, R. E. ENSCORE, J. C. BUTLER, J. E. CHEEK, G. E. GLASS, AND C. J. PETERS. 1995. A household-based, case-control study of environmental factors associated with hantavirus pulmonary syndrome in the southwestern United States. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 52: 393–397.
- CHITTY, D., AND E. PHIPPS. 1966. Seasonal changes

- in survival in mixed populations of two species of vole. Journal of Animal Ecology 35: 313-331.
- COFFIN, K. W. 1994. Population characteristics and winter habitat selection by pine marten in southwest Montana. Masters thesis, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, 94 pp.
- DOUGLASS, R. J. 1989. Assessment of the use of selected rodents in ecological monitoring. Environmental Management 13: 355–363.
- FAIRBAIRN, D. J. 1977. The spring decline in deer mice: Death or dispersal? Canadian Journal of Zoology 55: 84–92.
- ——. 1978. Dispersal of deer mice, *Peromyscus maniculatus*. Oecologia 32: 171–193.
- FELDMAN, H., A. SANCHEZ, S. MORZUNOV, C. SPI-ROPOULOU, P. E. ROLLIN, T. G. KSIAZEK, C. J. PETERS, AND S. T. NICHOL. 1993. Utilization of autopsy tissue RNA for the synthesis of the nucleocapsid antigen of a novel highly lethal hantavirus. Virus Research 30: 351–367.
- HILBORN, R., J. A. REDFIELD, AND C. J. KREBS. 1976. On the reliability of enumeration for mark and recapture census of voles. Canadian Journal of Zoology 54: 1019–1024.
- KAUFMAN, G. A., D. W. KAUFMAN, B. R. MCMILLAN, AND D. E. BRILLHART. 1994. Prevalence of hantavirus antibodies in natural populations of deer mice in wooded habitats of eastern Kansas. Prairie Naturalist 26: 209–216.
- KREBS, C. J. 1966. Demographic changes in a fluctuating population of *Microtus californicus*. Ecological Monographs 36: 239–273.
- LEE, H. W., P. W. LEE, L. J. BAEK, C. K. SONG, AND I. W. SEONG. 1981. Intraspecific transmission of Hantaan virus, etiologic agent of Korean hemorrhagic fever, in the rodent *Apodemus Agrarius*. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 30: 1106-1112.
- MILLS, J. N., J. E. CHILDS, J. G. KSIAZEK, C. J. PETERS, AND W. M. VELLECA. 1995. Methods for trapping and sampling small mammals for virologic testing. U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, 61 pp.
- Petticrew, B. G., and R. M. F. S. Sadlier. 1974.

 The ecology of the deer mouse *Peromyscus maniculatus* in a coastal coniferous forest. I. Population dynamics. Canadian Journal of Zoology 52: 107–118
- SULLIVAN, T. P. 1977. Demography and dispersal in island and mainland populations of the deer mouse, *Peromyscus maniculatus*. Ecology 58: 964–978.
- VERHAGEN, P., H. LEIRS, E. TKACHENKO, AND G. VAN DER GROEN. 1986. Ecological and epidemiological data on hantavirus in bank vole populations in Belgium. Archives of Virology 91: 193–205.
- ZAR, J. H. 1974. Biostatistical analysis. Prentice-Hall, Englewood, California, 620 pp.

Received for publication 12 October 1995.