

Trichinella spiralis in Sylvatic Hosts from Prince Edward Island

Authors: Appleyard, G.D., Conboy, G., and Gajadhar, A.A.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 34(1): 158-160

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-34.1.158

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Trichinella spiralis in Sylvatic Hosts from Prince Edward Island

G. D. Appleyard, G. Conboy, and A. A. Gajadhar, Centre for Animal Parasitology, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, 116 Veterinary Road, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada, S7N 2R3; Atlantic Veterinary College, Department of Pathology and Microbiology, University of Prince Edward Island, 550 University Avenue, Charlottetown, Prince Edward Island, Canada, C1A 4P3

ABSTRACT: Larvae of *Trichinella* sp. were found in two of 208 red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and one of 125 coyotes (*Canis latrans*) obtained from trappers from Prince Edward Island (Canada) in 1995 and 1996. A polymerase chain reaction based DNA biotyping method revealed the larvae to be isolates of *Trichinella spiralis*. This is the first verified identification of *T. spiralis* in sylvatic hosts from Canada.

Key words: Canis latrans, coyote, red fox, survey, trichinellosis, Trichinella spiralis, Vulpes vulpes.

Trichinella spp. are common nematode parasites found worldwide; across North America, the sylvatic cycle is maintained by many species of wild carnivores and omnivores (Smith and Snowdon, 1988). In the provinces of Atlantic Canada, Trichinella spp. have been reported in black bears (Urus americanus) from Nova Scotia (NS), New Brunswick (NB), Newfoundland (NFLD) and Prince Edward Island (PEI), as well as in wolves (Canis lupus) from NFLD (Smith et al., 1976; Smith, 1978; Butler and Khan 1992; Duffy et al., 1994).

In addition to cannibalism, and garbage feeding, sources of infection for swine (Sus scrofa) include rodents such as rats (Rattus spp.) and other omnivorous mammals (Murrell et al., 1987). Trichinella sp. has been isolated from rats in NB, NS, and PEI (Frank, 1951). In Canada, all reported cases in humans diagnosed since 1983 have been caused by eating meat from animals other than domestic swine (Gajadhar et al., 1997).

Around the world eight gene pools of *Trichinella* spp. have been identified from which five species (*T. spiralis*, *T. nativa*, *T. britovi*, *T. pseudospiralis*, *T. nelsoni*) have been named (Pozio et al., 1992a). Both *T. spiralis* and the sylvatic arctic isolate, *T. nativa*, can infect a variety of wild and do-

mestic animal hosts (Smith, 1985). It is unclear to what extent *Trichinella* spp. gene pools overlap in host range and geographical distribution in Canada. This survey was conducted to assess the prevalence and identify the biotype of *Trichinella* sp. in wild red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and coyotes (*Canis latrans*) from PEI.

Coyotes have only recently become established on PEI, presumably after migration from the mainland. The first report of one caught by a trapper was in 1983 (R. Diblee, pers. comm.). The population has been estimated at 700 to 800 animals (R. Diblee, pers. commun.). The expansion of the coyote population may be to the detriment of red foxes since the number of red foxes harvested by fur trappers has declined from 1,347 animals in 1994 to 995 animals in 1996 (R. Diblee, pers. commun.).

Carcasses of red foxes (n = 208) and coyotes (n = 126) were obtained from trappers across PEI (46°15′N to 63°08′W) during 1995 and 1996. The tongues were removed and stored frozen at approximately -20 C until tested. Thirty g of tissue from each tongue was digested in IL of a digestion solution of 1% HCl and 1% pepsin (American Laboratories Inc, Omaha, Nebraska, USA) in a shaking waterbath at 40 C and 190 rpm for 2 hr. Undigested material was removed by filtration (180 μm). Particulate matter in the filtrate was concentrated by several sediment-decant cycles. The final 50 ml of sediment was examined under a stereo-microscope for the presence of *Trichinella* sp. larvae. Any larvae found were collected and stored in water at -20 C.

In preparation for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) biotyping, crude deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) from approximately 10

washed Trichinella sp. larvae were placed in 20 µl of distilled water, heated to 100 C for 12 min and then centrifuged (3,000 × G, 30s). Amplifications with primers TR1 (GCGATTGAGTTGAACGC) and TR2 (CGACATGAATTGTAAGAC) were performed using a 94 C for 1 min denaturation step, 55 C, 1 min annealing step and a 72 C for 1.5 min reaction step, a modification from that previously described (Zarlenga and Dame, 1992). Forty cycles of this program (480 Thermo-Cycler, Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, Connecticut, USA) were run with 2 units of Tag polymerase enzyme (Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Norwalk, Connecticut, USA) per sample. Amplified product was electrophoresed in 3.0% Metaphor agarose (FMC Bioproducts, Rockland, Maine, USA) gel with TBE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide.

Trichinella sp. larvae were collected from the tongues of two of 208 red foxes and one pool of 3 tongue samples of 126 coyotes. Intensity ranged from 0.9 to 1.7 larvae per gram. All larvae were non-motile and in a "comma" shape which is characteristic of dead excysted larvae. Analysis of the PCR-amplified larval genomic DNA showed a single fragment of approximately 175 base pairs which is indicative of T. spiralis (Fig. 1). Control DNA samples of reference isolates T. spiralis T1 (Reference number ISS248), T. nativa T2 (ISS70), T. britovi T3 (ISS63), T. pseudospiralis T4 (ISS13), Trichinella T5 (ISS246), Trichinella T6 (ISS334), T. nelsoni T7 (ISS29) and Trichinella T8 (ISS149) from the World Trichinella Reference Centre, Rome, Italy, generated amplified fragments characteristic of those isolates, thus confirming the identity of our specimens as T. spiralis (T1).

Trichinella sp. in swine on PEI was last reported in 1975 (Smith et al., 1976). Human cases of trichinellosis have not been reported from PEI since trichinellosis became a reportable human disease in 1971 (Gajadhar et al., 1997). Trichinella sp. have been reported in swine (Frank, 1952) as




FIGURE 1. Ethidium bromide stained agarose gel of polymerase chain reaction products from reference isolates of *Trichinella* spp. (Lane 2; *T. spiralis*; Lane 3; *T. nativa*, Lane 4; *T. britovi*, Lane 5; *T. pseudospiralis*, Lane 6; *Trichinella* T5, Lane 7; *Trichinella* T6, Lane 8; *T. nelsoni* and Lane 9; *Trichinella* T8) and unknown samples (Lanes 11; red fox, Lane 12; red fox, Lane 13; coyote) using primers TR1/TR2. Lane 14 is a laboratory maintained isolate of *T. spiralis*. Each reaction used nucleic acid extracted from 10 larvae. Lanes 1 and 10 are a 123 base pair molecular weight marker set.

well as in several sylvatic hosts including black bears and wolves in the Canadian Atlantic region (Smith, 1978; Butler and Khan, 1992; Duffy et al., 1994). The technology for biotyping was not available at the time of these findings. Consequently, assumptions that the swine isolates were *T. spiralis* and the wildlife isolates were *T. nativa* are unsubstantiated. We report here the finding of *T. spiralis* in red foxes and a coyote. In the apparent absence of *Trichinella* sp. infections in swine for the last 20 yr, a sylvatic reservoir seems probable.

Trichinella spiralis is not freeze-resistant. Therefore it is not usually associated with sylvatic cycles in cold-temperate climate zones (Pozio et al., 1992b). However, T. spiralis has been found in human-influenced environments of colder climates, such as farm yards and garbage dumps (Leiby et al., 1988). A sylvatic reservoir for T. spiralis in PEI may be associated with human-influenced habitats and hosts such as rats.

Since, sylvatic *Trichinella* spp. has the potential to be transmitted from a wild animal reservoir to pigs (Smith, 1985), identification of *T. spiralis* in foxes and a coyote has important ramifications for animal

health and food safety. These findings emphasize that vigilance must be maintained in surveillance programs for *Trichinella* sp. in swine. Farmers and veterinarians should be kept aware of the risks of raising swine in areas where contact with rats and other wildlife can occur. Hunters and consumers of wild carnivores and omnivores should be made aware of the health risk in consuming raw or undercooked wild game meat.

LITERATURE CITED

- BUTLER, C. E., AND R. A. KHAN. 1992. Prevalence of *Trichinella spiralis* in black bears (*Ursus americanus*) from Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 28: 474–475.
- DUFFY, M. S., T. A. GREAVES, AND M. D. BURT. 1994. Helminths of the black bear, *Ursus americanus*, in New Brunswick. The Journal of Parasitology 80: 478–480.
- FRANK, J. F. 1951. A study on the incidence of Trichinosis in wild rats in the maritime provinces. Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine 15: 279–283.
- 1952. A study of the incidence of trichinosis in swine in the maritime provinces. Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine 16: 73–77.
- GAJADHAR, A. A., J. R. BISAILLON, AND G. D. AP-PLEYARD. 1997. Status of *Trichinella spiralis* in domestic swine and wild boar in Canada. Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research 61: 256–259.
- LEIBY, D. A., G. A. SCHAD, C. H. DUFFY, AND K. D. MURRELL. 1988. *Trichinella spiralis* in an agricultural ecosystem. III. Epidemiological investigations of *Trichinella spiralis* in resident wild and

- feral animals. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 24: 606–609.
- MURRELL, K. D., F. STRINGFELLOW, J. B. DAME, D.
 A. LEIBY, C. DUFFY, AND G. A. SCHAD. 1987.
 Trichinella spiralis in an agricultural ecosystem.
 II. Evidence for natural transmission of Trichinella spiralis spiralis from domestic swine to wildlife. The Journal of Parasitology. 73: 103–109.
- POZIO, E., G. LA ROSA, K. D. MURRELL, AND J. R. LICHTENFELS. 1992a. Taxonomic revision of the genus *Trichinella*. The Journal of Parasitology 78: 654–659.
- ———, G. ROSSI, AND K. D. MURRELL. 1992b. Biological characterization of *Trichinella* isolates from various host species and geographical regions. The Journal of Parasitology 78: 647–653.
- SMITH, H. J., A. ANZENGRUBER, AND D. M. DU-PLESSIS. 1976. Current status of trichinosis in swine in the Atlantic provinces. Canadian Veterinary Journal 17: 72–75.
- —. 1978. Status of trichinosis in bears in the Atlantic provinces of Canada 1971–1976. Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine 42: 244– 245.
- ——. 1985. Infectivity of Canadian isolates of Trichinella spiralis nativa for swine, rats and carnivores. Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine 49: 88–90.
- ——, AND K. E. SNOWDON. 1988. Sylvatic trichinosis in Canada. Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research 52: 488–489.
- ZARLENGA, D. S., AND J. B. DAME. 1992. The identification and characterization of a break within the large subunit ribosomal RNA of *Trichinella spiralis*: comparison of gap sequences within the genus. Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology 51: 281–289.

Received for publication 20 May 1997.