

## Evidence of Exposure to Brucella sp. in Harbor Porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) from the Bay of Fundy, Canada

Authors: Neimanis, A. S., Koopman, H. N., Westgate, A. J., Nielsen, K., and Leighton, F. A.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 44(2): 480-485

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-44.2.480

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## Evidence of Exposure to *Brucella* sp. in Harbor Porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the Bay of Fundy, Canada

**A. S. Neimanis**,<sup>1,2,5</sup> **H. N. Koopman**,<sup>1,3</sup> **A. J. Westgate**,<sup>1,3</sup> **K. Nielsen**,<sup>4</sup> **and F. A. Leighton**<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Grand Manan Whale and Seabird Research Station, #24 Route 776, Grand Manan, New Brunswick E5G 1A1, Canada; <sup>2</sup> Canadian Cooperative Wildlife Health Centre, Department of Veterinary Pathology, Western College of Veterinary Medicine, 52 Campus Dr., University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 5B4, Canada; <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology and Marine Biology, University of North Carolina at Wilmington, 601 S. College Rd, Wilmington, North Carolina, 28403, USA; <sup>4</sup> Ottawa Laboratory (Fallowfield), Canadian Food Inspection Agency, 3851 Fallowfield Rd, PO Box 11300, Ottawa, Ontario K2H 8P9, Canada; <sup>5</sup> Corresponding author (email: aleksija.neimanis@usask.ca)

ABSTRACT: Novel strains of *Brucella* recently have been discovered in marine mammals. To investigate Brucella exposure and infection in a general population of cetaceans, blood and tissue samples were collected and analyzed from wild harbor porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) incidentally caught in fishing gear in the Bay of Fundy, Canada. Two of 170 (1.2%) animals had detectable antibodies against Brucella, but no organisms were isolated from genital swabs or tissues from 22 and 8 porpoises, respectively. Genetic analysis of inflamed testes from 20 animals yielded no amplification of Brucella DNA. This is the first evidence of exposure to Brucella in porpoises from the western North Atlantic, and the prevalence is much lower than documented for conspecifics from the eastern North Atlantic.

*Key words:* Atlantic, *Brucella*, brucellosis, Canada, harbor porpoise, marine, *Phocoena phocoena*, serology.

Brucella species long have been recognized as significant pathogens in terrestrial mammals, and they most commonly cause reproductive disease (Carter et al., 1995). Over the past decade *Brucella* species that are genetically and biologically distinct from terrestrial pathogens have been isolated from numerous marine mammals (Foster et al., 2002). If serologic evidence also is considered, Brucella exposure occurs around the world in a variety of marine mammal species (Nielsen et al., 2001; Van Bressem et al., 2001; Foster et al., 2002). To date, the effect of Brucella infection on marine mammal populations is unknown.

It has been suggested that, in many marine mammal species, *Brucella* infec-

tion may be enzootic and host-adapted (Foster et al., 2002). However, *Brucella* does have the potential to cause disease in some individuals. For example, *Brucella* was isolated from two aborted bottlenose dolphin fetuses (*Tursiops truncates;* Miller et al., 1999), and it was demonstrated in lesions of meningoencephalitis in three stranded striped dolphins (*Stenella coeruleoalba*) (Gonzalez et al., 2002).

Serological evidence of exposure to *Brucella* and isolation of *Brucella* have been documented in harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the eastern North Atlantic (Jepson et al., 1997; Foster et al., 2002; Perrett et al., 2004), but little is known about this agent in other harbor porpoise populations. The goal of this study was to examine *Brucella* exposure and infection in a general population of wild harbor porpoises from the western North Atlantic.

From 1985 to 2004, blood, tissues, or both were collected from 196 harbor porpoises from waters around northern Grand Manan Island in the Bay of Fundy, NB (44°48'N, 66°41'W), and tissue samples were collected from two carcasses from the Gulf of St. Lawrence. One was found dead on the shores of Bouctouche, New Brunswick (46°29'N, 64°43'W), and the other was killed in a gill net in Chaleur Bay, New Brunswick (48°00'N, 65°45'W). The majority of porpoises from the Bay of Fundy were caught in local fishing weirs (n=176; 149 were alive and 27 were dead), and handled through the Harbor Porpoise Release Program (HPRP) (Neimanis et al., 2004). Another 19 were killed incidentally in gill nets, and the remaining animal was found dead on shore in the Bay of Fundy. Sex, length, girth, and weight were collected routinely for live animals, and necropsies were performed on all dead animals. Not all samples could be collected from all animals due to varying field conditions.

All blood samples (n=170) were collected from 1993 to 2004 through the HPRP. These consisted of serum from 114 live animals and 25 dead animals, and plasma from 31 live animals. Blood was collected from live animals from a fluke blood vessel (Koopman et al., 1999) and was sampled directly from the heart in dead animals. All samples were centrifuged to obtain serum or plasma, which then was stored at -20 C until analysis.

Serologic testing was performed at Ottawa Laboratory (Fallowfield; OLF), Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Ottawa, Ontario. Competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (cELISA) was used to detect anti-Brucella antibodies in all samples (n=170) following methods outlined in O. Nielsen et al. (1996). Test results of  $\geq 30\%$  were considered positive. For samples with detectable antibodies, results were confirmed using a fluorescence polarization assay (FPA; positive samples had high millipolarization of  $\geq 90$ mP) and an indirect ELISA (iELISA; positive samples had an inhibition of  $\geq 46\%$  ) according to methods described in K. Nielsen et al. (1996) and Nielsen et al. (2005), respectively. Porpoises were considered to have been exposed to Brucella sp. only if the serum or plasma samples had detectable antibodies in all three tests. Tests were carried out with and without bovine serum antibodies to *B*. *abortus* as positive and negative controls.

In 2002–2004, 16, five, and one genital swabs were collected for *Brucella* culture from live animals from weirs, dead animals from weirs, and an animal killed in a gill

net, respectively. A suite of internal tissues was collected from eight additional carcasses. Five carcasses were retrieved from weirs, one was caught in a gill net, and two were found dead on shore. Tissues collected included vagina, cervix, uterus, ovary, mammary, testis, epididymus, penis, liver, spleen, kidney, bladder, heart, lung, brain, lymph nodes (prescapular, mediastinal, mesenteric, colonic, inguinal, sublumbar, lung-associated), thymus, muscle, blubber, thyroid gland, adrenal gland, pancreas, and a blubber lesion. Swabs and tissues were frozen at -20 C until cultured for *Brucella* at OLF, using standard protocols outlined in Alton et al. (1975).

Testes from 20 dead porpoises collected from waters around Grand Manan Island from 1985 to 1995 had microscopic evidence of mild to moderate chronic orchitis (n=19) or mild suppurative orchitis (n=1). Nineteen and one inflamed samples were from animals killed incidentally in gill nets and weirs, respectively. Ten to 12 sections 10 µm thick were collected from each of 20 paraffin blocks containing samples of inflamed testes and sent to OLF for amplification of Brucella DNA using polymerase chain reaction (PCR). DNA extraction followed the nonheating DNA extraction protocol outlined in Shi et al. (2002). Following resuspension in lysis buffer, samples were incubated at 60 C for 60 min before extraction with phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and 0.1% 8 hydroxyquinoline. Nucleic acids were precipitated with two volumes of ice-cold 95% ethanol; the pellet was washed with 1 ml 70% ethanol and then resuspended in 100  $\mu$ l of water.

PCR was carried out using primers and protocols for both terrestrial and marine *Brucella*. All PCRs included positive and negative controls. A terrestrial *Brucella* strain was used as a positive control for all reactions because a marine isolate was unavailable. The enhanced AMOS PCR assay containing eight primers (Bricker

Identification	Date	Sex	Length	Maturity	cELISA	FPA <sup>b</sup>	iELISA
number	sampled		(cm)	status <sup>a</sup>	result	result	result
GM 01 70	4 August 2001	F	$133.0 \\ 150.0$	Immature	Positive	Positive	Positive
GM 01 110	11 August 2001	F		Mature	Positive	Positive	Positive

TABLE 1. Harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the Bay of Fundy, Canada with positive serologic test results for anti-*Brucella* antibodies.

<sup>a</sup> Based on Read and Gaskin (1990).

<sup>b</sup> FPA = fluorescence polarization assay.

and Halling, 1995) was used to detect terrestrial Brucella with the following modifications. Five microliters of extracted DNA were used as the template in the PCR containing 250 nmol of AMOS-A, AMOS-S, and AMOS-RB51/2308 primers, 500 nmol of AMOS-eri1 and AMOS-eri2 primers, 750 nmol of AMOS-M and AMOS-O primers, 1 250 nmol of AMOS-IS711 primer, 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase, 1.5 mmol of MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 60 nmol of Tris-Cl (pH 9.0), 15 nmol of ammonium sulfate, and 250 µl each of the dNTPs. Samples were held at 95 C for 5 min, then subjected to 40 cycles of (60 sec at 94 C, 90 sec at 60 C, 90 sec at 72 C), with a final extension of 10 min at 72 C. PCR products were visualized on a 2.5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

The PCR to amplify marine *Brucella* was carried out using two different methods. The first method targeted the bp26 gene using primers and the thermocycling protocol described in Cloeckaert et al. (2000). A High Fidelity PCR Expand Plus (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA) kit was used with 1  $\mu$ l of 10mM dNTP, 1  $\mu$ l of 25  $\mu$ M of each primer 26A and 26B, 10  $\mu$ l of 10X buffer, and 0.5  $\mu$ l of DNA mix, in a total volume of 50  $\mu$ l. The second method targeted omp2a and omp2b genes using primers and protocols as outlined in Cloeckaert et al. (2003).

Antibodies were detected in the sera of four porpoises by cELISA, but only two also had detectable antibodies by FPA and iELISA and were classified as positive (Table 1). These two positive samples were collected from live porpoises in 2001, and genital swabs had not been taken from them. Both were in good nutritional condition, and no abnormalities were noted when these animals were handled in the field.

None of the genital swabs or tissues cultured yielded *Brucella*. PCR analyses of inflamed testicular tissues did not amplify *Brucella*.

The two conclusively seropositive porpoises constitute the first evidence of Brucella sp. exposure in harbor porpoises from the western North Atlantic. Although serologic tests used in marine mammals have not been validated for these species, and the sensitivity and specificity of these tests in marine mammals are unknown, we are confident in the accuracy of our serologic results. Samples were considered positive only if they contained detectable antibodies in all three serologic tests. The cELISA and FPA tests used here have been shown to be very specific for Brucella in terrestrial species (Nielsen et al., 1992) and are the tests of choice for Hawaiian monk seals (Nielsen et al., 2005). Additionally, Brucella was cultured successfully from ringed seals and a harp seal that were positive for anti-Brucella antibodies using this same cELISA (Forbes et al., 2000).

In previously published reports, none of four harbor porpoises examined from the western North Atlantic had detectable anti-*Brucella* antibodies (Nielsen et al., 2001; Maratea et al., 2003). This is not surprising, given the low antibody preva-

lence found in this study (two of 170 or 1.2%). Antibody prevalence reported in other marine mammal species has ranged from 1.8% in harp seals (Phoca groenlandica) (n=453; Nielsen et al., 2001) to 78% in dusky dolphins (Lagenorhynchus obscurus) (n=27; Van Bressem et al., 2001). In populations with low Brucella seroprevalence, researchers have speculated that the organism may have been transmitted from another local species with enzootic infection (O. Nielsen et al., 1996). Further efforts to isolate the bacterium from Bay of Fundy porpoises therefore are needed for molecular characterization and comparison of this bacterium with other marine Brucella strains. Additionally, further genetic analyses of fresh tissues are warranted. Although we were unable to detect Brucella DNA in inflamed testes, the quality of these samples was compromised by formalin fixation (Greer et al., 1991).

The low number of seropositive animals from the Bay of Fundy is in sharp contrast to the antibody prevalences of 31% and 33% reported for harbor porpoises from the eastern North Atlantic (Jepson et al., 1997; Foster et al., 2002, respectively). Some of this variation may be explained by differences in serologic techniques used. However, sampling bias may also contribute to this difference in seroprevalence. The majority of porpoises sampled in the eastern North Atlantic had stranded on shore. Stranded animals often are ill or debilitated, and prevalence reported from stranded animals may overestimate the true prevalence in the general population. In contrast, porpoises caught incidentally in weirs and gill nets are considered healthy and are in significantly better nutritional condition than stranded and emaciated animals (Neimanis et al., 2004). However, weirs catch a disproportionate number of male yearlings (Neimanis et al., 2004), and results from weir-caught animals may underestimate the prevalence of Brucella exposure in this population if exposure or infection is more prevalent in other age or sex classes.

Other possible explanations for apparent differences in antibody prevalence between eastern and western North Atlantic porpoise populations include differences in exposure or susceptibility to Brucella infection. Perhaps Brucella is more prevalent or more easily transmitted in the marine ecosystem of the eastern versus western North Atlantic. The mode of transmission of Brucella in marine species is not known. Lung worms have been implicated as possible vectors, and lungworms infected with Brucella were found in a harbor porpoise from England (Perrett et al., 2004). Fish may also play a role in transmission, as *B. melitensis* was recovered from experimentally infected fish (Salem and Mohsen, 1997). Further research into Brucella transmission is needed to better understand the ecology of Brucella in different marine mammal populations.

Finally, *Brucella* strains identical to those isolated from marine mammals have infected humans (Brew et al., 1999; Sohn et al., 2003; McDonald et al., 2006). Our findings have implications for rehabilitators, researchers, and fishermen who handle live and dead porpoises from this population, and appropriate precautions should be taken to protect humans from potential exposure.

The authors are indebted to the weir fishermen of Grand Manan and the Grand Manan Whale and Seabird Research Station (GMWSRS) for field assistance, and to W. L. Yu, OLF, for conducting the PCR analysis. Porpoises were handled under IACUC protocols held by Duke University and University of North Carolina at Wilmington, and a Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada permit held by the GMWSRS.

## LITERATURE CITED

ALTON, G. G., L. M. JONES, AND D. E. PIETZ. 1975. Laboratory techniques in brucellosis. 2nd Edition. World Health Organization, Geneva, pp. 11–63.

- BREW, S. D., L. L. PERRETT, J. A. STACK, A. P. MACMILLAN, AND N. J. STAUNTON. 1999. Human exposure to *Brucella* recovered from a sea mammal. Veterinary Record 144: 483.
- BRICKER, B. J., AND S. M. HALLING. 2005. Enhancement of the *Brucella* AMOS PCR assay for differentiation of *Brucella abortus* vaccine strains S19 and RB51. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 33: 1640–1642.
- CARTER, G. R., M. M. CHENGAPPA, A. W. ROBERTS, G. W. CLAUS, AND Y. RIKIHISA. 1995. Essentials of veterinary microbiology. 5th Edition. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, Maryland, pp. 199–204.
- CLOECKAERT, A., M. GRAYON, AND O. GREPINET. 2000. An IS711 element downstream of the bp26 gene is a specific marker of *Brucella* spp. Isolated from marine mammals. Clinical and Diagnostic Laboratory Immunology 7: 835–839.

—, —, —, AND K. S. BOUMEDINE. 2003. Classification of *Brucella* strains isolated from marine mammals by infrequent restriction site-PCR and development of specific PCR identification tests. Microbes and Infection 5: 593–602.

- FORBES, L. B., O. NIELSEN, L. MEASURES, AND D. R. EWALT. 2000. Brucellosis in ringed seals and harp seals from Canada. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 36 (3): 595–598.
- FOSTER, G., A. P. MACMILLAN, J. GODFROID, F. HOWIE, H. M. ROSS, A. CLOECKAERT, R. J. REID, S. BREW, AND I. A. P. PATTERSON. 2002. A review of *Brucella* sp. infection of sea mammals with particular emphasis on isolates from Scotland. Veterinary Microbiology 90: 563–580.
- GONZALEZ, L., I. A. P. PATTERSON, R. J. REID, G. FOSTER, M. BARBERAN, J. M. BLASCO, S. KENNEDY, F. E. HOWIE, J. GODFROID, A. P. MACMILLAN, A. SCHOCK, AND D. BUXTON. 2002. Chronic meningoencephalitis associated with *Brucella* sp. infection in live-stranded striped dolphins (*Stenella coeruleoalba*). Journal of Comparative Pathology 126: 147–152.
- GREER, C. E., S. L. PETERSON, N. B. KIVIAT, AND M. M. MANOS. 1991. PCR amplification from paraffin-embedded tissues: Effects of fixative and fixation time. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 95: 117–124.
- JEPSON, P. D., S. BREW, A. P. MACMILLAN., J. R. BAKER, J. BARNETT, J. K. KIRKWOOD, T. KUIKEN, I. R. ROBINSON, AND V. R. SIMPSON. 1997. Antibodies to *Brucella* in marine mammals around the coast of England and Wales. Veterinary Record 141: 513–515.
- KOOPMAN, H. N., A. J. WESTGATE, AND A. J. READ. 1999. Hematology values of wild harbor porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) from the Bay of Fundy, Canada. Marine Mammal Science 15: 52–64.

- MARATEA, J., D. EWALT, S. FRASCA, JR., J. L. DUNN, S. DE GUISE, L. SZKUDLAREK, D. J. ST. AUBIN, AND R. A. FRENCH. 2003. Evidence of *Brucella* sp. infection in marine mammals stranded along the coast of southern New England. Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine 34: 256– 261.
- McDonald, W. L., R. JAMALUDIN, G. MACKERETH., M. HANSEN, S. HUMPHREY, P. SHORT, T. TAYLOR, J. SWINGLER, C. E. DAWSON, A. M. WHATMORE, E. STUBBERFIELD, L. L. PERRETT, AND G. SIMMON. 2006. Characterization of a *Brucella* sp. strain as a marine-mammal type despite isolation from a patient with spinal osteomyelitis in New Zealand. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 44: 4363–4370.
- MILLER, W. G., L. G. ADAMS, T. A. FICHT, N. F. CHEVILLE, J. P. PAYEUR, D. R. HARLEY, C. HOUSE, AND S. H. RIDGWAY. 1999. *Brucella*-induced abortions and infection in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine 30: 100–110.
- NEIMANIS, A. S., H. K. KOOPMAN, A. J. WESTGATE, L. D. MURISON, AND A. J. READ. 2004. Entrapment of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) in herring weirs in the Bay of Fundy, Canada. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 6 (1): 7–17.
- NIELSEN, K., D. GALL, W. KELLY, D. HENNING, AND M. GARCIA. 1992. Enzyme immunoassay: Application to diagnosis of bovine brucellosis. Agriculture Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 203 pp.
- —, —, M. JOLLEY, G. LEISHMAN, S. BALSEVICIUS, P. SMITH, P. NICOLETTI, AND F. THOMAS. 1996. A homogenous fluorescence polarization assay for the detection of antibody to *Brucella abortus*. Journal of Immunological Methods 195: 161–168.
- NIELSEN, O., K. NIELSEN, AND R. E. STEWART. 1996. Serologic evidence of brucella spp exposure in Atlantic walruses (Odobenus rosmarus rosmarus) and ringed seals (Phoca hispida) of Arctic Canada. Arctic 49 (4): 383–386.
- —, R. E. STEWART, K. NIELSEN, L. MEASURES, AND P. DUIGNAN. 2001. Serologic survey of *Brucella* spp. antibodies in some marine mammals of North America. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 37: 89–100.
- —, K. NIELSEN, R. BRAUN, AND L. KELLY. 2005. A comparison of four serologic assays in screening for *Brucella* exposure in Hawaiian monk seals. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 41: 126–133.
- PERRETT, L. L., C. E. DAWSON, N. DAVISON, AND S. QUINNEY. 2004. *Brucella* infection of lungworms from a harbour porpoise. Veterinary Record 154 (25): 800.
- READ, A. J., AND D. E. GASKIN. 1990. Changes in growth and reproduction of harbour porpoises,

*Phocoena phocoena*, from the Bay of Fundy. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 47: 2158–2163.

- SALEM, S. F., AND A. MOHSEN. 1997. Brucellosis in fish. Veterinarni Medicina (Praha) 42: 5–7.
- SHI, S.-R., R. J. COTE, L. WU, C. LIU, R. DATAR, Y. SHI, D. LIU, H. LIM, AND C. R. TAYLOR. 2002. DNA extraction from archival formalinfixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections based on the antigen retrieval principle: Heating under the influence of pH. Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry 50 (8): 1005– 1011.
- SOHN, A., W. PROBERT, C. GLASER, N. GUPTA, A. BOLLEN, J. WONG, E. GRACE, AND W. MCDONALD. 2003. Human neurobrucellosis with intracerebral granuloma caused by a marine mammal *Brucella* spp. Emerging Infectious Diseases 9: 485–488.
- VAN BRESSEM, M.-F., K. VAN WAEREBEEK, J. A. RAGA, J. GODFROID, S. D. BREW, AND A. P. MACMILLAN. 2001. Serological evidence of *Brucella* species infection in odontocetes from the south Pacific and the Mediterranean. Veterinary Record 148: 657–661.

Received for publication 6 April 2007.