



Errata

Source: The Condor, 114(1) : 239

Published By: American Ornithological Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1525/cond.2012.114.1.239>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

ERRATA

In Booms, T. L., S. L. Talbot, G. K. Sage, B. J. McCaffery, K. G. McCracken, and P. F. Schempf, 2011, Nest-site fidelity and dispersal of Gyrfalcons estimated by noninvasive genetic sampling, *Condor* 113:768–778, the following table was inadvertently omitted. The editorial staff of the *Condor* regrets this oversight.

TABLE 3. Pairwise comparison of F_{ST} and R_{ST} values from Gyrfalcons sampled in three study areas in Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge, Alaska, 2003–2007. Significant values following correction for multiple comparisons indicated by asterisks^a.

	Askinuks	Volcanoes
Volcanoes		
F_{ST}	0.040*	—
R_{ST}	0.209**	—
Kilbucks		
F_{ST}	0.069*	0.003
R_{ST}	0.333**	–0.015

^a* $P < 0.01$; ** $P < 0.001$.

In Miller, M. J., M. J. Lelevier, E. Bermingham, J. T. Klicka, P. Escalante, and K. Winker, 2011, Phylogeography of the Rufous-tailed Hummingbird (*Amazilia tzacatl*), *Condor* 113:806–816, the coding of points in the figure and the key in figure legend were not properly coordinated. For proper coordination the legend should read

FIGURE 2. (A) Distribution of specimens of *Amazilia tzacatl* collected for this study. Colors represent mtDNA clades recovered from that location; broken circles represent sites with two clades recovered; see Fig. 3. 1, Veracruz, Mexico; 2, Tabasco, Mexico; 3, Toledo, Belize; 4, Atlantida, Honduras (note that the point on the map is situated about 200 km too far to the west); 5, La Luz, Nicaragua; 6, Guanacaste, Costa Rica; 7, Isla Colón, Panama; 8, Bocas del Toro mainland, Panama (multiple sites); 9, Isla Escudo de Veraguas, Panama; 10, central Panama; 11, Chiriquí lowlands, Panama (multiple sites); 12, Chiriquí foothills, Panama; unnumbered, Santa Fé, Vergauas, Panama; 13, Isla Coiba, Panama; 14, Azuero Peninsula, Panama; 15, border of Panamá and Darién provinces, Panama; 16, eastern Darién, Panama; 17, Pacific Ecuador; see Appendix for site details. (B) Minimum-spanning tree of ND2 sequences from *Amazilia tzacatl*. The population from Isla Escudo, originally described as a species, is circled in blue. Black dots indicate haplotypes unrecovered in our tree. This topology is congruent with the results of a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis (not shown). See Appendix for population codes.