

Parasitism of Lepidopteran Defoliators of Urban Plants by Palmistichus elaeisis (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae)

Authors: Tavares, Wagner de S., Masson, Marcus V., Ferreira-Filho,

Pedro J., Barbosa, Leonardo R., Silva, Wiane M., et al.

Source: Florida Entomologist, 101(3): 453-457

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1653/024.101.0302

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Parasitism of lepidopteran defoliators of urban plants by Palmistichus elaeisis (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae)

Wagner de S. Tavares¹, Marcus V. Masson², Pedro J. Ferreira-Filho³, Leonardo R. Barbosa⁴, Wiane M. Silva⁵, Carlos F. Wilcken⁶, and José C. Zanuncio^{7,*}

Abstract

Heraclides anchisiades capys (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae), Citioica anthonilis (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae), and Methona themisto (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) are tree and shrub pests commonly found in urban areas. The parasitism capacity of Palmistichus elaeisis (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) was evaluated on pupae of these lepidopteran pests as well as on 2 commonly used alternative hosts, Anticarsia gemmatalis (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and Tenebrio molitor (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). The number of parasitoids produced per mg of host pupal biomass was significantly greater on H. anchisiades capys and T. molitor compared with the other 3 lepidopteran species. The mean number of parasitoids emerging per host pupa was also significantly more on H. anchisiades capys compared with C. anthonilis, M. themisto, A. gemmatalis, or T. molitor. All host species tested in this study show the potential to be used in mass rearing P. elaeisis in the laboratory. However, the lower parasitism and emergence of P. elaeisis on H. anchisiades capys pupae suggests that this lepidopteran pest may not be a suitable host for this parasitoid in the field.

Key Words: alternative host; mass rearing; parasitism rate; Tenebrio molitor

Resumen

Heraclides anchisiades capys (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae), Citioica anthonilis (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) y Methona themisto (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) son plagas de árboles y arbustos, comúnmente, encontrados en áreas urbanas. La capacidad de parasitismo de Palmistichus elaeisis (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) fue evaluada en pupas de estos lepidópteros plaga, así como en dos hospederos alternativos comúnmente usados, Anticarsia gemmatalis (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) y Tenebrio molitor (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). El número de parasitoides producido por miligramo de biomasa de pupa del hospedero fue significantemente mejor en H. anchisiades capys y T. molitor comparado con otras tres especies de lepidópteras. El número promedio de parasitoides emergidos por pupa de hospedero fue significantemente mayor en H. anchisiades capys comparado con C. anthonilis, M. themisto, A. gemmatalis y T. molitor. Todas las especies de hospederos probados en este estudio, mostraron potencial para la cría en masa de P. elaeisis en laboratorio. Sin embargo, el bajo parasitismo y emergencia de P. elaeisis en las pupas de H. anchisiade scapys, sugiere que este lepidóptero plaga no sea un hospedero adecuado para ese parasitoide en el campo.

Palabras Clave: cría en masa; hospedero alternativo; tasa de parasitismo; Tenebrio molitor

Thagona tibialis Walker (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) (= Stilpnotia tibialis Walker) is considered a public health problem because its larval hairs and scales from adult moths cause allergies in humans (Tavares et al. 2014). This pest has been reported from Argentina to Costa Rica as well as Brazil including Belo Horizonte and Viçosa, Minas Gerais State; Morro Reuter, Rio Grande do Sul State; and Brasília, Federal District (Tavares et al. 2014), where it is a serious defoliator of Terminalia catappa L. (Combretaceae). Larvae have been reported to infest urban areas, such as gardens, parks, parking lots, and street lines where T. catappa trees occur (Tavares et al. 2014). Adults of the gregarious pupa endoparasitoid, Palmistichus elaeisis Delvare & La Salle (Hymenoptera:

Eulophidae) have been observed to emerge from *T. tibialis* pupae collected on a *T. catappa* tree in Viçosa (Tavares et al. 2012, 2013a). This biological control agent parasitizes pupae of several coleopteran and lepidopteran species, including *Tenebrio molitor* L. (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Worldwide, *T. molitor* often is used as an alternative host to mass rear this parasitoid (Zanuncio et al. 2008). Biological control programs using generalist parasitoids are a pest management option for urban areas where people and animals preclude the use of many traditional chemical control options (Tavares et al. 2011, 2013b; Zanuncio et al. 2013). We believe that generalist parasitoids, such as *P. elaeisis*, may provide a useful tool for screening a variety of suitable

¹Asia Pacific Resources International Holdings Limited (APRIL), Riau Andalan Pulp and Paper (RAPP), Pangkalan Kerinci, Riau, Indonesia 28300;

E-mail: wagnermaias@yahoo.com.br (W. de S. T.)

²Bahia Specialty Cellulose/Copener Florestal Ltda., rua Dr. José Tiago Correa, s/n, bairro Alagoinhas Velha, 48030-480, Alagoinhas, Bahia, Brazil; E-mail: marcus.masson@yahoo.com.br (M. V. M.)

³Departamento de Ciências Ambientais, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, 18052-780, Sorocaba, São Paulo, Brazil; E-mail: pedrojf@ufscar.br (P. J. F.-F.)

⁴Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Florestas, 83411-000, Colombo, Paraná, Brazil;

E-mail: leonardo.r.barbosa@embrapa.br (L. R. B.)

⁵Departamento de Engenharia Florestal, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-900, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil; E-mail: wianems@yahoo.com.br (W. M. S.)

Departamento de Proteção Vegetal, Universidade Estadual Paulista "Júlio de Mesquita Filho", 18603-970, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil;

E-mail: cwilcken@fca.unesp.br (C. F. W.)

⁷Departamento de Entomologia/BIOAGRO, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-900, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, Brazil; E-mail: zanuncio@ufv.br (J. C. Z.) Corresponding author; E-mail: zanuncio@ufv.br

alternative hosts that could augment the high number of mass reared individuals required for biological control programs for agriculture and forestry pests. We report here on a study to evaluate the parasitism capacity of *P. elaeisis* on pupae of foliage pests of shrubs and trees commonly found in urban areas for possible use in biological control programs aimed at controlling *T. tibialis*. In addition, the suitability of 2 widely used alternative hosts of the parasitoid, *Anticarsia gemmatalis* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and *T. molitor*, also was evaluated under laboratory conditions.

Materials and Methods

INSECTS

Thagona tibialis pupae were collected during May 2010 in an urban area in Viçosa (20.7500°S, 42.8500°W; 650 masl). The location is characterized by subperennial tropical forest-type vegetation of the Atlantic Forest biome. Fifty T. tibialis pupae, unknown age, were collected using thick-tip tweezers (150 mm H × 0.4 mm W) from 10 T. catappa trees spaced 5 m apart. These pupae were placed in 500 mL plastic pots lined with cotton wool. These pots were brought to the Laboratory of Biological Insect Control at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa in Viçosa, Minas Gerais State, Brazil, where they were kept at 25 \pm 1 °C, 70 \pm 10% RH, and 12:12 h (L:D) photoperiod. Terminalia catappa was identified by morphology of its reproductive organs (Ivani et al. 2008). Samples of this plant were deposited in the herbarium of the Departamento de Biologia Vegetal at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa.

Thagona tibialis pupae were individually placed into glass test tubes (14 cm L × 2.2 cm diam) lined with cotton wool. Four male and 4 female *T. tibialis* emerged from these pupae, then were mounted and identified as an atypical form of this species, lacking dots on the forewings. In addition to the adults, pupae, egg masses, and larvae also were mounted and deposited in the Regional Museum of Entomology at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa. Adult specimens also were deposited in the Instituto Uiraçu in Camacan, Bahia state, Brazil.

Fifty-four *P. elaeisis* individuals emerged from a *T. tibialis* pupa and 10 individuals were used to identify this species using the taxonomic keys of Delvare & La Salle (1993). Another 10 individuals were deposited in the Department of Biology at Lund University in Sölvegatan, Lund, Sweden, and in the Regional Museum of Entomology at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa. The final 34 individuals were used to start a colony of *P. elaeisis* in the laboratory using methods described by Zanuncio et al. (2008).

Host caterpillars were captured on shrubs and trees of 80 species from 21 plant families grown on the Universidade Federal de Viçosa campus adjacent to T. catappa trees (up to 2 km away) when most T. tibialis were in the pupal stage. Around 100 final-instar larvae were collected from each location using a fine-tip brush; these were Citioica anthonilis (Herrich-Schaeffer) (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae) on Piptadenia gonoacantha (Martin) Macbride (Fabaceae); Heraclides anchisiades capys (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) on Citrus sp. (Rutaceae), and Methona themisto (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) on Brunfelsia uniflora (Pohl) D. Don (Solanaceae) and Inga edulis Martius (Fabaceae). Caterpillars were placed in 500 mL plastic pots lined with cotton wool and transported to the laboratory at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa where they were subsequently transferred to screened rearing cages (30 cm W × 30 cm H × 30 cm L). The petiole of branches from their plant hosts were inserted into a 50 mL plastic vial with water in screen cages until pupation at 25 \pm 1 °C, 70 \pm 10% RH, and 12:12 h (L:D) photoperiod. Frass and plant debris were removed from cages and fresh foliage provided ad libitum daily.

Fifty 24-h-old pupae (mean \pm SE) of *A. gemmatalis* (279.90 \pm 7.30 mg), *C. anthonilis* (748.80 \pm 18.08 mg), *H. anchisiades capys* (429.12 \pm 11.98 mg), *M. themisto* (427.92 \pm 12.64 mg), and *T. molitor* (93.70 \pm 1.13 mg) each were placed in a glass test tube (14 cm L \times 2.2 cm diam) with four 72-h-old *P. elaeisis* females previously mated at 48 h from the laboratory colony at the Universidade Federal de Viçosa. Honey was provided ad libitum as food for parasitoids and tubes were sealed with a cotton swab. All 250 pupae were subjected to parasitism at the same time. First generation (F₁) parasitoids obtained from field-collected *T. tibialis* pupae were used in all bioassays. Pupae were exposed to parasitoids for 24 h (Zanuncio et al. 2008) and remained in tubes until emergence of parasitoids or adult hosts.

Anticarsia gemmatalis pupae were obtained from a larval laboratory colony reared on an artificial diet (Ferreira et al. 2008) for approximately 5 yr. *Tenebrio molitor* pupae were obtained from larvae grown on an alternative artificial diet (Tavares et al. 2017) from an established laboratory colony in culture for approximately 10 yr. Insect hosts (*C. anthonilis, H. anchisiades capys,* and *M. themisto*) (Leite et al. 2010; Barbosa & Costa 2013; Miranda et al. 2015) and plant species (*B. uniflora, Citrus* sp., *I. edulis,* and *P. gonoacantha*) (Filipowicz et al. 2012) were identified by comparing them with taxonomic keys and descriptions. Each treatment (host species) consisted of 50 replicate pupae. Mean numbers of pupae parasitized were compared between treatments using a completely randomized study design.

PARASITOID PRODUCTION

The parasitoid variables evaluated in this study were developmental time from egg to adult (d), parasitism and parasitoid emergence (%), proportion of females (number of females ÷ number of insects), body length, head capsule width (mm), male and female longevity (d), and mean number of parasitoids emerged per pupa. Bioassay results were expressed as mg of host pupal biomass. Parasitism of host pupae by P. elaeisis was evaluated according to three outcomes: successfully parasitized, unsuccessfully parasitized, and not parasitized. Successfully parasitized pupae were caramel in color and produced P. elaeisis adults. Unsuccessfully parasitized pupae were black, hollow, shrunken, and eventually died. Non-parasitized pupae produced adult moths or beetles depending on host species (Zanuncio et al. 2008). Palmistichus elaeisis males and females were identified by analyzing morphological characteristics of their antennae and abdomen (Delvare & La Salle 1993). Measurements of body length and head capsule width of P. elaeisis adults were obtained with a Model WF ocular micrometer (10x) attached to a stereomicroscope Luxeo 4D (Labo America, Inc., Fremont, California, USA).

STATISTICS

The data were distributed normally and the variances were homogenous (PROC UNIVARIATE; GPLOT PROC), so data transformation was not necessary. Data were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance and means compared using the Tukey multiple comparison test (α = 0.05) (Tukey 1949) except those of parasitism and emergence rates, which were analyzed by general linear models (GLM) of binomial distributions (PROC GLM) (α = 0.05). This analysis was performed with the original data expressed as percentages. All statistical analyses used SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute 2008).

Results

Significantly fewer H. anchisiades capys pupae (40.5 ± 2.2%) were parasitized as compared to A. gemmatalis, C. anthonilis, M. themisto, and *T. molitor* pupae (100%) ($\chi^2 = 4.880$; P = 0.0026). Parasitoid emergence was highest from C. anthonilis, M. themisto (100%) and T. molitor (92.3 \pm 4.7%) pupae; intermediate from A. gemmatalis (72.1 ± 2.9%) pupae, and lowest from H. anchisiades capys (40.4 \pm 1.6%) pupae ($\chi^2 = 7.240$; P = 0.0013). The parasitoid developmental period from egg to adult was longer in T. molitor pupae (23.42 \pm 0.18 d) than in A. gemmatalis (21.60 \pm 0.16 d), H. anchisiades capys (21.15 \pm 0.15 d), M. themisto (20.42 \pm 0.15 d), or *C. anthonilis* pupae (19.34 \pm 0.14 d) (χ^2 = 5.010; P = 0.0019) (Table 1). The longevity of parasitoid females was greater when reared in C. anthonilis pupae (26.41 \pm 0.82 d) than with those in M. themisto $(23.91 \pm 1.04 d)$, H. anchisiades capys $(23.72 \pm 1.15 d)$, A. gemmatalis (23.15 \pm 2.32 d), or T. molitor pupae (22.65 \pm 1.13 d) (F = 5.31; df = 4; P = 0.0017) (Table 1). The longevity of males was greatest when reared in *H. anchisiades capys* pupae (30.10 ± 2.42 d), intermediate in C. anthonilis (28.89 \pm 3.04 d), T. molitor (28.30 \pm 2.38 d), and M. themisto (27.53 \pm 2.01 d), and lowest in A. gemmatalis (24.30 \pm 4.17 d) (F = 4.29; df = 4; P = 0.0044) (Table 1).

The head capsule of males was widest when reared in *T. molitor* pupae (0.45 \pm 0.01 mm), intermediate in *C. anthonilis* (0.43 \pm 0.01 mm), and narrowest in *M. themisto* (0.40 \pm 0.01 mm), *H. anchisiades capys* (0.40 \pm 0.02 mm), and *A. gemmatalis* (0.39 \pm 0.01 mm) (F = 3.87; df = 4; P = 0.0087) (Table 2). The head capsule of females was widest when reared in *T. molitor* pupae (0.58 \pm 0.01 mm), intermediate in *C. anthonilis* (0.55 \pm 0.02 mm), *M. themisto* (0.54 \pm 0.01 mm), and *H. anchisiades capys* (0.52 \pm 0.02 mm), and narrowest in *A. gemmatalis* (0.50 \pm 0.01 mm) (F = 4.91; df = 4; P = 0.0025) (Table 2).

The body length of *P. elaeisis* males was longer when reared in *M. themisto* (1.34 \pm 0.02 mm), *T. molitor* (1.34 \pm 0.02 mm), *C. anthonilis* (1.34 \pm 0.01 mm), and *A. gemmatalis* (1.32 \pm 0.03 mm) pupae than in *H. anchisiades capys* (1.28 \pm 0.04 mm) (F = 4.14; df = 4; P = 0.0052) (Table 2). The body length of females was longest when reared in *T. molitor* (2.00 \pm 0.03 mm) and *C. anthonilis* (2.00 \pm 0.01 mm) pupae, intermediate in *M. themisto* (1.94 \pm 0.02 mm), and shortest in *H. anchisiades capys* (1.92 \pm 0.04 mm) and *A. gemmatalis* (1.88 \pm 0.03 mm) (F = 4.94; df = 4; P = 0.0081) (Table 2).

The mean number of *P. elaeisis* individuals produced per mg of host pupal biomass was higher from *H. anchisiades capys* (0.7529 \pm 0.0317) and *T. molitor* (0.7364 \pm 0.0619) than with those of *A. gemmatalis* (0.3859 \pm 0.0233), *C. anthonilis* (0.3824 \pm 0.0160), and *M. themisto* (0.3232 \pm 0.0071) (F = 4.81; df = 4; P = 0.0021) (Table 3). The mean numbers of parasitoids per host pupa (F = 3.85; df = 4; P = 0.0002) and total number of parasitoids produced per host species (F = 3.85; df = 4;

P=0.0002) was highest in H. anchisiades capys (323 \pm 38 and 6,460 \pm 760), intermediate in C. anthonilis (286 \pm 29 and 5,720 \pm 580), M. themisto (138 \pm 9 and 2,760 \pm 180), and A. gemmatalis (108 \pm 17 and 2,160 \pm 340), and lowest in T. molitor (69 \pm 7 and 1,380 \pm 140), respectively (Table 4). The proportion of females was similar for pupae of A. gemmatalis (0.96 \pm 0.01), H. anchisiades capys (0.95 \pm 0.02), M. themisto (0.95 \pm 0.02), C. anthonilis (0.95 \pm 0.01), and T. molitor (0.94 \pm 0.01) (F=3.01; df = 4; P=0.06) (Table 4).

Discussion

In our study, life expectancy of *P. elaeisis* females reared in *A. gemmatalis* pupae was similar to that reported by Pereira et al. (2010, 2013) for laboratory-reared colonies of this species. Indeed, we found that the longevity of *P. elaeisis* adults exceeded 10 d, which has been considered sufficient for oviposition on lepidopteran hosts (Chichera et al. 2012). The head capsule width of both *P. elaeisis* sexes from *A. gemmatalis* pupae was similar to that of colonized individuals reared for 6 generations on artifical diet (Pereira et al. 2010). Wide head capsules of male and female *P. elaeisis* indicate greater robustness with higher parasitism and offspring production capacity (Camilo et al. 2015).

The body lengths of *P. elaeisis* males and females from *A. gemmatalis* pupae were similar when compared with the same colonized species at the sixth generation $(1.34 \pm 0.02 \text{ mm} \text{ and } 1.88 \pm 0.03 \text{ mm})$ (Pereira et al. 2010). Generally, larger *P. elaeisis* females have greater reproductive potential and longevity during food shortages (Chichera et al. 2012). The number of *P. elaeisis* individuals produced per *A. gemmatalis* pupa was similar to that for colonized parasitoids of this species reared during the first (110.20 ± 19.37) (Pereira et al. 2010) and sixth generation (493.27 ± 1.04) . This suggests that *P. elaeisis* is capable of adaptation to laboratory conditions (Pereira et al. 2010). In our study, the proportion of females of *P. elaeisis* that emerged from *A. gemmatalis* pupae was similar to that for this parasitoid in similar host pupae stored for 12 d at 12 °C (0.94 to 0.95) (Pereira et al. 2013).

We believe that the mass rearing of *P. elaeisis* for use in biological control programs for urban ornamental defoliators depends on suitable alternative hosts. *Citioica anthonilis, H. anchisiades capys,* or *M. themisto* pupae may not be suitable because of limited seasonal availability. Moreover, we found that the low parasitism and emergence rates of *P. elaeisis* on *H. anchisiades capys* pupae suggest this host is not suitable for this parasitoid in the field. This leads to *A. gemmatalis* and *T. molitor* pupae being preferable for mass rearing of the parasitoid. *Palmistichus elaeisis* is considered a generalist endoparasitoid of lepidopteran pupae that could facilitate its use in pest management programs. We believe that mass production and augmentive release

Table 1. Developmental time from egg to adult, and male and female *Palmistichus elaeisis* longevity (mean \pm SE) on *Anticarsia gemmatalis, Citioica anthonilis, Heraclides anchisiades capys, Methona themisto,* and *Tenebrio molitor* pupae reared at 25 ± 1 °C, $70 \pm 10\%$ RH, and 12:12 h (L:D) photoperiod.

Species	Egg to adult (d)	Male longevity (d)	Female longevity (d)
A. gemmatalis	21.60 ± 0.16 B	24.30 ± 4.17 B	23.15 ± 2.32 B
C. anthonilis	19.34 ± 0.14 B	28.89 ± 3.04 B	26.41 ± 0.82 B
H. anchisiades capys	21.15 ± 0.15 B	30.10 ± 2.42 A	23.72 ± 1.15 B
M. themisto	20.42 ± 0.15 B	27.53 ± 2.01 B	23.91 ± 1.04 A
T. molitor	23.42 ± 0.18 A	28.30 ± 2.38 C	22.65 ± 1.13 B
CV (%)	12.2	15.8	8.5
ANOVA	(F = 5.01; df = 4; P = 0.0019)	(F=5.31; df = 4; $P=0.0017$)	(F = 4.29; df = 4; P = 0.0044)

CV = Variation coefficient. Means within the same column followed by the same letter do not differ (P < 0.05) by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Table 2. Head capsule widths and body lengths (mean ± SE) of male and female *Palmistichus elaeisis* from *Anticarsia gemmatalis, Citioica anthonilis, Heraclides anchisiades capys, Methona themisto*, and *Tenebrio molitor* pupae reared at 25 ± 1 °C, 70 ± 10% RH, and 12:12 h (L:D) photoperiod.

Species	Male head capsule (mm)	Female head capsule (mm)	Male body length (mm)	Female body length (mm)
A. gemmatalis	0.39 ± 0.01 C	0.50 ± 0.01 C	1.32 ± 0.03 A	1.88 ± 0.03 C
C. anthonilis	0.43 ± 0.01 B	0.55 ± 0.02 B	1.34 ± 0.01 A	$2.00 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{A}$
H. anchisiades capys	0.40 ± 0.02 C	0.52 ± 0.02 B	1.28 ± 0.04 B	1.92 ± 0.04 C
M. themisto	$0.40 \pm 0.01 C$	0.54 ± 0.01 B	$1.34 \pm 0.02 A$	1.94 ± 0.02 B
T. molitor	$0.45 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{A}$	0.58 ± 0.01 A	1.34 ± 0.02 A	2.00 ± 0.03 A
CV (%)	5.5	9.6	8.3	10.7
ANOVA	(F = 3.87; df = 4; $P = 0.0087)$	(F = 4.91; df = 4; $P = 0.0025)$	(F = 4.14; df = 4; $P = 0.0052)$	(F = 4.94; df = 4; $P = 0.0081$)

CV = Variation coefficient. Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ (P < 0.05) by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Table 3. Palmistichus elaeisis (mean \pm SE) individuals produced per mg of Anticarsia gemmatalis, Citioica anthonilis, Heraclides anchisiades capys, Methona themisto, and Tenebrio molitor pupa biomass and range at 25 \pm 1 °C, 70 \pm 10% RH, and 12:12 h (L:D) photoperiod.

Species	Individuals per mg	Range
A. gemmatalis	0.3859 ± 0.0233 B	[0.3626 - 0.4092]
C. anthonilis	0.3824 ± 0.0160 B	[0.3664 - 0.3990]
H. anchisiades capys	0.7529 ± 0.0317 A	[0.7212 - 0.7846]
M. themisto	0.3232 ± 0.0071 B	[0.3161 - 0.3303]
T. molitor	0.7364 ± 0.0619 A	[0.6745 - 0.7983]
CV (%)	9.85	
AVOVA	(<i>F</i> = 4.81;	
	df = 4; $P = 0.0021$)	

CV = Variation coefficient. Means within a column followed by the same letter do not differ (P < 0.05) by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Table 4. Individuals emerging per pupa and total number produced per host species (mean ± SE), and the proportion *Palmistichus elaeisis* that were females when reared on *Anticarsia gemmatalis*, *Citioica anthonilis*, *Heraclides anchisiades capys*, *Methona themisto*, and *Tenebrio molitor* pupae at 25 ± 1 °C, 70 ± 10% RH, and 12:12 h (L:D) photoperiod.

Species	Individuals per pupa	Total production	Proportion
A. gemmatalis	108 ± 17 C	2,160 ± 340 C	0.96 ± 0.01 A
C. anthonilis	286 ± 29 B	5,720 ± 580 B	0.95 ± 0.01 A
H. anchisiades capys	323 ± 38 A	6,460 ± 760 A	0.95 ± 0.02 A
M. themisto	138 ± 9 C	2,760 ± 180 C	0.95 ± 0.02 A
T. molitor	69 ± 7 D	1,380 ± 140 D	0.94 ± 0.01 A
CV (%)	39.4	39.4	4.5
ANOVA	(F = 3.85; df = 4; $P = 0.0002$)	(F = 3.85; df = 4; $P = 0.0002$)	(F = 3.01; df = 4; $P = 0.06)$

CV = Variation coefficient. Proportion of females = number of females ÷ number of insects. Means in each column followed by the same letter do not differ (*P* < 0.05) by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

of *P. elaeisis* represents a plausible avenue for biological control of defoliating caterpillars in urban trees and shrubs especially when several different lepidopteran pest species are present.

Acknowledgments

We thank the following people: Vitor Osmar Becker for identifying *T. tibialis*; Olaf Hermann Hendrik Mielke for identifying *C. anthonilis*, *H. anchisiades capys*, and *M. themisto*; Christer Hansson for identifying *P. elaeisis*; Antônio Lélis Pinheiro for identify-

ing *B. uniflora*, *Citrus* spp., *I. edulis*, and *P. gonoacantha*; Aristônio Magalhães Teles for identifying *T. catappa*; Mauricio Moraes Zenker for information about distribution of *T. tibialis* in Morro Reuter, Rio Grande do Sul State, Brazil; and Fabiola Ocampo Quintero for providing the resumen. Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais, and Programa Cooperativo sobre Proteção Florestal of the Instituto de Pesquisas e Estudos Florestais provided financial support. Dr. Phillip John Villani (University of Melbourne, Australia) revised and corrected the English language used in this manuscript.

References Cited

- Barbosa KVC, Costa TVV. 2013. Notes on the morphology and larval development of *Methona themisto* (Hübner, 1818) (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Ithomiini) from southeastern Brazil. Journal of Research on the Lepidoptera 46: 67–74.
- Camilo SS, Soares MA, Zanuncio JC, Leite GLD, Pires EM, Cruz MCM. 2015. Host plants of *Thyrinteina arnobia* (Lepidoptera: Geometridae) affect the development of parasitoids *Palmistichus elaeisis* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Revista Árvore 39: 159–166.
- Chichera RA, Pereira FF, Kassab SO, Barbosa RH, Pastori PL, Rossoni C. 2012. Ability to quest and reproduction of *Trichospilus diatraeae* and *Palmistichus elaeisis* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) in pupae of *Diatraea saccharalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). Interciência 37: 852–856.
- Delvare G, La Salle J. 1993. A new genus of Tetrastichidae (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) from the Neotropical region, with the description of a new species parasitic on key pests of oil palm. Journal of Natural History 27: 435–444.
- Ferreira JAM, Zanuncio JC, Torres JB, Molina-Rugama AJ. 2008. Predatory behaviour of *Podisus nigrispinus* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) on different densities of *Anticarsia gemmatalis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. Biocontrol Science and Technology 18: 711–719.
- Filipowicz N, Nee MH, Renner SS. 2012. Description and molecular diagnosis of a new species of *Brunfelsia* (Solanaceae) from the Bolivian and Argentinean Andes. PhytoKeys 10: 83–94.
- Ivani SD, Silva BMDE, Oliveira C, Moro FV. 2008. Morphology of the fruit, the seed and the seedlings of chestnut tree (*Terminalia catappa* L. Combretaceae). Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura 30: 517–522.
- Leite LAR, Casagrande MM, Mielke OHH. 2010. External morphology of the adult of *Heraclides anchisiades capys* (Hübner, [1809]) (Lepidoptera Papilionidae) I. Head, cephalic appendages and cervical region. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology 53: 1119–1126.
- Miranda AV, Abreu e Silva M, Mielke CGC, Cerri D, Felix M, Costa J. 2015. The Saturniidae (Lepidoptera) deposited in the Entomological Collection of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, with geographic and taxonomic notes. Nachrichten des Entomologischen Vereins Apollo 36: 136–147.
- Pereira FF, Zanuncio JC, Kassab SO, Pastori PL, Barbosa RH, Rossoni C. 2013. Biological characteristics of *Palmistichus elaeisis* Delvare & LaSalle (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) on refrigerated pupae of *Anticarsia gemmatalis* Hüb-

- ner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research 73: 117–121.
- Pereira FF, Zanuncio JC, Pastori PL, Chichera RA, Andrade GS, Serrão JE. 2010. Reproductive biology of *Palmistichus elaeisis* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) with alternative and natural hosts. Zoologia 27: 887–891.
- SAS Institute Inc., 2008 SAS/STAT* 9.2 User's Guide. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA.
- Tavares WS, Hansson C, Serrão JE, Zanuncio JC. 2011. First report of *Trichospilus pupivorus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) parasitizing pupae of *Anticarsia aemmatalis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Entomologia Generalis 33: 281–282.
- Tavares WS, Hansson C, Serrão JE, Zanuncio JC. 2013b. *Trichospilus pupivorus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae): first report of parasitism on *Thagona tibialis* (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) in Brazil. Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment 48: 104–105.
- Tavares WD, Legaspi JC, Tavares MT, Nunez E, Pinto R, Zanuncio JC. 2013a. Brachymeria koehleri (Hymenoptera: Chalcididae) as a hyperparasitoid of Lespesia melloi (Diptera: Tachinidae) pupae in Thagona tibialis (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) caterpillars in Brazil. Florida Entomologist 96: 1635–1638.
- Tavares WS, Silva IM, Legaspi JC, Serrão JE, Zanuncio JC. 2017. Podisus distinctus (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) females are lighter feeding on Tenebrio molitor (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) pupae subjected to ventral nerve cord transection. Entomologica Americana 123: 35–41.
- Tavares WS, Wilcken CF, Ramalho FS, Leite GLD, Serrão JE, Zanuncio JC. 2014. Defoliation of *Terminalia catappa* by larvae of *Thagona tibialis* (Lepidoptera: Erebidae) in Viçosa, Brazil. Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology 30: 1–11.
- Tavares WS, Zanuncio TV, Hansson C, Serrão JE, Zanuncio JC. 2012. Emergence of *Palmistichus elaeisis* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) from pupae of *Thagona tibialis* (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae) collected in the medicinal plant *Terminalia catappa* (Combretaceae). Entomological News 122: 250–256.
- Tukey J. 1949. Comparing individual means in the analysis of variance. Biometrics 5: 99–114.
- Zanuncio JC, Matos Neto FC, Tavares WS, Cruz I, Leite GLD, Serrão JE. 2013. Functional and numerical responses and reproduction of *Campoletis flavicincta* parasitizing *Spodoptera frugiperda* caterpillars. Acta Scientiarum Agronomy 35: 419–426.
- Zanuncio JC, Pereira FF, Jacques GC, Tavares MT, Serrão JE. 2008. *Tenebrio molitor* Linnaeus (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), a new alternative host to rear the pupae parasitoid *Palmistichus elaeisis* Delvare & LaSalle (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Coleopterists Bulletin 62: 64–66.