



Phragmites frutescens (Gramineae) re-visited. The discovery of an overlooked, woody grass in Greece, especially Crete

Authors: Scholz, Hildemar, and Böhling, Niels

Source: Willdenowia, 30(2) : 251-261

Published By: Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin (BGBM)

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.30.30204>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

HILDEMAR SCHOLZ & NIELS BÖHLING

***Phragmites frutescens* (Gramineae) re-visited. The discovery of an overlooked, woody grass in Greece, especially Crete**

Abstract

Scholz, H. & Böhling, N.: *Phragmites frutescens* (Gramineae) re-visited. The discovery of an overlooked, woody grass in Greece, especially Crete. – Willdenowia 30: 251-261. 2000. – ISSN 0511-9618.

The continuation of studies on *Phragmites frutescens* in Crete revealed its taxonomic distinctness and fairly wide distribution in Crete as well as in Greece in general. A revised morphological description and differentiation against *P. australis* and *P. mauritanus* are presented. As a result, the synonymisation of this tall, woody, branched, winter-flowering reed with *P. australis* is rejected. Its preferred habitats are described as being near permanent water. It is considered to have evolved in isolation from the tropical *P. mauritanus* after post-glacial desertification of northern Africa. By now most stands of *P. frutescens* have been heavily devastated by anthropogenic action.

History of discovery

The incidental encounter with a strange-looking population of *Phragmites* Adans. in NW Crete, Greece, in April 1996, challenged to closer studies in the field and the laboratory, resulting in the description of *P. frutescens* H. Scholz (1996) from several Cretan localities. Prior to its description, three *Phragmites* species were known (Clayton & Renvoize 1986, Watson & Dallwitz 1994): (1) the cosmopolitan and polymorphic *P. australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. (= *P. communis* Trin., incl. *P. australis* subsp. *altissimus* (Benth.) Clayton and *P. japonicus* Steud.), the common reed, also present in Crete, (2) *P. mauritanus* Kunth, and (3) *P. vallatoria* (L.) Veldkamp (= *P. karka* (Retz.) Steud.), the two latter restricted in their distribution to the Old World tropics. In Africa *P. mauritanus* extends northwards along the Nile river to S Egypt (Cope & Hosni 1991).

Habit differences between the two kinds of reeds present in Crete, *Phragmites australis* and *P. frutescens*, were very impressive in spring 1996 and the plants of the latter incompatible with any published description of *Phragmites* in Europe (Haslam 1972 and references therein, Rodewald-Rudescu 1974, Tutin 1980, Conert 1983): the aerial, up to 6 m tall, straight shoots arise from horizontal or vertical rhizomes, apparently overwinter and persist for several years irrespective of the erratic sexual reproduction on single shoots in a clone. In contrast, in *P. australis* gregarious flowering is a yearly recurrent phenomenon and afterwards the culms die back to

ground level. *P. frutescens* stems tend to be more shrubby in habit, owing to the frequent production of lateral shoots especially on dry soil or in otherwise adverse conditions, when the always sterile and well-branched shoots are densely beset with rigid, sharp-pointed leaves and up to 2 m tall (Fig. 2a). Ramified shoots can be found in *P. australis* too, but only in dwarf and prostrate plants growing on less moist soils (var. *stenophylla* (Boiss.) Bor) are the leaves pungent. Otherwise, (secondary) branching on erect shoots is observed in *P. australis*, e.g., when the main stem is damaged by wind. Some Cretan and especially North African desert plants (Darius 1989; specimens seen) belong here.

During more extensive field work in Crete in 1997-2000, *Phragmites frutescens* plants with well-developed panicles could be observed, not only the stunted and somewhat malformed, "teratological" ones described previously (Scholz 1996). The species proved to be male and female fertile, and widespread near permanent water, especially on swampy places near the sea. It has affinities to *P. mauritanus* rather than *P. australis* as initially supposed. Consequently, the synonymisation of *P. frutescens* and *P. australis* (Chilton & Turland 1997: 102) is rejected. *P. frutescens* is certainly not an aberrant form of *P. australis* (compare Fig. 2-4, Table 1-2).

Relevance of arguments

Features common to *Phragmites frutescens* and *P. mauritanus* can be summarised briefly as follows, using criteria pointed out by Clayton (1970) and Gordon-Gray & Ward (1971) for the latter species: panicles lax; leaf sheaths embracing internodes; both leaf sheaths and blades (laminae) being easily deciduous on older stems, so exposing the culm internodes; leaf blades apically rather stiffly pointed; axillary sterile shoots present (compare Fig. 2a, 2b, 3a).

In contrast, *Phragmites australis* (references as above) is characterised by: more compact panicles; tightly and permanently ensheathed culm internodes; apically softly filiform blades, breaking off from the sheaths; usual lack of any lateral shoots.

The erect, 3-6 m high shoots of *Phragmites frutescens* (at base up to 3 cm in diameter) differ from *P. australis* in a peculiar "phoenicoid" habit (resembling the leaves of the *Phoenix* palm) (see Fig. 2c) due to the patent, rather coarse blades (softer in *P. australis*). Runners (leghalme) could be observed twice (they are said to be absent on *P. mauritanus* (Gordon-Gray & Ward 1971)). Quite exceptional is the very low and erratic or almost totally absent sexual reproduction in *P. frutescens*. The fruiting panicles of four *P. frutescens* populations available are 10-35 cm and the spikelets 7-11 mm long, whereas the fruiting panicles are 15-60 cm and the spikelets 7-16 mm long in *P. mauritanus*. The latter has usually spikelets with more florets and longer callus hairs than *P. frutescens*, whose spikelets are 4-6-flowered and with callus hairs nearly as long as the second lemma. The floral dimensions of *P. australis* considerably exceed those of both *P. mauritanus* (Conert 1961, Clayton 1967, Launert 1971) and *P. frutescens*.

Further distinguishing features of *Phragmites frutescens* are its flowering in winter (November to January) and the surviving of its woody culms after flowering. In Crete, at the beginning of the Mediterranean rainy season in early November 1998, the forest of the spikelets on the panicles just emerged from the uppermost sheaths and had only initials of stamens and ovaries. In May 1999 almost all seed-setting florets were released and dispersed, but the paniced culms were still leafy and green, without any symptoms of dying away. The perennation of the culms may indicate a tropical ancestry of *P. frutescens*. Perennating culms are, in contrast, utmost unusual in *P. australis*, and Haslam (1975), who mentioned populations of *P. australis* ("*P. communis*") on the Mediterranean island of Malta with culms exceptionally lasting three seasons, therefore suggested their affinity to *P. vallatoria* ("*P. karka*"). Possibly these populations may belong to *P. frutescens*, too.

Table 1 & 2 summarize the morphological and ecological characters useful for separating the species discussed here. The details given for *Phragmites mauritanus* (Table 1) are based mainly on Gordon-Gray & Ward (1971). As Clayton (1968) emphasised, the *Phragmites* species "cannot be readily separated by any single character". Unreliable characters to differentiate *P. frutescens*

Table 1. Macro-morphological, generative and ecological characters of *Phragmites frutescens*, *P. mauritianus* and *P. australis* based on Gordon-Gray & Ward (1971) and own observations.

| Characters | <i>P. frutescens</i> | <i>P. mauritianus</i> | <i>P. australis</i> |
|---|--|---|--|
| Sterile side branches on erect culms | regularly on mature or post-flowering culms, up to 70 cm long | regularly on mature or post-flowering culms, up to 25 cm long | rare, after damage to the main culm or on dwarfish plants (var. <i>stenophylla</i>) |
| Stems after flowering | dying back only distally (to the next internode below the inflorescence) | | dying back totally |
| Runners (legehalme) | rare | never observed | sporadic |
| Abscission of mature leaves | leaves totally abscissing | | only blades abscissing |
| Mature culms | woody, up to 3 cm diam., naked, shiny, often suffused with red | woody, often pinkish to purplish | weak, enclosed by leaf sheaths |
| Leaf blade colour | bluish green | yellowish green | blue-green |
| Leaf blade tips | pungent | | soft (not var. <i>stenophylla</i>) |
| Leaf sheaths | loosely enclosing stem | | tightly enclosing stem |
| Leaves on flowering culms | weakly distichous | blades not or rarely pointing in one direction | blades often directed to one side |
| Culms on ruderal sites | usually unbranched, leaf blades distichous, culms long; rarely flowering | ? | irregularly decumbent to erect, somewhat branched, short; leaves short, somewhat lax; often flowering (= var. <i>stenophylla</i>) |
| Panicles (full flowering) | lax (lower branches without spikelets to the base); branches slightly drooping; panicle usually free | lax; branches strongly drooping; panicle free | dense (lower branches with spikelets to the base); branches erect to scarcely drooping; panicle base often enclosed in uppermost leaf sheath |
| Horizontal rhizomes | somewhat flattened | terete | somewhat flattened |
| Flowering time | winter | mainly summer | summer to early autumn |
| Moisture preference | wetlands with year-round high water supply only | ? (sites drier than those of <i>P. australis</i>) | widely distributed in wetlands |
| Soil preferences | loam | sand | sand, loamy sand and mud |
| Capability of vegetative spreading and survival | high | high | medium |

and *P. mauritianus* from *P. australis* are the length of the leaf sheaths (whether or not longer than the internodes), the scabrosity of the blades, the pilosity of the blade collar and the presence or absence of microhairs on the leaf blade epidermis (Gordon-Gray & Ward 1971 for contrasting

Table 2. Size of reproductive structures of *Phragmites* (after Conert 1961, Clayton 1970, Gordon-Gray & Ward 1971, Launert 1971 and original data of Scholz & Böhling); all measurements refer to the length of the structures.

| | <i>P. frutescens</i> | <i>P. mauritanus</i> | <i>P. vallatoria</i> | <i>P. australis</i> |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Panicles [cm] | (10-)20-35 | (15-)30-60 | 30-60 | (3-)10-50 |
| Spikelets [mm] | 7-11 | 7-16 | 9-12 | 10-18 |
| Florets per spikelet | 4-6 | (3-)4-8(-11) | 4-6 | (3-)4-12 |
| Lower glume [mm] | (2-)3-5 | 2-4(-6) | 3-4 | (2-)3-6(-7) |
| Upper glume [mm] | 3-6 | 3-6 | 4-6 | 5-12 |
| Lower lemma [mm] | 6-9 | (4.7-)6-8(-10) | 7-12 | (7.5-)9-16 |
| Upper lemma [mm] | 4-7 | 6-11 | 8.5-11 | (7-)9-12 |
| Paleas [mm] | 2-3 | 2-6 | 2.5-3.5 | 1.5-4 |
| Callus [mm] | 0.5-0.7 | 0.5-1.5 | 1-1.5 | 1-1.5 |
| Callus hairs [mm] | 4-5(-6) | 4-7 | 4-7 | (6-)8-10 |
| Anthers [mm] | 1.2-1.8 | 1.5-2.25 | ? | c. 2-4 |

view). The search for microhairs on *P. frutescens* is very frustrating and on shrubby plants often in vain, but could be successfully accomplished. Only once on Cretan *P. australis* were microhairs seen, thus not confirming contrary previous statements from 1960 onwards (Metcalf 1960) for this species.

Material of *Phragmites frutescens*, cultivated outdoors in a water-filled trough at the Botanic Garden Berlin-Dahlem, developed from early summer 1999 until end of August 1999 a young, basally woody culm up to 1 m tall, up to 2 cm in diameter and with sprouting axillary buds. In mid October 1999 already up to 35 cm long branches developed along the 2 m tall main culm. Older culms increasingly branched, when somewhat bent (Fig. 4b). On 12 November the aerial parts were killed by low frost (-5 °C).

Fig. 4c shows "normal" *P. australis* grown under the same conditions. The plant, however, was raised from a typical individual of the dwarfish and pungent var. *stenophylla* (voucher at B: Crete, Karteros, E Iraklio, coastal sands, 25.10.1997, Böhling & Greuter 6974). This proves that, in contrast to *P. frutescens*, the particular morphology of *P. australis* var. *stenophylla* is a mere response to environmental factors, lost under more suitable conditions and therefore taxonomically of no value.

Why so long undetected?

Tall grasses such as the woody bamboos, the giant reed (*Arundo donax* L.) and *Phragmites* species (to 10 m tall culms!) are difficult to collect and preserve for taxonomic studies. *Phragmites* taxonomy is further impeded by high environmentally induced plasticity, suspected introgressive hybridization and a lack of any correlation of chromosome numbers ($2n = 48$ to c. 264; see Connor & al. 1998 and Clevering & Lissner 1999) with morphological features in *P. australis*. However, the main cause that *P. frutescens* escaped the attention of botanists so long, is its rare, very erratic and late flowering. It may well be assumed that any sterile *Phragmites* population has been regarded as belonging to *P. australis* or, perhaps, *Arundo* or *Arundo* hybrids. Finally: when generations of botanists have agreed on the view that only one, admittedly variable species, *P. australis*, is present in Europe, the silent supposition is that they could not be wrong. The long

history of botanical exploration in Europe and its results (for Crete see Turland & al. 1993, Chilton & Turland 1997) seem to provide strong evidence and support.

To save the doctrine of a monospecies genus *Phragmites* in Europe it could be argued that *P. frutescens* has been introduced or has invaded recently. In Crete (and elsewhere) the coastal plains have suffered severely from human activity. The long-established artificial drainage of primary *Phragmites* swamps resulted in conversion to pasture land, nowadays largely replaced by buildings of tourist and traffic centres, roads and public places with planted trees, and by waste land. It appears very unlikely that *P. frutescens* is a naturalized recent introduction, when we consider its occurrence in suitable habitats nearly everywhere on the island, as well as in the southern Aegean and Greece as far as studied. *P. frutescens* resists extinction in now fragmented, drained swamps and is declining increasingly. This shows its residual character, not an invading behavior. Its indigenous occurrence on the other hand would not be surprising in the light of the investigations of Gradstein & Smittenberg (1977) and Yannitsaros & Koumpli-Savantzi (1991), which revealed the richness of the hydrophilous element in the Cretan flora.

Evolution from a relic of tropical origin?

The present working hypothesis for the evolutionary history of *Phragmites frutescens* is the following: *P. frutescens* differentiated from *P. mauritanicus*, which in former (pluvial) times covered a \pm continuous area from regions of the equatorial belt to territories in the north. The desertification, in North Africa beginning c. 8000 a BP (Pachur & Altmann 1997), subsequently left northern populations of *P. mauritanicus* in isolation. Many of them vanished, other survived, adapting to climatic changes and giving rise to *P. frutescens*.

It is remarkable how far away it is now from its tropical ancestor as regards its flowering time. In contrast to what would be expected from a plant of tropical origin, it is flowering and seed-setting in winter.

Ecology and distribution

Phragmites frutescens is found in remnants of originally extended, continuous populations along waters which are perennial over long periods. It occurs typically in coastal alluvial plains where rivers, karstic springs or groundwater streams originating in a mountainous hinterland rich in water ensure sufficient water supply all the year round. Here it inhabits some of the moistest, waterlogged sites.

On Crete the species is missing in the east and most of the south (Fig. 1), probably because lower precipitation, geology and steep relief do not allow the existence of larger streams or other habitats with a sufficient water supply. It is obviously widespread in the coastal alluvial flats of NW Crete near groundwater level, in the Messara plain S of Iraklion, which is well supplied with water, and in the littoral alluvial plain W of Iraklion supplied by a strong karstic spring. The most undisturbed Cretan stand is found at the river Akoumianos, SW of Spili, but low water level (only during the last comparatively dry years?) prohibits vigorous growth. *P. australis* is missing at the mouth of this river.

The only other known locality of *P. frutescens* in the S Aegean is on Rhodes. There, remnants of vast populations are found at the mouth of the river running through the unique Petaloudes (“butterfly”) valley with its luxuriant *Liquidambar orientalis* riparian woods. The estuary has been cultivated in the recent past to provide ground for the electric power station of the island.

Additional observations revealed: in the Peloponnisos and Sterea Hellas *P. frutescens* seems widespread too, preferring estuaries of rivers bearing a lot of water. One specimen is from the Cyclades (Naxos). It is also widespread in the coastal alluvial plains of the northern Greek Sithonia peninsula (Chalkidiki), where a good water supply exists from rivers originating in the siliceous mountains as well as some protection from cold north winds. The great alluvial plains of the Aliakmon river W of Thessaloniki, extending along the Vardar valley, seem to provide in-




Fig. 1. *Phragmites frutescens* – known distribution in Greece.

sufficient protection from cold winds, because *P. frutescens* is totally replaced by extended stands of *P. australis*. Two recent collections (sterile plants, vouchers at B) from Syria and Israel belong to the new *Phragmites* species too.

Quite high warmth demands are possibly reflected in its irregular, late flowering. According to the temperature summation rule, not every year might be warm enough to enable flowering and fructification. Favourable conditions for generative reproduction possibly occur only in “hot” years, especially those with warm spring and winter, sufficient water supply provided. Other eco-factors influencing the stands of *P. frutescens* are strong winds breaking the culms and sea-spray drying out the leaves.

On Crete, a remnant of an undisturbed, natural, well developed stand has been studied in detail: it is a c. 100 m² large, wet hollow on sandy loam (20 % clay, 22 % silt, 58 % sand; low to medium nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels) just E of Neos Kournas, situated between the sea and the karstic spring lake of Kournas, the only perennial, natural lake of Crete. The wet centre is inhabited by pure *P. frutescens*, growing up to 6 m, but with only a few (10) developed inflorescences in November 1998 (Fig. 3b). With reduced moisture the reed was followed by *Calystegia sepium*, *Dorycnium rectum*, then by *Cirsium creticum*, *Epilobium parviflorum*, *Apium nodiflorum*, *Cyperus longus* s.l., *Galium debile*, *Carex otrubae*, *Pulicaria dysenterica*, *Lathyrus*

annuus, *Oenanthe pimpinelloides*, *Typha domingensis*, *Rubus sanctus*, *Poa trivialis* subsp. *sylvicola*, *Potentilla reptans*, *Panicum repens*, *Lythrum junceum*, *Myrtus communis*, *Rumex conglomeratus*, *Scirpoides holoschoenus*, *Ranunculus muricatus*, *Plantago major* and *Dittrichia viscosa*, at the last by *Arundo donax*. *P. australis* is entirely absent. In neighbouring, open communities on a similar substrate *Alisma lanceolatum* and *Bromus arvensis* (here recorded for the first time from the S Aegean) occur. It was said that this stand of *P. frutescens* was burned regularly in the past because it produces impenetrable thickets. The latter is really true, if no device such as a machete or saw is available. Like the culms of *Arundo donax*, those of *P. frutescens* could be used for building, pipe instruments, walking sticks or fishing rods.

Another (semi-)natural site with *Phragmites frutescens* is situated N of Asprouliani on superficially dry coastal sands (sand fraction > 94 %, very low nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels), formerly probably cultivated. Here, the reed is associated with *Bromus diandrus*, *B. rigidus*, *Vulpia fasciculata*, *Lagurus ovatus*, *Centranthus calcitrapae*, *Erodium laciniatum*, *Euphorbia terracina*, *Papaver rhoeas*, *Rumex bucephalophorus* subsp. *bucephalophorus*, *Silene colorata*, *Sixalix atropurpurea* subsp. *maritima* and *Trifolium campestre*. All the reed plants were sterile, the shoots only 1-3 m tall and xeromorph, showing, if not branched, the characteristic phoenicoid form.

Phragmites frutescens flowers rarely, if at all, even on what seem to be luxurious sites. In contrast, the ability to regenerate after disturbance (ploughing, siltation or partial covering with soil and waste) is much more effective in *P. frutescens* than in *P. australis*. Often, the two reeds grow sympatrically with *Arundo* spp., the latter on the drier places, *P. australis* on the wetter places and *P. frutescens* in intermediate situations.

The sylleptic and next-year(s)-branching of the culms (Fig. 2a), the “phoenicoid” habit of unbranched shoots (Fig. 2c, 3c, 4a-b) and the abscission of the leaves (Fig. 2a, b) are the most practical features to recognise *Phragmites frutescens* in the field, when found sterile and xeromorphic on the remaining, mostly ruderal or degraded sites.

A list of specimens and localities, including further ecological data, of *Phragmites frutescens* supplementary to Scholz (1996: 522) is provided at <http://www.bgbm.fu-berlin.de/bgbm/library/publikat/willd30/scholz&boehling.htm>.

Phragmites frutescens – soon extinct?

As a plant of wet alluvial plains, supplying the best arable land after drainage, most of the stands have been destroyed by now. Small remnants of swamps are used for waste disposal or are influenced by continuous drainage. Especially those near the coast are filled to change these “useless” places into touristic or industrial areas. For example, in the vicinity of hotels swamps are disliked as breeding places of mosquitos, and on Rhodos the pristine stands have been replaced by an electric power station. It is time to conserve and regenerate wetlands along the coasts, not just for *Phragmites frutescens* but for the great variety of hydrophilous plants and animals.

References

- Chilton, L. & Turland, N. J. 1997: Flora of Crete. A supplement. – London.
 Clayton, W. D. 1967: Studies in the *Gramineae*: XIV, *Arundineae*. – Kew Bull. **21**: 113-117.
 — 1968: The correct name of the common reed. – Taxon **17**: 168-169.
 — 1970: *Gramineae* (part 1). – Pp. 1-176 in: Milne-Redhead, E. & Polhill, R. M. (ed.), Flora of Tropical East Africa. – London.
 — & Renvoize, S. A. 1986: Genera graminum. Grasses of the world. – London.
 Clevering, O. A. & Lissner, J. 1999: Taxonomy, chromosome numbers, clonal diversity and population dynamics of *Phragmites australis*. – Aquatic Bot. **64**: 185-208.
 Conert, H. J. 1961: Die Systematik und Anatomie der *Arundineae*. – Weinheim.
 — 1983: *Phragmites*. – Pp. 126-133 in: Conert, H. J. (ed.), Gustav Hegi, Illustrierte Flora von Mitteleuropa, ed. 3, **1(3)**. – Berlin.

- Connor, H. E., Dawson, M. I., Keating, R. D. & Gill, L. S. 1998: Chromosome numbers of *Phragmites australis* (Arundineae: Gramineae) in New Zealand. – New Zealand J. Bot. **36**: 465-469.
- Cope, T. A. & Hosni, H. A. 1991: A key to Egyptian grasses. – Kew.
- Darius, F. 1989: Wasser- und Nährstoffhaushalt einer unbewohnten Kleinoase Süd-Ägyptens. – Diss. Technische Universität Berlin; Berlin.
- Gordon-Gray, K. D. & Ward, C. J. 1971: A contribution to the knowledge of *Phragmites* (Gramineae) in South Africa, with particular reference to Natal populations. – J. S. Afr. Bot. **37**: 1-30.
- Gradstein, S. R. & Smittenberg, J. H. 1977: The hydrophilous vegetation of Western Crete. – Vegetatio **34**: 65-86.
- Haslam, S. M. 1972: *Phragmites communis* Trin. – J. Ecol. **60**: 585-610.
- 1975: The performance of *Phragmites communis* Trin. in relation to temperature. – Ann. Bot. **39**: 881-888.
- Launert, E. 1971: *Phragmites* Adans. – Pp. 91-94 in: Fernandes, A., Launert, E. & Wild, H. (ed.), Flora zambesiaca **10(1)**. – London.
- Metcalf, C. R. 1960: Anatomy of monocotyledons: I. *Gramineae*. – Oxford.
- Pachur, H.-J. & Altmann, N. 1997: The Quaternary (Holocene, c. 8000 a BP). – Pp. 111-125 in: Schandelmeyer, H., Reynolds, P.-O. & Semtner, A.-K. (ed.), Palaeogeographic-palaeotectonic atlas of North-Eastern Africa, Arabia, and adjacent areas. Late Neoproterozoic to Holocene. – Rotterdam.
- Rodewald-Rudescu, L. 1974: Das Schilfrohr. *Phragmites communis* Trinius. – Stuttgart.
- Scholz, H. 1996: *Phragmites frutescens* sp. nov. – P. 522 in: Greuter, W. & Scholz, H., *Phragmites* in Crete, *Cenchrus frutescens*, and the nomenclature of the common reed (Gramineae). – Taxon **45**: 521-523.
- Turland, N. J., Chilton, L. & Press, J. R. 1993: Flora of the Cretan area. – London.
- Tutin, T. G. 1980: *Phragmites* Adanson. – P. 253 in: Tutin, T. G., Heywood, V. H., Burges, N. A., Moore, D. M., Valentine, D. H., Walters, S. M. & Webb, D. A. (ed.): Flora europaea **5**. – Cambridge, etc.
- Watson, L. & Dallwitz, M. J. 1994: The grass genera of the world, ed. 2. – Cambridge.
- Yannitsaros, A. & Koumpli-Sovantzi, L. 1991: A contribution to the hydrophilous flora of Crete. – Bot. Chron. **10**: 579-586.

Addresses of the authors:

Prof. Dr Hildemar Scholz, Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum Berlin-Dahlem, Freie Universität Berlin, Königin-Luise-Str. 6-8, D-14191 Berlin; Fax: +49-30-838-50186.

Dr Niels Böhling, Römersteinstr. 9, D-73230 Kirchheim/T.; e-mail: niels.boehling@t-online.de



Fig. 2. *Phragmites frutescens* – a: mature main stem with sterile side branches, loose leaf sheaths and totally abscising leaves; b: mature stem being naked (after natural abscission of mature lower leaves), shiny, suffused with red, woody; c: phoenicoid shoots, grown up to c. 4 m tall culms with 3 cm diameter at base (in first season), partly with sylleptic branching. – Photographs by N. Böhling, a: Greece, Crete, Asproutiani, 16.4.1998, b: *ibid.*, 9.11.1998, c: Greece, Chalkidiki, Sithonia peninsula, between Neas Marmaras and Lagomandra, 20.9.1999.




Fig. 3. *Phragmites frutescens* – a: young, lax, c. 15 cm long panicle with scarcely drooping branches, leaves erect, long-attenuate, pungent; b: stand up to 6 m tall with woody stems to 2 cm in diameter, forming dense, impenetrable thickets on waterlogged clayey soil; c: flowering is a rare event, only to c. 10 % of the culms produce panicles, which clearly overtop the reed. – Photographs by N. Böhling, a: Greece, Crete, Gerani, 12.11.1998, b: Crete, Neos Kourmas, 9.11.1998, c: Crete, Kolimbari, 3.1.2000.



Fig. 4. *Phragmites frutescens* (a-b) and *P. australis* (c) – a: stand with xeromorphic aerial shoots, on a dryer site in Crete, Saktouria, mouth of Akoumianos river; b: cultivated in the BG Berlin-Dahlem, culms c. 5 months old, originating from Crete, Neos Kourmas, 9.11.1998, *Böhling & Scholz*: 9209 (voucher at B); c: *P. australis* (“var. *stenophylla*”) cultivated in the BG Berlin-Dahlem, culms c. 5 months old, soft blades mostly directed to one side, originating from Crete, E Iraklio, Karteros, 25.10.1997, *Böhling & Greuter*: 6974 (voucher at B). – Photographs by N. Böhling (a: 5.1.2000) and I. Haas (b + c: 11.11.1999).