



Symposium on “Molluscan Biogeography: Perspectives from the Pacific Ocean” at the 76th Annual Meeting of the American Malacological Society, 2010

Authors: Marko, Peter B., and Kohn, Alan J.

Source: American Malacological Bulletin, 30(1) : 159-161

Published By: American Malacological Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.4003/006.030.0114>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Symposium on “Molluscan Biogeography: Perspectives from the Pacific Ocean” at the 76th Annual Meeting of the American Malacological Society, 2010

Peter B. Marko¹ and Alan J. Kohn²

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, South Carolina 29634 U.S.A.

²Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, U.S.A.

Correspondence, Peter B. Marko: pmarko@clemson.edu

This issue of the *American Malacological Bulletin* includes four papers from the symposium on “Molluscan Biogeography: Perspectives From the Pacific Ocean,” held at the joint annual meeting of the American Malacological Society and the Western Society of Malacologists in San Diego, California, on June 29th 2010. We focused the symposium on the Pacific region due to the wide range of macro-oceanographic environments: long linear coastlines, one- and two-dimensional archipelagoes, remote islands, and vast areas of open ocean. Past contrasts between the distributions and diversity of molluscan faunas across these different types of habitats have provided numerous important insights into the factors that influence species ranges and patterns of species diversity (e.g., Taylor 1971, Valentine and Jablonski 1983, Perron and Kohn 1985, Vermeij 1987).

The symposium included presentations on species discrimination, speciation, life history evolution, island biogeography, and phylogeography; the papers published in this volume provide a broad representation of recent research in these subdisciplines. Alan Kohn analyzed life history and geographic range data with comparative methods to re-evaluate the relationship between egg size, larval developmental mode, and geographic range in the gastropod genus *Conus*. Phylogenetically-controlled comparisons provided strong support for the hypothesis that species with smaller eggs (and longer planktonic developmental times) do in fact have larger geographic ranges, an interesting biogeographic relationship all on its own, but also a fundamental component in hypotheses about the relationships among larval mode of development, geographic range, and species longevity in the sea (Jablonski and Lutz 1983, Jablonski and Hunt 2006). In contrast, a second contribution by Tom Duda, Marielle Terbio, Gang Chen, Semoya Phillips, Amy M. Olenzek, Dan Chang, and David W. Morris synthesized phylogeographic patterns across the tropical Pacific among four species of *Conus*, emphasizing the importance of dispersal capability and recent gene flow as an explanation for an absence of obvious phylogeographic concordance among four widespread tropical Pacific species. Despite limited genetic

differentiation across much of each of these species’ ranges (presumably as a consequence of planktonic dispersal), the data also suggest that rare jump dispersal events and peripheral isolation have resulted in considerable genetic structure in geographically distant populations of some but not all species, and may represent an important route to speciation in the tropical Pacific.

Suzanne Williams, Andie Hall, and Piotr Kuklinski used a combination of DNA sequence data and shell shape data to investigate the power of Elliptic Fourier analysis to discriminate among living and fossil species of the turbinid gastropod genus *Lunella* from the tropical western Pacific and Indian oceans. They reported that morphological divergence is greatest between sympatric taxa.

Christine Parent’s conceptually-related paper evaluated the contribution of within-island speciation to patterns of diversity among three lineages of terrestrial snails: the Galápagos Bulimulidae, the Hawaiian Succineidae, and the Hawaiian Achatinellinae. Parent showed that within-island speciation has contributed substantially to patterns of species diversity in each archipelago but that the historical factors associated with speciation within islands appear to differ among the taxa, with either island size or habitat heterogeneity having the greatest influence over rates of speciation within islands. The surprisingly large amount of within-island speciation inferred for each of these lineages emphasizes the need for models that incorporate speciation into formal quantitative theories of island biogeography (Rosindell and Phillimore 2011).

Despite the variety of ideas, hypotheses, and questions that each of the published papers addressed, together they demonstrate the importance of studying biogeographic processes on different spatial and taxonomic scales. In *Conus*, Duda *et al.*’s conclusions about the stochastic nature of long distance dispersal that undoubtedly characterize the biogeographic histories of many species in the tropical Pacific complements the more deterministic relationship between dispersal potential and range size that has emerged from Kohn’s work (e.g. Vermeij 1987, Palumbi *et al.* 1997, Lessios

and Robertson 2006). Parent's focus on within-island diversification processes and Williams *et al.*'s (2011) suggestions about sympatric divergence together indicate that even though most molluscan speciation is likely initiated in allopatry, the small-scale habitat heterogeneity and transient geographic isolation that initiates divergence probably results in many opportunities for ecological interactions between recently split species, which may cause strong divergent selection on morphological, ecological, and behavioral traits (e.g. Marko 2005, Parent and Crespi 2009, Pfennig and Pfennig 2010, Rundell 2011, Krug 2011). If this is how speciation often proceeds in marine organisms (perhaps most often for linearly distributed nearshore species, see Valentine and Jablonski 1983), the implications for interpreting patterns of morphological change in the fossil record are potentially profound.

In addition to the published papers, other symposium participants presented work on other topics relevant to molluscan biogeography in the Pacific. David Jacobs discussed interoceanic transport in the trematode *Parorchis* and the gastropods *Cerithiidea* and *Nutricola*, presumably accomplished by birds. Cynthia Trowbridge discussed biogeographic patterns of diversity among north Pacific saccoglossans, Doug Eernisse evaluated the significance of parapatric distributions towards understand patterns of diversification in eastern Pacific chitons, and Peter Marko reviewed the biogeographic and evolutionary responses of eastern Pacific molluscs to Pleistocene climate change.

The symposium highlighted the need for additional spatio-temporal perspectives on biogeographic processes, particularly those leading to speciation and diversification. Too few studies have combined fine-scale sampling of diverging populations and species with complementary studies of the fossil record. Patterns of sudden morphological change in the fossil record could represent peripatric speciation as envisioned by Eldredge and Gould (1972), but could also reflect other processes such as colonization of more distantly related species (Glaubrecht 2011), secondary contact and character displacement (Marko 2005), and taxon cycles (Ricklefs and Bermingham 2002). Studying extinction rates over evolutionary timescales is impossible without examination of the fossil record (Quental and Marshall 2010, Rabosky 2010, Losos 2011), and the potential for extinction to complicate histories inferred strictly from neontological data is potentially high; incomplete taxon sampling of living species alone is a problem in many neontological studies (Marko and Jackson 2001, Alroy 2002, Agapow *et al.* 2004, Isaac and Purvis 2004, Moran 2004, Laurin 2010). This call for more integration between neontological and paleontological perspectives is easier said than done: the taxa with the very best fossil records are often those that live in habitats that are hard to access in the Recent (sediments and

deep water). Nevertheless, malacologists are uniquely poised to address biogeographic questions from a wide range of perspectives.

We are grateful to the authors who contributed papers to this volume and to all participants at the meeting in San Diego. We would like to thank Doug Eernisse for planning the meeting and for inviting us to organize a symposium. We thank Colleen Winters and Ken Brown for their editorial assistance and for facilitating publication of these papers in the *American Malacological Bulletin*. The symposium was funded by the American Malacological Society.

LITERATURE CITED

- Agapow, P., O. R. P. Binida-Emonds, K. A. Crandall, J. L. Gittleman, G. M. Mace, J. C. Marshall, and A. Purvis. 2004. The impact of species concept on biodiversity studies. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* **79**: 161–179.
- Alroy, J. 2002. How many named species are valid? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* **99**: 3706–3711.
- Eldredge, N. and S. J. Gould. 1972. Punctuated equilibria: An alternative to phyletic gradualism. In: T. Schopf, ed., *Models in Paleobiology*. Freeman Cooper, San Francisco, California. Pp. 82–115.
- Isaac, N. J. B. and A. Purvis. 2004. The 'species problem' and testing macroevolutionary hypotheses. *Diversity and Distributions* **10**: 275–281.
- Jablonski, D., and G. Hunt. 2006. Larval ecology, geographic range, and species survivorship in Cretaceous mollusks: Organismic vs. species-level explanations. *American Naturalist* **168**: 556–564.
- Jablonski, D. and R. A. Lutz. 1983. Larval ecology of marine benthic invertebrates: Paleobiological implications. *Biological Reviews* **58**: 21–89.
- Krug, P. J. 2011. Patterns of speciation in marine gastropods: A review of the phylogenetic evidence for localized radiations in the sea. *American Malacological Bulletin* **29**: 169–186.
- Laurin, M. 2010. The subjective nature of Linnaean categories and its impact in evolutionary biology and biodiversity studies. *Contributions to Zoology* **79**: 131–146.
- Lessios H. A. and D. R. Robertson. 2006. Crossing the impassable: Genetic connections in 20 reef fishes across the eastern Pacific barrier. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, B, Biological Sciences* **273**: 2201–2208.
- Losos, J. B. 2011. Seeing the forest for the trees: The limitations of phylogenies in comparative biology. *The American Naturalist* **177**: 709–727.
- Marko, P. B. 2005. An intraspecific comparative analysis of character divergence between sympatric species. *Evolution* **59**: 554–564.
- Marko, P. B. and J. B. C. Jackson. 2001. Patterns of morphological diversity among Recent and fossil tropical American geminate bivalves in the family Arcidae. *Journal of Paleontology* **75**: 590–606.

- Moran, A. L. 2004. Egg size evolution in tropical American arcid bivalves: The comparative method and the fossil record. *Evolution* **58**: 2718–2733.
- Palumbi, S. R., G. G. Grabowski, T. Duda, L. Geyer, and N. Tachino. 1997. Speciation and population genetic structure in tropical Pacific sea urchins. *Evolution* **51**: 1506–1517.
- Parent, C. E. and B. J. Crespi. 2009. Ecological opportunity in adaptive radiation of Galápagos endemic land snails. *American Naturalist* **174**: 898–905.
- Perron, F. E. and A. J. Kohn. 1985. Larval dispersal and geographic distribution in coral reef gastropods of the genus *Conus*. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Coral Reef Congress, Tahiti, French Polynesia* **4**: 95–100.
- Pfennig, D. W. and K. S. Pfennig. 2010. Character displacement and the origins of diversity. *American Naturalist* **176** (Supplement 1): 26–44.
- Quental, T. B. and C. R. Marshall. 2010. Diversity dynamics: Molecular phylogenies need the fossil record. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **25**: 434–441.
- Rabosky, D. L. 2010. Extinction rates should not be estimated from molecular phylogenies. *Evolution* **64**: 1816–1824.
- Ricklefs, R. E. and E. Bermingham. 2002. The concept of the taxon cycle in biogeography. *Global Ecology & Biogeography* **11**: 353–361.
- Rosindell, J. and A. B. Phillimore. 2010. A unified model of island biogeography sheds light on the zone of radiation. *Ecology Letters* **14**: 552–560.
- Rundell, R. J. 2011. Snails on an evolutionary tree: Gulick, speciation, and isolation. *American Malacological Bulletin* **29**: 145–158.
- Taylor, J. D. 1971. Reef associated molluscan assemblages in the western Indian Ocean. In: D. R. Stoddard and C. M. Yonge, eds., *Regional Variation in Indian Ocean Coral Reefs*. Academic Press, New York. Pp. 509–536.
- Valentine, J. W. and D. Jablonski. 1983. Speciation in the shallow sea: General patterns and biogeographic controls. In: R. W. Sims, J. H. Price, and P. E. S. Whalley, eds., *Evolution, Time and Space: The Emergence of the Biosphere*. Systematics Association Special Volume 23. Academic Press, London. Pp. 201–226.
- Vermeij, G. J. 1987. The dispersal barrier in the tropical Pacific: Implications for molluscan speciation and extinction. *Evolution* **41**: 1046–1058.
- Williams, S., D. Apte, T. Ozawa, F. Kaligis, and T. Nakano. 2011. Speciation and dispersal along continental coastlines and island arcs in the Indo-West pacific turbinid gastropod genus *Lunella*. *Evolution* **65**: 1752–1771.