1 INTRODUCTION

In 1957, the Russian acarologist N.A. Filippova established the subgenus Ixodiopsis for those species of Ixodes Latreille (1795) ticks previously assigned to the large and heterogeneous subgenus Pholeoixodes Schulze (1942) but distinguished by the presence of prominent posterior or anterior and posterior processes on palpal segment I in both preimaginal stages. On this basis, several Old World authors (Sénevet & Ripert 1967; Morel & Perez 1973a, b; Kolonin 1981) have transferred increasing numbers of species from Pholeoixodes to Ixodiopsis, including Ixodes conepati Cooley & Kohls, I. cookei Packard, I. kingi Bishopp, I. marmotae Cooley & Kohls, I. nuttalli Lahille, I. rugosus Bishopp, and I. sculptus Neumann. However, American workers (Clifford et al. 1973, Robbins & Keirans 1987) have argued that Filippova's definition is too inclusive because many species of *Pholeoixodes* that are obviously unrelated as adults possess palpal processes as nymphs and larvae. Ixodiopsis may be further defined by female characters: length/width ratio of palps usually >3:1; basis capituli elongate; auriculae absent; and coxa I with external and internal spurs about equal in length (Appendix A). The consistent sharing of characters from separate life history stages constitutes a strong argument for recognition of Ixodiopsis.

Ixodiopsis is exclusively Holarctic in distribution and is often