



Nonchemical annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*) management in zoysiagrass via fraise mowing

Authors: Brosnan, James T., Breeden, Gregory K., Zobel, John M.,
Patton, Aaron J., and Law, Quincy D.

Source: Weed Technology, 34(4) : 482-488

Published By: Weed Science Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2019.136>


BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.bioone.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Nonchemical annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*) management in zoysiagrass via fraise mowing

James T. Brosnan¹ , Gregory K. Breeden², John M. Zobel³, Aaron J. Patton⁴ and Quincy D. Law⁵

Research Article

Cite this article: Brosnan JT, Breeden GK, Zobel JM, Patton AJ, Law QD (2020) Nonchemical annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*) management in zoysiagrass via fraise mowing. *Weed Technol.* **34**: 482–488. doi: [10.1017/wet.2019.136](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2019.136)

Received: 30 September 2019
Revised: 9 December 2019
Accepted: 20 December 2019
First published online: 13 January 2020

Associate Editor:

Scott McElroy, Auburn University

Nomenclature:

Annual bluegrass (*Poa annua* L.); zoysiagrass (*Zoysia japonica* Steud.)

Keywords:

fraise; fraze; fraise; harvest weed seed control (HWSC); seedbank; turf; turfgrass

Author for correspondence:

James T. Brosnan, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Tennessee, 2505 EJ Chapman Dr., Knoxville, TN 37996.
Email: jbrosnan@utk.edu

¹Professor, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA; ²Extension Specialist, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA; ³Assistant Professor, Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN, USA; ⁴Professor, Department of Horticulture, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA and ⁵Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Horticulture, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA

Abstract

Continued reliance on chemical methods for controlling annual bluegrass has resulted in many populations evolving resistance to PRE and POST herbicides, particularly in warm-season turfgrass species such as zoysiagrass. Soil seedbank management is critically important when managing herbicide-resistant weeds. Fraise mowing (also spelled fraze, frase, and fraize) is a new turfgrass cultivation practice designed to remove aboveground biomass while allowing turf to regrow vegetatively. We hypothesized that this process would remove annual bluegrass seed and therefore be a mechanical means of controlling annual bluegrass in turfgrass. Zoysiagrass field plots were fraise-mowed in June 2015 only, June 2016 only, June 2015 and June 2016, or left untreated. The fraise mower was configured to remove the uppermost 25 mm of plot surface (i.e., 15-mm verdure and 10-mm soil). Annual bluegrass infestation was quantified in April following fraise mowing via grid count. Soil cores (10.8 cm diameter) were extracted from each plot after grid count data were collected to assess effects of fraise mowing on the soil seedbank. Moreover, replicated subsamples (7.6 L) of debris generated during fraise mowing were collected to better understand weed seed content removed during the fraise mowing process. Fraise mowing in June offered a slight reduction (24%) in annual bluegrass cover the following April. Whereas 28% of the seed in fraise-mowing debris consisted of annual bluegrass, there was no difference in the quantity of annual bluegrass seed remaining in the soil seedbank among fraise-mowed and non-fraise-mowed plots. Although fraise mowing may help to temporarily reduce existing annual bluegrass infestations via mechanical removal, the frequency and depth we studied did not effectively reduce the seedbank. Fraise mowing is a useful tool for providing mechanical suppression of annual bluegrass but it is not a replacement for properly timed herbicide applications.

Introduction

Annual bluegrass is a common weed of warm- and cool-season turfgrasses worldwide (Mao and Huff 2012). Known for its ability to persist under a wide range of growing conditions and abundant seed production, annual bluegrass is among the top 10 weed species researched in seedbank and emergence studies (Gardarin et al. 2009). These attributes result in annual bluegrass being a common target of PRE or POST herbicide applications. Continued reliance on chemical methods for controlling annual bluegrass has resulted in many populations evolving resistance to PRE and POST herbicides, particularly in regions where warm-season turfgrasses are used on golf courses, athletic fields, and lawns. Annual bluegrass populations with target-site resistance to herbicidal inhibitors of acetolactate synthase (ALS), photosystem II (PSII), microtubule assembly, and enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase have been documented in turfgrass systems (Heap 2019), with cases of multiple resistance and nontarget site resistance becoming more common (Breeden et al. 2017; Brosnan et al. 2016; Svyantek et al. 2016).

Best practices for managing herbicide-resistant weeds center on a variety of ways to reduce weed seed formation and the weed seedbank in soil (Norsworthy et al. 2012). In agronomic cropping systems, the risk of resistance evolution is positively associated with seedbank size and increases as weeds escaping control deposit new seed in soil (Neve et al. 2011). Use of diversified weed management practices that focus on seedbank management can reduce selection pressure for resistant weeds by curbing the frequency at which herbicides need to be applied to a crop (Norsworthy et al. 2012).

Diversified weed management includes understanding weed biology to time interventions when weeds are most susceptible, and knowledge of both chemical and nonchemical techniques. A noteworthy means of nonchemical resistance management has been the development of harvest weed seed control (HWSC) techniques to destroy weed seeds captured within debris

© Weed Science Society of America, 2020. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.





Figure 1. A. Demonstration of fraise mowing on zoysiagrass to remove aboveground biomass, thatch, and weed seed. B. Zoysiagrass regrowth from rhizomes and stolons not removed during fraise mowing.

during commercial crop harvest and prevent them from being redistributed into the soil seedbank (Walsh et al. 2013). Several methods of HWSC have been successfully used in cropping systems including 1) use of chaff carts to collect and remove debris (including weed seed) generated during harvest for off-site disposal; 2) use of a chute attached to a grain harvester to concentrate debris into a narrow windrow prior to being burned under appropriate environmental conditions; 3) use of a system to bale debris generated during harvest for transport off site; and 4) use of a cage mill mounted to a commercial harvester that pulverizes debris collected during harvest (Walsh et al. 2013). These techniques have been found to prevent 56% to 99% of annual ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum* Gaud.), wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum* L.), and wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.) from being redistributed into crop production fields, with efficacy of narrow-windrow burning, baling debris, and use of a cage mill to pulverize debris, thus controlling seed lots 93% to 99% (Walsh and Newman 2007; Walsh and Powles 2007; Walsh et al. 2012).

Fraise mowing (also spelled fraze, frase, and fraize) is a new turfgrass cultivation practice designed to remove aboveground biomass (e.g., leaf tissue, stolons, thatch, etc.) to a depth of 5 cm while allowing turf to regrow from vegetative tissue (Hansen and Christians 2015; McCauley et al. 2019; Figure 1). A specialized implement, termed an Imants KORO FTM (AQUA-AID Solutions, Rocky Mount, NC), is often used to fraise-mow turfgrass surfaces. This instrument uses 120 blades (10-mm thickness) affixed to a universe rotor spanning a total width of 120 cm to remove surface material to a depth of 5 cm. The sod is pulverized by the Koro Topmaker during fraise mowing and the debris collected is a mixture of soil, rhizomes, stolons, leaves, and weed seed. Debris generated during fraise mowing is deposited onto a conveyor belt and loaded onto a trailer to be discarded off site (Figure 1). Although fraise mowing removes turf verdure and causes turf quality to be temporarily unacceptable, it is a helpful tool in removing organic matter, overseeding transition, and weed management (Baker et al. 2005; Hansen and Christians 2015; McCauley et al. 2019). An anecdotal report suggested that hybrid bermudagrasses [*C. dactylon* (L.) Pers. × *C. transvaalensis* Burt-Davy] subjected to fraise mowing completely recovered

within 7 wk in Texas (Minnick and Reed 2013). A study in North Carolina found hybrid bermudagrass can recover 28 to 42 d after fraise mowing depending on the timing, June or May, respectively (McCauley et al. 2019). The utility of fraise mowing as a cultural practice is largely unstudied on other turfgrass species, but our observations are that zoysiagrass [*Zoysia* spp. (L.) Merr.] is also able to regrow well from fraise mowing.

Annual bluegrass soil seedbanks have been estimated to be as large as 179,500 seeds in the top 2.5 cm of soil per square meter (Watschke et al. 1979), with others reporting that more than 80% of the viable annual bluegrass seed are within the top 0- to 1-cm layer (Branham et al. 2004). There is also evidence that most annual bluegrass seed shed in the spring is viable and germinates by late summer (Branham et al. 2004). Additionally, Baker et al. (2005) reported that annual bluegrass coverage in a perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) sward was reduced by fraise mowing. Given these biological characteristics, we hypothesized that summer fraise mowing of warm-season turfgrass would remove annual bluegrass seed; and therefore, be a mechanical means of annual bluegrass control, similar to HWSC techniques used in crop production systems. Therefore, our objectives were to evaluate annual bluegrass control, soil and debris seedbank dynamics, and zoysiagrass recovery in response to fraise mowing.

Materials and Methods

Annual Bluegrass Reduction

Research was conducted from 2015 to 2017 at the University of Tennessee East Tennessee AgResearch & Education Center—Plant Sciences Unit (Knoxville, TN) on a 4-yr-old zoysiagrass (*Zoysia japonica* Steud. ‘Meyer’) sward naturally infested with weeds including annual bluegrass, crabgrass (*Digitaria* spp.), goosegrass (*Eleusine indica* L. Gaertn.), and carpetweed (*Mollugo verticillata* L.). Soil type was a Sequatchie silt loam (fine-loamy, siliceous, semiactive, thermic humic Hapludult) with a soil pH of 6.7. Turf was mowed at 1.5 cm with a reel mower and clippings were returned to the surface after mowing. The research site received 24 kg N ha⁻¹ monthly from April through September each year using a complete fertilizer (21 N 11 P₂O₅ 16 K₂O;

Harrell's Professional Products, Lakeland, FL). Irrigation was applied to the research site as needed to supplement rainfall using a water reel (Kifco Inc., Havana, IL). No herbicides were applied to the experimental area at any time.

Plots (2.4 × 2.4 m) were left untreated or fraise-mowed using an Imants KORO FTM (FTM 1.2, AQUA-AID Solutions) affixed to the power take-off assembly of a tractor. The fraise mower was configured to remove the top 25 mm of plot surface (i.e., 15 mm of verdure and 10 mm of soil). Fraise mowing was conducted on June 8, 2015, and June 6, 2016, to generate four unique treatments: 1) fraise-mowed in 2015, 2) fraise-mowed in 2016, 3) fraise-mowed in 2015 and 2016, and 4) an untreated, no-fraise-mowing treatment. Plots measured 2.4 m² and were replicated in space three times. Debris generated during fraise mowing was collected in a trailer that traveled parallel to the tractor and moved off site without contaminating nontreated plots (Figure 1). The number of annual bluegrass plants in each plot was quantified using a 1-m² grid placed in the center of each plot. This grid contained 81 squares measuring 7.6 cm². The presence or absence of annual bluegrass in each square was scored on April 7, 2016, and April 7, 2017. Additionally, zoysiagrass recovery was quantified using digital image analysis methods described by Thoms et al. (2011) on plots fraise-mowed only in 2016.

Data from single-year fraise-mowing treatments were analyzed as a two-factor (i.e., fraise-mowing or nontreated and year) completely randomized design using the EXPDES package (Ferreira et al. 2014) in R (R version 3.2.3; R Core Team 2018). Data from the multiyear fraise mowing treatment (i.e., 2015 + 2016) were not included in our analysis. No significant treatment-by-year interactions were detected; therefore, data from each run were combined through averaging, with annual bluegrass count means compared using Fisher's least significant difference test at $\alpha = 0.05$. Zoysiagrass recovery data from 2016 were subjected to nonlinear regression analysis in Prism (Prism 5 for Mac OS X, GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) with treatments compared using a global sums of squares F-test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Seedbank Analysis

Field plots from zoysiagrass fraise mowing treatments were sampled in early May 2017 after final plant count data were collected with a commercial golf course cup cutter (Lever Action Hole Cutter, Pair Aide Products, Lino Lakes, MN 55038) to extract a 10.8-cm-diameter core from two places in the center of each plot. Following core extraction, the depth of each core was cut to 2.5 cm and then packaged inside individual polypropylene containers (Ziplock, SC Johnson Company, Racine, WI). Samples were placed in a freezer (−20 C) overnight and shipped the next day to Purdue University (West Lafayette, IN) via overnight mail for soil seedbank analysis. Upon arrival, cores were stored at 4 C for 2 wk prior to sample preparation. Samples were removed from cold storage, pulverized by hand (deaggregated), and allowed to dry on a greenhouse bench for 72 h. Soil samples were then passed through soil sieves (No. 3.5, 5.6 mm) to separate zoysiagrass leaves, stolons, and rhizomes from the soil cores. The seedbank was assessed for one soil core per plot and the second soil core was retained as a backup sample.

The deaggregated soil sample from each plot was placed over a permeable landscape weed fabric barrier (Weed Block Original, The Jobe's Company, Waco, TX 76710) covering 2 cm of potting mix (Fafard 4P Mix, Sun Gro Horticulture, Agawam, MA 01001) to aid in moisture retention using individual 25- by 25-cm plastic

trays. The trays, with the soil samples, were then placed on a greenhouse bench and seedbank analysis similar to that described by Schwartz et al. (2015) was initiated by repeating the steps described below four times for five total germination cycles. The four steps common to each germination cycle were as follows: 1) Trays containing dry soil were subirrigated at the start of a germination cycle and again as needed to ensure uniform moisture conducive for seed germination. 2) Seedlings were identified weekly following the initial planting date until 4 wk after planting. When a seedling was identified, counted, and the data were recorded, it was removed from the tray. 3) Following the 4-wk germination cycle, the soils were stored at −20 C for 2 wk. 4) After 2 wk of freezing, the soils were thawed, stirred, and the germination cycle was repeated. The mean greenhouse temperature during these germination cycles was 24 C and photoperiod was 14 h.

Data for the seedbank analysis were analyzed after summing the germination counts across cycles. Weeds of the same type (monocotyledonous vs. dicotyledonous) and life cycle (winter annual, summer annual, perennial) were pooled together into categories prior to analysis [i.e., *Lamium* spp., *Stellaria media* (L.) Vill., and *Veronica arvensis* L. emergence counts were totaled as winter annual broadleaf weeds]. The exception to this was annual bluegrass, which was analyzed separately. One-way ANOVAs for each weed category were conducted with and without pooling across years using the PROC GLM procedure in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary NC 27513). Where F-tests were significant ($P \leq 0.05$), means were separated using Tukey's honestly significant difference test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Debris Analysis

To assess weed seed removed during fraise mowing, eight 3-m fraise mowing strips were made across the study area in May 2018. Debris removed from fraise mowing was collected and its volume and mass were determined in order to quantify the fraise mowing debris produced on an area basis. A 7.6-L subsample from each of the eight strips was shipped overnight to Purdue University (West Lafayette, IN) for debris analysis. Upon arrival, debris was stored at 4 C for 2 wk prior to sample preparation. Weed seed abundance was assessed in a portion of the material received with the remainder retained as a backup sample.

Tested samples were removed from cold storage and allowed to dry on a greenhouse bench for 72 h. Debris was then passed through soil sieves (No. 3.5, 5.6 mm) to separate zoysiagrass leaves, stolons, and rhizomes from soil. The two fractions (soil and plant debris) were used to assess the bank of weed seed in fraise mowing debris. The dry weight ratio of soil to plant material was 16:1. Approximately 2.1 kg of soil debris from each of the eight fraise mown strips was then placed over a permeable barrier in two 25- by 50-cm plastic trays. The dry plant debris, averaging 130 g, was separated out during sieving and placed directly onto 4 cm of potting mix in one 25- by 50-cm plastic tray. The trays were then placed on a greenhouse bench and the debris analysis was initiated by repeating the same steps described above for four total cycles with the only difference that flats were overhead-irrigated as needed to keep the soil and potting media moist and similar among the flats. The greenhouse environment during these germination cycles was configured to provide a daily average air temperature of 24 C and a 14-h photoperiod.

Data for the debris analysis were summarized after summing the germination counts across cycles and flats within the eight fraise mowing strips tested. Similar to the seedbank analysis, weeds

Table 1. Effect of fraise mowing (2.5 cm depth) in June on annual bluegrass infestations in zoysiagrass turfgrass during April of the following year. Means were combined from experiments implementing fraise mowing in June 2015 and 2016 with data collected in April 2016 and 2017. Infestations were documented by scoring the presence or absence of annual bluegrass within a grid (1 m²) containing 81 squares measuring 7.6 cm².

Treatment	Annual Bluegrass Counts
	# m ⁻²
Fraise mowing	53
No fraise mowing	72
LSD _{0.05}	7

were summarized across type and life cycle. When assessing fraise mowing debris, the bulk density of four 1-inch-deep, 10.8-cm-diameter golf course cup cutter cores from the plots were determined in order to normalize the mass of material (soil vs. debris) to allow for comparison between the fraise mowing debris and the top 2.5 cm of soil assessed in the seedbank analysis.

Results and Discussion

Annual Bluegrass Reduction

Significant differences in annual bluegrass counts due to fraise mowing treatment were detected (Table 1). When assessed in April, plots subjected to fraise mowing the previous June averaged 53 annual bluegrass plants per square meter compared with 72 plants for nontreated control plots. These values correspond to approximately 65% and 89% annual bluegrass cover, respectively. Therefore, when comparing fraise-mowed plots with nontreated control plots, summer fraise mowing offered a slight reduction (24%) in annual bluegrass cover the following spring, which is similar to results reported by Baker et al. (2005). In a sward of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.), Baker et al. (2005) reported reductions in annual bluegrass cover < 20% when removing only verdure via fraise mowing. However, when verdure and soil were removed to an 18-mm depth Baker et al. (2005) observed annual bluegrass cover reductions of 45% to 50%. It should be noted that Baker et al. (2005) introduced sand to the root zone of plots after fraise mowing to a depth of 18 mm and seeded the surface with perennial ryegrass, which may have increased the degree of annual bluegrass suppression observed; newly constructed sand-based root zones are typically not a hospitable environment for annual bluegrass seed germination until available phosphorus concentrations increase (Raley et al. 2013).

In our experiment, fraise mowing controlled annual bluegrass to an extent that was similar to other nonherbicidal techniques. For example, Wolfe et al. (2016) observed only 6% to 26% annual bluegrass control following PRE treatment with the fungal pathogen *Phoma macrostoma*; the researchers observed 93% to 100% annual bluegrass control with thaxtomin A (a chemical produced by the bacterium *Streptomyces scabies*) in the first year of their study but only 30% to 47% the second year. Johnson (1994) reported 32% to 89% control of annual bluegrass in bermudagrass with isolates of *Xanthomonas compestris* pv. *poannua* but noted differences in efficacy among isolates and application timing. Variability in control with alternatives to conventional herbicides is common under field conditions (Harding and Raizada 2015). Peachey et al. (2001) evaluated efficacy of soil solarization on annual bluegrass seed survival in nursery production systems.




Figure 2. Percent zoysiagrass cover following fraise mowing to a 2.5-cm depth in 2016 compared to turf not subjected to fraise mowing. Cover was quantified via digital image analysis. Error bars represent standard error of each mean. Treatments were fit to a one-phase-association model and compared using a global sums-of-squares F-test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Soil solarization in the upper 5 cm of soil reduced annual bluegrass seed survival 89% to 100% but had no effect on seed from 5 to 15 cm; interestingly, in a single year of a 2-yr study, solarization increased survival of annual bluegrass seed at a 5- to 10-cm depth. The researchers concluded that use of the soil fumigant metham (930 L ha⁻¹) was required for complete eradication.

Almost 60 d elapsed from fraise mowing until zoysiagrass cover was similar to that in the nontreated plots in 2016 (Figure 2). McCauley et al. (2019) reported that hybrid bermudagrass could completely recover in 42 d when fraise-mown in mid-May and 28 d when fraise-mown in mid-June in North Carolina, which is quicker than this report of zoysiagrass recovery from fraise mowing on June 6th in Tennessee. Zoysiagrass is known to both establish more slowly than other species and to recover slowly from injury (Patton et al. 2017). Particularly, the cultivar 'Meyer' tested in this experiment is known to establish and recuperate slowly. The recuperative capacity of zoysiagrass cultivars such as 'El Toro' and 'Palisades' is superior to that of 'Meyer' (Karcher et al. 2005) and equivalent to that of hybrid bermudagrass (Trappe et al. 2011). Furthermore, some zoysiagrass cultivars such as 'Diamond' have increased rhizome density, which allows for a quicker regrowth after sod harvest (Engelke and Murray 1989). As such, zoysiagrass cultivars with increased rhizome density or faster growth rate may also recuperate more quickly from fraise mowing and this deserves further investigation.

Seedbank Analysis

Annual bluegrass, carpetweed, common chickweed, corn speedwell, dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* F.H. Wigg.), goosegrass, henbit (*Lamium amplexicaule* L.), large crabgrass [*Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop.], mouseear chickweed [*Cerastium fontanum* Baumg. ssp. *vulgare* (Hartm.) Greuter & Burdet], purslane, spotted spurge (*Euphorbia maculata* L.), purple deadnettle (*Lamium purpureum* L.), and smooth crabgrass [*Digitaria ischaemum* (Schreb.) Schreb. ex Muhl.] were among the weeds most commonly found germinating from the seedbank. Yellow woodsorrel (*Oxalis stricta* L.) was also found but was excluded because it was not seen in field plots and its source was likely as a contaminant from adjacent greenhouse

Table 2. Weed seedlings germinated by type and life cycle from soil cores removed 1 yr following the completion of four fraise mowing treatments in zoysiagrass turf in Knoxville, TN.

	Weed life cycle and type					Total
	ABG ^a	PB	SAB	SAG	WAB	
	plants 232 cm ^{-3b}					
Fraise mowing Year 1	251	3.7	26	81 a ^c	26 bc	387
Fraise mowing Year 2	205	3.6	31	14 b	53 ab	306
Fraise mowing Years 1 + 2	188	1.3	29	51 ab	12 c	282
No fraise mowing	235	3.8	18	10 b	72 a	339
P value	0.2635	0.8271	0.4453	0.0085	0.0009	0.1458

^aAbbreviations: ABG, annual bluegrass; PB, perennial broadleaf weeds; SAB, summer annual broadleaf weeds; SAG, summer annual grasses; WAB, winter annual broadleaf weeds.

^bOne 10.8-cm diameter soil core to a depth of 2.54 cm is equal to 232 cm³.

^cWithin columns, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's honestly significant difference test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Table 3. Weed seedlings germinated by type and life cycle from soil cores removed 1 yr following the completion of fraise mowing treatments in zoysiagrass turf in Knoxville, TN. Data were pooled over three different fraise mowing treatments conducted during 2015–2016.

	Weed life cycle and type					Total
	ABG ^a	PB	SAB	SAG	WAB	
	plants 232 cm ^{-3b}					
Fraise mowing ^c	213	2.8	29	49	30 b ^d	325
No fraise mowing	235	3.8	18	10	72 a	339
P value	0.4483	0.6981	0.1282	0.0594	0.0023	0.7239

^aAbbreviations: ABG, annual bluegrass; PB, perennial broadleaf weeds; SAB, summer annual broadleaf weeds; SAG, summer annual grasses; WAB, winter annual broadleaf weeds.

^bOne 10.8-cm diameter soil core to a depth of 2.54 cm is equal to 232 cm³.

^cA combined analysis of all fraise mowing treatments (Year 1, Year 2, and Years 1 + 2).

^dWithin columns, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different according to Tukey's honestly significant difference test ($\alpha = 0.05$).

benches. Because the focus of the experiment was annual bluegrass and there were no unique treatment effects on individual species (data not shown), weeds were pooled and discussed by type and life cycle.

Fraise mowing altered summer annual grasses and winter annual broadleaf weeds in the soil seedbank (Table 2). Summer annual grasses generally increased in fraise-mowed plots (Tables 2 and 3) with smooth crabgrass and goosegrass most frequently found in the seedbank. Fraise mowing in June occurred during peak summer annual grass germination, which allowed these grasses to establish and seed rain to occur prior to our seedbank analysis. Furthermore, removing the majority of the turf canopy by fraise mowing increased light interception by crabgrasses and goosegrass allowing for weed seed recruitment (Turner et al. 2012). Although fraise mowing can remove weeds present at mowing, our results reflect that this disturbance can also lead to the establishment, maturation, production, and dispersal of additional weed seed. To that end, future research should investigate the use of premergence herbicides such as oxadiazon following fraise mowing. A shoot absorbed inhibitor of protoporphyrinogen oxidase, oxadiazon does not negatively affect vegetative establishment of zoysiagrass (Fagerness et al. 2002) and may effectively limit establishment of annual weeds after fraise mowing.

Contrary to findings with summer annual grasses, winter annual broadleaf weeds were reduced by fraise mowing (Tables 2 and 3). This was likely the result of the June fraise mowing timing removing freshly dispersed winter annual weed seed but also because zoysiagrass plots fully recovered prior to fall

Table 4. Weed seedlings germinated from debris removed during fraise mowing treatments and their proportion of the total as categorized by type and life cycle.

	Weed seedlings in debris	Proportion of the total
	plants 232 cm ^{-3a}	%
ABG ^b	34.7	28
PB	1.1	1
SAB	5.2	4
SAG	9.2	8
WAB	71.6	59
Total	121.8	–

^aOne 10.8-cm diameter soil core to a depth of 2.54 cm is equal to 232 cm³. Data were normalized to allow for comparison to the seedbank analysis experiment (Table 3).

^bAbbreviations: ABG, annual bluegrass; PB, perennial broadleaf weeds; SAB, summer annual broadleaf weeds; SAG, summer annual grasses; WAB, winter annual broadleaf weeds.

germination of winter annual weeds, inhibiting their establishment. Henbit was the most frequently counted winter annual broadleaf weed in this experiment but common chickweed and purple dead-nettle were also found.

There were no differences in the soil seedbank for summer annual broadleaf weeds, perennial broadleaf weeds, or annual bluegrass (Tables 2 and 3). We anticipated a large reduction in annual bluegrass in the seedbank based on previous research by Branham et al. (2004) indicating that 80% of the viable annual bluegrass seed are within the top 0- to 1-cm layer of the soil surface. Furthermore, annual bluegrass counts from the spring following fraise mowing suggested that we would find fewer annual bluegrass seeds in the soil of fraise-mowed plots. However, analysis of soil samples after 1 or 2 yr of fraise mowing compared with those from the non-treated plots yielded no difference in annual bluegrass seedlings germinated from the seedbank (Tables 2 and 3). Many viable annual bluegrass seedlings remained in the soil after fraise mowing. As such, we conclude that although fraise mowing may help to temporarily reduce existing annual bluegrass plants via mechanical removal, the frequency and depth we studied did not effectively reduce the seedbank at our site. Based on our sampling, our soil contained 25,250 annual bluegrass seeds in the top 2.5 cm of soil per square meter. This is far fewer than the 179,500 seeds in the top 2.5 cm of soil per square meter reported by Watschke et al. (1979), which is likely because those researchers sampled from a site growing cool-season grasses that was 90% annual bluegrass and 10% Kentucky bluegrass. It is possible that fraise mowing would have a much larger impact on a site with a higher count of annual bluegrass seed in the seedbank.

Debris Analysis

The same weeds found in the seedbank analysis were found germinating from the fraise debris with the addition of corn speedwell. Among the weed seed found in the debris, winter annual broadleaves represented the largest portion (59%; Table 4). This helps explain the positive finding that winter annual broadleaf weeds in the soil seedbank were decreased by fraise mowing. Next, 28% of the seeds found in fraise mowing debris consisted of annual bluegrass (Table 4). In a volume of debris equivalent to the soil volume quantified in the seedbank analysis (10.8-cm diameter core to 2.54-cm depth), 34.7 annual bluegrass seedlings were counted. In the seedbank analysis, 235 annual bluegrass seedlings were counted indicating that proportionally, the majority of the annual bluegrass seed remained in the soil following fraise mowing.

Again, these results were surprising considering published data on cool-season turfgrasses suggest that the majority of the annual bluegrass in the seedbank resides in the top 1 cm (Branham et al. 2004) of soil in cool-season golf course fairways or the top 1.3 cm (Green et al. 2019) of the soil in cool-season golf course putting greens. It is likely that seedbank dynamics and seed distribution in the soil profile is different between climates with increased annual bluegrass. Past research on annual bluegrass seedbank dynamics (Branham et al. 2004; Green et al. 2019; Watschke et al. 1979) was conducted in cool-season turf swards in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Pennsylvania with a high percentage of annual bluegrass. Additionally, some of the sites previously studied were golf course turfs that were 90+ yr old. The site used in our experiment was a 4-yr-old sward of warm-season turf in Tennessee where annual bluegrass is a problematic weed but a smaller component of the turf sward than in cooler climates. As such, although data in this present experiment do not support that fraise mowing is highly efficacious for annual bluegrass removal from the soil seedbank of warm-season turf, fraise mowing may prove to be effective in removing annual bluegrass from the seedbank of cool-season turf as suggested by Green et al. (2019).

In the complete absence of herbicide, fraise mowing of zoysiagrass in June led to minor reductions in annual bluegrass infestation the following April. Although this cultivation practice removed ~144,000 cm³ of debris from each plot that contained 28% annual bluegrass seed, a sizeable portion of annual bluegrass seed remained in the seedbank after fraise mowing. Our results are limited in that we evaluated efficacy of this treatment on a single turfgrass species at one location. Efficacy of fraise mowing for depleting annual bluegrass seedbanks may vary among turfgrass species and environments (e.g., soil type, mowing height, thatch depth, cultivation history, etc.). Additional research exploring efficacy of fraise mowing under different environmental conditions is warranted. As noted by Baker et al. (2005), fraise mowing may be a useful tool for providing mechanical suppression of annual bluegrass along with efficacy from properly timed herbicide applications. Future research exploring efficacy of weed control strategies exploring the use of fraise mowing combined with traditional herbicides is also warranted in zoysiagrass and other turfgrass species.

Acknowledgments. We thank Dr. Ross Braun, Tyler Campbell, Nathan Deppe, Daniel Farnsworth, Trevor Hill, Cory Wright, Mitchell Riffey, Geoff Schortgen, Dallas Taylor, and Dan Weisenberger for their efforts in this research. This work was supported by the Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station and AQUA-AID Solutions. This work was also supported by U.S. Department of Agriculture–National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch project 1019791. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement by the University of Tennessee Institute of Agriculture or Purdue University.

References

- Baker SW, Owen AG, Woollacott AR (2005) Physical and chemical control of *Poa annua* on professional football pitches. *J Turfgrass Sport Surface Sci* 81:47–61
- Branham BE, Hardebeck GA, Meyer JW, Reicher ZJ (2004) Turfgrass renovation using dazomet to control the *Poa annua* L. soil seed bank. *HortScience* 39:1763–1767
- Brosnan JT, Vargas JJ, Breeden GK, Grier L, Aponte RA, Tresch S, LaForest M (2016) A new amino acid substitution (Ala-205-Phe) in acetolactate synthase (ALS) confers broad spectrum resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides. *Planta* 243:149–159
- Breeden SM, Brosnan JT, Mueller TC, Breeden GK, Horvath BJ, Senseman SA (2017). Confirmation and control of annual bluegrass with resistance to proflaminate and glyphosate. *Weed Technol* 31:111–119
- Engelke MC, Murray JJ (1989) Zoysiagrass breeding and cultivar development. Proceedings of the 6th International Turfgrass Research Conference. Tokyo, Japan, July 31–August 5, 1989
- Fagerness M, Yelverton F, Cooper R (2002). Bermudagrass [*Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers.] and zoysiagrass (*Zoysia japonica*) establishment after preemergence herbicide applications. *Weed Technol* 16:597–602
- Ferreira EB, Cavalcanti PP, Nogueira DA (2014). ExpDes: An R package for ANOVA and experimental designs. *Appl Math* 5:2952–2958
- Gardarin A, Dürr C, Colbach N (2009) Which model species for weed seedbank and emergence studies? A review. *Weed Res* 49:117–130
- Green TO, Kravchenko A, Rogers JN, Vargas JM (2019) Annual bluegrass: Emergence of viable seed in various putting green sites and soil removal depths. *HortTechnology* 29:438–442
- Hansen K, Christians N (2015) Establishing Kentucky bluegrass after fraze mowing: time to recovery after fraze mowing can be affected by seeding rates and the use of turf covers. *Golf Course Manage* 83:88–93
- Harding DP, Raizada MN (2015) Controlling weeds with fungi, bacteria and viruses: a review. *Front Plant Sci* 6:659
- Heap I (2019) International survey of herbicide resistant weeds. <http://www.weedscience.org>. Accessed May 1, 2019
- Johnson BJ (1994) Biological control of annual bluegrass with *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *poannua* in bermudagrass. *HortScience* 29:659–662
- Karcher DE, Richardson MD, Landreth JW, McCalla JH Jr (2005) Recovery of zoysiagrass varieties from divot injury. *Appl Turf Sci* 2:1–8
- Mao Q, Huff DR (2012) The evolutionary origin of *Poa annua* L. *Crop Sci* 52:1910–1922
- McCauley RK, Pinnix GD, Miller GL (2019) Fraise mowing as a spring transition aid. *Crop Forage Turfgrass Manage* 5:190025
- Minnick J, Reed A (2013) Concept to active practice: Fraise mowing bermudagrass makes debut. *Sports Turf* 29:26–29
- Norsworthy JK, Ward SM, Shaw DR, Llewellyn, RS, Nichols RL, Webster TM, Bradley KW, Frisvold G, Powles SB, Burgos NR, Witt WW, Barrett M (2012) Reducing the risks of herbicide resistance: best management practices and recommendations. *Weed Sci* 60(Special Issue): 31–62
- Neve P, Norsworthy JK, Smith KL, Zelaya IA (2011) Modelling evolution and management of glyphosate resistance in *Amaranthus palmeri*. *Weed Res* 51:99–112
- Patton AJ, Schwartz BM, Kenworthy KE (2017) Zoysiagrass (*Zoysia* spp.) history, utilization, and improvement in the United States: A Review. *Crop Sci* 57:S37–S72
- Peachey RE, Pinkerton JN, Ivors KL, Miller ML, Moore LW (2001). Effects of soil solarization, cover crops, and metham on field emergence and survival of buried annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*). *Weed Technol* 15:81–88
- Raley RB, Landschoot PJ, Brosnan JT (2013) Influence of phosphorus and nitrogen on annual bluegrass encroachment in a creeping bentgrass putting green. *Int Turf Soc Res J* 12:649–655
- R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>. Accessed September 30, 2019
- Schwartz LM, Gibson DJ, Gage KL, Matthews JL, Jordan DL, Owen MD, Shaw DR, Weller SC, Willson RG, Young BG (2015) Seedbank and field emergence of weeds in glyphosate-resistant cropping systems in the United States. *Weed Sci* 63:425–439
- Svyantek AW, Aldahir P, Chen S, Flessner ML, McCullough PE, Sidhu SS, McElroy JS (2016) Target and nontarget resistance mechanisms induce annual bluegrass (*Poa annua*) resistance to atrazine, amicarbazone, and diuron. *Weed Technol* 30:773–782
- Thoms AW, Sorochan JC, Brosnan JT, Samples TJ (2011) Perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) and grooming affect bermudagrass traffic tolerance. *Crop Sci* 51:2204–2211
- Trappe JM, Karcher DE, Richardson MD, Patton AJ (2011). Bermudagrass and zoysiagrass cultivar selection: Part 2, divot recovery. *Appl Turfgrass Sci* 8:1–10
- Turner FA, Jordan KS, Van Acker RC (2012) The recruitment biology and ecology of large and small crabgrass in turfgrass: Implications for management in the context of a cosmetic pesticide ban. *Can J Plant Sci* 92:829–845

- Walsh M, Newman P, Powles S (2013) Targeting weed seeds in-crop: a new weed control paradigm for global agriculture. *Weed Technol* 27: 431–436
- Walsh, MJ, Harrington RB, Powles SB (2012) Harrington seed destructor: a new nonchemical weed control tool for global grain crops. *Crop Sci* 52: 1343–1347
- Walsh MJ, Newman P (2007) Burning narrow windrows for weed seed destruction. *Field Crop Res* 104:24–40
- Walsh MJ, Powles SB (2007) Management strategies for herbicide-resistant weed populations in Australian dryland crop production systems. *Weed Technol* 21:332–338
- Watschke TL, Long FW, Duich JM. (1979) Control of *Poa annua* by suppression of seedheads with growth regulators. *Weed Sci* 27:224–231
- Wolfe JC, Neal JC, Harlow CD (2016) Selective broadleaf weed control in turfgrass with the bioherbicides *Phoma macrostoma* and thaxtomin A. *Weed Technol* 30:688–700