

**Middle Ordovician (middle Darriwilian)  
Archaeospicularia and Entactinaria (radiolarians) from  
the Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry,  
Newfoundland, Canada**

Authors: Kachovich, Sarah, and Aitchison, Jonathan C.

Source: Journal of Paleontology, 95(5) : 913-943

Published By: The Paleontological Society

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/jpa.2021.18>

---

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](http://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Middle Ordovician (middle Darriwilian) Archaeospicularia and Entactinaria (radiolarians) from the Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada

Sarah Kachovich<sup>1,2</sup> and Jonathan C. Aitchison<sup>1\*</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, 4072, Australia  
<[kachovich@iodp.tamu.edu](mailto:kachovich@iodp.tamu.edu)>, <[jona@uq.edu.au](mailto:jona@uq.edu.au)>

<sup>2</sup>now at: International Ocean Discovery Program, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 77845, USA

**Abstract.**—New, distinctive, well-preserved and previously undescribed constituents of a Middle Ordovician (middle Darriwilian, Dw2) radiolarian assemblage from the Table Cove Formation in Newfoundland are described. Three-dimensional X-ray micro-computed tomography ( $\mu$ -CT) facilitates detailed examination of key specimens revealing hitherto unknown details of the internal morphologies of key lower Paleozoic taxonomic groups, among which a lack of knowledge has previously impeded resolution of higher taxonomic rankings.

Twenty-seven archaeospiculid and entactinarian taxa are described and illustrated including six new species: *Westerbrookia polygonata* n. sp., *Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp., *Ramuspiculum laxum* n. sp. *Spongentactinia nazarovi* n. sp., *Aspiculum irregulare* n. sp., and *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp. The investigation extends the known ranges of the species: *Pararcheontactinia reedae* Won and Iams, 2002; *Sphaeroentactinia robusta* Won and Iams, 2015; *Varispiculum ectospiculatum* Won and Iams, 2015; and *Svalbardospiculum multifurcatum* (Won, Iams, and Reed, 2005), together with the genus *Echidnina* to the mid-Darriwilian.

UUID: <http://zoobank.org/74826b7b-bb86-45d5-ad23-e6e65e0706df>.

## Introduction

In order to fully document a well-preserved radiolarian fauna from the middle Darriwilian Table Cove Formation in Newfoundland, this paper is intended to complement earlier work of Kachovich and Aitchison (2020) that described spumellarian radiolarians from the same location. Archaeospicularid and entactiniid radiolarians, together with forms that remain in open nomenclature, are described herein.

Radiolarian faunas have been reported from Ordovician strata globally from North and South America, Spitsbergen, Scotland, Russia, China, and Australia (see Danelian et al., 2017 and references therein). Documentation of Middle Ordovician (Darriwilian) radiolarians has been presented from Newfoundland (Kachovich and Aitchison, 2020), Argentina (Maletz et al., 2009), Kazakhstan (Nazarov, 1975; Nazarov et al., 1977; Nazarov and Ormiston, 1993; Pouille et al., 2013, 2014b), Scotland (Danelian and Clarkson, 1998; Danelian, 1999; Danelian and Floyd, 2001; Danelian et al., 2013; Perera et al., 2020), and China (Li, 1995; Buckman and Aitchison, 2001; Yi et al 2018).

A lack of precise taxonomic descriptions of many taxa and stratigraphic knowledge gaps continue to hinder understanding

of phylogenetic relationships among taxa within this important Phanerozoic fossil group, especially in the earliest stages of their evolution (Noble et al., 2017). Many radiolarians grow outwards from an initial spicule observation, which is commonly obscured by development of later skeletal elements, such as concentric spongy, spicular, or porous shells. Important internal structural details also can be obscured or destroyed by diagenesis and/or poor preservation. This continues to impede our understanding of higher-level taxonomy. Stratigraphic and paleogeographic ranges of many of the important morphological groups remain poorly constrained because detailed reports understandably focus on isolated occurrences where taphonomic conditions have been the most favorable (Aitchison et al., 2017). Unfortunately, although radiolarians are the dominant fossils among early Paleozoic deep-water marine lithofacies such as chert, this is typically where preservation states are worse. Recent molecular investigations into both modern entactinarians and spumellarians are providing additional insight into the time of origin of these orders and their evolution.

Lower Paleozoic carbonates in Newfoundland are well known for numerous well-preserved radiolarian faunas from upper Cambrian to Lower Ordovician strata (Bergström, 1979; Aitchison et al., 1998; Zhang and Pratt, 2000; Won and Iams, 2002, 2011, 2013, 2015; Maletz and Bruton, 2005, 2007, 2008; Won et al., 2005; Maletz, 2007, 2011; Pouille et al., 2014a). Previous reports from the Middle Ordovician

\*Corresponding author

Table Cove Formation have mentioned the presence of radiolarians at Piccadilly Quarry (Bergström, 1979; Renz, 1990; Zhang and Pratt, 2000; Maletz and Bruton, 2007), a locality well-known for other fossil occurrences. Twenty-seven archaeospicularid and entactiniid taxa, six of which belong to species newly described herein, are recognized.

## Geologic setting

The Table Head Group in the Port au Port Peninsula area of Newfoundland is well studied (Stenzel et al., 1990). Tectonically, it comprises part of an autochthonous Cambrian to Ordovician age passive margin carbonate shelf rift-drift assemblage that developed on the Laurentian margin during opening of the Iapetus Ocean (Williams and Hiscott, 1987; Torsvik et al., 1996; Lacombe et al., 2020). This margin was tectonically overthrust in a westerly direction by the Humber Arm Allochthon in response to ocean closure during the Taconic orogeny. The allochthon incorporates a series of nappes that includes the Cow Head Group, together with the Bay of Islands Ophiolite (Cawood and Suhr, 1992) and Little Port complexes (Williams, 1973; Jenner et al., 1991), from which numerous other Ordovician radiolarian assemblages have been described.

**Locality information.**—Samples were collected from dark gray nodules within a 4 m thick fossiliferous, peloidal limestone section in the Table Cove Formation exposed at the Piccadilly Quarry, Port au Port Peninsula, Newfoundland (48.59155556° N, 58.92027778° W, WGS84, Figs. 1, 2). The limestones contain a fauna that includes brachiopods and hexactinellid sponge spicules (Zhang and Pratt, 2000), together with a rich graptolite assemblage that correlates to the middle Darriwilian *Holmograptus spinosus* Biozone (Maletz and Egenhoff, 2011). Co-occurring conodonts allow correlation to the late Dw2 *Histiodelpha kristinae* subzone (Stouge, 1984).

**Radiolarian biostratigraphy.**—Ordovician stratigraphy used herein follows North American and Newfoundland zonal schemes for conodonts and graptolites (Morris and Kay, 1966; Williams et al., 1987; Maletz, 2009; Loydell, 2012). Globally, twelve radiolarian assemblages are presently recognized in the Ordovician (Aitchison et al., 2017). Middle Ordovician radiolarian biostratigraphy follows the work of Nazarov and Popov (1980), Nazarov (1988), Danelian and Popov (2003), Jones and Noble (2006), MacDonald (2006), Pouille et al. (2013), and Aitchison et al. (2017), and stratigraphic correlation for the radiolarians described herein is discussed elsewhere (Kachovich and Aitchison, 2020).

## Materials and methods

The materials and methods used in this investigation, including those for  $\mu$ -CT imagery and analysis, are presented in detail by Kachovich et al. (2019) and Kachovich and Aitchison (2020). Examples of micro-CT models of skeletons of various 'primitive' entactinarians, with a six-rayed internal spicule with a median bar (MB) (colored in green) and a spherical sphere with irregular and three-dimensional meshwork (gray), are presented in Figure 3.

**Repositories and institutional abbreviations.**—Specimens examined in this study are curated at the School of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Queensland (UQSEES), Brisbane, Australia. Other repositories for holotypic material referred to in the text include the Geological Institute, Moscow (GIN), and Geological Survey of Canada (GSC).

## Systematic paleontology

**Taxonomic review.**—Although a rigid framework for classification of early polycystine radiolarians is needed, this is currently difficult to develop because of imperfections in the fossil record and relatively few studies that have been made on internal skeletal elements. In this study, specimens from well-established families, such as the Archeoentactiniidae, Echidniniidae, Palaeospiculidae, Entactiniidae, and Aspiculidae, were observed using  $\mu$ -CT scans, SEM, and TLM images, and possible relationships among families and genera are discussed.

Family- and genus-level systematics follow Noble et al. (2017). The family Aspiculidae and its genus *Nyfrieslandia*, as well as the genus *Westernbrookia* within the family Echidniniidae, are emended. The characteristics of the above-mentioned families and genera are re-evaluated in the light of  $\mu$ -CT investigations.

Phylum Radiozoa Cavalier-Smith, 1987

Class Polycystina Ehrenberg, 1838, sensu Riedel, 1967

Order Archaeospicularia Dumitrică, Caridroit, and De Wever, 2000

Family Archeoentactiniidae Won and Below, 1999

Genus *Pararchoentactinia* Won and Iams, 2002

**Type species.**—*Pararchoentactinia reedae* Won and Iams, 2002.

*Pararchoentactinia reedae* Won and Iams, 2002

Figure 4.5

2002 *Pararchoentactinia reedae* Won and Iams, p. 13, figs. 8–10.

2005 *Pararchoentactinia reedae*; Won et al., p. 438, fig. 5.14–5.16.

2007 *Pararchoentactinia reedae*; Won et al., p. 504, fig. 4.3, 4.4.

2014 *Pararchoentactinia reedae*; Pouille et al., 2014a, pl. 1, fig. 15, pl. 2, figs. 16–25.


2015 *Pararchoentactinia* spp. cf. *P. reedae*; Won and Iams, p. 12, pl. 1, figs. 10a, b.

**Holotype.**—Specimen (GSC-122014 GP6-44), Green Point Formation, Cow Head Group, Canada (Won and Iams, 2002, fig. 9.13).

**Occurrence.**—Upper Cambrian, Franconian–Lower Ordovician, upper Floian, Cow Head Group, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Materials.**—Single specimen (sample PD09), Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Specimen fits within range of variation among *Pararchoentactinia reedae* Group reported by Won and Iams



**Figure 1.** Locality map showing position of study area (after Cooper et al., 2001; Kachovich and Aitchison, 2020). Inset map shows Port au Port Peninsula's location in relation to the rest of Newfoundland. Reprinted with permission from Kachovich and Aitchison (2020, fig. 1).

(2002). This discovery extends the known range of *P. reedae* to the mid-Darriwilian.

Genus *Sphaeroentactinia* Maletz and Bruton, 2007

*Type species.*—*Sphaeroentactinia hexaspinosa* Maletz and Bruton, 2007.

*Remarks.*—Taxa within the genus *Sphaeroentactinia* can be distinguished by the varying development of the initial spicule and the degree of integration of spinules into the skeletal wall.

*Sphaeroentactinia* sp. aff. *S. integrata* Maletz and Bruton, 2007  
Figures 3.3, 3.4, 4.6–4.10, 5; Supplemental Data file 1

aff. 2007 *Sphaeroentactinia integrata* Maletz and Bruton, p. 265, figs. 6D, 14A–I.


*Description.*—The initial spicule is eccentrically integrated into the sphere and consists of a MB (9–17  $\mu\text{m}$  long) and three rays with a tetrahedral distribution at each end. A hollow, spherical skeleton (diameter  $[\text{Ø}] = 137\text{--}153\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) with a disorderly interwoven meshwork of thin, straight bars formed from a

bar-centered spicule with six-rays. The terminal primary rays branch trichotomously to form a sphere in connection with the growing mesh of the apophyses starting from the primary rays. The spherical skeleton diameter is  $\sim 95\text{--}115\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ . The three apical rays are free from the outer sphere, where the three long basal rays (up to  $330\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  long) pass through and form a part of the skeletal wall. The MB on the initial spicule is positioned ectopically on the skeletal wall. The outer sphere is constructed from compactly interwoven thin spinules that originate on the basal rays to form a polygonal or angular meshwork. The surface is very rough because of the protruding parts of the bars. The inner surface of the outer sphere is smooth.

*Materials.*—Fourteen specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

*Remarks.*—*Sphaeroentactinia* sp. aff. *S. integrata* is distinguished from other taxa within *Sphaeroentactinia* by the integration of most of the primary rays in the sphere. Furthermore, the sphere is developed from a connection of primary rays and apophyses, and not exclusively from apophyses, as in *S. hexaspinosa*. In the SEM images, the





**Figure 2.** Stratigraphic context of the Table Cove Formation at the Piccadilly Quarry. (1) Lithostratigraphic log (after Maletz and Egenhoff, 2011; Kachovich and Aitchison, 2020) showing the sampled levels (PD01, -03, -05, -07, -09, -11, -12, and -13). Generalized stratigraphy after Knight (1991) and James et al. (1987); (2) field photo, facing southwest, of the exposure of gently dipping beds of rhythmically bedded limestone. Reprinted with permission from Kachovich and Aitchison (2020, fig. 3).

microbar is sometimes difficult to observe due to the orientation of the specimen. Commonly the six spines are slightly asymmetrical, suggesting a bar-centered spicule with a short median bar. Maletz and Bruton (2007) described and illustrated the species in some detail, based on material mounted on SEM stubs. Material investigated using  $\mu$ -CT displays (Fig. 4) the irregular relationship between the distinct bar-centered initial spicule and the meshwork of the outer sphere. The irregularly positioned three-dimensional meshwork appears to have no taxonomic value. *Sphaeroentactinia integrata* differs from *S. hexaspinosa* with integration of distinct rays of the spicule into the spherical skeleton.

*Sphaeroentactinia robusta* Won and Iams, 2015  
Figure 6.3, 6.6, 6.7, 6.9

2007 Archaeoentactinid sp. indet. Maletz, p. 80, fig. 3I.

2015 *Sphaeroentactinia robusta* Won and Iams, p. 21, pl. 5, figs. 2, 3.

**Holotype.**—Specimen (GSC 131223), Cow Head Group, Canada (Won and Iams, 2015, pl. 5, fig. 3).

**Occurrence.**—Ordovician, Upper Floian to Dapingian, Cow Head Group, Newfoundland, Canada.


**Description.**—Well-developed, bar-centered spicule, which repeatedly branches to form a loose sub-globular meshwork. Initial spicule is prominent and thicker in comparison to the delicate, loose meshwork. The main rays may be branched up to four or five times, but this is difficult to determine due to the delicate nature of the meshwork.

**Materials.**—Four specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—The relative rarity of *Sphaeroentactinia robusta* may be related to the likelihood of preservation of this form, given the delicate nature of the meshwork. This discovery extends the known range of *S. robusta* to the mid-Darrivillian.


*Sphaeroentactinia* sp.  
Figure 4.3, 4.4

**Description.**—Small sub-globular skeleton ( $\varnothing \sim 75 \mu\text{m}$ ) constructed from delicately branched rays and apophyses from



**Figure 3.** Micro-CT models of skeletons of various 'primitive' entactinarians, with a six-rayed internal spicule with a median bar (MB) (colored in green) and a spherical sphere with irregular and three-dimensional meshwork (gray). MB varies in length, thickness, and position within the skeleton between different families. Scale bar = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . (1) *Ramuspiculum laxum* n. sp. (UQSEES\_M1S03 holotype from PD09), (2) MB = 6.6  $\mu\text{m}$ ; (3) *Sphaeroentactinia* sp. aff. *S. integrata* (Maletz and Bruton) (UQSEES\_M2S07 from PD13), (4) MB = 11.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ; (5, 6) *Varispiculum ectospiculatum* Won and Iams (UQSEES\_M3S12 from PD13), (7) MB = 16.8  $\mu\text{m}$ ; (8, 10) Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A (UQSEES\_M2S05 from PD05), (11) MB = 27.8  $\mu\text{m}$ ; (9) *Spongentactinia armillata* (Nazarov) (UQSEES\_M7S20 from PD13), (12) MB = 33.8  $\mu\text{m}$ .






**Figure 4.** Scanning electron micrographs of radiolarians extracted from limestones from the Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland Canada. Scale bar = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ : (1, 2) *Svalbardospiculum multifurcatum* Won, Iams, and Reed; PD02; (3, 4) *Sphaeroentactinia* sp.; PD05; (5) *Pararcheoentactinia reedae* Won and Iams; PD09; (6–10) *Sphaeroentactinia* sp. aff. *S. integrata* (Maletz and Bruton); PD13; (11, 12) *Svalbardospiculum* sp. aff. *S. hexaradiatum* (Won and Iams); PD13; (13–16) Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. C; (13) PD02; (14–16) PD13.

a robust initial spicule. The sphere has a hollow interior. The initial spicule is ectopically placed into the sphere, where the visible external primary spines attain a length of 100  $\mu\text{m}$  with

a pointed tip. The MB is  $\sim 10\text{--}15\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  long and  $5\text{--}8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  wide. Secondary external spines may be formed. These are much smaller than the primary rays and, thus, easily differentiated.



**Figure 5.** Micro-CT model UQSEES\_M2S07 of *Sphaeroentactinia* sp. aff. *S. integrata* (Maletz and Bruton) illustrating the relationship between the distinct bar-centered initial spicule (green) and the outer skeleton (gray). The 3D meshwork originates from irregularly spaced positions on the basal rays (red) and appears to have no taxonomic value. Labels A and B are arbitrary and attached to spines in order to allow viewers to better follow specimen rotation. (1, 2) Same  $\mu$ -CT model viewed at different angles at the same magnification; (3) zoom view of initial spicule in center of model.

**Materials.**—Two specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Only two broken specimens were observed from sample PD05, thus the true nature of this *Sphaeroentactinia* variant cannot be properly assessed.

Family Echidninidae Kozur, Mostler, and Repetski, 1996  
Genus *Echidnina* Bengtson, 1986 sensu Won and Iams, 2002

**Type species.**—*Echidnina runnegari* Bengtson, 1986.

*Echidnina* sp. cf. *E. conexa* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2005  
Figure 6.12

- cf. 2002 *Echidnina* sp. Won and Iams, p. 26, figs. 12.15, 12.16.
- cf. 2005 *Echidnina conexa* Won et al., p. 447, figs. 3.14–3.16a, b.
- cf. 2005 *Echidnina semiconexa* Won et al., p. 447, figs. 3.7–3.12.
- cf. 2005 *Echidnina severedeformis* Won et al., p. 449, figs. 4.7, 4.8a, b.
- cf. 2014a *Echidnina conexa* Pouille et al., pl. 5, figs. 1–29.

**Description.**—Skeleton made of interlocked spicules. Numerous more or less fused six-rayed, point-centered spicules, packed densely together in such a way as to form a hollow sphere with a latticed meshwork. Rays pass tangentially to the inner surface and, when fused, display a smooth inner structure. The free ends of the rays, directed outwardly, are short.

**Materials.**—One specimen, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Diameter of the outer sphere of the specimen described (140  $\mu$ m) is noticeably less than that of the holotype

of *E. conexa*. This discovery extends the known range of *Echidnina* to the mid-Darriwilian.

Genus *Varispiculum* Won and Iams, 2015

**Type species.**—*Varispiculum ectospiculatum* Won and Iams, 2015.

**Remarks.**—The genus *Varispiculum* lacks the skeletal meshwork of a protoentactiniid and has minor spicules. It differs from all other genera of the family Echidninidae in the presence of spinules along the rays that form a part of the skeletal meshwork. Differences between species can be found in the development of the primary spicule and the way the spicule is integrated into the skeletal wall.

*Varispiculum ectospiculatum* Won and Iams, 2015  
Figures 3.5–3.7, 6.8, 6.13; Supplemental Data file 2


2015 *Varispiculum ectospiculatum* Won and Iams, p. 17, pl. 4, figs. 12, 13, pl. 5, figs. 8–14.

**Holotype.**—Specimen (GSC 131232), Cow Head Group, Canada (Won and Iams, 2015, pl. 5, fig. 9a, b).

**Occurrence.**—Lower to Middle Ordovician, Cow Head Group, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Description.**—Small sphere ( $\varnothing = 100 \mu$ m) with irregular and three-dimensional meshwork. A prominent three-rayed, bar-centered spicule that is positioned ectopically inside the skeleton. Spinules common and densely developed along the rays, some of them connected to skeletal meshwork. The distal parts of the rays commonly protrude as outer spines, along which radially and equidistantly or sub-equidistantly distributed spinules (thorns) are densely developed and contribute to form the skeletal meshwork.





**Figure 6.** Scanning electron micrographs of radiolarians extracted from limestones from the Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada. Scale bar = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . (1) *Protoentactinia* sp.; PD05; (2, 4, 5) *Ramuspiculum laxum* n. sp.; (2) PD13; (4, 5) PD02; (3, 6, 7, 9) *Sphaeroentactinia robusta* Won; (3) PD13; (6, 7, 9) PD05; (8, 13) *Varispiculum ectospiculatum* Won and Iams; PD13; (10, 11) *Ramuspiculum* sp.; PD05; (12) *Echidnina* sp. aff. *E. conexa* Won, Iams, and Reed; PD02.

**Materials.**—Two specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Relatively uncommon among the samples examined. This discovery extends the known range of *Varispiculum ectospiculatum* to the mid-Darriwilian.

Genus *Westernbrookia* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007

**Type species.**—*Westernbrookia diversa* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007.

**Remarks.**—Emended from Won et al. (2007) to include forms with up to 11 radial rays that arise from a polygonal spicular system and a single ray that is connected to the pylome rim and develops into a short main spine.

Details of the delicate internal structure of this taxon were only revealed during observation with  $\mu$ -CT. Our material appears to be a form that may be intermediate between *Westernbrookia* and the Upper Ordovician taxon *Kalimnasphaera maculosa* Webby and Blom, 1986 (Webby and Blom, 1986; Noble and Webby, 2009). The “double-walled latticed medullary shell” described by Noble and Webby (2009) from the Katian, *K. maculosa*, was not observed. However, there are possible relics of a second internal structure that might have been too delicate to be preserved. As noted by Pouille et al. (2013), geologically older forms of *Kalimnasphaera* are likely to only preserve the second “medullary shell” because these older forms are much thinner and other spheres may be easily dissolved by taphonomic processes. Unlike the Upper Ordovician *Kalimnasphaera* taxa (and other Paleozoic families), the spherical “inner shell” (here termed “microsphere”) forms as intergrowths between the initial tetrapetaloid structure with a short MB and four wide pores. The spines (most readily observed using a  $\mu$ -CT scan, such as that in Supplemental Data file 3 where the microsphere is colored red) are joined by arches in the proximal part to form four wide pores (the fourth poorly developed) with the MB in the center. This tetrapetaloid structure is a characteristically seen in younger radiolarians, such as *Hollandosphaera hexagonium* (Hollande and Enjume, 1960), which is common in Mesozoic–Cenozoic assemblages (Dumitrică, 2013).

The most noteworthy feature observed in the middle Darriwilian genus *Westernbrookia* is the distinct spicular system with a MB and a primitive tetrapetaloid structure. Heteropolar inner spicular systems are also common among radiolarians with a pylome in the families Pylentonemidae, Proventocitidae, and Popofskyellidae. The precise development of the spicular systems in these families has not been described in detail because of the difficulties (even with  $\mu$ CT technology) in studying such a very thin skeletal element that is rarely well preserved.

*Westernbrookia polygonata* new species

Figures 7.3, 7.6, 7.9, 8, 9.9, 9.11, 9.12; Supplemental Data file 3

**Holotype.**—UQSEES\_M4S13, Figure 8, Supplemental Data file 3; sample PD13, Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Diagnosis.**—Spherical to polygonal, pylome outer sphere (outer sphere  $\varnothing = 180\text{--}198\ \mu\text{m}$ ) with a distinct polarized spicular system. A large irregular pylome opening ( $\varnothing = 74\text{--}95\ \mu\text{m}$ ), where the rim of the pylome opening is fringed with a small, unornamented ridge ( $5\text{--}7\ \mu\text{m}$  thick). The outer sphere is thick and regularly latticed, with rounded to angular-oval pores ( $\varnothing = 8\text{--}26\ \mu\text{m}$ , average  $\varnothing = 20\ \mu\text{m}$ ), and bears short and thin by-spines at vertices. Incomplete, loose apophyses on the main spine.

**Occurrence.**—Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Description.**—The initial spicule is incorporated into the apical portion of the microsphere wall. It is hardly discernible, but gives rise to a heteropolar microsphere. The inner spicular system ( $\varnothing = 56\ \mu\text{m}$ ) is constructed of thin pore-bars that form irregular or polygonal pore frames. Pores on the microsphere are angular to oval, with irregular size and arrangement (31 pores ranging from  $3\text{--}49\ \mu\text{m}$ ; average  $31\ \mu\text{m}$ ). The microsphere is integrated with an ectopically placed, bar-centered inner spicular system. There is strict geometry between the positions of the MB and the pylome, where the MB is apical and the pylome is basal (Fig. 8.2). When the inner spicular system is viewed in a nominally apical position, four proximal hexagonal rings around a thick MB ( $28\ \mu\text{m}$  long,  $15\ \mu\text{m}$  wide) are observed. In the basal half, pores become more polygonal with less-regular shape and arrangement.


The microsphere contains numerous polygonal, rarely hexagonal framed pores that bear 11 long, thin radial bars (rb). Radial bars originate in the wall of the microsphere rather than in the initial spicule from the bars or triple junction of the microsphere lattice. One spine is located around the pylome on the outer sphere in the antapical position and develops into a short outer spine. An additional spicular system is potentially preserved as broken nodes within the microsphere.

Eleven radial bars connect the microsphere to the outer sphere and continue as outer spines. The rod-like outer spines (up to  $180\ \mu\text{m}$  long) are arranged concentrically around, or radiate from, a central point in spherical symmetry, but have a mon-axial heteropolar symmetry. Outer spine breadth decreases gradually distally, where one outer spine can be observed bearing poorly developed apophyses. Numerous conical by-spines (up to  $35\ \mu\text{m}$  long) emerge from the pore-bar junction and are occasionally pyramidal due to a short prolongation of the cortical spongy meshwork along them.

**Etymology.**—From the polygonal shape of the innermost sphere.


**Materials.**—Four specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—The discovery of a primitive tetrahedral initial spicule in lower Paleozoic forms is significant, and many species with a tetrahedral initial spicule previously have been reported from the Triassic (Dumitrică, 2013). The apparent



**Figure 7.** Compilation of μ-CT models, SEM images, and line drawings illustrating the family Aspiculidae from sample PD13. (1, 4, 7) *Nyfrieslandia* sp. aff. *N. complicata*; (2, 5, 8) *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp.; (3, 6, 9) *Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp.; (10, 11) *Aspiculum irregulare* n. sp.; (12) line drawing of Upper Ordovician *Kalimnasphaera maculosa* after Webby and Blom (1986).





**Figure 8.** Compilation of line drawings,  $\mu$ -CT models, and SEM image of the holotype *Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp. (UQSEES\_M4S13), illustrating the heteropolar microsphere in relation to its skeleton; median bar (MB); (1–3) shown at the same scale and (6–8) shown at the same scale. (1) Schematic representation of the relationship of skeletal features; note the eleventh ray (s-py), in the basal position, does not develop into a main spine on the outer sphere; (2) details showing the true relationship between features; note the large pylome opening (py); (3) detailed diagram of the microsphere; (4) apical view of a  $\mu$ -CT segmentation of the microsphere showing the relationship between the MB and five apical rays (rb = radial bar, LG = longitudinal gate, TG = transverse gate); (5) planar extraction of the apical and basal hemispheres; (6) basal view of the  $\mu$ -CT model of *Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp. with enlargement of internal detail; (7) showing the alignment of the pylome, large pore on the microsphere aligned with the pylome and the MB on the apical side of the microsphere; (8) a microsphere comparable to *Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp. that was reported by Maletz and Bruton (2007) from the Lower to Middle Ordovician (upper Floian to lower Dapingian) of the Valhalla Formation, Spitsbergen.

long absence of this kind of spicule structure in the fossil record is curious and may be a result of convergent evolution. Currently, a comparison is difficult because it is unclear whether or not the microsphere of Triassic forms is homologous to the microsphere in lower Paleozoic species.

*Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp. can be compared with late Tremadocian taxa assigned to the genus *Westernbrookia* reported from Canada. *Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp. is most comparable to *W. cancella* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007 (Won et al., 2007, p. 521, fig. 12.7–12.10). *Westernbrookia cancella* has a thick and delicate spongy layer (outer sphere  $\varnothing = 210\ \mu\text{m}$  comparable to  $180\text{--}198\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. polygonata* n. sp.), more than ten outer spines (11 in *W. polygonata* n. sp.), where one of the spines is associated with the pylome, which is also observed in *W. polygonata* n. sp. *Westernbrookia cancella* can be distinguished from *W. polygonata* n. sp. by having a more delicate internal spicular system. Furthermore, *W. cancella* has smaller pores on the outer sphere ( $\sim 9\ \mu\text{m}$  vs.  $35\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. polygonata* n. sp.), a smaller pylome ( $\varnothing = 74\text{--}95\ \mu\text{m}$  vs.  $\sim 50\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. cancella*), and shorter rod-like outer spines (up to  $180\ \mu\text{m}$  long vs.  $110\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. cancella*).

The inner system is most closely comparable to that observed in *W. diversa* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007 (Won et al., 2007, p. 519, figs. 15.1–15.12). However, it differs from *W. diversa* by its smaller spicular system ( $\varnothing = 56\ \mu\text{m}$  vs.  $90\text{--}115\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. diversa*), large, well-developed pylome ( $\varnothing = 74\text{--}95\ \mu\text{m}$  vs.  $\sim 33\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. diversa*), greater number of outer spines (11 main spines vs.  $\leq 8$  outer spines in *W. diversa*). *Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp. has a similar number of outer spines to *W. ovata* ( $>12$ ), but differs in the smaller dimensions of its outer and inner sphere (outer sphere  $\varnothing = 180\text{--}198\ \mu\text{m}$ , inner sphere  $\varnothing = 56\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. polygonata* n. sp. vs.  $\sim 270\ \mu\text{m}$  and  $\sim 75\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. ovata*).

The inner system also resembles that of the Lower–Middle Ordovician (upper Floian–lower Dapingian) unidentified microsphere reported by Maletz and Bruton (2007, fig. 2.A) from the Valhallfonna Formation, Spitsbergen. The microspheres share a similar construction of thin pore-bars that form irregular or polygonal pore frames, rarely hexagonal framed pores, and are of a similar size ( $\varnothing = 52\ \mu\text{m}$  in Maletz and Bruton, 2007 vs.  $56\ \mu\text{m}$  in *W. polygonata* n. sp.). The two microspheres bear 11 long, thin radial bars, where one spine is located around the pylome. Furthermore, the microsphere in the form described by Maletz and Bruton (2007) also appears to be heteropolar, where a thin MB can be observed in the background of the SEM image. They differ as the rays from the Spitsbergen material taper and do not form an outer sphere.

Because our observations are based on only four specimens, we cannot gauge the true range of variability of this taxon, and it is difficult to know exactly what the diagnostic characters are. The taxon *W. diversa* is reported to have an internal structure that differs among specimens (Won et al., 2007, p. 519, figs. 15.1–15.12). From the four specimens observed, the inner spicular system appears to be stable.

*Westernbrookia* sp.

Figure 9.5, 9.6

**Description.**—Well-developed outer ( $\varnothing = 145\text{--}155\ \mu\text{m}$ ) and inner spheres ( $\varnothing = 75\ \mu\text{m}$ ) are constructed by thin pore-bars

that form irregular or polygonal pore frames. Pores on the inner sphere are angular to oval, with irregular size and arrangement. The outer sphere is thick and regularly latticed, with rounded to angular-oval pores ( $\varnothing \sim 5\ \mu\text{m}$ ), and bearing short and thin by-spines at vertices.

The inner sphere contains numerous polygonal, rarely hexagonal framed pores that bear numerous long, thin radial bars. Radial bars connect the microsphere to the outer sphere and continue as outer spines. The rod-like outer spines (up to  $200\ \mu\text{m}$  long) decrease gradually distally, where one outer spine was observed to bear poorly developed apophyses at various levels. An incomplete, loose additional sphere develops from the main spines.

**Materials.**—Three specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—*Westernbrookia* sp. has characteristics intermediate between the inaniguttids and the aspiculids.

Family Palaeospiculidae Won in Won and Below, 1999

**Remarks.**—Although the spicular system is generally cubic, in cases where all elements are well preserved, the skeleton has a spherical shape because of the density of the spinules (apophyses). Palaeospiculids that do not have a skeletal wall made up of fused spinules are readily identifiable. However, they are rare in the material studied and comprise  $<1\%$  of the radiolarians counted. In contrast, palaeospiculids with a well-developed outer sphere constructed from fused spinules are abundant, comprising  $19\%$  of the radiolarians encountered. Won et al. (2007) noted an evolutionary trend in the palaeospiculids—from an isolated spicular system (middle Cambrian) to partly fused (middle Tremadocian) then completely fused (late Tremadocian) spheres. The presence of palaeospiculids, with or without spheres, indicates that archaic forms persist alongside their descendants in the middle Darriwilian.


Genus *Neopalaeospiculum* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007

**Type species.**—*Neopalaeospiculum laxum* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007.

**Remarks.**—The taxa from Newfoundland described herein have a six-rayed spicular system that appears to be derived from the genus *Svalbardospiculum*. *Neopalaeospiculum* differs from *Svalbardospiculum* in having a spherical skeletal wall made up of completely fused meshwork from third-order spinules. Previous researchers have emphasized the angular meshes as the most critical criterion for establishing different species of *Polyentactinia*, placing less emphasis on other characteristics. For genus-level classification, this has, over time, led to a heterogeneous taxon.

*Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* new species

Figures 10.4, 10.7, 11.1, 11.2, 11.4, 11.6, 11.9; Supplemental Data file 4



**Figure 9.** Radiolarians extracted from limestones from the Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada. Images are scanning electron micrographs, except (3, 4), which are transmitted-light images. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m. (2, 4) *Aspiculum* sp. A; PD13; (3, 7, 8) *Aspiculum* sp. B; PD13; (1, 10, 13) *Aspiculum irregulare* n. sp.; PD13, (10 holotype UQSEES\_2015PD13\_01); (5, 6) *Westernbrookia* sp.; PD13; (9, 11, 12) *Westernbrookia polygonata* n. sp.; PD13; (14–17) *Spongontactinia nazarovi* n. sp.; (14) PD09; (15, 17) PD13; (16) PD05, holotype UQSEES\_2015PD05\_01.



**Holotype.**—UQSEES\_M1S04, [Figure 10.4](#), Supplemental Data file 4; sample PD09, Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Diagnosis.**—A single spherical outer sphere ( $\varnothing = 200\text{--}280\ \mu\text{m}$ ) displaying a latticed meshwork of interwoven bars bearing seven thick outer spines, which originate from the rays of an eccentrically positioned, point-centered spicule. The delicate internal framework consists of a point-centered initial spicule with six rays and two sets of whorls on each ray.

**Occurrence.**—Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Description.**—The initial spicule is isometric where four first-order spinules arise from the rays at an equal distance ( $63\ \mu\text{m}$  from point center to first set of whorls). The first-order spinules join with the adjacent spinules from another ray to form a cubic structure, where the initial spicule passes through the middle of the cube ([Fig. 10.7](#)). Two sets of whorls are rotated by  $45^\circ$ , whereas the other four sets of whorls produce spines parallel to the initial spicule. The second set of whorls on the rays occur at different lengths— $15\ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $21\ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $38\ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $39\ \mu\text{m}$ ,  $46\ \mu\text{m}$ , and  $50\ \mu\text{m}$ . This causes the initial spicule and cubic spicular system of the first set of whorls to be eccentrically positioned. From this second set of whorls on the main rays, a three-dimensional, compact meshwork of fused branches constructs the outer sphere. The corners of the inner cubic spicular system are also connected to the outer sphere to produce additional support. Some short spinules branch outward, freely on the meshwork. These short branches are partly fused and cover the surface. At the second set of whorls, the main rays immediately thicken to produce six of the seven main spines ( $\varnothing \sim 7\ \mu\text{m}$  on the internal spicular system to  $\sim 28\ \mu\text{m}$  on the main outer spines). Main spines (up to  $260\ \mu\text{m}$  long) maintain their thickness until they taper rapidly, distally. The seventh large main spine arises from the junction of the two first-order spinules that are rotated  $45^\circ$  to the initial spicule. The seventh main spine is generally the same width and length as the six main spines from the initial spicule, but can be distinguished because it is tangential to the other main spines. Main spines are rarely curved.

**Etymology.**—Named for the Piccadilly Quarry locality from which it is described.

**Materials.**—Eighty-eight specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—*Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp. is relatively common, comprising 10% of radiolarians counted. *Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp. generally can be distinguished from *Neopalaeospiculum* sp. by its larger size ( $\varnothing = 200\text{--}280\ \mu\text{m}$  vs.  $180\text{--}195\ \mu\text{m}$ ) and the number of spines (seven vs. five).

*Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp. has a six-rayed spicular system comparable to that of *Svalbardospiculum* sp. aff. *S. hexaradiatum* (Won and Iams, 2002) ([Fig. 4.11](#), [4.12](#)),

with a point-centered spicule and two sets of whorls on each ray. The difference is that the skeleton develops for *Svalbardospiculum* sp. aff. *S. hexaradiatum* on the first set of whorls and not the second. Furthermore, the second set of whorls is short and terminal after the first-order. The inner spicular system also has an affinity to that of *Neopalaeospiculum laxum* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007 (Won et al., 2007, fig. 5.4, 5.6) and *Palaeospiculum neofurcatum* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2007 (Won et al., 2005, fig. 10.17–10.19). *Neopalaeospiculum laxum* and *P. neofurcatum* commonly have five (rarely six) first-order spinules off two of the first sets of whorls. The material in this study further differs from *P. neofurcatum* in having a fused and well-developed outer skeleton.

#### *Neopalaeospiculum* sp.

[Figures 10.1–10.3](#), [10.6](#), [11.3](#), [11.5](#), [11.7](#), [11.8](#), [11.10–11.13](#); Supplemental Data file 5

**Description.**—A single spherical outer sphere ( $\varnothing = 200\text{--}280\ \mu\text{m}$ ) constructed from fused bars, bearing five outer spines. The skeleton is formed of a loose meshwork of thick straight and curved bars interwoven in a disorderly manner or fused. The five main spines originate from the rays of an eccentrically positioned spicule. A set of first-order spinules develop on four of the rays at an equal distance ( $70\ \mu\text{m}$  from initial spicule). Main spines (up to  $210\ \mu\text{m}$  long) maintain their thickness until they taper rapidly, distally. One of the main spines is commonly curved.


**Materials.**—One hundred specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—The initial spicule is developed from a six-rayed point spicule where one of the rays is reduced. Four of the rays are repositioned (at  $149^\circ$ ), compensating for the reduction of the sixth spine.

#### Genus *Ramuspiculum* Won and Iams, 2002

**Type species.**—*Ramuspiculum multiramosum* Won and Iams, 2002.

**Remarks.**—The material examined in this study is tentatively assigned to the genus *Ramuspiculum* because of the presence of a very short microbar ( $6.6\ \mu\text{m}$  long) and continuation of the primary rays, where the first-order whorls develop. Because the main rays are preserved at each whorl, the main skeletal framework of *Ramuspiculum laxum* n. sp. resembles *Svalbardospiculum*. However, the genus *Svalbardospiculum* preserves the hexactine skeleton (four spinules) with each whorl. Thus, taxa with a reduction in the spinules at the first level (three spinules; [Fig. 12.3](#)) are assigned to the genus *Ramuspiculum*. The microbar is noteworthy, and it joins two sets of three rays. If the specimen is orientated to view one set of the three rays, the initial spicular structure closely resembles the hexactine skeleton, and it is difficult to distinguish from taxa assigned to *Svalbardospiculum*. A slight off-set of the rays can be recognized rarely at this angle.



**Figure 10.** (1–4, 6, 7) Compilation of  $\mu$ -CT models, line drawings, and SEM image illustrating the complex spicular system and skeletal development of *Neopalaeospiculum* sp. and *Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp. (1–3)  $\mu$ -CT model of *Neopalaeospiculum* sp. (M1S04 from PD13) with digitally segmented spicular system at different orientations; (4)  $\mu$ -CT model of *Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp. (UQSEES\_M1S04: holotype from PD09) with digitally segmented spicular system at different orientations; (6) diagram of *Neopalaeospiculum* sp. showing the relationship of the spicular system and main spines (ms); (7) diagram of *Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp. showing the relationships among the initial spicule, inner spicular system, main spines, and outer sphere. (5) SEM image of the Lower Ordovician *Palaeospiculum neofurcatum* re-illustrated from Won et al., 2005 (fig. 10.18) with the inner spicular system highlighted. (1–5) are shown at the same scale.

*Ramuspiculum laxum* new species

Figures 3.1, 3.2, 6.2, 6.4, 6.5, 12.1–12.5; Supplemental Data file 6  $\mu$ -CT video file M1S03

aff. 2014 *Polyentactinia* sp. cf. *P. spinulenta* Pouille and Dane-  
lian in Pouille et al., 2014 (Pouille et al., 2014b)


**Holotype.**—UQSEES\_M1S03, Figures 3.1, 3.2, 12.1–12.5; Supplemental Data file 6; sample PD09, Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Diagnosis.**—A large, but poorly developed, spicular sphere ( $\varnothing = 220$ – $300 \mu\text{m}$ ) formed from a complex structure of

numerous branching spinules. An eccentrically placed, bar-centered, six-rayed spicule is positioned just within the skeletal wall. From the six rays, several generations of branching spinules (apophyses) can be observed.

**Occurrence.**—Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Description.**—The branching occurs at distinct intervals, mostly  $84 \mu\text{m}$  on the basal rays and  $63 \mu\text{m}$  on the apical rays, where whorls of rays are produced. Second- and third-order branching spinules are more irregularly placed. Three spinules (perpendicular to the ray at  $120^\circ$  on the same plane) of the



**Figure 11.** Radiolarians extracted from limestones from the Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada. Images are scanning electron micrographs, except (3, 6), which are transmitted-light images. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m: (1, 2, 4, 6, 9) *Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis* n. sp.; (1) PD13; (2, 4, 9) PD09; (6) PD11; (3, 5, 7, 8, 10–13) *Neopalaeospiculum* sp.; (3, 5) PD13; (7, 8) PD09; (10–13) PD05.



first-order arise on all of the rays, where the main rays are preserved and continue outside the outer sphere as main spines. First-order spinules are prominently developed and are of equal diameter on the basal rays ( $\varnothing = 11.3\ \mu\text{m}$ ). The first-order spinules that branch from the apical rays are thinner ( $\varnothing = 7.5\ \mu\text{m}$ ) and terminate. The surface of the rays between the central point and first spinules is commonly smooth. Microbar clearly shown in  $\mu$ -CT mode (Figs. 3.1, 3.2, 12).

*Etymology*.—From the Latin *laxus*, meaning loose.

*Materials*.—Five specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

*Remarks*.—Our material has affinity to the upper Darriwilian form *Polyentactinia* sp. cf. *P. spinulenta* Pouille and Danelian described from the Shundy Formation, Kazakhstan in Pouille et al. (2014b, pl. 2, figs. 9, 10). They noted the presence of thick main spines, a small MB ( $\sim 8\ \mu\text{m}$ ) and the development of whorled rays (three rays on the first-order). Material described in Pouille et al. (2014b) differs by having a slightly larger outer sphere ( $\varnothing = 220\text{--}300\ \mu\text{m}$  vs.  $330\text{--}365\ \mu\text{m}$  for *Polyentactinia* sp. cf. *P. spinulenta*) and a thicker outer sphere made from a partly or completely fused meshwork. It is possible that the material reported in Pouille et al. (2014b) evolved from *Ramuspiculum* sp. (as described herein).

*Ramuspiculum* sp.  
Figure 6.10, 6.11

*Description*.—Small globular skeleton ( $\varnothing = 170\text{--}205\ \mu\text{m}$ ) developed from repeated branching of the initial spicule. Ectopically placed, bar-centered spicule with three rays at each end. The microbar is very short ( $\sim 5\ \mu\text{m}$  long) and can be distinguished by the slightly offset main rays.

*Materials*.—Two specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

*Remarks*.—*Ramuspiculum* sp. differs from *Ramuspiculum laxum* n. sp. in its smaller size and less well-defined spicular structure.

Genus *Svalbardospiculum* Maletz and Bruton, 2007

*Type species*.—*Svalbardospiculum arenigium* Maletz and Bruton, 2007.

*Remarks*.—The main difference between *Svalbardospiculum* and *Palaeospiculum* is in the development of higher generations of whorled rays on the elongated primary rays in the genus *Svalbardospiculum* (Maletz and Bruton, 2007). Previously, *Svalbardospiculum* had been recovered only from the Lower Ordovician (lower Tremadocian) in Newfoundland (Won and Iams, 2002; Won et al., 2005; Pouille et al., 2014a), and the Lower–Middle Ordovician (upper Floian–lower Dapingian) of Spitsbergen (Maletz and Bruton, 2007). However, we can confirm the presence of this genus in the Middle Ordovician (middle Darriwilian).

*Svalbardospiculum multifurcatum* (Won, Iams, and Reed, 2005)  
Figure 4.1, 4.2

2005 *Palaeospiculum multifurcatum* Won et al., p. 451, fig. 11.1–11.14.

2014a *Paleospiculum multifurcatum* Pouille et al., pl. 4, fig. 1.

*Holotype*.—Specimen (GSC-125580 GP26A-40), Cow Head Group, Green Point, Canada (Won et al., 2005 p. 451, fig. 11.11–11.14).

*Occurrence*.—Lower to Middle Ordovician, Cow Head Group, Newfoundland, Canada.

*Description*.—A small spicular sphere ( $\varnothing = 110\ \mu\text{m}$ ) constructed from a hexactine skeleton. The point-centered spicule is eccentrically placed and has six rays and spinules on all of the rays. Four spinules of the first-order arise on all of the rays ( $\sim 47\ \mu\text{m}$  on the basal rays and  $\sim 30\ \mu\text{m}$  on the apical rays). Each ray can be divided into apical and basal rays, with more spinules present on the basal rays than on the apical rays. Second-order spinules may or may not develop.

*Materials*.—Five specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.


*Remarks*.—Maletz and Bruton (2007) reassigned *Palaeospiculum multifurcatum* and *P. hexaradiatum*, described by Won et al. (2005), to their new genus *Svalbardospiculum* based on the observation of several generations of whorled rays. Multiple generations of whorled rays are easily recognized. The material in this study is identical to the lowermost Tremadocian form *Palaeospiculum multifurcatum* (misspelled as *Paleospiculum*) from the Green Point section, Newfoundland, illustrated by Pouille et al. (2014a, pl. 4, fig. 1), but we follow the reassignment of Maletz and Bruton (2007). This discovery extends the known range of *Svalbardospiculum multifurcatum* to the mid-Darriwilian.

*Svalbardospiculum* sp. aff. *S. hexaradiatum* (Won and Iams, 2002)

Figures 4.11, 4.12, 12.6

2002 aff. *Palaeospiculum hexaradiatum* Won and Iams, p. 28, fig. 3.9–3.11.

*Description*.—Polar, point-centered, six-rayed spicule with multiple generations of hexactine spinules. The main rays that project from the initial spicule can reach lengths of up to  $210\ \mu\text{m}$  from the center. Radially arranged first- and second-order spinules on each ray intermeshing (never fused) to form a roughly spherical skeleton ( $\varnothing = 220\text{--}250\ \mu\text{m}$ ). Branching occurs at distinct distances, mostly  $\sim 63\ \mu\text{m}$  on the basal rays and  $58\ \mu\text{m}$  on the apical rays, where whorls of rays are produced. A second set of first-order spinules, slightly variable in length ( $62\text{--}73\ \mu\text{m}$  from first set), arise on the basal rays, but terminate and do not develop into a second sphere. The basal rays are equal in length and are slightly longer than the apical rays. The number of spinules is variable on each ray, and spinules on the apical rays are generally less well



**Figure 12.** (1–5) *Ramuspiculum laxum* n. sp. (UQSEES\_M1S03 holotype from PD09), compilation of images of  $\mu$ -CT model and SEM images; the microbar is difficult to detect depending on the view angle; all images to the same scale; A = apical ray, B = basal ray. (1, 2) Initial spicules that develop into the main spines are digitally segmented (in green) from the outer meshwork for individual observation; (1)  $\mu$ -CT model orientated and (4) (re-oriented around a vertical axis) to illustrate how the false impression of the existence of point-centered spicule arises, when instead there is a microbar; (3) close-up of the two apical rays to demonstrate the outer sphere construction of whorls, by first- and second-order spinules; (5) SEM image of *Ramuspiculum laxum* n. sp. from PD09. (6) SEM image of *Svalbardospiculum* sp. aff. *S. hexaradiatum* (Won and Iams) from PD02.

developed than on the basal rays. The surface of the rays between the central point and first spinules is commonly smooth.

**Materials.**—Five specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Specimens in this study show affinity with the skeletal structure found in the upper Cambrian *Svalbardospiculum hexaradiatum* (Won and Iams, 2002, figs. 3.9–3.11). Our material differs from *S. hexaradiatum* by its larger size (outer sphere  $\varnothing = 220$ – $250\ \mu\text{m}$  vs.  $200\ \mu\text{m}$  in *S. hexaradiatum*) and longer spines (up to  $210\ \mu\text{m}$  long from the initial spicule vs.  $165\ \mu\text{m}$  in *S. hexaradiatum*).

In samples from the upper Cambrian, Won and Iams (2002) observed an additional set of first-order spinules (found distally on the rays), which was commonly imperfectly preserved or absent because of its weak development. In the samples from this study, this second set of first-order spinules is well developed, but they are generally thin and short (up to  $30\ \mu\text{m}$  long) and terminal compared to the first set of whorls.

Family Protoentactiniidae Kozur, Mostler, and Repetski, 1996  
Genus *Protoentactinia* Kozur, Mostler, and Repetski, 1996

**Type species.**—*Protoentactinia gracilispinosa* Kozur, Mostler, and Repetski, 1996.

*Protoentactinia* sp.

Figure 6.1

**Description.**—Six-rayed, bar-centered (MB =  $74\ \mu\text{m}$  long) spicule. The loose spongy skeleton formed by repeated branching of the initial spicule develops a loose sub-globular shape ( $\varnothing = 280\ \mu\text{m}$ ). Repeated branching occurs at a consistent length ( $\sim 90\ \mu\text{m}$ ), and the width of the branch decreases in diameter at each level. The first-order spinules branch into four spinules that taper and commonly terminate ( $60\ \mu\text{m}$  long).

**Materials.**—Two specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

*Remarks.*—Only two specimens were identified, making assessment of the 3D aspect of the skeleton and assignment of the specimen to species level challenging.

#### Order Entactinaria Kozur and Mostler, 1982

*Remarks.*—De Wever et al. (2001) elevated the Suborder Entactinaria Kozur and Mostler to Order status with the definition: Polycystina having as initial skeleton a spicule with a variable number of spines arising from the two ends of a MB or from a center. Spines equal or unequal, disposed in variable patterns, and usually separated into an apical and a basal group. A well-developed outer sphere is usually present, latticed or spongy, or a combination of both; when multiple, it is usually concentrically disposed, never along an axis. MB or spicule center located inside the innermost sphere, or quite eccentric in its wall.

#### Family Entactiniidae Riedel, 1967, emend. Won, 1997

*Description.*—The spicule is directly connected to the outer sphere or to a microsphere (sometimes described as a macrosphere; Dumitrică, 2013), when this exists. The outer sphere is constructed from apophyses to form a three-dimensionally spherical skeleton. The absence or presence of the inner sphere is always constant at the genus and species levels. The ends of the radial beams are connected to each other by apophyses, which form the internal or basal layer of the spongy outer sphere.

*Remarks.*—The main outer spines are normally the continuations of the rays of an internal spicule, and their number and orientation are commonly constant at the species level, but variable at the genus level. Although entactiniids are one of the best-known groups, their detailed systematic description is far from complete.

#### Genus *Spongentactinia* Nazarov, 1975

*Type species.*—*Spongentactinia fungosa* Nazarov, 1975.

*Remarks.*—The material consists of latticed microspheres that include a six-rayed median, bar-centered spicule. A densely spongy outer sphere originates from branches at various levels along each ray. A thick spongy layer is developed on top of a weakly developed, latticed basal layer, and is separated from the microsphere.

*Spongentactinia armillata* (Nazarov in Nazarov and Popov, 1980)

Figures 3.9, 3.12, 13, 14.8, 14.12, 14.14, 14.15; Supplemental Data file 7

- aff. 1971 *Entactinia* Fortey and Holdsworth, pl. 10, fig. 4.  
 1980 *Haplentactinia armillata* Nazarov in Nazarov and Popov, p. 55, pl. 16, figs. 9, 10.  
 1988 *Haplentactinia armillata*; Nazarov, pl. 9, fig. 12.  
 1998 *Haplentactinia armillata*; Aitchison, p. 79, pl. 2, fig. U.  
 2020 *Haplentactinia armillata*; Perera et al., p. 3, fig. 4Gg, j.

*Holotype.*—*Haplentactinia armillata* Nazarov, Specimen No. GIN 4333/58 in the Geological Institute, Moscow. Lower–Middle(?) Ordovician, Arenigian–Llanvirnian(?), Kogashsky Horizon, Kysylzharskaya Suite, Central Kazakhstan (Nazarov and Popov, 1980 p. 55, pl. 16, figs. 9, 10).

*Occurrence.*—Ordovician, upper Dapingian, Akzhal Mountains, Kazakhstan (Nazarov and Popov, 1980); Lower Ordovician, Spitsbergen (Fortey and Holdsworth, 1971); Lower Ordovician, Ballantrae Complex, Scotland (Aitchison, 1998); Middle Ordovician, Southern Uplands terrane, Hawkwood Burn, Scotland (Perera et al., 2020).

*Description.*—Two distinct layers including an inner latticed layer with polygonal pores, containing a six-rayed median, bar-centered spicule and a thickly developed spongy layer. The outer surface ( $\varnothing = 310\text{--}390\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) is loosely spherical without definite outward termination of the lateral branches. A doubled-layered outer sphere constructed of irregularly arranged fused bars that form a poorly developed basal layer. This meshwork is  $\sim 100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  thick and is developed from three to four apophyses on the main rays. Six long spines (up to  $260\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  long) originate from the six-rays of the bar-centered spicule. Between the second sphere and the periphery of the spongy meshwork, the spines are either relatively narrow or increase gradually in breadth distally ( $4\text{--}7\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ). Beyond the spongy meshwork, the spines increase greatly in breadth ( $10\text{--}37\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) and retain this breadth until they taper to a point, distally. Spines can be straight or slightly curved, and commonly branch distally to produce a prominent bifurcated spine. This bifurcation is not always present, but when it is, it is only developed on one, or rarely two, of the six spines.


The MB is eccentrically positioned within a sack-like or ovoid heteropolar microsphere (Fig. 13). The initial spicule consists of a MB, two apical rays, and four basal rays. Rays are interconnected by bars and arches to the microsphere, where one set of basal rays are connected twice to the microsphere. The length of the MB is  $33.8\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ . The size of the microsphere is  $89.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  wide,  $130\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  long, and  $88.6\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  deep. However, due to the irregular shape of the microsphere it cannot always be accurately measured on broken specimens.

The microsphere is complexly interwoven and is separated from the outer meshwork by a  $60\text{--}75\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  gap. Microsphere is connected to the outer sphere by nineteen rays. The rays are all forked such that the total number of rays that join the outer sphere is 38. These rays anastomose to form a basal layer. The rays arising from the microsphere are randomly directed projections, except one bar that is radially orientated towards the center of the microsphere located at the opposite position to the initial spicule. Only the prominent rays from initial spicule continue outside the outer cortical layer into the main spines.

*Materials.*—Twenty-three specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

*Remarks.*—Twenty-three specimens identified as *Spongentactinia armillata* were examined in this study using both SEM and TLM, but internal features could only be observed using  $\mu\text{-CT}$  scanning






**Figure 13.** Compilation of  $\mu$ -CT models (M3S09 from sample PD13), SEM images, and schematic drawings of *Spongectactinia armillata* (Nazarov). (1) Outer meshwork, microsphere, initial spicule, and main spines (ms) digitally segmented for individual observation; each segment to the same scale; (2) microsphere, radial bars, and initial spicule at four different orientations; A, B, B', B2, B2' are arbitrary labels for spines to allow viewers to better follow specimen rotation; (3) SEM images; MB = median bar; (left) *Spongectactinia armillata* (Nazarov) (from sample PD13); (right) *Spongectactinia* sp. (from sample PD05); (4) Diagram showing configuration of spheres, spines, and initial spicule; (5) schematic diagram of the microsphere.

(M3S09 from sample PD13). *Spongectactinia armillata* has only been documented once from the Middle Ordovician (Nazarov and Popov, 1980). Previously, the skeletal development has not been studied in any detail. Nazarov and Popov (1980) originally placed *Spongectactinia armillata* with *Haplentactinia* because the thick spongy layer obstructed observation of a microsphere.

Observations with the  $\mu$ -CT reveal important internal features that indicate assignment of this taxon to the genus *Spongectactinia* is more appropriate than to *Haplentactinia*. The basal layer is poorly developed as a result of the numerous rays originating on the microsphere to support the large test. Since the rays from the initial spicule project to the outer sphere, the



**Figure 14.** Radiolarians extracted from limestones from the Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada. Images are scanning electron micrographs, except (6–8), which are transmitted-light images. Scale bar = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . (3–6, 11) Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. B; (11) PD09; (3–6) PD13. (1, 2, 9) Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A; PD13. (7, 10, 13) *Spongentactinia nazarovi* n. sp.; (7, 13) PD09; (10) PD13. (8, 12, 14, 15) *Spongentactinia armillata* (Nazarov) PD13.

orientation of the main spines can confidently be used for identification this taxon.

*Spongentactinia nazarovi* new species  
Figures 9.14–9.17, 14.7, 14.10, 14.13

**Holotype.**—*Spongentactinia nazarovi* UQSEES\_2015PD05\_01, Figure 9.16; from sample PD05, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Diagnosis.**—Two distinct layers, an inner latticed layer with polygonal pores, containing a six- to eight-rayed, bar-centered spicule and a thickly developed spongy layer.

**Occurrence.**—Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Description.**—The outer sphere surface ( $\varnothing = 200\text{--}285\ \mu\text{m}$ ) consists of irregularly arranged and fused bars to form densely packed meshwork. Doubled-layered outer sphere is roughly spherical, but varies in thickness from 10–55  $\mu\text{m}$ . The outer sphere originates from three apophyses on the main rays, where the most-proximal level develops into a well-defined latticed basal layer.

Five to six main spines (up to 180  $\mu\text{m}$  long; e.g., Figs. 9.14, 14.7, 14.13) originate from eccentrically positioned spicule (colored light green on Fig. 9.16, 9.17) within a spherical-ellipse microsphere. The thickest part of the meshwork in the outer sphere correlates with the opposite position of the eccentric spicule. The microsphere is separated from the outer meshwork by a 28–46  $\mu\text{m}$  gap where only a few bars connect the two parts. The length of the MB ( $\sim 30\ \mu\text{m}$  long) and size of the microsphere ( $\varnothing \sim 80\text{--}90\ \mu\text{m}$ ) is constant. Three rays arise from one end of the MB, where all three develop into a main spine. Five spines arise from the other end, where only two or three spines develop into main spines. No bifurcation was observed on the distal end of the outer spine.

**Etymology.**—Named in honor of Boris Nazarov for his significant contributions to Ordovician radiolarian studies.

**Materials.**—Thirty-one specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—The apophyses arising from the radial beams seem to play a role in forming the outer sphere. They are better developed in *Spongentactinia armillata* than in *Spongentactinia nazarovi* n. sp., which has a MB and microsphere of comparable dimensions. The number of rays arising from the microsphere of *Spongentactinia nazarovi* n. sp. are reduced, and they are weaker and commonly not connected to the outer sphere. The irregular shape of the microsphere seen in *Spongentactinia armillata* could not be confirmed in *Spongentactinia nazarovi* n. sp. This may be an artefact of SEM imaging of sectioned radiolarians, which provides only a unilateral view.

The skeletal structure of *Spongentactinia nazarovi* n. sp. closely resembles that of *S. armillata*, but is clearly distinguished by its smaller size (outer sphere surface  $\varnothing = 200\text{--}285$

vs.  $\varnothing = 310\text{--}390\ \mu\text{m}$  in *S. armillata*; length of the main spines (up to 180  $\mu\text{m}$  vs. 260  $\mu\text{m}$  long in *S. armillata*). This form is similar to *Spongentactinia armillata* (Nazarov), but also differs in several respects: the size of the gap between microsphere and outer meshwork ( $\sim 50$  vs.  $\sim 60\text{--}75\ \mu\text{m}$  gap in *S. armillata*); reduction of rays arising from the microsphere; and the number of rays on the initial spicule (eight vs. six in *S. armillata*). It is unclear whether the thickness of the spongy skeletal wall is related to ontogeny or some ecologic factor because *S. armillata* and *Spongentactinia nazarovi* n. sp. possess many internal differences.

Entactiniids gen. and sp. indet.

**Remarks.**—Numerous other entactiniid specimens occur in samples from the Table Cove Formation. Their outer appearance is similar to that of entactiniids that have a thick spongy skeleton. The skeleton is mostly filled by three-dimensional meshes, where numerous spines originate from a complex inner polyhedron. Due to the difficulties observing the internal structure, it is not possible to assign them to any particular genus with certainty, therefore they are placed in open nomenclature herein.

Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A  
Figures 3.8, 3.10, 3.11, 14.1, 14.2, 14.9, 15.1

**Description.**—Forms characterized by large, slightly polygonal, lattice skeletons ( $\varnothing = 300\text{--}350\ \mu\text{m}$ ). Pores commonly larger ( $\varnothing = 10\text{--}28\ \mu\text{m}$ ) with more angular outline, framed by four to six lattice bars. Occasionally some of the pores become rounded and the skeletal structure appears slightly spongy. A three-dimensional meshwork of interwoven bars that loosely constructed three to four concentric layers. Layers formed by distal branching of beams arising from previous layer, of 11, curved at an angle to the layers, branched, or linked laterally. Numerous external, rodlike by-spines arise from incomplete interlayer beams (up to 100  $\mu\text{m}$  long). Latticed internal polyhedron at the center of the skeleton, bearing six to eight rod-like beams. The distal parts of these beams commonly protrude as massive, rodlike outer spines. Main spines may exceed double the length of outer sphere diameter (up to 240  $\mu\text{m}$  long). Commonly one spine is longer than the other and may possess a large, well-developed bifurcation.

**Materials.**—Sixty specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A differs from B by its robust skeleton and its well-developed bifurcated main spine. Furthermore, the outer sphere is generally slightly smaller than that of B ( $\varnothing = 300\text{--}350\ \mu\text{m}$  for A and  $300\text{--}440\ \mu\text{m}$  for B). Importantly, the radial rays do not originate from a central point or spicule, as seen in *Spongentactinia armillata*. Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A mimics the bifurcated spine of *Spongentactinia armillata*, but the internal framework upon which genus level assignment is based is radically different. It needs to be stressed that external morphological features, such as the angular mesh and large bifurcated spine, are not the



most critical criteria for genus- or species-level identification. See remarks for *S. armillata* for further species comparison.

Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. B  
 Figures 14.3–14.6, 14.11, 15.2, 15.3

**Description.**—Numerous radial spines that are the basis of a multilayered, sub-spherical skeleton (outer sphere  $\varnothing = 300\text{--}440\ \mu\text{m}$ ). The robustness of the spines is variable; where the rays of the spicule and the radial components (radial rays) of the tissue are thicker toward the outside and protrude out of the skeleton surface, they appear as outer spines. Analysis with the  $\mu$ -CT reveals that the inner structure has no MB and no R-frame structure. Spines originate from a complex internal polyhedron (Fig. 15.2, 15.3). Meshwork is very loose and produces a quadrangular or triangular pore pattern. Beams arise radially at many levels at regular and short distances from the inner structure. The beams join one another and with those of other rays, and some of the beams can become radial rays.

**Materials.**—Fifty-eight specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. B is distinguished by its unusual pore pattern and partly angular silhouette. See remarks above for the comparison between Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A and B.

Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. C  
 Figure 4.13–4.16

**Description.**—Entactiniids with an open-latticed outer shell. Six-rayed spicule is visible inside many of the specimens. Up to six short robust main spines, which commonly exhibit bifurcated or even trifurcated terminations.

**Materials.**—Forty-four specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—This form is characterized by the bifurcated or trifurcated terminations of main spines.

Order Incertae Sedis  
 Family Aspiculidae Won, Iams, and Reed, 2005

2005 Aspiculumidae Won, Iams, and Reed, p. 438 (nom. correct. Maletz, 2011, p. 130).

**Remarks.**—Emended from Won, Iams, and Reed (2005) to incorporate skeletons consisting of two encapsulated, polygonal spheres. Inner sphere constructed from spicular system of fused bars. An additional irregular outer sphere and pylome can develop in some specimens. Radial main spines.

Currently, the variability of the inner spicular system among aspiculid genera is difficult to understand and compare with other Paleozoic radiolarians. Observations in this study indicate a high degree of variability in the dimensions and geometry of the outer sphere and inner spicular system (Fig. 7).

However, all genera appear to be united by the mode of construction of their skeletal features. That is, a construction of pore-bars with rounded cross-sections and slightly polygonal outlines, radial spines, and a three-dimensional mesh between the outer spines. Because the genus *Kalimnasphaera* shares similar features, we consider it may belong with the aspiculids.

Genus *Aspiculum* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2005

**Type species.**—*Aspiculum eccentricum* Won, Iams, and Reed, 2005.

*Aspiculum irregulare* new species  
 Figures 7.10, 7.11, 9.1, 9.10, 9.13

**Holotype.**—*Aspiculum irregulare*, UQSEES\_2015PD13\_01, Figure 9.10; sample PD13, Middle Ordovician, middle Darriwilian, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Diagnosis.**—A small but distinctive sphere ( $\varnothing = 107\text{--}146\ \mu\text{m}$ ) that is rather coarse and irregular in outline. The outer sphere has polygonal pores, which is formed from straight bars. Up to 23 thick, rod-like main spines, where a number of them extend into the outer sphere, indicating the presence of an inner sphere.

**Occurrence.**—Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Description.**—Spines are evenly dispersed over the skeleton and variably developed (up to  $167\ \mu\text{m}$  long; average  $100\ \mu\text{m}$  long). Outer spines produce apophyses at various distances. These apophyses connect to adjacent spines through a highly irregular, thick, but loose three-dimensional mesh.

**Etymology.**—From the Latin *irregularis*, for its irregular, non-spherical inner sphere.


**Materials.**—Three specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—The nature of the inner structure is not preserved, however the irregular sphere and spiralforn additional sphere are distinct from other aspiculids.

*Aspiculum* sp. A  
 Figure 9.2, 9.4

**Description.**—The outermost part of the skeleton ( $\varnothing = 116\text{--}200\ \mu\text{m}$ ) is a two-dimensional spherical surface composed of a delicate angular and irregular meshwork. The innermost part is eccentrically placed. Poorly developed basal layer. Because radial elements protrude through the outer wall, the outermost layer is locally indistinct.

**Materials.**—Two specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.



**Figure 15.** Micro-CT models of Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A and Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. B. In both models the inner skeleton is obstructed by the growth of a large calcite crystal (false colored purple to aid identification). (1) Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. A (M2S05); (2) Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. B (M2S06); (3) Entactiniid gen. and sp. indet. B (M2S08) digitally dissected in various orientations.

**Remarks.**—Easily distinguished by its loose labyrinthine skeleton. An internal spicule was not observed in the material in this study due to obstruction by the outer sphere. Similar to *Polyentactinia polygonia* Foreman, 1963 where the skeleton is formed from very loose and angular long bars. *Polyentactinia polygonia* differs in having a bar-centered spicule with six or eight rays, from which arise spinules that branch several times and anastomose.

*Aspiculum* sp. B  
Figure 9.3, 9.7, 9.8

**Description.**—Delicate three-dimensionally interwoven skeleton constructed of thin bars ( $\varnothing \sim 210 \mu\text{m}$ ). Poorly developed basal layer. Spines do not meet at a central point.

**Materials.**—Three specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Difficult to assess the complex nature of the inner structure.


Genus *Nyfrieslandia* Maletz and Bruton, 2007

**Type species.**—*Nyfrieslandia sphaeroidea* Maletz and Bruton, 2007.

**Remarks.**—Emended to include a spicular system that constructs a polygonal inner sphere, where numerous radial spines originate and project as main spines on the outer sphere. The outer sphere is well developed, but highly variable in both size and shape. An additional irregular outer sphere is developed from irregularly placed apophyses on the main spines.

Limited information on the nature of the innermost sphere is available due to a high degree of infilling in the  $\mu$ -CT scan. However, the inner sphere is produced largely from a spicular system of fused bars. This inner sphere can be either polygonal or spherical, based on how many pores are present. The outer sphere can closely resemble the outer spheres of inaniguttids. However, the genus *Nyfrieslandia* generally can be distinguished by the polygonal outline of the large hollow inner sphere ( $\varnothing \sim 90$ – $100 \mu\text{m}$  for *Nyfrieslandia*; generally,  $<60 \mu\text{m}$  in the inaniguttids).

Maletz and Bruton (2007) suggested potential assignment to the order Spumellaria. However, the material in this study suggests a spicular system analogous to that in the order Entactinaria has developed. Furthermore, *Nyfrieslandia* has a similar mode of skeletal construction to that of *Kalimnastaphera*, which also has an uncertain high-level taxonomic assignment. We suggest these two groups may have a close relationship.



**Figure 16.** Series of images for  $\mu$ -CT model UQSEES\_M5S16 of *Nyfrieslandia* sp. aff. *N. complicata* Maletz and Bruton; outer shell is colored yellow; inner sphere (red) is obstructed by the growth of a large calcite crystal (false colored purple to aid identification). (1, 3) Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m; (2) inner shell with outer shell digitally removed for clarity, scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m; (3) inner sphere rotated 180° relative to (2).

*Nyfrieslandia* sp. aff. *N. complicata* (Maletz and Bruton, 2007) Figures 7.1, 7.4, 7.7, 16, 17.4, 17.5, 17.7, 17.8, 17.16 Supplemental Data file 8

aff. 2007 *Nyfrieslandia complicata* Maletz and Bruton, p. 281, figs. 26A–O.

aff. 2013 *Nyfrieslandia crassispinosa* Won and Iams, fig. 6K.

**Description.**—Well-developed outer sphere ( $\varnothing$  = 183–200  $\mu$ m) and an extremely thin and hollow inner sphere ( $\varnothing$  = 90–100  $\mu$ m), formed from rounded bars. Both spheres are developed from interconnected round bars that develop a coarse mesh with irregular pores. The inner sphere is polygonal and formed from straight bars. Numerous thin, radiating spines that originate on the inner sphere are variable in length (60–110  $\mu$ m). Spines are evenly dispersed at  $\sim 42^\circ$  to neighboring spines. The numbers and positions of by-spines are highly variable in the material in this study, but generally  $\sim 22$ –39 spines per specimen are present. One specimen has a thinner outer sphere and spines, where the spines have a maximum length of only 99  $\mu$ m (Fig. 17.5). The outer spines are variably developed and are considerably reduced in a few specimens. Outer spines produce apophyses at various distances. These apophyses connect to adjacent spines through a highly

irregular, loose, three-dimensional mesh. No complete additional outer sphere was observed (Figs. 16, 17.16).

**Materials.**—Eighteen specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—This form is uncommon, but is easily recognized with its abundance of distinct, long outer spines that exhibit irregularly placed apophyses. *Nyfrieslandia* sp. aff. *N. complicata* appears to be highly variable in its development.

Material in this study has affinity with the Lower Ordovician *Nyfrieslandia complicata* Maletz and Bruton, 2007 (Maletz and Bruton, 2007, figs. 26A–O; Won and Iams, 2013, fig. 6K) and *N. crassispinosa* Won and Iams, 2013 (Won and Iams, 2013, fig. 6I–M), based on comparable dimensions (outer and inner spheres  $\varnothing$  = 90–120  $\mu$ m and 50  $\mu$ m for *Nyfrieslandia crassispinosa*;  $\sim 190$   $\mu$ m and 120–140  $\mu$ m for *Nyfrieslandia sphaeroidea*; 160–190  $\mu$ m and 90–110  $\mu$ m for *Nyfrieslandia complicata*; vs. 190–200  $\mu$ m and 90–100  $\mu$ m herein). Our material differs from *Nyfrieslandia complicata* in possessing longer outer spines ( $\sim 30$ –40  $\mu$ m, Maletz and Bruton, 2007 vs. 56–99  $\mu$ m herein); a thinner inner sphere and a slightly larger gap between the outer and inner sphere (35–40  $\mu$ m, Maletz and Bruton, 2007 vs. 42–52  $\mu$ m herein).



*Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* new species  
 Figures 7.2, 7.5, 7.8, 17.6, 17.12–17.15, 18;  
 Supplemental Data file 9

**Holotype.**—*Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp., UQSEES\_M03S09, Figure 18, Supplemental Data file 9, sample PD05, Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Diagnosis.**—Skeleton consisting of three spheres. The outer sphere is distinctive and consists of a loose 15–25  $\mu\text{m}$  labyrinth. Six radial main spines (up to 250  $\mu\text{m}$  long) are slightly curved convex towards a long by-spine.

**Occurrence.**—Middle Ordovician, Table Cove Formation, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Description.**—Skeleton consisting of three spheres: outer sphere  $\varnothing = 260\text{--}295\ \mu\text{m}$ ; well-defined median sphere 183–195  $\mu\text{m}$ ; inner sphere 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Median and inner spheres are typically thin and appear to be constructed of thin bandages producing irregular, subcircular pores ( $\varnothing = 10\text{--}25\ \mu\text{m}$ ).

The long by-spine originates from the pore-frame junctions of the median sphere. It is one third of the diameter of the main spine. Randomly distributed apophyses branch from the main radial bars between the median sphere and outer sphere to develop arches. These arches are commonly not in line with median and outer sphere growth. Numerous short by-spines originate from the pore-frame junctions of the median sphere to connect with the outer sphere. No pylome was observed.

**Etymology.**—From the Latin, *rāmus*, meaning branched, for its common development of an additional sphere via randomly distributed apophyses branching from the main radial bars.

**Materials.**—Sixteen specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—Although it has an externally similar appearance, *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp. differs from the inaniuttid *Triplocuccus aksuranesis* Pouille and Danelian in Pouille et al., 2013 (see Kachovich and Aitchison, 2020, fig. 8) in development of a basal layer and does not have a well-developed median sphere. *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp. has randomly distributed apophyses branching from the main radial bars between the median and outer spheres. The development of the outer and the additional outer sphere is homologous to the Late Ordovician *Kalimnasma maculosa* Webby and Blom, 1986  $\mu\text{-CT}$  scanned by Asatryan et al. (2017).

*Nyfrieslandia* sp. A  
 Figure 17.9–17.11

**Description.**—Well-developed outer sphere ( $\varnothing = 210\text{--}231\ \mu\text{m}$ ) and an extremely thin and hollow inner sphere ( $\varnothing = 90\text{--}100\ \mu\text{m}$ ) formed from rounded bars. Numerous, irregularly shaped pores (outer sphere  $\sim 16$  pores across the diameter; inner sphere  $\sim 11$  pores across the diameter) are developed on the outer and inner

sphere. Nine to eleven radiating spines originate on the inner sphere and extend as outer spines (up to 147  $\mu\text{m}$  long). Numerous, short ( $\sim 30\ \mu\text{m}$ ) by-spines develop on the outer sphere. Outer spines rarely produce apophyses.

**Materials.**—Three specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—*Nyfrieslandia* sp. A is distinguished from all other taxa in the genus *Nyfrieslandia* by its numerous pores on its outer and inner sphere (number of pores across the diameter of the inner sphere  $\sim 11$  pores vs. 5 pores in *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp., 9 pores in *Nyfrieslandia* sp. aff. *N. complicata* and *Nyfrieslandia* sp. B). Due to the development of numerous pores on each sphere, the polygonal shape characteristic of the aspiculids is rounded and commonly difficult to distinguish.

*Nyfrieslandia* sp. B  
 Figure 17.1–17.3

**Description.**—Large well-developed outer sphere ( $\varnothing = 290\text{--}310\ \mu\text{m}$ ) an extremely thin and hollow inner sphere ( $\varnothing = 105\text{--}119\ \mu\text{m}$ ) formed from rounded bars. The outer sphere wall is thick with oval to angular shaped pores ( $\varnothing \sim 11\ \mu\text{m}$ ). Nine to ten main spines originate from the inner sphere and extend as short outer spines (up to 118  $\mu\text{m}$  long).


**Materials.**—Three specimens, Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada.

**Remarks.**—*Nyfrieslandia* sp. B lacks the irregular meshwork created by apophyses connected to the main outer spines. The larger outer sphere also differs from other taxa in the genus *Nyfrieslandia*, but is united by the size of the inner sphere, which is constructed by bars.


## Discussion

**Faunal composition.**—The diversity of radiolarians freed by acetic acid from their host samples, PD01–PD13, is discussed by Kachovich and Aitchison (2020) and is updated to reflect taxonomic assignments presented herein (Table 1). Approximately half of all the radiolarians recovered were spumellarians (Kachovich and Aitchison, 2020), with the balance being archaeospiculids, enactinarians, or incertae sedis. Among the remaining forms described herein, the majority are attributable to the Entactiniidae (43%) and Palaeospiculidae (41%), with  $\sim 9\%$  belonging to the Aspiculidae.

**Spherical entactinarians.**—The spherical skeleton in entactinarians is produced through multiple branching of apophyses on the initial spicule. The fusing of these spinules, to create a defined spherical wall, is a heterogenetic feature that has developed many times in the evolution of entactinarians (e.g., Proventocitiidae, Protoentactiniidae, and Echidniniidae). As such, this feature should only be considered a genus-level feature in early Paleozoic radiolarian taxonomy.



**Figure 17.** Radiolarians extracted from limestones from the Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada. Images are scanning electron micrographs, except (3, 4), which are transmitted-light images. Scale bar = 100  $\mu$ m. (1–3) *Nyfrieslandia* sp. B.; PD13; (4, 5, 7, 8) *Nyfrieslandia* sp. aff. *N. complicata* (Maletz and Bruton); PD13; (6) *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp.; PD09; (9–11) *Nyfrieslandia* sp. A.; PD13; (12–15) *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp. (12–14) PD13, (15) PD05; (16) *Nyfrieslandia* sp. aff. *N. complicata* (Maletz and Bruton); PD05.



**Figure 18.** Compilation of  $\mu$ -CT models, SEM images and schematic drawings of *Nyfrieslandia ramosissima* n. sp. (holotype: UQSEES\_M03S09 from PD05). (1–3) Spheres are digitally segmented for individual observation; each segment to the same scale; (1) complete specimen showing the growth of an additional outer sphere; (2) incomplete inner sphere preserving six radial bars (rb); (3) outer sphere; (3, 5)  $\mu$ -CT models at different orientations; (4) SEM image of an outer sphere demonstrating the randomly distributed apophyses branching from the main radial bars; (6) diagram showing configuration of spheres, main spines (ms), long by-spine (bs); (7) close up of the relationship of the growth of an additional outer sphere; (8) close up of the randomly orientated apophyses arising from an outer spine that is connected to the outer sphere.

The exact biostratigraphic distribution of groups (e.g., the palaeospiculids) is not yet well documented and their scarcity in some assemblages could simply be due to their rare occurrence. The complex spicular system of *Neopalaeospiculum* was analyzed with the  $\mu$ -CT, which revealed the development of multiple generations of whorled rays on the elongated primary rays, indicating that it has affinities to the genus *Svalbardospiculum*. Previously, Won et al. (2007) compared the spicular system of *Neopalaeospiculum* to that of *Palaeospiculum*. Externally however, *Neopalaeospiculum*, as reported herein, resembles the upper Darriwilian form *Polyentactinia* sp. cf. *P. spinulenta* Pouille and Danelian from the Shundy Formation, Kazakhstan (see Pouille et al., 2014b, pl. 2, figs. 9, 10). In both groups, a similar dimension and orientation of the six spines that are projections of the initial spicule, as well as the size and construction of the outer shell by fused spinules, can be observed. However, the initial spicule of *Neopalaeospiculum* emanates from a point-centered spicule and not from a short bar-centered spicule (microbar  $\sim 8 \mu\text{m}$  long). More noticeable is the difference in the first-order of spinules (3 vs. 4–6 spinules on each whorl of *Neopalaeospiculum*). Even though the external appearances of the two groups are indistinguishable, they are

likely derived from two different lineages: *Neopalaeospiculum* from the genus *Svalbardospiculum* and forms such as those reported by Pouille et al. (2014b) may be from *Ramuspiculum*.

The majority of the entactinarians described herein are of a primitive type, having initial spicules that are not differentiated into an apical and a basal group and do not form a heteropolar “microsphere.” The six-rayed, ectopically positioned spicule is a stable feature and was already a common feature by the middle Darriwilian (Fig. 3). The arrangement of the six rays and the position and length of the median bar (MB) is rarely variable at the species level and occasionally at the genus level. Won (1997) reported similar limits to variation in internal skeletal structure of Devonian entactiniids at both levels. All entactinarians within our material show a close association between main spines and the initial spicule. In radiolarians in which the inner structure is not known, the presence of eccentrically placed spines may indicate the presence of a primary spicule.

The origin of the bar-centered radiolarian spicule can be traced back to the upper Cambrian (Kozur et al., 1996). However, phylogenetic relationships are uncertain because no intermediate forms that show connections to the point-centered taxa exist. Comparing our material to *Ramuspiculum* from the Lower



**Table 1.** List of archaeospicularians, entactinarians, and other radiolarians of uncertain affinity from the Table Cove Formation, Piccadilly Quarry, Newfoundland, Canada, with numbers of specimens recovered indicating the relative abundance of different taxa and faunal diversity.

| Taxa list          |                                                                        |                                                                           | <i>Histiodelle kristinae</i> subzone                 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | Total specimens |     |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----------------|-----|
|                    |                                                                        |                                                                           | Table Cove Formation, Picadilly Quarry, Newfoundland |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                 |     |
|                    |                                                                        |                                                                           | Sample number                                        |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                 |     |
| Order              | Family                                                                 | Taxa                                                                      | 01                                                   | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |                 |     |
| Archeospicularia   | Archeoentactiniidae                                                    | <i>Pararchoentactinia reedae</i> Won and Iams                             |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1  |    |    |    |    | 1               |     |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Sphaeroentactinia</i> sp. aff. <i>S. integrata</i> (Maletz and Bruton) |                                                      |    |    |    | 4  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 10 | 14              |     |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Sphaeroentactinia robusta</i> Won                                      |                                                      |    |    |    | 3  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1  | 4               |     |
|                    | Echidniniidae                                                          | <i>Sphaeroentactinia</i> sp. <i>Sphaeroentactinia</i> sp.                 |                                                      |    |    |    | 2  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                 | 2   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Echidnina</i> sp. cf. <i>E. conexa</i> Won, Iams, and Reed             |                                                      | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                 | 1   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Varispiculum ectospiculatum</i> Won                                    |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2               | 2   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Westernbrookia polygonata</i> n. sp.                                   |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 4               | 4   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Westernbrookia</i> sp.                                                 |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 3               | 3   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Neopalaeospiculum piccadilliensis</i> n. sp.                           |                                                      | 10 | 8  |    | 10 |    | 10 | 10 | 10 |    | 7  | 9  | 14 |                 | 88  |
|                    | Palaeospiculidae                                                       | <i>Neopalaeospiculum</i> sp.                                              |                                                      | 23 |    |    | 32 |    |    | 2  | 35 |    |    |    | 8  |                 | 100 |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Ramuspiculum laxum</i> n. sp.                                          |                                                      | 2  |    |    | 2  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1  |                 | 5   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Ramuspiculum</i> sp.                                                   |                                                      |    |    |    | 2  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                 | 2   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Svalbardospiculum multifurcatum</i> Won, Iams, and Reed                |                                                      | 4  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1  |    |    |    |                 | 5   |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Svalbardospiculum</i> sp. aff. <i>S. hexaradiatum</i> (Won and Iams)   |                                                      |    |    |    |    | 3  |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2  |                 | 5   |
| Protoentactiniidae |                                                                        | <i>Protoentactinia</i> sp.                                                |                                                      |    |    |    |    | 2  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                 | 2   |
|                    | Entactiniidae                                                          | <i>Spongentactinia armillata</i> (Nazarov)                                |                                                      |    |    |    | 2  |    |    |    | 10 |    |    |    | 11 |                 | 23  |
|                    |                                                                        | <i>Spongentactinia nazarovi</i> n. sp.                                    |                                                      |    |    |    | 10 |    |    |    |    | 10 |    |    | 11 |                 | 31  |
|                    |                                                                        | Entactiniid gen. and sp. A                                                |                                                      | 10 |    |    | 19 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 31 |                 | 60  |
|                    |                                                                        | Entactiniid gen. and sp. B                                                |                                                      | 10 |    |    | 11 |    |    |    |    | 10 |    |    | 27 |                 | 58  |
|                    |                                                                        | Entactiniid gen. and sp. C                                                |                                                      | 8  |    |    | 5  |    |    |    |    | 9  |    |    | 22 |                 | 44  |
| Incertae Sedis     |                                                                        | Aspiculidae                                                               | <i>Aspiculum irregulare</i> n. sp.                   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 3               |     |
|                    | <i>Aspiculum</i> sp. A                                                 |                                                                           |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2  |                 | 2   |
|                    | <i>Aspiculum</i> sp. B                                                 |                                                                           |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 3  |                 | 3   |
|                    | <i>Nyfrieslandia</i> sp. aff. <i>N. complicata</i> (Maletz and Bruton) |                                                                           |                                                      |    |    |    | 8  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 10 |                 | 18  |
|                    | <i>Nyfrieslandia ramosissima</i> n. sp.                                |                                                                           |                                                      |    |    |    | 2  |    |    |    |    | 5  |    |    | 9  |                 | 16  |
|                    | <i>Nyfrieslandia</i> sp. A                                             |                                                                           |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 3  |                 | 3   |
|                    | <i>Nyfrieslandia</i> sp. B                                             |                                                                           |                                                      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2  |                 | 2   |

to Middle Ordovician (upper Floian to lower Dapingian) of Spitsbergen (Maletz and Bruton, 2007), we observe the development of the point-centered spicule with six-rays into a six-rayed spicule with a short microbar. The microbar develops from an additional whorl of rays before starting to branch at the end points of the rays. The bar-centered spicule in the palaeospiculids appears to have developed separately from the median-bar (MB) that is already well developed in other families. However, the frequently bifurcated spine development of the skeleton closely resembles the construction of the skeleton in other entactinarian families.

The taxonomy of most groups discussed herein inevitably raises some questions that cannot be answered given the current state of knowledge. Some of these radiolarians contain spicular elements (e.g., tetrapoidal spicular system; Dumitrică, 2013) similar to early Mesozoic taxa, but are currently unknown in the late Paleozoic. The variability of the inner spicular system within the aspiculids is difficult to understand and to compare with other Paleozoic radiolarians.

## Acknowledgments

We thank D. Wang and C. Evans at the University of Queensland Julius Kruttschnitt Mineral Research Center (Brisbane, Australia) for their technical assistance and advice. Financial

support towards investigation of early Paleozoic radiolarian evolution was provided by the Australian Research Council (ARC DP1501013325 to Aitchison). We also thank reviewers T. Danelian and O. Obut, as well as Associate Editor P. Noble and J. Kastigar in the Journal of Paleontology Editorial Office for their helpful suggestions and edits that have improved earlier versions of this manuscript.

## Data Availability Statement

Also available from UQ eSpace data repository. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14264/uql.2019.296>.

## References

- Aitchison, J.C., 1998, A Lower Ordovician (Arenig) radiolarian fauna from the Ballantrae Complex, Scotland: *Scottish Journal of Geology*, v. 34, p. 73–81.
- Aitchison, J.C., Flood, P.G., and Malpas, J., 1998, Lowermost Ordovician (basal Tremadoc) radiolarians from the Little Port Complex, Newfoundland (Lower Ordovician radiolarians, Newfoundland): *Geological Magazine*, v. 135, p. 413–419.
- Aitchison, J.C., Suzuki, N., Caridroit, M., Danelian, T., and Noble, P., 2017, Paleozoic radiolarian biostratigraphy: *Geodiversitas*, v. 39, p. 503–531.
- Asatryan, G., Aitchison, J.C., and Webby, B.D., 2017, A new methodology of studying radiolarians using 3D X-ray micro-CT imaging and Avizo software: *Proceedings of InterRad XV*, Niigata, Japan, Newsletter of the International Association of Radiolarists Paleontologists v. 40, p. 72–73.
- Bengtson, S., 1986, Siliceous microfossils from the upper Cambrian of Queensland: *Alcheringa*, v. 10, p. 195–216.

- Bergström, S.M., 1979, First report of the enigmatic Ordovician microfossil *Konyrium* in North America: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 53, p. 320–327.
- Buckman, S., and Aitchison, J.C., 2001, Middle Ordovician (Llandeilan) radiolarians from West Junggar, Xinjiang, China: *Micropaleontology*, v. 47, p. 359–367.
- Cavalier-Smith, T., 1987, The origin of eukaryote and archaeobacterial cells: *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, v. 503, p. 17–54.
- Cawood, P.A., and Suhr, G., 1992, Generation and obduction of ophiolites: constraints from the Bay of Islands Complex, Newfoundland: *Tectonics*, v. 11, p. 884–897.
- Cooper, M., Weissenberger, J., Knight, I., Hostad, D., Gillespie, D., Williams, S., and Clark, E., 2001, Basin evolution in western Newfoundland: new insights from hydrocarbon exploration: *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, v. 85, p. 393–418. <https://doi.org/10.1306/8626C901-173B-11D7-8645000102C1865D>.
- Danelian, T., 1999, Taxonomic study of Ordovician (Llanvirn–Caradoc) Radiolaria from the Southern Uplands (Scotland, U.K.): *Geodiversitas*, v. 21, p. 625–635.
- Danelian, T., and Clarkson, E.N.K., 1998, Ordovician radiolaria from bedded cherts of the Southern Uplands: *Scottish Journal of Geology*, v. 34, p. 133–137.
- Danelian, T., and Floyd, J.D., 2001, Progress in describing Ordovician siliceous biodiversity from the Southern Uplands (Scotland, U.K.): *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences*, v. 91, p. 489–498.
- Danelian, T., and Popov, L., 2003, Ordovician radiolarian biodiversity: insights based on new and revised data from Kazakhstan: *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France*, v. 174, p. 325–335.
- Danelian, T., Noble, P., Pouille, L., and Maletz, J., 2013, Palaeogeographical distribution of Ordovician Radiolarian occurrences: patterns, significance and limitations: *Geological Society, London, Memoirs*, v. 38, p. 407–413.
- Danelian, T., Caridroit, M., Noble, P.J., and Aitchison, J.C., 2017, Catalogue of Paleozoic radiolarian genera, *Geodiversitas*, v. 39, 350 p.
- De Wever, P., Dumitrică, P., Caulet, J.P., and Caridroit, M., 2001, Radiolarians in the Sedimentary Record: Amsterdam, Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, 533 p.
- Dumitrică, P., 2013, Early Tithonian entactinarian Radiolaria from the Solnhofen area (southern Germany). Part I: *Revue de Micropaléontologie*, v. 56, p. 75–95.
- Dumitrică, P., Caridroit, M., and De Wever, P., 2000, Archaeospicularia, ordre nouveau de radiolaires: une nouvelle étape pour la classification des radiolaires du Paléozoïque inférieur: *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris, Série IIA—Sciences de la Terre et des Planètes*, v. 330, p. 563–569.
- Ehrenberg, C.G., 1838, Über die Bildung der Kreidefelsen und des Kreidemergels durch unsichtbare Organismen: *Abhandlungen der königlichen preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 1838, p. 59–147.
- Foreman, H.P., 1963, Upper Devonian Radiolaria from the Huron Member of the Ohio Shale: *Micropaleontology*, v. 9, p. 267–304.
- Fortey, R.A., and Holdsworth, B.K., 1971, The oldest known well-preserved Radiolaria: *Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana*, v. 10, p. 35–41.
- Hollande A., and Enjumeat M., 1960, Cytologie, évolution et systématique des Sphaeroidés (Radiolaires): *Archives du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris*, ser. 7, v. 7, p. 1–134.
- James, N.P., Botsford, J.W., and Williams, S.H., 1987, Allochthonous slope sequence at Lobster Cove Head: evidence for a complex Middle Ordovician platform margin in western Newfoundland: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 24, p. 1199–1211. <https://doi.org/10.1139/e87-115>.
- Jenner, G.A., Dunning, G.R., Malpas, J., Brown, M., and Brace, T., 1991, Bay of Islands and Little Port complexes, revisited: age, geochemical and isotopic evidence confirm suprasubduction-zone origin: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 28, p. 1635–1652.
- Jones, M.K., and Noble, P.J., 2006, Sheinwoodian (uppermost lower Silurian) Radiolaria from the Cape Phillips Formation, Nunavut, Canada: *Micropaleontology*, v. 52, p. 289–315.
- Kachovich, S., and Aitchison, J.C., 2020, Micro-CT study of Middle Ordovician Spumellaria (radiolarians) from Newfoundland, Canada: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 94, p. 417–435.
- Kachovich, S., Sheng, J., and Aitchison, J.C., 2019, Adding a new dimension to investigations of early radiolarian evolution: *Scientific Reports*, v. 9, no. 6450. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42771-0>.
- Knight, I., 1991, Geology of Cambro-Ordovician in the Port Saunders (NTS 12I/1), Castors River (NTS 12I/15), St. John Island (NTS 12I/14) and Torment River (NTS 12I/10) map areas: Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy: Geological Survey Branch, Report 91-4, 138 p.
- Kozur, H., and Mostler, H., 1982, Entactinaria subordo nov., a new radiolarian suborder: *Geologisch Paläontologische Mitteilungen Innsbruck*, v. 11, p. 399–414.
- Kozur, H.W., Mostler, H., and Repetski, J.E., 1996, Well-preserved Tremadocian primitive Radiolaria from the Windfall Formation of the Antelope Range, Eureka County, Nevada, U.S.A.: *Geologisch Paläontologische Mitteilungen Innsbruck*, v. 21, p. 245–271.
- Lacombe, R.A., Waldron, J.W.F., and Williams, S.H., 2020, Tectonics and foreland basin development at the leading edge of the Humber Arm Allochthon, western Newfoundland, Canadian Appalachians: *American Journal of Science*, v. 320, p. 450–477.
- Li, H., 1995, New genera and species of middle Ordovician Nassellaria and Albaillellaria from Baijingsi, Quilian Mountains, China: *Scientia Geologica Sinica*, v. 4, p. 331–346.
- Loydell, D.K., 2012, Graptolite biozone correlation charts: *Geological Magazine*, v. 149, p. 124–132.
- MacDonald, E.W., 2006, Haplotaeniutidae and Inaniguttidae (Radiolaria) from the Lower Silurian of the Cape Phillips Formation, Cornwallis Island, Nunavut, Canada: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 80, p. 19–37.
- Maletz, J., 2007, The Early Ordovician *Beothuka terranova* (Radiolaria) faunal assemblage in Newfoundland: *Palaeontologische Zeitschrift*, v. 81, p. 71–82.
- Maletz, J., 2009, *Holmograptus spinosus* and the Middle Ordovician (Darrivillian) graptolite biostratigraphy at Les Méchins (Quebec, Canada): *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 46, p. 739–755.
- Maletz, J., 2011, Radiolarian skeletal structures and biostratigraphy in the early Palaeozoic (Cambrian–Ordovician): *Palaeoworld*, v. 20, p. 116–133.
- Maletz, J., and Bruton, D.L., 2005, The *Beothuka terranova* (Radiolaria) assemblage and its importance for the understanding of early Ordovician radiolarian evolution: *Geological Magazine*, v. 142, p. 711–721.
- Maletz, J., and Bruton, D.L., 2007, Lower Ordovician (Chewtonian to Castlemainian) radiolarians of Spitsbergen: *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, v. 5, p. 245–288.
- Maletz, J., and Bruton, D.L., 2008, The Middle Ordovician *Proventocitum procerulum* radiolarian assemblage of Spitsbergen and its biostratigraphic correlation: *Palaeontology*, v. 51, p. 1181–1200.
- Maletz, J., and Egenhoff, S., 2011, Graptolite biostratigraphy and biogeography of the Table Head and Goose Tickle groups (Darrivillian, Ordovician) of Newfoundland, in: Guitérrez-Marco, J.C., Rábano, I., and García Bellido, D., eds., *Ordovician of the World: 11th International Symposium on the Ordovician System*. Alcalá de Henares, Spain, May 9–13, 2011: Madrid, Instituto Geológico y Minero de España, p. 333–338.
- Maletz, J., Albanesi, G.L., and Voldman, G.G., 2009, Lower Darrivillian radiolarians from the Argentine Precordillera: *Geobios*, v. 42, p. 53–61.
- Morris, R.W., and Kay, M., 1966, Ordovician graptolites from the Middle Table Head Formation at Black Cove, near Port Au Port, Newfoundland: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 40, p. 1223–1229.
- Nazarov, B.B., 1975, Lower and Middle Paleozoic Radiolarians of Kazakhstan (methods of investigation, systematics and stratigraphic significance): Moscow, USSR, Izdatelstvo Nauka, *Transactions of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, v. 275, 203 p. [in Russian]
- Nazarov, B.B., 1988, Paleozoic Radiolaria, *Practical Manual of Microfauna of the USSR*: Leningrad, Nedra, v. 2, 232 p. [in Russian]
- Nazarov, B.B., and Ormiston, A.R., 1993, New biostratigraphically important Paleozoic Radiolaria of Eurasia and North America: *Micropaleontology*, Special Publication, v. 6, p. 22–60.
- Nazarov, B.B., and Popov, L.Y., 1980, Stratigraphy and fauna of the siliceous-carbonate sequence of the Ordovician of Kazakhstan (Radiolaria and inarticulate brachiopods): *Transactions of the Geological Institute of the Soviet Academy of Sciences*, v. 331, 192 p. [in Russian]
- Nazarov, B.B., Popov, L.Y., and Apollonov, M., 1977, Lower Paleozoic radiolarians of Kazakhstan: *International Geology Review*, v. 19, p. 913–920. [in Russian]
- Noble, P.J., and Webby, B.D., 2009, Katian (Ordovician) radiolarians from the Malongulli Formation, New South Wales, Australia, a reexamination: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 83, p. 548–561.
- Noble, P.J., Aitchison, J.C., Danelian, T., Dumitrică, P., Maletz, J., Suzuki, N., Cuvelier, J.C., Caridroit, M., and O'Dogherty, L., 2017, Taxonomy of Paleozoic radiolarian genera: *Geodiversitas*, v. 3, p. 419–502. <https://doi.org/10.5252/g2017n3a4>.
- Perera, S., Aitchison, J.C., and Nothdurft, L., 2020, Middle Ordovician (Darrivillian) radiolarians from the Crawford Group, Scotland: *Geological Magazine*, 157(12):1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S001675682000028X>.
- Pouille, L., Danelian, T., Pour, M.G., and Popov, L.E., 2013, New and revised inaniguttid Radiolaria and associated trilobites from the upper Darrivillian (Ordovician) Shundy Formation of Kazakhstan: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 87, p. 1143–1159.
- Pouille, L., Danelian, T., and Maletz, J., 2014a, Radiolarian diversity changes during the late Cambrian–Early Ordovician transition as recorded in the Cow Head Group of Newfoundland (Canada): *Marine Micropaleontology*, v. 110, p. 25–41.
- Pouille, L., Danelian, T., and Popov, L.E., 2014b, A diverse upper Darrivillian radiolarian assemblage from the Shundy Formation of Kazakhstan: insights

- into late Middle Ordovician radiolarian biodiversity: *Journal of Micropaleontology*, v. 33, p. 149–163.
- Renz, G.W., 1990, Ordovician Radiolaria from Nevada and Newfoundland—a comparison at the family level: *Marine Micropaleontology*, v. 15, p. 393–402.
- Riedel, W.R., 1967, Some new families of Radiolaria: *Proceedings of the Geological Society of London*, v. 1640, p. 148–149.
- Stenzel, S.R., Knight, I., and James, N.P., 1990, Carbonate platform to foreland basin: revised stratigraphy of the Table Head Group (Middle Ordovician), Newfoundland: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 27, p. 14–26.
- Stouge, S.S., 1984, Conodonts of the Middle Ordovician Table Head Formation, Newfoundland: *Fossils and Strata*, v. 16, 145 p.
- Torsvik, T.H., Smethurst, M.A., Meert, J.G., Van der Voo, R., McKerrow, W.S., Brasier, M.D., Sturt, B.A., and Walderhaug, H.J., 1996, Continental break-up and collision in the Neoproterozoic and Palaeozoic—a tale of Baltica and Laurentia: *Earth Science Reviews*, v. 40, p. 229–258.
- Webby, B.D., and Blom, W., 1986, The first well-preserved radiolarians from the Ordovician of Australia: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 60, p. 145–157.
- Williams, H., 1973, Bay of Islands map area, Newfoundland, (12G), report and 1:126,720 scale map: *Geological Survey of Canada, Paper*, v. 72–34, 10 p., 1 map sheet.
- Williams, H., and Hiscott, R.N., 1987, Definition of the lapetus rift-drift transition in Newfoundland: *Geology*, v. 15, p. 1044–1047.
- Williams, S.H., Boyce, W.D., and James, N.P., 1987, Graptolites from the Lower–Middle Ordovician St. George and Table Head groups, Newfoundland, and their correlation with trilobite, brachiopod, and conodont zones: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 24, p. 456–470.
- Won, M.Z., 1997, Review of family Entactiniidae (Radiolaria), and taxonomy and morphology of Entactiniidae in the Late Devonian (Frasnian) Gogo Formation, Australia: *Micropaleontology*, v. 43, p. 333–369.
- Won, M., and Below, R., 1999, Cambrian Radiolaria from the Georgina Basin, Queensland, Australia: *Micropaleontology*, v. 45, p. 325–363.
- Won, M.Z., and Iams, W.J., 2002, Late Cambrian radiolarian faunas and biostratigraphy of the Cow Head Group, Newfoundland: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 76, p. 1–33.
- Won, M.Z., and Iams, W.J., 2011, Earliest Arenig radiolarians from the Cow Head Group, Newfoundland: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 85, p. 156–177.
- Won, M.Z., and Iams, W.J., 2013, Early Ordovician (early Arenig) radiolarians from the Cow Head Group and review of the Little Port Complex fauna, Newfoundland: *Palaeoworld*, v. 22, p. 10–31.
- Won, M.Z., and Iams, W.J., 2015, Early/Middle Arenig (late Floian) radiolarian faunal assemblages from Cow Head Group, Newfoundland: *Palaeontographica Abteilung A*, v. 304, p. 1–63.
- Won, M.Z., Iams, W.J., and Reed, K., 2005, Earliest Ordovician (early to middle Tremadocian) radiolarian faunas of the Cow Head Group, Newfoundland: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 79, p. 433–459.
- Won, M.Z., Iams, W.J., and Reed, K., 2007, Late Tremadocian radiolarian faunas and biostratigraphy of the Cow Head Group, Newfoundland, Canada: *Journal of the Korean Earth Science Society*, v. 28, p. 497–540.
- Yi, Y., Yuan, A., Aitchison, J.C., and Feng, Q., 2018, Upper Darriwilian (Middle Ordovician) radiolarians and ostracods from the Hulo Formation, Zhejiang Province, south China: *Journal of Earth Science*, v. 29, p. 886–899.
- Zhang, X.G., and Pratt, B.R., 2000, A varied Middle Ordovician sponge spicule assemblage from Newfoundland: *Journal of Paleontology*, v. 74, p. 386–393.

Accepted: 4 February 2021