

Environmental Exposures and Child Health: What we Might Learn in the 21st Century from the National Children's Study?

Author: McElroy, Jane A.

Source: Environmental Health Insights, 2(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/EHI.S1061>

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Environmental Exposures and Child Health: What we Might Learn in the 21st Century from the National Children's Study?

Jane A. McElroy

Assistant Professor, University of Missouri, Family and Community Medicine, MA306 Medical Science Building, Columbia, MO 65212.

Keywords: child health, longitudinal study, environmental exposures, epidemiology

In utero and early life environmental exposure programming may be critical to the onset of many diseases and dysfunctions in adulthood (Barker, 2007; Heindel, 2006), such as an increased risk of hypertension, cardiovascular disease (Palinski et al. 2007), diabetes (Armitage et al. 2008), and breast cancer (Xue and Michels, 2007). Some in utero and early life environmental exposures have demonstrated unequivocal harmful effects to children, such as thalidomide (Speirs, 1962), ionizing radiation (Doll and Wakeford, 1997), methylmercury (Watanabe and Satoh, 1996), lead (Gardella, 2001), environmental tobacco smoke (Herrmann et al. 2008), cigarette smoking and high alcohol consumption during pregnancy (Krulwich, 2005; Shea and Steiner, 2008). Whereas other in utero and early life environmental exposures have come under scrutiny without consensus as to the degree of influence on child or adult health, such as exposure to pesticides (Eskenazi et al. 1999), polybrominated biphenyls (PCBs) (Guo et al. 1995), nitrates (Mueller et al. 2004), bisphenol A (vom Saal and Hughes, 2005), and phytoestrogens (Damgaard et al. 2002).

Several factors converge to make susceptibility to adverse effects of environmental exposures of particular importance during the developmental periods of the fetus and child. These include host vulnerability during organogenesis and neuronal development; lower exposure thresholds and metabolic capacity of children relative to adults; behavioral factors that may increase children's ingestion of environmental toxins (Goldman et al. 2004); and inhalation of harmful air pollutants (Bates, 1995). Furthermore, during fetal and infant development, vulnerability to some exposures is increased during critical windows (Selevan et al. 2000). Attempts to tease out the timing of exposure associated with health outcomes are challenging. Unfortunately, our understanding of the importance of common environmental exposures occurring in utero and early life with regard to influence on child and adult health has been slow. For example, one misconception that took considerable time to alter was the belief that the fetus was protected from exposures—the placenta barrier misconception (Welshons et al. 2003). With this paradigm shift, we now realize that the fetus may face significant environmental exposures and in some cases a biomagnification of exposure (Ask et al. 2002; Hanrahan et al. 2004). Further, bioaccumulation of some chemicals, such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in children are higher than in their parents (Thundiyil et al. 2007; Trapp et al. 2008). This has led to an active research area investigating epigenetic changes occurring from in utero exposures which may affect child or adult health (Dolinoy et al. 2007). Much has been learned about an environmental stressor of the Dutch winter famine of 1944–1945 and specific long-term consequences of this deprivation on fetuses (Ravelli et al. 1998; Ravelli et al. 1999), which may be due to epigenetic changes of the DNA (Jablonka et al. 1992). Ibanez et al. suggested that female infants born small for gestational age may have subfertility (Ibanez et al. 2002). Another area of active research is identifying and quantifying the factors associated with the well-documented disproportional burden of illness, disease, and mortality among certain groups (Haas, 2008; Larson et al. 2008).

Correspondence: Jane A. McElroy, Ph.D., University of Missouri, MA306 Medical Science Building, 1 Hospital Drive, Columbia, MO 65212. Tel: 1-573-882-4993; Fax: 1-573-884-6172; Email: mcelroyja@missouri.edu



Copyright in this article, its metadata, and any supplementary data is held by its author or authors. It is published under the Creative Commons Attribution By licence. For further information go to: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>.

Some of what we have learned about in utero exposure has been due to obvious health outcomes. For example, the synthetic form of estrogen, diethylstilbestrol (DES) was prescribed to pregnant women to prevent miscarriages from 1938–1971 (Gunning, 1976). In 1970, Herbst and colleagues reported on seven young women presenting at their hospital of an extremely rare vaginal cancer; a cancer never before seen at that hospital in that age group (Herbst and Scully, 1970). They followed this case series report with the finding that almost all of the cases had prenatal exposure to DES whereas in their comparison group, none had been prenatal exposure to DES (Herbst et al. 1971). This began the investigation and subsequent conclusive identification of DES as the culprit. Similarly, a dramatic increase in number of children borne with severe malformities, including phocomelia, led to discovering the thalidomide link, a medication prescribed to pregnant mothers as an antiemetic to combat morning sickness and as a sleep aide (Knightley et al. 1979).

The patterns of illness and disease among U.S. children have significantly changed over time. Chronic conditions, such as learning disabilities, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, premature birth, asthma, obesity, autism, and type 2 diabetes, are more likely to be experienced by children today than by children born in the early 20th century (Schor, 2007). Similarly, the environment in which fathers, mothers and children live has also substantially changed (Goldman, 1998). Noteworthy improvements have occurred in assistive reproductive technologies, prenatal screening, and neonatal care during the 20th century (Budak et al. 2007; Wren et al. 2008). In contrast, consistent findings have shown that children walk less, spend more time interacting with computers, particularly gaming, eat out more, consume more sugared drinks which has led to concern about the health effects of these changes (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2005; Demory-Luce, 2005; Larson, 2001; Wang et al. 2008). Further, children have higher prevalence of medication use and vaccine compliance though the benefit/risk of the use of medications and immunization is currently passionately debated among certain groups (Berkowitz et al. 2001). With regard to chemical exposure, this too has changed over time. The 1950's ushered in the "better living through chemicals" age and this trend in use of synthetic chemicals, such as flame retardants, pesticides, plastics, chemotherapeutic

agents, and building materials, continues to grow with now over 80,000 synthetic chemicals in use (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2007). Over one million tons of approximately 3,000 chemicals, called high production volume chemicals, are produced each year (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2008). These synthetic chemicals are widely dispersed in air, water, soil, foods and consumer products. National surveys of the U.S. population have detected synthetic chemicals in biospecimen samples from children as well as adults (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (U.S.) and National Center for Environmental Health (U.S.), Division of Laboratory Sciences 2005). Another facet of the changing landscape is the shift from a life in the country to one in the city or suburbs with all the lifestyle changes that accompany living in an (sub)urban environment (U.S. Census Bureau, 2008). The built environment encompasses a broad range of definitions from housing stock's age, construction, and building materials to the local neighborhood's land-use (Cummins and Jackson, 2001). Many aspects of the built environment affect children's health risk such as easy access to recreational facilities, greater walkability, interconnectivity of neighborhoods, sidewalks, density of fast food restaurants and convenience stores, etc (Grafova, 2008). In addition, changes in building construction have been reported to influence children's health, such as increased air tightness of homes, and building materials (Breyse et al. 2004; Sherman and Matson, 2002). Those that live in low-income neighborhoods are particularly at risk (Gordon-Larsen et al. 2006). Although the magnitude of influence of specific factors that lead to this increased risk has yet to be elucidated, disparities in child and adult health have been well documented (Northridge et al. 2003).

Due to the dramatic changes in our environment, our advances in efficiently quantifying biomarkers of exposure, and the rise in chronic health conditions facing today's children, the U.S. Congress demonstrated support for research focusing on causes of children's illnesses and diseases. In 2000, the U.S. Congress called for "a national longitudinal study of environmental influences on children's health and development" by enacting the Children's Health Act of 2000 (Children's Health Act of 2000. Public Law 106-310 2000). The Children's Health Act of 2000 authorized the National Institute of Child Health and Human

Development as well as a consortium of federal agencies including, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, with input from working teams of researchers throughout the country, to design a study, including compiling a list of relevant hypotheses, to address children's health and development (Kimmel et al. 2005; Trasande and Landrigan, 2004); (<http://www.nationalchildrensstudy.gov/about/partners/contributors/Pages/default.aspx> lists many of the key people and institutions who contributed time and expertise to the development of this study and its hypotheses).

In brief, the goal of the National Children's Study (NCS) is to establish a cohort of 100,000 children. The study will follow children and families for 21 years while collecting health information at different ages and stages of child development as well as in various situations. The prospective data collected at preconception through adulthood may be able to elucidate factors that influence health and development as children grow. A national multistage stratified probability sample that selected 105 study locations (areas/neighborhoods within selected counties) was chosen for the recruitment of participants (see <http://www.nationalchildrensstudy.gov/studylocations/Pages/map.aspx> for map of the locations of the study locations). The plan is to enroll and obtain the first biospecimens from 25% of the women pre-conception, 65% of the women before their second trimester and the remaining 10% of the women at the birth event. The NCS hypotheses are categorized into seven broad groups 1) pregnancy outcomes, 2) neurodevelopmental and behavior, 3) child health and development, 4) asthma, 5) obesity and growth, 6) injury and 7) reproductive development. Under each group are one to six hypotheses. For example, under reproductive development, one hypothesis is "prenatal and postnatal (including peripubertal) exposure to hormonally active environmental agents can alter development of the reproductive system resulting in multiple types of outcomes that can occur at various stages of development and may result in cumulative effects over time." (http://www.nationalchildrensstudy.gov/research/hypotheses/Pages/hypotheses_list.aspx). Biomarkers and survey data will be gathered at numerous time points during the study

(see http://www.nationalchildrensstudy.gov/research/hypotheses/Pages/hypotheses_list.aspx for a version of the protocol overview and summary of contacts for data collection, through month 24 under appendix H).

At the time of writing (September 2008), the study is waiting for the OMB (Office of Management and Budget) to approve the study. Once this approval and local Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals are obtained, contact with study participants in the Vanguard Centers (centers that will collect one year of pilot data) can begin. In 2005, seven Vanguard centers and the Coordinating Center was funded; in 2007 Congress appropriated \$69 million for this study and an additional 22 study centers were awarded; in 2008, Congress appropriated \$110.9 million with approximately half of the remaining study centers to be awarded in September, according to the March 19th, 2008 Request for Proposal (see <http://www.nationalchildrensstudy.gov/about/pages/funding.aspx> for the yearly appropriations since the onset in 2000). The NCS plan is to have study centers identified for all 105 study locations by 2010. (http://www.nationalchildrensstudy.gov/research/hypotheses/Pages/hypotheses_list.aspx for list of counties by funded status). Needless to say, without substantial yearly financial support by the federal government, the study will not be able to continue as it is currently designed.

In summary, current advances in genomics, identification of relevant biomarkers, and statistical analysis of complex data will enable researchers to evaluate effect modification and interaction of multiple genetic and environmental factors in the etiology of childhood illnesses, injuries and disease.

Parents confronted with sick children often wonder whether an environmental exposure was the culprit of the child's health problem. Unfortunately, strong evidence of environmental exposures associated with health outcomes is rarely available. Similar to the Framingham Heart Study which provided critical information on preventable risk factors for cardiovascular disease in adults that changed many facets of heart care (<http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/about/framingham/>), findings from cohort studies, such as the National Children's Study, holds a similar promise for understanding the influence of environmental exposures associated with child and adult health.

Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest.

References

- Armitage, J.A., Poston, L. and Taylor, P.D. 2008. Developmental origins of obesity and the metabolic syndrome: the role of maternal obesity. *Frontiers of Hormone Research*, (36):73–84.
- Ask, K., Akesson, A., Berglund, M. et al. 2002. Inorganic mercury and methylmercury in placentas of Swedish women. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 110(5):523–6.
- Barker, D.J. 2007. The origins of the developmental origins theory. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 261(5):412–7.
- Bates, D.V. 1995. The effects of air pollution on children. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 103(Suppl 6):49–53.
- Berkowitz, G.S., Wolff, M.S., Matte, T. et al. 2001. The rationale for a national prospective cohort study of environmental exposure and childhood development. *Environmental Research*, 85(2):59–68.
- Breyse, P., Farr, N., Galke, W. et al. 2004. The relationship between housing and health: children at risk. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 112(15):1583–8.
- Budak, E., Garrido, N., Soares, S.R. et al. 2007. Improvements achieved in an oocyte donation program over a 10-year period: sequential increase in implantation and pregnancy rates and decrease in high-order multiple pregnancies. *Fertility and Sterility*, 88(2):342–9.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2005. Barriers to children walking to or from school—United States, 2004. *MMWR—Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 54(38):949–52.
- Cummins, S.K. and Jackson, R.J. 2001. The built environment and children's health. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 48(5):1241–52.
- Damgaard, I.N., Main, K.M., Toppari, J. et al. 2002. Impact of exposure to endocrine disrupters in utero and in childhood on adult reproduction. *Best Practice and Research Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 16(2):289–309.
- Demory-Luce, D. 2005. Fast food and children and adolescents: implications for practitioners. *Clinical Pediatrics*, 44(4):279–88.
- Dolinoy, D.C., Huang, D. and Jirtle, R.L. 2007. Maternal nutrient supplementation counteracts bisphenol A-induced DNA hypomethylation in early development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(32):13056–61.
- Doll, R. and Wakeford, R. 1997. Risk of childhood cancer from fetal irradiation. *British Journal of Radiology*, 70:130–9.
- Eskenazi, B., Bradman, A. and Castorina, R. 1999. Exposures of children to organophosphate pesticides and their potential adverse health effects. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 107(Suppl 3):409–19.
- Gardella, C. 2001. Lead exposure in pregnancy: a review of the literature and argument for routine prenatal screening. *Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey*, 56(4):231–8.
- Goldman, L., Falk, H., Landrigan, P.J. et al. 2004. Environmental pediatrics and its impact on government health policy. *Pediatrics*, 113(4 Suppl):1146–57.
- Goldman, L.R. 1998. Chemicals and children's environment: what we don't know about risks. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 106(Suppl 3):875–80.
- Gordon-Larsen, P., Nelson, M.C., Page, P. et al. 2006. Inequality in the built environment underlies key health disparities in physical activity and obesity. *Pediatrics*, 117(2):417–24.
- Grafova, I.B. 2008. Overweight children: assessing the contribution of the built environment. *Preventive Medicine*, doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2008.04.012.
- Gunning, J.E. 1976. The DES story. *Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey*, 31(11):827–33.
- Guo, Y.L., Lambert, G.H. and Hsu, C.C. 1995. Growth abnormalities in the population exposed in utero and early postnatally to polychlorinated biphenyls and dibenzofurans. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 103(Suppl 6):117–22.
- Haas, S. 2008. Trajectories of functional health: the 'long arm' of childhood health and socioeconomic factors. *Social Science and Medicine*, 66(4):849–61.
- Hanrahan, L.P., Anderson, H.A., Busby, B. et al. 2004. Wisconsin's environmental public health tracking network: information systems design for childhood cancer surveillance. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 112(14):1434–9.
- Heindel, J.J. 2006. Role of exposure to environmental chemicals in the developmental basis of reproductive disease and dysfunction. *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine*, 24(3):168–77.
- Herbst, A.L. and Scully, R.E. 1970. Adenocarcinoma of the vagina in adolescence. A report of 7 cases including 6 clear-cell carcinomas (so-called mesonephromas). *Cancer*, 25(4):745–57.
- Herbst, A.L., Ulfelder, H. and Poskanzer, D.C. 1971. Adenocarcinoma of the vagina. Association of maternal stilbestrol therapy with tumor appearance in young women. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 284(15):878–81.
- Herrmann, M., King, K. and Weitzman, M. 2008. Prenatal tobacco smoke and postnatal secondhand smoke exposure and child neurodevelopment. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*, 20(2):184–90.
- Ibanez, L., Potau, N., Ferrer, A. et al. 2002. Reduced ovulation rate in adolescent girls born small for gestational age. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 87(7):3391–93.
- Jablonka, E., Lachmann, M. and Lamb, M.J. 1992. Evidence, mechanisms and models for the inheritance of acquired characters. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 158(2):245–68.
- Kimmel, C.A., Collman, G.W., Fields, N. et al. 2005. Lessons learned for the National Children's Study from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences/U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Centers for Children's Environmental Health and Disease Prevention Research. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113(10):1414–8.
- Knightley, P., Evans, H., Potter, E. et al. 1979. *Suffer the children: the story of thalidomide*, New York, Viking Press.
- Krulewicz, C.J. 2005. Alcohol consumption during pregnancy. *Annual Review of Nursing Research*, 23:101–34.
- Larson, K., Russ, S.A., Crall, J.J. et al. 2008. Influence of multiple social risks on children's health. *Pediatrics*, 121(2):337–44.
- Larson, R.W. 2001. How U.S. children and adolescents spend time: what it does (and doesn't) tell us about their development. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 10(5):160–5.
- Mueller, B.A., Nielsen, S.S., Preston-Martin, S. et al. 2004. Household water source and the risk of childhood brain tumours: results of the SEARCH International Brain Tumor Study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 33(6):1209–16.
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (U.S.) and National Center for Environmental Health (U.S.), Division of Laboratory Sciences 2005. *Third national report on human exposure to environmental chemicals* Atlanta, GA, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
- Northridge, M.E., Stover, G.N., Rosenthal, J.E. et al. 2003. Environmental equity and health: understanding complexity and moving forward. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(2):209–14.
- Palinski, W., Yamashita, T., Freigang, S. et al. 2007. Developmental programming: maternal hypercholesterolemia and immunity influence susceptibility to atherosclerosis. *Nutrition Reviews*, 65(Supplement 1): S182–S187.
- Ravelli, A.C., Der Meulen, J.H., Osmond, C. et al. 1999. Obesity at the age of 50 y in men and women exposed to famine prenatally. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 70(5):811–6.
- Ravelli, A.C., van der Meulen, J.H., Michels, R.P. et al. 1998. Glucose tolerance in adults after prenatal exposure to famine. *Lancet*, 351(9097):173–7.
- Schor, E.L. 2007. The future pediatrician: promoting children's health and development. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 151(5 Suppl):S11–S16.
- Selevan, S.G., Kimmel, C.A. and Mendola, P. 2000. Identifying critical windows of exposure for children's health. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 108(Suppl 3):451–5.

- Shea, A.K. and Steiner, M. 2008. Cigarette smoking during pregnancy. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, 10(2):267–78.
- Sherman, M.H. and Matson, N.E. 2002. *Air tightness of new houses in the U.S.: A preliminary report*. Berkeley, CA, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
- Speirs, A.L. 1962. Thalidomide and congenital abnormalities. *Lancet*, 1(7224):303–5.
- Thundiyil, J.G., Solomon, G.M. and Miller, M.D. 2007. Transgenerational exposures: persistent chemical pollutants in the environment and breast milk. *Pediatric Clinics of North America*, 54(1):81–101.
- Trapp, S., Ma, B.L. and Legind, C.N. 2008. Coupled mother-child model for bioaccumulation of POPs in nursing infants. *Environmental Pollution*, 156(1):90–8.
- Trasande, L. and Landrigan, P.J. 2004. The National Children's Study: a critical national investment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 112(14):A789–A790.
- U.S. Census Bureau. 2008. Census Bureau Home Page. Accessed 27 June 2008. URL: <http://www.census.gov>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2007. New chemicals program. Accessed 27 June 2008. URL: <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/newchemicals/pubs/inventory.htm>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. High Production Volume Information System (HPVIS). Accessed 27 June 2008. URL: <http://www.epa.gov/hpvis/>
- vom Saal, F.S. and Hughes, C. 2005. An extensive new literature concerning low-dose effects of bisphenol A shows the need for a new risk assessment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 113(8):926–33.
- Wang, Y.C., Bleich, S.N. and Gortmaker, S.L. 2008. Increasing caloric contribution from sugar-sweetened beverages and 100% fruit juices among US children and adolescents, 1988–2004. *Pediatrics*, 121(6):e1604–e1614.
- Watanabe, C. and Satoh, H. 1996. Evolution of our understanding of methylmercury as a health threat. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 104(Suppl 2):367–79.
- Welshons, W.V., Thayer, K.A., Judy, B.M. et al. 2003. Large effects from small exposures. I. Mechanisms for endocrine-disrupting chemicals with estrogenic activity. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111(8):994–1006.
- Wren, C., Reinhardt, Z. and Khawaja, K. 2008. Twenty-year trends in diagnosis of life-threatening neonatal cardiovascular malformations. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition*, 93(1):F33–F35.
- Xue, F. and Michels, K.B. 2007. Intrauterine factors and risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of current evidence. *Lancet Oncology*, 8(12):1088–100.