

# The impact of land use on species composition and habitat structure in Sudanian savannas — A modelling study in protected areas and agricultural lands of southeastern Burkina Faso

Authors: Schmidt, Marco, Mbayngone, Elisée, Bachmann, Yvonne, Hahn, Karen, Zizka, Georg, et al.

Source: Candollea, 71(2): 265-274

Published By: The Conservatory and Botanical Garden of the City of Geneva (CJBG)

URL: https://doi.org/10.15553/c2016v712a11

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# The impact of land use on species composition and habitat structure in Sudanian savannas – A modelling study in protected areas and agricultural lands of southeastern Burkina Faso

Marco Schmidt, Elisée Mbayngone, Yvonne Bachmann, Karen Hahn, Georg Zizka & Adjima Thiombiano

### Abstract

SCHMIDT, M., E. MBAYNGONE, Y. BACHMANN, K. HAHN, G. ZIZKA & A. THIOMBIANO (2016). The impact of land use on species composition and habitat structure in Sudanian savannas – A modelling study in protected areas and agricultural lands of southeastern Burkina Faso. *Candollea* 71: 265-274. In English, English abstract. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15553/c2016v712a11

Sudanian Savannas are under high agricultural pressure and are therefore changing rapidly. Due to high population densities and an increasing need for food and cash crops, the mosaic of traditional agroforestry systems, fallows and savanna is being transformed into intensively used croplands and savannas only remain in protected areas. The focus of this study is to characterize the differences in plant diversity and composition between protected areas and surrounding agricultural lands and to identify areas most important for plant conservation. Building on observation and collection records, we modelled distributions of individual plant species and summarized these. We mapped the species richness of vascular plants in general, of woody plants, graminoids and forbs, the share of weeds and the average size of grasses and trees and calculated means for the reserves and outside areas. Distinct differences between protected areas and agricultural lands have been found in the richness of herbs (both forbs and graminoids) and weeds as well as in the size of grasses: Woody species seem to be less affected by human impact in the agricultural lands concerning both species richness and plant size. Weeds are playing an important role in the higher species richness of the agricultural lands.

# **Keywords**

Growth form composition - Plant size - Protected areas - Sudanian savannas - Species richness - West Africa

AT, EM : University of Ouagadougou, Laboratory of Biology and Vegetation Ecology, BP 848, Ouagadougou 09, Burkina Faso.

Submitted on May 24, 2016. Accepted on July 20, 2016.

ISSN: 0373-2967 - Online ISSN: 2235-3658 - Candollea 71(2): 265-274 (2016)

© CONSERVATOIRE ET JARDIN BOTANIQUES DE GENÈVE 2016

Addresses of the authors:

MS: Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Data and Modelling Centre, Senckenberganlage 25 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. E-mail: mschmidt@senckenberg.de

EM: University of N'Djaména, Laboratory of Systematic Botany and Vegetation Ecology, BP 1027, N'Djaména, Tchad.

YB: Technical University Darmstadt, Center for Teacher Education, Alexanderstrasse, 664283 Darmstadt, Germany.

KH, GZ, YB: J.W. Goethe University, Institute for Ecology, Evolution and Diversity Max-von-Laue-Straße 13, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

GZ, MS : Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum Frankfurt, Department of Botany and molecular Evolution, Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

First published online on August 2, 2016.

## Introduction

Tropical grassy biomes, long neglected, are increasingly moving into the focus of research and conservation, especially in Africa, where they cover a third of the continental land surface (PARR et al., 2014). Sudanian savannas, located in West Africa between the Sahelian drylands and the Guinean rainforests, are a biome characterized by high grasses of the Andropogoneae (BOCKSBERGER et al., 2016). They are particularly under threat by expanding agriculture with one of the highest human population densities on the continent. Due to the increasing need for food and cash crops, vast areas have been transformed into croplands and only few larger patches of close-to-natural savanna remain in protected areas (PAs). On the other hand the economic importance of wild plant products for the population is high, as many species are regularly used for food, medicine and crafts (MBAYNGONE & THIOMBIANO, 2011; ZIZKA et al., 2015).

The partial faunal reserve of Pama is the westernmost part of the so-called WAPO (W-Arly-Pendjari-Oti) complex of PAs in the border region of Burkina Faso, Niger, Benin and Togo. With an area of 223,500 ha it is one of the largest PAs in Burkina Faso and belongs to the category IV of the IUCN. Different Sudanian savannas and woodlands (HAHN, 1996), gallery forests along the Singou and Pendjari rivers and rocky habitats of the Gobnangou hills (OUÉDRAOGO & SCHMIDT, 2010) are included in the reserve. The local population mainly consists of Gourmantché, followed by Mossi and Peulh, the largest town in the study area is Pama, but the closeby regional capital Fada N'Gourma (c. 45 km to the north of the reserve) certainly also has an influence. During the last decades, areas outside the reserves experienced large scale conversions of savannas and woodlands to mosaics of fields and fallows and even larger areas of bare soil (SOULAMA et al., 2015).

Although the large reserves of the area have mainly been installed for the protection of fauna and particularly large mammals (see, e.g., HENSCHEL et al., 2014), they contribute also to the protection of Burkina Faso's flora, even more so with expanding and intensified land use, pushing the agricultural frontier to the very borders of the PAs (UNEP, 2008; GNOUMOU, 2013) and in some cases even beyond. With the growing importance of PAs for plant conservation, it becomes important to identify the key areas for the conservation of plant diversity. Pama reserve is home to 450 species of vascular plants (MBAYNGONE et al., 2008), for Arly National Park 490 species have been documented (OUÉDRAOGO et al., 2011), a large proportion of the 2067 species documented for Burkina Faso (THIOMBIANO et al., 2012). The purpose of this study is to identify areas of high diversity and areas important for the conservation of rare species.

# Methods

We are building on extensive vegetation surveys in the area of the Pama reserve (SCHMIDT, 2006; MBAYNGONE, 2008), inventories of the BIOTA biodiversity observatories (JÜRGENS et al., 2012), records from georeferenced photo records (DRESSLER et al., 2014) and collection data from the herbaria of Senckenberg (FR) and the University of Ouagadougou (OUA), combining these data types in order to account for their specific strengths and weaknesses (SCHMIDT et al., 2010). The vast majority of coordinates was recorded using a GPS with a spatial accuracy of c. 10 m, in the case of vegetation plot data, the center point location has been assigned to all species occurring within the plot, thereby additionally reducing the accuracy by a few meters (herb layer relévés usually on 10 m  $\times$  10 m, woody layer relevés on 30 m  $\times$  30 m). In order to avoid the influence of the roads on species composition and the satellite signals corresponding to their localities, records were usually taken > 500 m from the road. After data cleaning, removing doubles, resolving synonymies and assigning infraspecific taxa to the respective species rank using the Vascular Plant Catalogue of Burkina Faso (THIOMBIANO et al., 2012) as a nomenclatural reference, we had an occurrence dataset of 10878 records for 648 species of vascular plants, more species than known from the two checklists of Pama and Arly (see above). Measures of plant size for grasses and trees have been taken from Poi-LECOT (1995, 1999), SCHMIDT et al. (2011) and SCHMIDT et al. (2013), classification as weeds is based on LE BOURGEOIS & Merlier (1995) and Ataholo (2001).

Due to limited accessibility within the reserve, especially towards the end of the rainy season, when the majority of plant species can be identified, most data have been taken close to roads and close to the enclaves around villages. To close the resulting gaps in species occurrence data we modelled species distributions using Maxent v. 3.3.3 and environmental predictors consisting of a set of topographic and remote sensing (RS) variables closely linked to environmental factors and with sufficient spatial resolution for the task.

For an optimal choice of environmental data and botanical occurrence data as well as full coverage of the Pama reserve we restricted our study to the area between 0.5°E-1.5°E and 11°N-12°N (Fig. 1). The area is covered by a single Landsat 8 scene. Therefore no edge effects occur within the RS data. The Landsat 8 scene from the 13<sup>th</sup> of October 2013, WRS path 193/row 52, was downloaded from the US Geological Survey website [http://earthexplorer. usgs.gov]. After rescaling the values of the Top of the Atmosphere (TOA) spectral radiance and the Thermal Infrared Sensor data to at-satellite brightness temperature [http://landsat.usgs.gov/Landsat8\_Using\_Product.php], the following ecologically important predictor variables were calculated from the RS data: NDVI, MSI, SAVI, ferrous minerals, iron oxide, clay minerals and at-satellite brightness temperature (KRIEGLER et al., 1969;



Fig. 1. – Study area including the Pama reserve and neighbouring PAs of the western WAPO complex. The Pama, Tindangou and Madjoari areas are enclaves where agriculture is allowed. The small country map in the lower right shows the position of the study area within Burkina Faso.

HUETE, 1988). Furthermore slope and the Topographic Wetness Index (TWI, BEVEN & KIRKBY, 1979) were calculated from the ASTER GDEM V2. To avoid problems with multicollinearity we excluded the RS layers highly correlated with the NDVI (SAVI, MSI, ferrous minerals, iron oxide), resulting in five final predictor variables: NDVI, at-satellite brightness temperature, clay minerals, slope and TWI. All datasets were clipped to the extent of the study area. Analysis was conducted at the spatial resolution of 30 m. Processing was done with ERDAS Imagine 13 (Intergraph Corporation), SAGA-GIS 2.0 (SAGA Development Team) and ArcGIS 10 (ESRI Inc.).

Only the 209 species with 10 or more spatially unique occurrence points have been considered for the modelling approach. SDMs of 21 species with an AUC < 0.7 have also been excluded from the further analysis. Probabilities of occurrence have been transformed into presence/absence using the Equal sensitivity and sensibility threshold (L1U et al., 2005) and stacked to produce maps of species richness for all species and specific groups. The calculation of average values for the different areas concerned has been done in R (v. 3.2.2), using the 'raster' library. The southernmost areas (Pama-Sud, Pendjari Hunting zone and Pendjari National Park) have been excluded from this analysis, because of their low sampling density.

### Results

We modelled distributions of 188 species of vascular plants. The average AUC was 0.78, the predictor variable with the largest contribution to the models was at-satellite brightness temperature (with an average contribution of 44%), followed by NDVI (19%), clay minerals (17%), slope (12%) and TWI (8%).

The stacked SDM maps of species richness (Fig. 2) and plant size (Fig. 3) show clear differences between the PAs and the agricultural areas (see also Table 1 for average values). Although the species richness map of all vascular plants (Fig. 2A) doesn't show clear-cut differences between reserve and surroundings, the averaged values are distinctly higher for outside areas. Within the reserve larger differences between high and low diversity areas exist. Especially Pama Centre Nord and the northern parts of Pama Nord seem to be more diverse. Growth forms react differently (Fig. 2): while the diversity patterns of grass-like species (Fig. 2B) are very similar to the general patterns of species richness, forbs are comparatively more diverse in the agricultural land (Fig. 2C) and woody species are rather evenly distributed among land use types but with highest values in Pama centre Nord and Pama Nord (Fig. 2D). Distinctly higher diversities in the agricultural land are shown for weedy species (Fig. 2E) and only slightly higher values for non-weedy species (Fig. 2F).

The maps of maximum plant size (Fig. 3) and average values in Table 1) show very clear patterns of higher grasses (Fig. 3A) within the reserve, while size patterns of woody plants are quite indifferent to protection status (Fig. 3B).

# Discussion

Our approach clearly showed differences in species diversity patterns and structural parameters between the reserve and the agricultural areas. These are in line with the findings of HAHN-HADJALI et al. (2006) from the BIOTA observatories of the same area: The higher species richness found there in Kikidéni (communal lands/cattle grazing) as compared to Natiabouani (Pama Nord) is mainly attributed to lower size annual species, a group largely overlapping with the weedy species set of the present study. DEVINEAU et al. (2009) also found higher numbers of weeds and annuals outside and more perennial herbs inside the reserves in western central Burkina Faso. The effective protection of tall grass species within the reserve (Fig. 3a) is contributing to wildlife conservation in the PAs concerning both food and habitat requirements.

Woody species in our study area seem to be generally less affected by human impact in the agricultural lands, concerning both species richness and plant size, a result also found in Sissili (South Central Burkina Faso) by PARÉ et al. (2009) and in the closeby W-National Park by NACOULMA et al. (2011), but contrary to the findings of TRAORÉ et al. (2012) from SW Burkina Faso. With the growing intensification of agriculture linked to cotton cultivation, replacing the traditional agroforestry systems with their mosaics of fields and fallows, the woody species are expected to be more heavily impacted in the future.

The use of high resolution remote sensing and topographic variables representing factors known to have a direct impact on the organisms (temperature, soil, water availability) made it possible to reach a high spatial resolution and a good distinction between protected area and agricultural lands. The results show clearly the importance of these variables for small-scale distribution studies, where the interpolated climate grids often used on larger scales reach the limits of their predictive power.

Recent works on grass traits (PASTUREL et al., 2016) in African savanna biomes stressed the importance of plant size in defining functional types of grasses especially in their response to climate. Our results show that such types would also be useful for the study of different land use systems.



Fig. 2. – Maps of species richness. A. All plant species (2-211 spp.); B. Graminoids (0-50 spp.); C. Forbs (0-86 spp.); D. Woody species (0-52 spp.); E. Weedy species (0-48 spp.); F. Non-weedy species (0-140 spp.). The color coding stretches from light yellow for the lowest values via orange and red to violet for the highest values.

Table 1. – Average values of species richness of plants in general, groups of growth form and weeds/non-weeds summarized from the stacked SDM models for the different PAs in the western WAP complex and cultivated lands in the enclaves and outside the PAs. The last two columns give average values of plant height for grasses and trees.

	All species	Forbs	Graminoid	Woody	Non weeds	Weeds	Grass height	Tree height
							[cm]	[cm]
Enclaves/outside								
Madjoari	78.11	31.80	17.57	19.12	51.40	18.08	157.22	9.43
Tindangou	84.16	37.29	19.59	17.69	51.18	24.13	140.54	9.75
Pama	90.36	39.98	21.43	19.52	56.56	24.71	139.85	9.75
outside PAs	94.13	42.69	22.59	19.18	56.83	28.47	135.68	9.10
Protected Areas								
Konkombouri	59.50	18.89	11.54	18.86	44.19	5.95	186.29	9.09
Pama Centre Nord	91.33	36.50	22.18	23.21	62.67	20.16	166.98	8.83
Pama Centre Sud	60.16	20.67	13.17	17.09	42.76	9.42	177.37	9.22
Pama Nord	75.56	28.81	18.05	19.92	52.10	15.79	173.01	8.96
Singou	60.24	21.10	13.72	16.99	42.50	10.48	178.59	9.08
Pagou Tandougou	39.90	12.00	7.23	12.43	27.83	5.05	172.92	9.06
Arly	55.37	18.43	11.06	16.47	39.64	8.11	172.24	9.00
Ouamou	61.83	22.40	14.72	16.73	43.45	11.51	177.14	9.22
meanOut	92.42	41.54	22.06	19.16	56.24	27.36	137.81	9.15
meanPA	64.51	23.16	14.54	17.94	45.33	11.51	175.30	9.04

# Acknowledgements

We acknowledge financial support by the UNDESERT project (EU FP7 grant 243906) and the University of N'Djaména for funding a research stay of E. Mbayngone at Senckenberg. M. Schmidt finished this manuscript while being funded by the GFBio project (DFG grant HI 1538/2-2). We would like to thank the two reviewers Cyrille Chatelain and Jean César for their valuable feedback during the review process.

### References

- ATAHOLO, M. (2001). *Pflanzensoziologische Untersuchungen der Segetalarten in der Sudanzone Westafrikas*. Dissertation, J.W. Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main.
- BEVEN, K.J. & M.J. KIRKBY (1979). A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin hydrology. *Hydrol. Sci. Bull.* 24: 43-69.
- BOCKSBERGER, G., J. SCHNITZLER, C. CHATELAIN, P. DAGET, T. JANS-SEN, M. SCHMIDT, A. THIOMBIANO & G. ZIZKA (2016). Climate and the distribution of grasses in West Africa. *J. Veg. Sci.* 27: 306-317.

- DEVINEAU, J.-L., A. FOURNIER & S. NIGNAN (2009). "Ordinary biodiversity" in western Burkina Faso (West Africa): what vegetation do the state forests conserve? *Biodivers. & Conservation* 18: 2075-2099.
- DRESSLER, S., M. SCHMIDT & G. ZIZKA (2014). Introducing African Plants – A Photo Guide – An interactive identification tool for continental Africa. *Taxon* 63: 1159-1161.
- GNOUMOU, A. (2013). Diversité et dynamique spatiotemporelle de la végétation de la forêt classée et réserve partielle de faune de la Comoé-Léraba (Sud-Ouest du Burkina Faso). Dissertation, University of Ouagadougou.
- HAHN, K. (1996). Die Pflanzengesellschaften der Savannen im Südosten Burkina Fasos (Westafrika). Ihre Beeinflussung durch den Menschen und die naturräumlichen Gegebenheiten. Dissertation, J.W. Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main.
- HAHN-HADJALI, K., M. SCHMIDT & A. THIOMBIANO (2006). Phytodiversity dynamics in pastured and protected West African savannas. In: GHAZANFAR, S.A. & H.J. BEENTJE (ed.), Taxonomy and ecology of African Plants: their conservation and sustainable use - Proceedings of the 17th AETFAT Congress Addis Abeba 21-26.09.2003: 351-359. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 3. – Maps of mean maximum plant size (calculated as average of maximum plant size of all species predicted as present within a grid cell). A. Grasses (Poaceae) (30-360 cm); B. Woody species (3-25 m). The color coding stretches from light yellow for the lowest values via orange and red to violet for the highest values.

- HENSCHEL, P., L. COAD, C. BURTON, B. CHATAIGNER, A. DUNN, D. MACDONALD, Y. SAIDU & L.T.B. HUNTER (2014). The lion in West Africa is critically endangered. *PLoS One* 9: e83500.
- HUETE, A.R. (1988). A soil-adjusted vegetation index (SAVI). *Remote* Sensing Environm. 25: 295-309.
- JÜRGENS, N., U. SCHMIEDEL, D. HAARMEYER, J. DENGLER, M. FINCKH, D. GOETZE, A. GRÖNGRÖFT, K. HAHN, A. KOULIBALY, J. LUTHER-MOSEBACH, G. MUCHE, J. OLDELAND, A. PETERSEN, S. POREMB-SKI, M. RUTHERFORD, M. SCHMIDT, B. SINSIN, B. STROHBACH, A: THIOMBIANO, R. WITTIG & G. ZIZKA (2012). The BIOTA Biodiversity Observatories in Africa - a standardized framework for large-scale environmental monitoring. *Environm. Monit. Assessm.* 184: 655-678.
- KRIEGLER, F.J., W.A. MALILA, R.F. NALEPKA & W. RICHARDSON (1969). Preprocessing Transformations and Their Effects on Multispectral Recognition. In: Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment: 97–131. Environmental Research Institute of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
- LE BOURGEOIS, T. & H. MERLIER (1995). Adventrop Les adventices d'Afrique soudano-sahélienne. CIRAD, Montpellier.
- LIU, C., P. BERRY, T. DAWSON & R. PEARSON (2005). Selecting thresholds of occurrence in the prediction of species distributions. *Ecography* 28: 385-393.

- MBAYNGONE, E. (2008). Flore et végétation de la réserve partielle de faune de Pama, sud-est du Burkina Faso. Dissertation, University of Ouagadougou.
- MBAYNGONE, E., M. SCHMIDT, K. HAHN-HADJALI, A. THIOMBIANO & S. GUINKO (2008). Magnoliophyta of the partial faunal reserve of Pama, Burkina Faso. *Check List* 4: 251-266.
- MBAYNGONE, E. & A. THIOMBIANO (2011). Degradation of protected areas through the use of plant resources: the case of the partial wildlife reserve of Pama, Burkina Faso (West Africa). *Fruits* 66: 187-202.
- NACOULMA, B.M.I., K. SCHUMANN, S. TRAORÉ, M. BERNHARDT-RÖMERMANN, K. HAHN, R. WITTIG & A. THIOMBIANO (2011). Impacts of land-use on West African savanna vegetation: a comparison between protected and communal area in Burkina Faso. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 20: 3341-3362.
- OUÉDRAOGO, O. & M. SCHMIDT (2010). Chaînes gréseuses / Formations saxicoles. In: THIOMBIANO, A. & D. KAMPMANN (ed.) Atlas de la biodiversité de l'Afrique de l'Ouest, Tome II: Burkina Faso: 390-395. BIOTA, Ouagadougou & Frankfurt am Main.
- OUÉDRAOGO, O., M. SCHMIDT, A. THIOMBIANO, K. HAHN, S. GUINKO & G. ZIZKA (2011). Magnoliophyta, Arly National Park, Tapoa, Burkina Faso. *Check List* 7: 85-100.

- PARÉ, S., M. TIGABU, P. SAVADOGO, P.C. ODÉN & J.M. OUADBA (2009). Does designation of protected areas ensure conservation of tree diversity in the Sudanian dry forest of Burkina Faso? *African J. Ecol.* 48 : 347-360.
- PARR, C.L. & C.E.R. LEHMANN, W.J. BOND, W. HOFFMANN & A.N. ANDERSEN (2014). Tropical grassy biomes: misunderstood, neglected, and under threat. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 29: 205-213.
- PASTUREL, M., A. ALEXANDRE, A. NOVELLO, A.M. DIÈYE, A. WÉLÉ, L. PARADIS, C. CORDOVA & C. HÉLY (2016). Grass physiognomic trait variation in African herbaceous biomes. *Biotropica* 48: 311-320.
- POILECOT, P. (1995). Les Poaceae de Côte-d'Ivoire. Boissiera 50.
- POILECOT, P. (1999). Les Poaceae du Niger. Boissiera 56.
- SCHMIDT, M. (2006). Pflanzenvielfalt in Burkina Faso Analyse, Modellierung und Dokumentation. Dissertation, J.W. Goethe-University Frankfurt am Main.
- SCHMIDT, M., A. THIOMBIANO, S. DRESSLER, K. HAHN-HADJALI, S. GUINKO & G. ZIZKA (2010). Phytodiversity Data – Strengths and weaknesses. A comparison of collection and relevé data from Burkina Faso. *In:* VAN DER BURGT, X.M., L.J.G. VAN DER MAESEN & J.M. ONANA (ed.) *Systematics and conservation of African plants:* 829-837. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.
- SCHMIDT, M., A. THIOMBIANO, A. ZIZKA, K. KÖNIG, U. BRUNKEN & G. ZIZKA (2011). Patterns of plant functional traits in the biogeography of West African grasses (Poaceae). *African J. Ecol.* 49: 490-500.

- SCHMIDT, M., S. TRAORÉ, A. OUÉDRAOGO, E. MBAYNGONE, O. OUÉ-DRAOGO, A. ZIZKA, I. KIRCHMAIR, E. KABORÉ, E. TINDANO, A. THIOM-BIANO, K. HAHN & G. ZIZKA (2013). Geographical patterns of woody plants' functional traits in Burkina Faso. *Candollea* 68: 197-207.
- SOULAMA, S., A. KADEBA, B. NACOULMA, S. TRAORE, Y. BACHMANN & A. THIOMBIANO (2015). Impact des activités anthropiques sur la dynamique de la végétation de la réserve partielle de faune de Pama et de ses périphéries (sud-est du Burkina Faso) dans un contexte de variabilité climatique. J. Appl. Biosci. 87: 8047.
- THIOMBIANO, A., M. SCHMIDT, S. DRESSLER, A. OUÉDRAOGO, K. HAHN & G. ZIZKA (2012). Catalogue des plantes vasculaires du Burkina Faso. *Boissiera* 65.
- TRAORÉ, L., A. OUÉDRAOGO & A. THIOMBIANO (2012). To what extent do protected areas determine the conservation of native flora? A case study in the Sudanian zone of Burkina Faso. *ISRN Bot* 2012: Article ID 168196, 10 p.
- UNEP (2008) Africa: Atlas of our changing environment. Progress Press Co. Ltd, Nairobi.
- ZIZKA, A., A. THIOMBIANO, S. DRESSLER, B. NACOULMA, A. OUÉDRAOGO, I. OUÉDRAOGO, O.OUÉDRAOGO, G. ZIZKA, K. HAHN & M. SCHMIDT (2015). Traditional plant use in Burkina Faso (West Africa): a national-scale analysis with focus on traditional medicine. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed. 11: 9.

Appendix 1. – List of species with 10 or more spatially unique occurrences in the study area. For each species the number of samples, the AUC of the species distribution models (mean value out of 100 runs), the growth form and the assignment to the weed categories are provided.

Species	#samples	AUC	Growth Form	Weed	Species	#samples	AUC	Growth Form	Weed
Abildgaardia abortiva	35	0.74	graminoid	Ν	Combretum molle	75	0.74	woody	Ν
Abildgaardia coleotricha	26	0.78	graminoid	Ν	Combretum nigricans	91	0.74	woody	Ν
Abildgaardia filamentosa	38	0.74	graminoid	Ν	Commelina benghalensis	12	0.79	forb	Y
Abildgaardia hispidula	61	0.75	graminoid	Y	Commelina erecta	15	0.72	forb	Ν
Acacia dudgeonii	126	0.77	woody	Ν	Commiphora africana	13	0.77	woody	Ν
Acacia gourmaensis	127	0.78	woody	Ν	Corchorus olitorius	22	0.77	forb	Y
Acacia hockii	91	0.79	woody	Ν	Corchorus tridens	29	0.90	forb	Y
Achyranthes aspera	22	0.79	forb	Y	Crossopteryx febrifuga	83	0.80	woody	Ν
Alysicarpus ovalifolius	60	0.78	forb	Y	Crotalaria macrocalyx	61	0.71	forb	Ν
Alysicarpus rugosus	18	0.71	forb	Y	Crotalaria microcarpa	53	0.73	forb	Ν
Andropogon chinensis	75	0.78	graminoid	Ν	Crotalaria naragutensis	10	0.82	forb	Ν
Andropogon fastigiatus	72	0.75	graminoid	Y	Ctenium newtonii	31	0.77	graminoid	Ν
Andropogon gayanus	175	0.72	graminoid	Ν	Curculigo pilosa	10	0.84	forb	Ν
Andropogon pseudapricus	161	0.74	graminoid	Ν	Cyanotis longifolia	19	0.88	forb	Ν
Aneilema lanceolatum	63	0.76	forb	Ν	Cymbopogon caesius	33	0.77	graminoid	Ν
Annona senegalensis	118	0.75	woody	Ν	Dactyloctenium aegyptium	17	0.96	graminoid	Y
Anogeissus leiocarpa	72	0.73	woody	Ν	Desmodium gangeticum	21	0.73	forb	Ν
Aristida adscensionis	15	0.88	graminoid	Y	Desmodium velutinum	22	0.76	forb	Ν
Aristida kerstingii	110	0.75	graminoid	Y	Detarium microcarpum	19	0.73	woody	Ν
Aspilia bussei	106	0.77	forb	Ν	Dichrostachys cinerea	40	0.73	woody	Ν
Aspilia helianthoides	26	0.75	forb	Ν	Digitaria argillacea	95	0.75	graminoid	Y
Balanites aegyptiaca	52	0.76	woody	Ν	Diheteropogon amplectens	59	0.76	graminoid	Ν
Blepharis linariifolia	26	0.81	forb	Ν	Diospyros mespiliformis	34	0.75	woody	Ν
Bombax costatum	22	0.72	woody	Ν	Entada africana	17	0.75	woody	Ν
Brachiaria jubata	44	0.80	graminoid	Ν	Eragrostis turgida	13	0.90	graminoid	Y
Brachiaria lata	37	0.85	graminoid	Y	Euclasta condylotricha	21	0.80	graminoid	Ν
Brachiaria serrata	25	0.75	graminoid	Ν	Euphorbia convolvuloides	100	0.78	forb	Y
Brachiaria villosa	75	0.81	graminoid	Ν	Feretia apodanthera	88	0.75	woody	Ν
Brachystelma bingeri	30	0.80	forb	Ν	Flueggea virosa	45	0.74	woody	Ν
Bridelia scleroneura	49	0.74	woody	Ν	Gardenia erubescens	24	0.77	woody	Ν
Buchnera hispida	11	0.77	forb	Ν	Gardenia ternifolia	90	0.76	woody	Ν
Burkea africana	11	0.72	woody	Ν	Grewia bicolor	16	0.82	woody	Ν
Cassia mimosoides	181	0.74	forb	Y	Grewia cissoides	68	0.76	forb	Ν
Cassia obtusifolia	13	0.85	forb	Y	Grewia lasiodiscus	45	0.76	woody	Ν
Chasmopodium caudatum	59	0.78	graminoid	Ν	Grewia mollis	44	0.74	woody	Ν
Chloris pilosa	27	0.82	graminoid	Y	Gymnosporia senegalensis	146	0.73	woody	Ν
Chlorophytum limosum	31	0.79	forb	Ν	Hackelochloa granularis	81	0.79	graminoid	Y
Chrysopogon nigritanus	17	0.85	graminoid	Ν	Heliotropium strigosum	12	0.76	forb	Ν
Cienfuegosia heteroclada	16	0.86	forb	Ν	Heteropogon contortus	22	0.72	graminoid	Ν
Cissus adenocaulis	29	0.79	forb	Ν	Hexalobus monopetalus	16	0.78	woody	Ν
Cissus flavicans	37	0.82	forb	Ν	Hibiscus cannabinus	106	0.72	forb	Ν
Cissus populnea	24	0.71	forb	Ν	Hyparrhenia glabriuscula	15	0.74	graminoid	Ν
Cleome viscosa	10	0.89	forb	Y	Hyparrhenia involucrata	96	0.75	graminoid	Ν
Cochlospermum planchonii	51	0.75	forb	Ν	Hyparrhenia smithiana	25	0.80	graminoid	Ν
Cochlospermum tinctorium	83	0.77	forb	Ν	Hyparrhenia subplumosa	28	0.76	graminoid	Ν
Combretum adenogonium	49	0.74	woody	Ν	Hyperthelia dissoluta	20	0.78	graminoid	Ν
Combretum collinum	116	0.75	woody	Ν	Hyptis spicigera	43	0.74	forb	Y
Combretum glutinosum	202	0.73	woody	Ν	Hyptis suaveolens	10	0.80	forb	Y

Species	#samples	AUC	Growth Form	Weed	Species	#samples	AUC	Growth Form	Weed
Indigofera aspera	14	0.90	forb	Ν	Schizachyrium sanguineum	40	0.77	graminoid	Ν
Indigofera bracteolata	113	0.76	forb	Ν	Schoenefeldia gracilis	52	0.86	graminoid	Ν
Indigofera colutea	14	0.79	forb	Ν	Scleria pergracilis	16	0.84	graminoid	Ν
Indigofera dendroides	87	0.76	forb	Ν	Scleria sphaerocarpa	25	0.72	graminoid	Ν
Indigofera leprieurii	58	0.73	forb	Ν	Sclerocarya birrea	25	0.78	woody	Ν
Indigofera leptoclada	22	0.81	forb	Ν	Securidaca longipedunculata	11	0.81	woody	Ν
Indigofera microcarpa	10	0.77	forb	Ν	Setaria barbata	17	0.78	graminoid	Ν
Indigofera paniculata	21	0.80	forb	Ν	Setaria pumila	69	0.86	graminoid	Y
Indigofera stenophylla	57	0.72	forb	Y	Sida alba	62	0.80	forb	Ν
Ipomoea coscinosperma	61	0.81	forb	Ν	Sida rhombifolia	14	0.96	forb	Ν
Ipomoea eriocarpa	45	0.80	forb	Y	Sida urens	15	0.88	forb	Ν
Kohautia tenuis	20	0.81	forb	Y	Siphonochilus aethiopicus	20	0.77	forb	Ν
Lannea acida	93	0.77	woody	Ν	Spermacoce chaetocephala	31	0.90	forb	Y
Lantana ukambensis	11	0.73	forb	Ν	Spermacoce filifolia	60	0.75	forb	Ν
Lepidagathis anobrya	107	0.77	forb	Ν	Spermacoce radiata	156	0.73	forb	Y
Leucas martinicensis	23	0.89	forb	Y	Spermacoce stachydea	163	0.73	forb	Y
Lippia chevalieri	10	0.73	forb	Ν	Sporobolus festivus	99	0.74	graminoid	Y
Loudetia togoensis	19	0.81	graminoid	Ν	Sporobolus pyramidalis	22	0.77	graminoid	Y
Ludwigia hyssopifolia	12	0.71	forb	Y	Sterculia setigera	17	0.82	woody	Ν
Mariscus squarrosus	10	0.88	graminoid	Y	Stereospermum kunthianum	156	0.75	woody	Ν
Merremia kentrocaulos	14	0.82	forb	Ν	Striga asiatica	18	0.77	forb	Ν
Microchloa indica	81	0.74	graminoid	Y	Striga hermonthica	29	0.84	forb	Y
Mitracarpus hirtus	11	0.86	forb	Y	Strychnos spinosa	53	0.78	woody	Ν
Mitragyna inermis	15	0.80	woody	Ν	Stylochiton hypogaeus	32	0.81	forb	Ν
Monechma ciliatum	46	0.77	forb	Y	Stylochiton lancifolius	21	0.77	forb	Ν
Mukia maderaspatana	46	0.73	forb	Ν	Tacca leontopetaloides	33	0.76	forb	Ν
Pandiaka angustifolia	183	0.73	forb	Ν	Tamarindus indica	29	0.72	woody	Ν
Panicum pansum	53	0.79	graminoid	Y	Tephrosia bracteolata	115	0.78	forb	Ν
Paspalum scrobiculatum	15	0.82	graminoid	Y	Tephrosia linearis	17	0.74	forb	Y
Pennisetum pedicellatum	116	0.78	graminoid	Y	Tephrosia pedicellata	58	0.79	forb	Y
Pericopsis laxiflora	10	0.84	woody	Ν	Terminalia avicennioides	144	0.73	woody	Ν
Philenoptera laxiflora	32	0.80	woody	Ν	Tinnea barteri	40	0.84	forb	Ν
Phyllanthus amarus	39	0.74	forb	Y	Trichilia emetica	11	0.71	woody	Ν
Piliostigma reticulatum	56	0.78	woody	Ν	Tripogon minimus	28	0.79	graminoid	Ν
Piliostigma thonningii	126	0.74	woody	Ν	Triumfetta lepidota	43	0.78	forb	N
Polycarpaea eriantha	18	0.75	forb	N	Triumfetta pentandra	13	0.75	forb	Y
Polycarpaea linearifolia	17	0.76	forb	Ν	Vigna filicaulis	12	0.76	forb	N
Polygala arenaria	64	0.73	forb	Y	Vigna heterophylla	10	0.78	forb	N
Pseudocedrela kotschyi	35	0.73	woody	Ν	Vigna racemosa	56	0.71	forb	N
Pteleopsis suberosa	45	0.80	woody	N	Vitellaria paradoxa	119	0.74	woody	N
Pterocarpus erinaceus	59	0.77	woody	Ν	Wissadula rostrata	18	0.78	forb	N
Rhynchosia minima	11	0.79	forb	N	Ximenia americana	122	0.73	woody	N
Rottboellia cochinchinensis	38	0.76	graminoid	Y	Ziziphus abyssinica	38	0.78	woody	N
Schizachyrium exile	44	0.80	graminoid	N	Ziziphus mauritiana	18	0.87	woody	Ν
Schizachyrium nodulosum	29	0.81	graminoid	N	Ziziphus mucronata	31	0.78	woody	N
Schizachyrium rupestre	63	0.76	graminoid	Ν	Zornia glochidiata	11	0.90	forb	Y