

## On the taxonomic identity of five Senecio species (Compositae) described by Candolle on material collected by Haenke during the Malaspina Expedition

Authors: Calvo, Joel, and Granda, Arturo

Source: Candollea, 77(2): 145-158

Published By: The Conservatory and Botanical Garden of the City of

Geneva (CJBG)

URL: https://doi.org/10.15553/c2022v772a2

The BioOne Digital Library (<a href="https://bioone.org/">https://bioone.org/</a>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<a href="https://bioone.org/subscribe">https://bioone.org/archive</a>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<a href="https://bioone.org/archive">https://bioone.org/archive</a>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<a href="https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks">https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</a>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<a href="https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks">https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</a>)

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <a href="https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use">www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</a>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commmercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# On the taxonomic identity of five Senecio species (Compositae) described by Candolle on material collected by Haenke during the Malaspina Expedition

Joel Calvo & Arturo Granda

### **Abstract**

CALVO, J. & A. GRANDA (2022). On the taxonomic identity of five Senecio species (Compositae) described by Candolle on material collected by Haenke during the Malaspina Expedition. *Candollea* 77: 145–158. In English, English and Spanish abstracts. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15553/c2022v772a2

The collections made by the Bohemian botanist Thaddeus Haenke in America during the Malaspina Expedition (1789–1794) served Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle for describing numerous new species published in the *Prodromus*. Within the large Neotropical genus *Senecio* L. (*Compositae*), Candolle described 22 new taxa based on Haenke's material. The unfortunate mislabeling of some specimens led Candolle to provide inaccurate locotype indications, which entailed subsequent misinterpretations or uncertainty upon the respective species. Herein, we provide historical and taxonomic insights to clarify the provenance and taxonomic identity of the species *S. adscendens* DC., *S. scrobicarioides* DC., *S. sternbergianus* DC., and *S. tridentatus* DC., as well as a new circumscription for *S. micropifolius* DC. In terms of nomenclature, the aforementioned five names are lectotypified and four new synonyms are proposed.

### Resumen

CALVO, J. & A. GRANDA (2022). Acerca de la identidad taxonómica de cinco especies de Senecio (Compositae) descritas por Candolle sobre la base de material recolectado por Haenke durante la Expedición Malaspina. *Candollea* 77: 145–158. En inglés, resúmenes en inglés y español. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15553/c2022v772a2

Las recolecciones realizadas por el botánico bohemio Thaddeus Haenke en América durante la Expedición Malaspina (1789–1794) sirvieron a Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle para describir numerosas nuevas especies publicadas en el *Prodromus*. Dentro del vasto género neotropical *Senecio* L. (*Compositae*), Candolle describió 22 nuevos táxones a partir del material de Haenke. Los desafortunados errores de etiquetado de algunos especímenes llevaron a Candolle a señalar indicaciones locotípicas inexactas, lo cual acarreó subsiguientes malinterpretaciones o incertidumbres acerca de las respectivas especies. En el presente trabajo, aportamos argumentos taxonómicos e históricos para esclarecer la procedencia e identidad de las especies *S. adscendens* DC., *S. scrobicarioides* DC., *S. sternbergianus* DC. y *S. tridentatus* DC., así como una nueva circunscripción de *S. micropifolius* DC. En cuanto a la nomenclatura, los mencionados nombres son lectotipificados y cuatro nuevos sinónimos propuestos.

## **Keywords**

ASTERACEAE – Senecio – Neotropic – Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle – Thaddeus Haenke – History of botany – Malaspina Expedition

Addresses of the authors:

JC: Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de Genève, ch. de l'Impératrice 1, C.P. 71, 1292 Chambésy, Switzerland. E-mail: joel.calvo@ville-ge.ch

AG: Herbario del Departamento Académico de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, Av. La Molina s/n, apartado 12-056, Lima 12, Peru.

Submitted on April 4, 2022. Accepted on August 2, 2022.

First published online on September 14, 2022.

ISSN: 0373-2967 - Online ISSN: 2235-3658 - Candollea 77(2): 145-158 (2022) © CONSERVATOIRE ET JARDIN BOTANIQUES DE GENÈVE 2022

## Introduction

Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle (1778–1841) described 20 species and two varieties of Neotropical *Senecio* L. (*Compositae*) on the basis of Haenke's specimens collected during the Malaspina Expedition (1789–1794). These taxa were published in the sixth volume of the *Prodromus* (Candolle, 1838) and placed in "ser. XII. Chilenses, ser. XIV. Peruviani, or ser. XV. Mexicani" according to the provenance of the original material.

The Expedition was commanded by Alessandro Malaspina under the auspices of Carlos III, King of Spain, and was addressed to pursuit scientific and geopolitical goals. The original proposal covered the circumnavigation of the globe, but they finally toured the coast of the Americas from Buenos Aires to Alaska, sailed to Philippines, New Zealand, Australia, Vava'u Islands (Tonga), and crossed again the Pacific Ocean to get El Callao in Peru and undertake the homeward journey. The lead naturalist of the Expedition was Antonio Pineda y Ramírez, who was responsible of the engagement of Luis Née (1734-1807) to cover the discipline of Botany. To complete the team, and due to a combination of diplomatic arrangements and other circumstances that took place somewhat hurriedly, the Bohemian naturalist and experienced botanist Thaddeus Haenke (1761-1816) joined the Expedition. However, he did not embark from the beginning in Spain because he arrived at Cádiz (30 July 1789) when the Expedition had left. Facing this situation, he had to take the merchant ship "Nuestra Señora del Buen Viaje" that covered the route Cádiz-Montevideo (Malaspina & Bustamante, 1885; Muñoz Garmendia, 1992, 1994). The night of 23 November the ship wrecked in Punta Carretas near Montevideo (Haenke's letter from 7 December 1789; Конь, 1911). After surviving this event, he remained in the region of Río de la Plata until 24 February 1790, date when he left Buenos Aires and headed toward the port of Valparaíso on the Pacific Coast by land and crossing the Andes (compiling c. 1,400 collections during this long passage; Malaspina & Bustamante, 1885). Finally, Haenke joined the Expedition in Santiago de Chile on 2 April 1790 and a week after they were in Valparaíso to continue the voyage up north to Coquimbo. After almost circumnavigating the Pacific, they returned to El Callao in July 1793. At this point, Haenke was commissioned to carry out an inland trip to Buenos Aires for visiting the mainland of Peru and Bolivia, however, he remained in this region the rest of his earthly life.

Haenke and Née voyaged separately, the former on board of the corvette the "Descubierta" along with Pineda, whereas the latter sailed on the "Atrevida". It has been reported that they botanized independently, and partially, in different locations (Groussac, 1900; Muñoz Garmendia, 1992). The shipments of specimens and other materials to Cádiz were also made as separate herbaria. Although such way of working, they exchanged duplicates of some collections (Muñoz Garmendia, 1992). This might be the case of *Senecio haenkei* 

DC.; one specimen identified such as and attributed to Née at MA is identical to the original material at PR and G-DC (Fig. 1, 2A). Although most insights suggest that these specimens likely are duplicates from the same collection, the modus operandi of the botanists and the lack of explicit indications lead us to treat them as different gatherings.

The vicissitudes of Haenke's herbarium are quite well known. During the Expedition (until disembarking in El Callao in 1793), Haenke compiled a herbarium parallel to the one that was officially being shipped to Cádiz (and devoted to the Spanish institutions). He sent his "personal herbarium" to the company Hiecke, Rautenstrauch, Zincke und Ko. in Cádiz by means of the American headquarters of the same company (Muñoz Garmendia, 1992). After his death, it was moved to Hamburg and the Haenke family put the herbarium in auction and was acquired in 1821 by the National Museum of Prague, founded in 1818 by Count Kaspar Maria von Sternberg (1761–1838). It is estimated that this herbarium contained around 15,000 specimens representing c. 4,000 species (Maiwald, 1904; Gicklhorn, 1972; Sterling, 1997). During the winter 1821-22, the collection was revised and provisionally classified by plant family by Sternberg. Likewise, Karel B. Presl labeled the specimens according to the localities indicated by Haenke on the packets (Presl, 1825–1830).

The Compositae of Haenke's herbarium were sent to Christian G.D. Nees von Esenbeck (1776-1858) for their study in 1822 or 1823 (Sternberg, 1831a, 1831b). Around 1831, it seems that Candolle started planning the treatment of the family Compositae for his magnificent *Prodromus*, and being aware of the Haenke collections value, asked to Sternberg for the specimens belonging to this group. Sternberg wrote to Candolle that these plants were "on loan" to Nees von Esenbeck, who agreed to send them back because after eight years he did not have enough time to work on them: "Vous pouvez vous tranquilliser sur l'envoi des plantes de l'herbier de Haenke, tout a été arrangé à l'amiable avec M. Nees d'Esenbeck qui chargé d'un autre travaille qui ne lui a pas permis de toucher aux composées qu'il avait depuis bien 8 ans chez lui, me les a cédé pour vous, et je lui ai donné en revanche les familles dont il s'occupe maintenant [You can rest assured that the plants from Haenke's herbarium will be sent to you, as this has amicably been arranged with Mr. Nees of Esenbeck, who has another task that does not allow him to touch the *Compositae*, which he has had at home for eight years, so he has given them to me for you, and I have instead lent him the families he is currently working on]."(Sternberg, 1831b; Fig. 3).

Such material was certainly sent to Candolle and served to describe numerous new species. After Candolle's study, the specimens were diligently returned to Prague and only occasionally he kept fragments for his personal herbarium (now G-DC), which should be considered as isotypes or isolectotypes if Candolle explicitly indicated "v. s. in h. Haenke à cl. de Sternberg miss." in the protologues. In Prague, the collection



Fig. 1. – Senecio haenkei DC. A. Née's specimen at MA; B. Haenke's specimen at G-DC. [A: Née s.n.; B: Haenke s.n.] [A: MA00232340, @ Real Jardín Botánico, scanned in G; B: G00487092, Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de Genève]



Fig. 2. – Senecio haenkei DC. A. Haenke's specimen at PR; B. Haenke's specimen at P. [A, B: Haenke s.n.] [A: PR-612163, © National Museum in Prague; B: P01816943, © Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle]

Brygina ca 22 Join 831. Nous pouvez vous tranquilises our l'envoy des plantes de liherties de Hanne, tout à été arangé à l'amiable avec Mi Heer d'Ereslan gni charge d'un auto travaille qui ne lui a pas permis de For cher au tomposies qu'il avoil depuis b. 08 ans chez lei, me les à cd' pour vous, et je lui oil done en revanche les familles Don't il s'ocupe maintenant. Les ne des familles ne doivent egalement par vous embaraper d'est une simple centrole du nombre des plantes enviges, Vous este le maitre de les claper com lous voud rois, je suis persuade qu'il s'en trouvera bon nombre qui n'apertiement par a cette famille, car le triage ar quel je n'ail par pu apristes a et fail par des jeunes batanistes el un pen or la hat. Vous m'obligarois capandant beaucoups en ajoutant le nom 20 ses plantes au billieto lors qu'elles dons paperont par la main. La travaille que vous avez entrepais el certainement d'fine mais d'autant plus mer toire, certe il est bien plus interepont de constates les plantes connus, redevenus donteuses par las Diferentes nomenclatura el des descriptions moins essetes, I mame indispensable arant 2- s'ouper des nouvelles decourates

Fig. 3. – First page of a letter from Kaspar Maria von Sternberg to Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle, dated 22 June 1831. [Archives, Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de Genève]

was incorporated to the National Museum (PR) and an important set was purchased by the University of Prague (now preserved in PRC). These specimens usually correspond to duplicates and bear an original label handwritten by Candolle, as well as the set at PR, which makes very infrequent the existence of holotypes but usually necessary designating a lectotype. To a lesser extent, duplicates were also distributed from PR to B, M, and W (CANDOLLE, 1880; IBÁÑEZ MONTOYA, 1994).

On the other hand, all insights indicate that Nees von Esenbeck sent the material to Candolle but kept one duplicate with him (Haenke's collections seem that were generous in duplicates). In a way unknown to us, these specimens were, in 1854, donated to or bought by his compatriot and *Compositae* specialist Schultz Bipontinus (1805–1867). Upon his death in 1867, his priceless *Compositae* herbarium was bought by French botanist Ernest St.-Ch. Cosson (1819–1889) as it was announced in 1869 by the *Société botanique de France* (Fournier, 1869; Candolle, 1880). Finally, in 1904, Cosson's herbarium was incorporated in P (Stafleu & Cowan, 1976; Bonifacino et al., 2009). These specimens were certainly not studied by Candolle but represent duplicates of the original material. Most of them were annotated by Schultz Bipontinus using Candolle's names published in the *Prodromus* (Fig. 2B).

Finally, it is interesting to note that the mislabeling in Haenke's specimens was more frequent than desired, which becomes understandable after the vicissitudes suffered by the material and the rough way of packing and labeling performed in situ by Haenke. This has extensively been reported by several authors (Blake, 1930; Veldkamp, 1996; Fernández-Alonso & Morales, 2013; Granda & Calvo, 2021). In the specific case of the *Senecio* species described in the *Prodromus*, the mislabeling entailed that Candolle ascribed species to a region far from the actual provenance of the material. After almost 200 years, some of these species remain mistakenly recorded in regional catalogues or remain as doubtful species.

Herein, we present a taxonomic and historical survey to clarify the taxonomic entity and the provenance of five *Senecio* species from South America. When applicable, the nomenclatural implications raised from retrieving these names are discussed and presented.

## Nomenclature and taxonomy

- 1. Senecio adscendens DC., Prodr. 6: 423. 1838 [nom. illeg., non Bojer ex DC., 1838].
  - Senecio andinus H. Buek, Gen. Sp. Synon. Cand. 2: VI. 1840 [nom. nov.]. = Senecio floccoso-araneosus Steud., Nomencl. Bot. (Ed. 2) 2: 560. 1841 [nom. illeg. superfl.], syn. nov. Lectotypus (designated here): Argentina/Uruguay: "Peruano montano" [mislabeling], s.d., Haenke s.n. (PR-612170 image!; isolecto-: PRC [PRC453196] image!) (Fig. 4).

- Senecio cuspidatus DC., Prodr. 6: 419. 1838. Lectotypus (designated by Freire et al., 2014: 153): Brazil.
  Rio Grande do Sul: province de Rio-Grande, 1833, Gaudichaud 920 (P [P01816675] image!; isolecto-: G-DC [G00487165]!, P [P01816674] image!).
- = Senecio montevidensis (Spreng.) Baker, Fl. Bras. 6(3): 307. 1884. ≡ Cineraria montevidensis Spreng., Syst. Veg. [Sprengel, editio 16] 3: 548. 1826. Lectotypus (designated by Freire et al., 2014: 153): Uruguay. Dept. Montevideo: Montevideo, s.d., Sellow s.n. (P [P01816677] image!).

Notes. – Senecio adscendens DC. [1838: 423], presumably from Peru, was published simultaneously with S. adscendens Bojer ex DC. [1838: 378], a species from Madagascar. Two years later, Buek (1840) provided the replacement name S. andinus H. Buek for the Andean species, hence, treated S. adscendens Bojer ex DC. as having priority over S. adscendens DC. (Turland et al., 2018: ICN Art. 53.5).

Senecio andinus was recorded for the Peruvian flora by DILLON & HENSOLD (1993) and VISION & DILLON (1996), but without further information concerning its distribution. The protologue of *S. adscendens* certainly indicates Peru as the provenance of this species, but its morphology does not match any of the known species from this region. The study of the original material allows us to identify *S. adscendens* as conspecific with *S. montevidensis* (Spreng.) Baker, a species distributed in C and NE Argentina, SE Brazil, and Uruguay that usually thrives in dunes and sandy soils (Freire et al., 2014). Such mismatch can be explained by a mislabeling of the original material as in other cases documented here and in numerous works (see Introduction).

Due to an epistle sent by Haenke to the company Hiecke & al. in Cádiz, dated 7 December 1789 in Montevideo, we know that he shipped a box with dried plants to be kept in Cádiz until his return (Конь, 1911). These plants were most probably collected in Montevideo, however, it is quite striking that he was able to collect and process the specimens within a period of two weeks after the shipwreck. No trace on specimen labels supports the existence of collections coming from Río de la Plata, but Haenke remained almost three months between Montevideo and Buenos Aires and it is expected that he would have collected plants. On the other side, it is also feasible to think that plants from this region were collected in the beginning of his journey to Valparaíso when he left Buenos Aires; actually, it is known that he collected a great amount of plants during this period (MALASPINA & BUSTAMANTE, 1885). Leaving aside this issue, Senecio montevidensis is frequent in central and northeastern Argentina (Arechavaleta, 1906; CABRERA, 1963; Freire et al., 2014), and therefore, one can assume that the original material of S. adscendens comes from this area.



**Fig. 4. –** Lectotype of *Senecio adscendens* DC. [*Haenke s.n.*] [PR-612170; © National Museum in Prague]

Senecio montevidensis is characterized by having leaves linear to linear-oblanceolate, entire or with some teeth on the upper half, gray tomentose; capitula radiate; involucres composed of 16–20 involucral bracts; and achenes shortly but densely pilose. It is similar to *S. ceratophylloides* Griseb., from which it can be differentiated by the smaller involucres (9–10 mm vs. 12–15 mm long) and the leaves narrower and less dentate (Cabrera, 1963; Cabrera & Freire, 1999). With regard to the lectotypification made by Freire et al. (2014), we exclude P01816676 from type material because the locality differs from the lectotype.

### 2. Senecio micropifolius DC., Prodr. 6: 413. 1838.

Lectotypus (designated here): CHILE. Reg. Valparaíso: "Cordillères du Chili", s.d. [III.1790], Haenke s.n. (G-DC [G00487011]!; isolecto-: LP [LP002431 fragm.] image!, P [P01816669] image!, PR-616634 image!). Syntypus: CHILE. Reg. Valparaíso: sine loco ["ex Andium tractu Portillo dicto"], s.d. [II.1794], Née s.n. (G-DC [G00487002]!, LP [LP002432 fragm.] image!, MA [MA00232361]!).

= Senecio looseri Cabrera in Lilloa 15: 152. 1949, syn. nov. Holotypus: Argentina. Prov. Mendoza: entre Las Cuevas y El Cristo, II.1934, Ragonese 238 (LP [LP000556] image!).

Notes. – As currently circumscribed, Senecio micropifolius is a suffrutescent plant reaching 40 cm tall with ascendant or erect branches and 2–5 discoid, erect capitula arranged in terminal, corymbiform synflorescences (Cabrera, 1949). The species is treated as endemic to the Andes of Atacama and Coquimbo. The study of the type material, and especially the most complete duplicate kept at P, reveals that it is a rather prostrate plant with branches not exceeding 10 cm long. The capitula are terminal and discoid, but solitary or up to two, and the indumentum is lanate but not much dense as in Johnston 6220 (type material of S. pelolepis I.M. Johnst., synonymized with S. micropifolius by Cabrera in 1949).

The original material of *Senecio micropifolius* perfectly matches the taxonomic entity currently known under the name *S. looseri* Cabrera, a species described from Los Libertadores Pass with a distribution area restricted to the central Andes next to Santiago and Mendoza (Cabrera, 1949). This agrees the fact that Haenke did not actually explored the high Andes of the Coquimbo Region (see below). According to Muñoz Garmendia (1994) the Expedition arrived at Coquimbo (from Valparaíso) on 18 April 1790 and rested there until 30 April, when the "Atrevida" (with Née) sailed northward to Arica and the "Descubierta" (with Haenke) headed to Desventuradas Islands. During the sojourn in Coquimbo, they botanized in its proximities, and Haenke, together with Pineda and Quintano, made a seven-day trip for visiting the gold mines of Andacollo (30°13'40"S 71°04'59"W) and the mercury

mines of Punitaqui (30°51'42"S 71°14'07"W). Collections of S. micropifolius in Cabrera's sense are not known from this region, which is not far from the coast and the mountains are barely higher than 1000 m. In contrast, as commented in the Introduction, Haenke passed through Los Libertadores Pass sometime in March 1790 to join the Expedition in Valparaíso after crossing the continent from Buenos Aires. Senecio looseri was described upon material collected from this region, and we believe that the original material of S. micropifolius most probably comes from this area too. It is interesting to note that the syntype of S. micropifolius at MA [MA00232361] (Fig. 5), which is in flower, very complete and well-preserved, bears a label with the locality "ex Andium tractu Portillo dicto"; El Portillo being located just before Los Libertadores Pass in the Chilean side. Née visited this locality at the end of February 1794, when left Santiago toward Buenos Aires. Then, over a four-year period and in opposite directions, Née and Haenke crossed Los Libertadores Pass around the same time, and all insights indicate that both collected the species treated here.

For all these reasons, we believe that CABRERA (1949) misinterpreted the species concept of *Senecio micropifolius*, which was mostly based on a small fragment kept at LP that does not provide information about the plant habit. Cabrera stated that the fragment of the type material at LP corresponded to a plant with contracted synflorescence, identical to his own collection *Cabrera 3559* from Baños del Toro in Coquimbo (c. 29°50'S 70°01'W, 3600 m). However, this latter collection does not match the morphology of the type because it contains plants densely branched with clearly erect, 10–25 cm long stems; it is here ascribed to *S. pelolepis* (see below). In contrast, the name *S. micropifolius* [1838] is referred to the species restricted to the Andes of Santiago and Mendoza, thus far named *S. looseri* [1949].

In the protologue of *Senecio micropifolius* two collections are cited, one from an undetermined place collected by Née and the other by Haenke from Chile. This perfectly fits with the two specimens kept at G-DC that are mounted on the same sheet: (1) G00487002 consists of a sterile plant bearing a label that reads "voy. de Née; h. Thibaud" [Née's voyage; Thibaud herbarium]; (2) G00487011 consists of a flowering plant bearing a label that shows "Cordillères du Chili. Haenke". Since Haenke's collection is in flower, it is much more taxonomically informative. There is a specimen kept at PR identified in Candolle's hand but the capitula are ruined. For this reason, we designate here the specimen kept in G-DC [G00487011] as the lectotype of the name *S. micropifolius* because it is in best conditions and bears the species diagnostic characters.

Additional specimens examined. — Argentina. Prov. Mendoza: Las Heras, Puente del Inca, quebrada de Los Horcones, camino a Plaza de Mulas, 3200–3500 m, 31.XII.1988, Cardiel et al. 149 (MA); Las Cuevas, 3300 m, Pennington 8 (G). Chile. Reg. Valparaíso: Estación Portillo Ferrocarril Transandino, 2800 m, 14–16.IV.1933, Looser 3152 (LP).



Fig. 5. – Syntype of Senecio micropifolius DC. [Née s.n.] [MA00232361; © Real Jardín Botánico, scanned in G]

### A resurrected name for the Chilean flora

Senecio pelolepis I.M. Johnst. in Contr. Gray Herb. 85: 169. 1929.

Holotypus: CHILE. Reg. Atacama: Vallenar, river valley below Paso de Sancarrón, Junta del Medio, 29°22'S 70°05'W, 2900 m, 16.I.1926, *Johnston 6220* (GH [GH00012175] image!; iso-: K [K000484050] image!).

*Notes.* – The name *Senecio pelolepis* is retrieved for the taxonomic entity hitherto known as *S. micropifolius*. This species is distributed in the Andes of Coquimbo and expected in southern Atacama.

Additional specimens examined. – CHILE. Reg. Atacama: Vallenar, below Los Cuartitos [corresponds to Reg. Coquimbo], 29°38'S 70°10'W, 3300 m, 17.I.1926, Johnston 6231 (US). Reg. Coquimbo: cordillera de la provincia de Coquimbo, Baños del Toro, quebrada del Toro, 3600 m, 20.I.1936, Cabrera 3559 (LP); Ovalle, cordillera del río Hurtado, 3000 m, I.1933, Iribarren s.n. (G); sine loco, s.d., Gay s.n. (G).

### 3. Senecio scrobicarioides DC., Prodr. 6: 430. 1838.

**Lectotypus** (designated here): **PERU:** sine loco, s.d., *Haenke s.n.* (PRC [PRC453170] image!; isolecto-: G-DC [G00487062]!, PR-514867 image!; P [P01816514] image!).

Notes. – Candolle (1838) described Senecio scrobicarioides based on a Haenke collection whose provenance was uncertain as the locotype indication reveals: "in Mexico ad Real del Monte? aut fortè in Peruviâ legit cl. Hænke", but he placed it in "ser. XV. Mexicani". The species is currently widely accepted as Peruvian (Smith, 1988; Dillon & Hensold, 1993; Vision & Dillon, 1996; Beltrán, 2018), which coincides with the fact that no works dealing with the Mexican flora record the species (Villaseñor, 2016; Pruski, 2018).

The delimitation of Senecio scrobicarioides, however, remains ambiguous and this name has often been applied to specimens belonging to S. bonplandianus DC. or S. sulinicus Cabrera (CALVO, 2022a). These species are certainly very similar but differ in capitulum type (radiate in S. scrobicarioides vs. discoid in S. bonplandianus and S. sulinicus). They belong to a taxonomically complex assembly of taxa from the high-Andes of Peru and Bolivia centered around S. hohenackeri Sch. Bip., which includes, among others, S. crassilodix Cuatrec., S. octophyllus Sch. Bip. ex Rusby, S. pavonii (Wedd.) Cuatrec., S. saxipunae Cuatrec., S. sublutescens Cuatrec. Because of the radiate capitula with short ray florets, S. scrobicarioides seems to be closer to S. saxipunae but if one rather focuses on the foliar morphology, it resembles to S. bonplandianus as aforementioned. For the time being, the particular combination of characters of S. scrobicarioides leads us to treat it as a distinct species, however, a comprehensive revision of this group is essential to understanding the variability of each species. Our preliminary approach suggests that some names would fall in synonymy.

Concerning the type material, we located duplicates of the Haenke collection at G-DC, P, PR, and PRC. Although there is a specimen kept at G-DC, we prefer to typify the name on the material in Prague as it was the main set studied by Candolle. The specimen at PRC is more complete than that at PR, and for this reason the former one is designated here as the lectotype.

It is noteworthy that we found at MA [MA-232356] a specimen attributed to Née that undoubtedly corresponds to the Peruvian species Senecio scrobicarioides. The specimen bears a handwritten label (unknown hand) with the following information: "Cineraria / ex Chalma in Nov. Hispania / Nee iter". Chalma is a village located in the Mexico State not far from Mexico City known for the homonym XVII century sanctuary, which was visited by Née in August 1791 (MADULID, 1989; Muñoz Garmendia, 1994). However, we believe that this is another case of mislabeling (see above). Indeed, it is striking the great similarity of this specimen with the type material of S. scrobicarioides (same size, habit, morphology, phenology, and preservation conditions). On this basis, it is feasible to think that all these specimens belonged to the same collection, that were shared between Haenke and Née, and later mislabeled in Prague and Madrid, respectively. However, these are conjectures that remain beyond the scope of the present study.

- 4. Senecio sternbergianus DC., Prodr. 6: 425. 1838, syn. nov.
  - **Lectotypus** (designated here): CHILE: sine loco, s.d., *Haenke s.n.* (PR-495639 image!; isolecto-: PRC [PRC453192] image!) (Fig. 6).
  - Senecio fistulosus Poepp. ex Less. in Linnaea 6(2): 246. 1831. Lectotypus (designated by Freire et al., 2014: 112): Chile. Reg. Valparaíso: in paludos. ad "Lagunas de Quintero", s.d. [1827–1829], Poeppig 230 [pl. Chil. I.] (P [P01816804] image!; isolecto-: HAL [HAL0111053] image!, NY [NY00259169] image!, P [P01816803] image!).

Notes. – The locotype indication of Senecio sternbergianus reads: "in montibus Peruanis legit cl. Haenke". There is original material of this species at PR and PRC, both identified by Candolle's hand, who also annotated "P.m." on the label of the specimen PRC453192, most likely referring to Peruvian mountains. These specimens are not in the best condition, however, they show herbaceous plants with basal leaves quite large and lengthy petiolate, cauline leaves abruptly decreasing in size up the stem and becoming semiamplexicaul, and synflorescences terminal, corymbiform, composed of radiate capitula. There is little doubt that the species belongs to Senecio sect. Hualtatini



**Fig. 6. –** Lectotype of *Senecio sternbergianus* DC. [*Haenke s.n.*] [PR-495639; © National Museum in Prague]

(DC.) Cabrera, which comprises c. 15 species distributed in Argentina, Chile, Bolivia, Paraguay, Uruguay, and SE Brazil (Cabrera, 1950; Freire et al., 2014). No species of this group are known from the Peruvian territory, which appears to have the northern limit of distribution in Bolivia.

DILLON & HENSOLD (1993) treated Senecio sternbergianus as a synonym of Aequatorium stellatopilosum (Greenm. & Cuatrec.) C. Jeffrey, but this is a tree with long-petiolate leaves and no basal leaves. Later, Vision & Dillon (1996) did not mention it in their checklist of the Peruvian Senecio. In our opinion, this is clearly another case of species described upon mislabeled Haenke's specimens. Although the original material is not well-preserved, the specimens are very similar to Senecio fistulosus Poepp. ex Less. This species is distributed in centralsouthern Chile and the bordering areas in Argentina, thriving in wet and boggy places. Because it grows in marshes and swamps nearby the main historical Chilean ports (i.e. Concepción, Valparaíso, Coquimbo), S. fistulosus has been collected by most naturalists that participated in the early botanical expeditions to the New World, e.g., H. Ruiz-J. Pavón-J. Dombey in 1782 (CALVO, 2022b), A. von Chamisso in 1816, C.G. Bertero in 1828, etc. Indeed, we found a Née collection kept at MA corresponding to this species that bears a label with the information: "780 Valparaiso & Coquimbo". Muñoz Garmendia (1994) reported that Haenke joined the Expedition in Santiago de Chile in 2 April 1790 (from Buenos Aires) and they remained in Valparaíso until 14 April, when sailed to Coquimbo. Therefore, the possibility that Haenke collected the original material of S. sternbergianus nearby Valparaíso or Coquimbo exists.

On the basis of the morphology of the type material and because we are aware of the vicissitudes behind the Haenke material, we here synonymize the name *Senecio sternbergianus* with *S. fistulosus*.

5. Senecio tridentatus DC., Prodr. 6: 424. 1838, syn. nov.

**Lectotypus** (designated here): **Argentina/Uruguay:** sine loco, s.d., *Haenke s.n.* (PRC [PRC453191] image!; isolecto-: P [P01816571] image!, PR-616648 image!).

Senecio crassiflorus (Poir.) DC., Prodr. 6: 412. 1838.
 Cineraria crassiflora Poir., Encycl., Suppl. 2(1): 267. 1811. Holotypus: Argentina. Prov. Buenos Aires: Buenos Aires, s.d., Commerson s.n. (P-LA [P00342427] image!).

Notes. – Candolle (1838) indicated "Peruviâ" as the provenance of the original material of Senecio tridentatus. Any information in this regard lacks in the three type specimens we studied. Dillon & Hensold (1993) stated that the presence of this species in Peru remained to be confirmed and Vision & Dillon (1996) recorded it with no information about its distribution. The study of the type material

reveals that *S. tridentatus* is identical to *S. crassiflorus*, a very distinctive species frequent in the sandy shore habitats of SE Brazil, Uruguay, and E Argentina (FREIRE et al., 2014). It is a rhizomatous perennial herb characterized by having whitish-lanate indumentum covering most parts of the plant, leaves oblanceolate, attenuate at base, dentate at upper half to tridentate at apex (sometimes entire or almost so), capitula radiate, large, arranged in lax corymbiform synflorescences or solitary, composed of 21–25 involucral bracts, and achenes c. 5 mm long, pubescent.

As in the case of *Senecio adscendens* (see above), the species *S. crassiflorus* is not rare in the region of Río de la Plata (Arechavaleta, 1906; Cabrera, 1963; Freire et al., 2014). Then, Haenke most probably collected it during the three months that he remained between Montevideo and Buenos Aires or when he left the latter city westward to Mendoza, Santiago, and Valparaíso. Mislabeling in Haenke's specimens is well documented, and therefore, the name *S. tridentatus* is included in the synonymy of *S. crassiflorus*.

The type material of *Cineraria crassiflora* Poir. is known from a single specimen in P-LA collected by Philibert Commerson (1727–1773) in Buenos Aires. Another collection by Commerson made in November 1767 shows the locality Montevideo; in disagreement with Freire et al. (2014), we prefer do not consider it as original material because of the mismatch in the locality.

### **Acknowledgements**

This contribution has been possible due to the historical and bibliographical advice of Carlos Aedo (MA). Thanks are extended to Otakar Šída (PR) and Leopoldo Medina (MA) for providing material and pictures of specimens. Nicolas Fumeaux (G), Laura Iharlegui (LP), Christine Niezgoda (F), and Germinal Rouhan (P) kindly responded to our demands. Finally, we are very grateful to the reviewers, especially to Patrik Mráz (PRC).

## Unpublished sources

- Sternberg, K.M. von (1831a). *Lettre à Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle,* datée du 19 janvier 1831. [Archives, Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de Genève]
- Sternberg, K.M. von (1831b). *Lettre à Augustin-Pyramus de Candolle, datée du 22 juin 1831*. [Archives, Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de Genève]

### References

- Arechavaleta, J. (1906). Senecio L. *Flora Uruguaya* 3: 383–404. Barreiro y Ramos, Montevideo.
- Beltrán, H. (2018). Distribución y riqueza de Asteráceas en las cuencas hidrográficas del departamento de Lima, Perú. *Arnaldoa* 25: 799–835.
- BLAKE, S.F. (1930). Notes on certain type specimens of American Asteraceae in European herbaria. *Contr. U.S. Natl. Herb.* 26(5): 227–263.
- Bonifacino, J.M., H. Robinson, V.A. Funk, H.W. Lack, G. Wagenitz, C. Feuillet & D.J.N. Hind (2009). A history of research in Compositae: early beginnings to the Reading Meeting (1975). In: Funk, V.A. et al. (ed.), Systematics, Evolution, and Biogeography of Compositae. IAPT, Vienna.
- Buek, H.W. (1840). *Genera, species et synonyma Candolleana* 2. Librariae Nauckianae, Berolini.
- Cabrera, A.L. (1949). El género Senecio en Chile. Lilloa 15: 27-501.
- Cabrera, A.L. (1950). Notes on the Brazilian Senecioneae. *Brittonia* 7(2): 53–74.
- Cabrera, A.L. (1963). Compuestas. *In*: Cabrera, A.L. (ed.), *Fl. Prov. Buenos Aires* 4(6a). INTA, Buenos Aires.
- Cabrera, A.L. & S.E. Freire (1999). Tribu VIII. Senecioneae Cass. *In*: Cabrera, A.L. et al. (ed.), *Fl. Fanerog. Argentina* 62: 3–164.
- Calvo, J. (2022a). On the identity of the Andean species Senecio bonplandianus DC. (≡ Cacalia cinerarioides Kunth). *Collect. Bot. (Barcelona)* 41: e004. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3989/collect-bot.2022.v41.004
- CALVO, J. (2022b). On the original material of Senecio dombeyanus (Compositae), an interesting case linking the herbaria G, MA, and P. *Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid* 79(1): e126. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3989/ajbm.2616
- CANDOLLE, A. DE (1880). La Phytographie ou l'art de décrire les végétaux considérés sous différents points de vue. Masson, Paris.
- CANDOLLE, A.-P. DE (1838). Senecio L. Prodr. 6: 340-437.
- DILLON, M.O. & N. HENSOLD (1993). Asteraceae. *In*: Brako, L. & J.L. Zarucchi (ed.), Catalogue of the Flowering Plants and Gymnosperms of Peru. *Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 45: 103–189.

- Fernández-Alonso, J.L. & R. Morales (2013). Las labiadas de la Expedición Malaspina (1789–1794). I. *Bot. Complut.* 37: 153–169.
- FOURNIER, E. (1869). Revue Bibliographique (Septembre–Novembre 1869). Nouvelles. *Bull. Soc. Bot. France* 16: 189–267.
- Freire, S.E., L. Ariza Espinar, L. Salomón & M.P. Hernández (2014). Senecio L. *In*: Zuloaga, F.O. et al. (ed.), *Fl. Vasc. Repúbl. Argent.* 7(3): 27–220. Instituto de Botánica Darwinion, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina.
- GICKLHORN, R. (1972). Neue Dokumente zum Beginn der Forschungsreisen von Thaddäus Haenke. *Phyton (Horn)* 14: 295–308.
- Granda, A. & J. Calvo (2021). Pentacalia gonocaulos (Asteraceae, Senecioneae), nueva combinación para una especie del Perú. *Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid* 78(1): e108. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3989/ajbm.2590
- Groussac, P. (1900). Noticia de la vida y trabajos científicos de Tadeo Haenke. *Anales Bibliot.* 1: 17–57.
- IBÁÑEZ MONTOYA, M.V. (1994). Trabajos científicos y correspondencia de Tadeo Haenke. La Expedición Malaspina 1789–1794. Vol. 4. Ministerio de Defensa, Museo Naval y Lunwerg Editores, Madrid.
- Kohl, F. (1911). *Tadeáš Haenke, jeho život, dílo a listy ze zámořských krajin*. České Akademie Císaře Františka Josefa pro Vědy, Slovesnost a Umění, Praha [Tadeáš Haenke, his life, work, and letters from overseas countries].
- Madulid, D.A. (1989). The life and work of Luis Née, botanist of the Malaspina expedition. *Arch. Nat. Hist.* 16: 33–48.
- Maiwald, V. (1904). *Geschichte der Botanik in Böhmen*. Carl Fromme, Wien, Leipzig.
- Malaspina, A. & J. Bustamante (1885). Viaje político-científico alrededor del mundo por las corbetas Descubierta y Atrevida al mando de los capitanes de navío D. Alejandro Malaspina y Don José de Bustamante y Guerra desde 1789 á 1794 Publicado con una introducción por Pedro de Novo y Colson. Ed. 2. Imprenta de la Viuda é Hijos de Abienzo, Madrid.
- Muñoz Garmendia, F. (1992). *Luis Neé y la Botánica en la Expedición Malaspina*. Tesis doctoral, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid.
- Muñoz Garmendia, F. (1994). *Diarios y trabajos botánicos de Luis Neé. La Expedición Malaspina 1789–1794*. Vol. 3. Ministerio de Defensa, Museo Naval y Lunwerg Editores, Madrid.
- Presl, K.B. (1825–1830). Reliquiae Haenkeanae seu descriptiones et icones plantarum, quas in America meridionali et boreali, in insulis Philippinis et Marianis collegit Thaddaeus Haenke. Vol. 1. Prague.
- PRUSKI, J. (2018). Senecio L. *In*: DAVIDSE et al. (ed.), *Fl. Mesoamer*. 5(2): 461–468. Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis.
- SMITH, D.N. (1988). Flora and vegetation of the Huascarán National Park, Ancash, Peru: with preliminary taxonomic studies for a manual of the flora. Retrospective Theses and Dissertations, Iowa State University.

- Stafleu, F.A. & R.S. Cowan (1976). Taxonomic literature. *Regnum Veg.* 94.
- Sterling, K.B. (1997). Haenke, Thaddeus Peregrinus Xavierus (Tadaeo, Tedeas). *In*: Sterling, K.B. et al. (ed.), *Biographical dictionary of American and Canadian naturalists and environmentalists*: 335–338. Greenwood Press, Connecticut.
- Turland, N.J., J.H. Wiersema, F.R. Barrie, W. Greuter, D.L. Hawksworth, P.S. Herendeen, S. Knapp, W.-H. Kusber, D.-Z. Li, K. Marhold, T.W. May, J. McNeill, A.M. Monro, J. Prado, M.J. Price & G.F. Smith (2018). International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. *Regnum Veg.* 159.
- Veldkamp, J.F. (1996). Revision of Panicum and Whiteochloa in Malesia (Gramineae-Paniceae). *Blumea* 41: 181–192.
- VILLASEÑOR, J.L. (2016). Checklist of the native vascular plants of Mexico. *Revista Mex. Biodivers.* 87(3): 559–902.
- Vision, T.J. & M.O. Dillon (1996). Sinopsis de Senecio L. (Senecioneae, Asteraceae) para el Perú. *Arnaldoa* 4: 23–46.