

The Influence of Management Practice on the Snakes in Forest Food Webs

Authors: Adams, Connor S., Saenz, Daniel, Mullin, Stephen J., Rebecca Kidd, K., and Schalk, Christopher M.

Source: Herpetological Monographs, 38(1): 53-73

Published By: The Herpetologists' League

URL: https://doi.org/10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-23-00001.1

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

The Influence of Management Practice on the Snakes in Forest Food Webs

CONNOR S. ADAMS^{1,5}, DANIEL SAENZ², STEPHEN J. MULLIN^{3,4}, K. REBECCA KIDD¹, AND CHRISTOPHER M. SCHALK²

¹ Arthur Temple College of Forestry and Agriculture, Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, TX 75962, USA U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Nacogdoches, TX 75965, USA ³ Department of Biology, Stephen F. Austin State University, Nacogdoches, TX 75962, USA

ABSTRACT: Anthropogenic activities in disturbance-mediated ecosystems might affect certain ecological processes that, in turn, can affect the stability and resilience of those ecosystems. In upland pine forests, land-use practices such as intensive silviculture and fire suppression have contributed to the loss of diversity-rich pine savannahs throughout the southeast. Whereas the application of management strategies has been shown to alter forest structure in pine ecosystems, less is known about how these efforts influence pathways of energy flow and the consumer-resource relationships therein. Here, we investigated the effects of frequency of forest management on the trophic structure and resource use of snake communities in two pine forests under high and low frequencies of management (i.e., shorter fire return intervals and thinning versus longer fire return intervals and limited thinning). We sampled snakes, prey, and dominant basal resources across each site for three summers from 2018 to 2020. Using stable isotope analysis, we compared community-wide metrics of trophic structure and generated isotopic mixing models to determine the relative contribution of resources to snake consumers. We found that the high-frequency site supported an increased diversity of snake species, and that species exhibited increased trophic redundancy. The low-frequency site supported fewer snake species that relied on a wider range of resources, and occupied a wider range of relative tropic positions. Mixing models of consumer-resource relationships, and prey relative abundance, indicated that snakes were more generalized in their resource use in the high-frequency site, and utilized a broader diversity of prey more evenly. In contrast, snakes in the low-frequency site were more specialized in their prey use. We suggest that anthropogenic activities mimicking natural disturbances can drive food-web structure in these forest ecosystems. Increased frequency of forest management practices such as prescribed fires and thinning operations might support snake species diversity while also increasing trophic redundancy. Consequently, such management applications can lead to greater stability and resilience in pine-forest ecosystems. Our research further highlights the importance of ecological restoration that incorporates food-web perspectives to ensure the health of pine ecosystems.

Key words: Energy flow; Forest management; Predator-prey relationships; Resource use; Squamata; Stable isotope analysis; Trophic redundancy; Trophic web

DISTURBANCES are important mechanisms underlying the structure and function of ecological communities within ecosystems (Turner 2010; Pickett and White 2013; Supp and Ernest 2014; Jentsch and White 2019). The influence of these disturbances can vary in type, size, season, frequency, severity, intensity, timing, and duration across multiple spatial and temporal scales (Sousa 1984; Dornelas 2010; Buma 2015). The nature of such disruptive events leads to differential responses in species and functional diversity that, in turn, affect ecosystem properties (e.g., biotic production, nutrient cycling, biological diversity; Cardinale et al. 2002; Hooper et al. 2005; Tilman et al. 2014). Furthermore, anthropogenic activities that mediate disturbances can have either positive or negative impacts on ecological systems with implications for the health of ecosystems and the services they provide (Thom and Seidl 2016; Moreno-Mateos et al. 2017). Consequently, identifying the dynamic processes in which disturbances shape the functional properties of ecological systems is of the utmost importance.

Food webs provide a useful framework to investigate the assembly and resilience of ecological communities in response to both natural and human-induced disturbance regimes (Polis and Winemiller 1996; Layman et al. 2015; Schalk et al. 2017). These networks of consumer-resource relationships describe trophic interactions and patterns of resource use among coexisting species as well as energy flow within ecosystems (Paine 1980; Pimm 1982). Despite the utility of food-web approaches, understanding how anthropogenic disturbances affect trophic structure in complex ecosystems is still limited, particularly in terrestrial systems (Shurin et al. 2006; Cameron et al. 2019). Nonetheless, studies incorporating food-web approaches have demonstrated that human impacts in food webs can have profound effects on bottom-up and top-down processes that regulate stability in food webs across natural systems (Strong and Frank 2010; Terborgh and Estes 2013; May 2019). Because characterizing the trophic structure of a community can shed light on the ecological function and resource use of different species beyond traditional community metrics of species diversity, ecologists can test hypotheses investigating the mechanisms that stabilize or destabilize food webs to predict niche responses to shifts in community composition (McCann 2000; Hooper et al. 2005; Thébault and Loreau 2005).

According to food-web theory, ecosystem stability is predicted to increase as the number of connections in the food web increases (Montoya et al. 2006; LeCraw et al. 2014; Gellner and McCann 2016). Fluctuations in the populations of well-connected species are less likely to impact the rest of the community than those with limited, strong connections (Dunne et al. 2002). Simple food chains comprised of species with specialized diets have strong interactions. Therefore, these linkages are more susceptible to disturbances that could potentially result in trophic cascades (Finke and Denno 2004). Species that are dietary generalists tend to stabilize food webs because they typically have many weak interactions with different resource types, and their populations are more likely to exhibit resilience to fluctuations in resource availability (Purvis et al. 2000). Thus, the additive effects of weaker interactions in food webs are predicted to dampen fluctuations induced by

⁴ Present Address: Department of Biological Sciences, Arkansas State University, P.O. Box 599, Jonesboro, AR 72467, USA

CORRESPONDENCE: e-mail, Connor.Adams@sfasu.edu

strong interactions between consumers and resources (Gellner and McCann 2016). Additionally, the degree of (dis)similarity in resource use within and among species in a community might also affect stability (Rooney and McCann 2012; Calizza et al. 2017; Noto and Gouhier 2020). Decreased overlap (i.e., increased niche partitioning) among consumers reflects divergent patterns of resource use that destabilize food webs as overall trophic diversity increases (Hector and Hooper 2002; Finke and Snyder 2008). In contrast, increased niche overlap (i.e., decreased partitioning) results in multiple consumers being functionally equivalent in the context of their trophic ecology, also known as trophic redundancy (Sanders et al. 2013, 2018; Cirtwill et al. 2018). Trophic redundancy stabilizes food webs by decreasing the likelihood that species loss will lead to a trophic cascade, thereby increasing ecosystem resilience to disturbances (Borrvall et al. 2000; Brodie et al. 2014; Sanders et al. 2015, 2018; Price et al. 2019).

Disentangling the interactions that occur within food webs is a difficult endeavor in complex systems. This is attributable to the logistical challenges associated with determining consumer-resource relationships and tracking the flow of nutrients within food webs (Horswill et al. 2018). Traditional methods of dietary analysis are often limited and subject to bias, as gut and fecal contents range over short temporal scales, and often do not accurately reflect proportional contributions of prey items (Votier et al. 2003; Nielsen et al. 2018). These methods also rely on the ability to identify prey items that have been subjected to digestive processes and assume that all ingested prey items are equally assimilated by the consumer (Bearhop et al. 2004; Durso et al. 2022). Additionally, reliance on these traditional methods requires large samples from consumers that might not be easily encountered or feed irregularly (Nagelkerken et al. 2009; Owen et al. 2011; Roeder and Kaspari 2017).

Stable isotope analysis (SIA) has been increasingly utilized in food-web studies as a complementary or alternative technique to traditional methods of dietary analysis (Bearhop et al. 2004; Layman et al. 2012). This technique offers insight into the food-web structure of communities by comparing the relative abundance of naturally occurring carbon $(^{13}C/^{12}C)$ and nitrogen $({}^{15}N/{}^{14}N)$ isotopes in consumer tissues. Because the ratios of these isotopes are predictably altered as they move up through the food chain, they can be used to estimate the position of consumers in a food web relative to other organisms (Post 2002). Carbon isotope ratios are influenced by the photosynthetic pathways of primary producers and, because they are largely conserved throughout food webs, provide information about the source of dietary carbon (Peterson and Fry 1987). Nitrogen isotope ratios can be used to identify the trophic level of a consumer because the heavier isotope (¹⁵N) is preferentially incorporated each time nutrients from ingested tissues are assimilated (Peterson and Fry 1987; Post 2002). Collectively this information characterizes the isotopic niche an organism occupies that can be used to examine aspects of a consumer's trophic ecology and, subsequently, the trophic diversity and redundancy within species assemblages (Newsome et al. 2007). Unlike stomach content analysis, which only provides a snapshot of a consumer's diet, SIA provides dietary information across a longer temporal scale because the rate at which isotopic information is incorporated varies among types of tissues (Phillips and Eldridge 2006; Newsome et al. 2007). For example, skin, feather, or scale tissues incorporate carbon and nitrogen from consumed prey items at a physiological rate, and can represent the diet of a given consumer, across a period of several weeks.

SIA techniques can be limited by overlap between isotopic signatures of prey groups, the lack of inclusion of important food sources, and the use of incorrect trophic enrichment values (Durso et al. 2022). SIA is less susceptible to temporal biases in sample collection than traditional methods, however, and better reflects the diets of consumers in a given space and time (West et al. 2006; Boecklen et al. 2011; Durso et al. 2022). The applications of SIA to food-web studies offer quantitative insight into factors influencing community dynamics and can reveal pathways of energy transfer (Semmens et al. 2009; Parnell et al. 2010). Comparing changes in δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in the presence and absence of disturbances can then reveal how food-web structure (e.g., trophic breadth, trophic position) might change following a disturbance (Jennings et al. 2001; Bearhop et al. 2004; di Lascio et al. 2013).

Characterizing the complex interactions that structure ecological communities is a critical step towards understanding the mechanisms that help stabilize ecosystems against disturbances (Vander Zanden et al. 2016). In ecosystems under heavy anthropogenic pressure, such as forests, management actions such as the application or suppression of fire, plantation forestry, and mechanical thinning operations create disturbances by altering the diversity of vegetative communities and aboveground biomass (Veldman et al. 2013; Diaz-Toribio et al. 2020; Matula et al. 2020). Therefore, forest management has the potential to alter ecological gradients (e.g., habitat and resource availability) that structure species assemblages in these systems (Bowman and Legge 2016; Diaz-Toribio et al. 2020). Pine-dominated forests of the southeastern United States are biologically diverse systems maintained and organized by disturbances, particularly fire events (White and Harley 2016; Rother et al. 2020). However, these fire-subclimax forests have been greatly reduced or degraded because of changes in land-use practices that alter disturbance regimes (Diaz-Toribio et al. 2020). Investigating how disturbance regimes drive the mechanistic processes that affect ecosystem health in these disturbance-maintained systems is both ecologically and economically important because forests provide a wide range of ecosystem services (e.g., timber production, carbon sequestration, supporting biodiversity; Sohngen and Brown 2006; Mori et al. 2017). Forest management practices can mimic natural disturbances with the goal of restoring these biologically diverse systems (Kirkman and Jack 2017; Guldin and Black 2018; Jin et al. 2018; Guldin 2019). Current development and application of this type of ecosystem management has largely been based on conventional wisdom, insights from single-species studies, pressure to conserve specialist species, and adaptive management (Christensen 2014; Guldin and Black 2018). Moreover, most applications of forest management to restore southern pine forests have focused on restoring structural properties of systems along stages of succession, and have largely ignored the importance of functional properties (Palmer et al. 2016; Vander Zanden et al. 2016).

Incorporating food-web approaches into restoration management plans can link these processes to understand how communities and ecosystems respond to management efforts (Bellmore et al. 2017; Layman and Rypel 2020; Layman et al. 2020). In recent decades, theoretical and empirical evidence accumulated across a variety of study systems has strengthened the notion that food webs are fundamental to informing Adams et al.—Forest Management and Snake Trophic Ecology

ecological restoration efforts (e.g., Vander Zanden et al. 2016). For example, excess nutrient loading (e.g., agricultural or industrial run-off) into lakes and reservoirs can lead to algal blooms that increase water turbidity and fish die-off, alter food webs, and result in the loss of ecosystem services (e.g., recreational fishing, potable water; Havens 2014). Food-chain models studying the effects of biomanipulation have shown promise for restoring such eutrophic lakes via the facilitation of trophic cascades (McCrackin et al. 2017; Mao et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020). Management practices that manipulate food-web structure by increasing piscivorous fish predators, or removing biomass of planktivorous fish, reduce predation on zooplankton and increase grazing pressure of zooplankton on phytoplankton (Havens 2014). Long-term monitoring efforts suggest that the control of phytoplankton with food-web based management strategies can not only improve water quality, but also increase the resilience of lakes to future nutrient influxes (Mao et al. 2020; Rask et al. 2020).

Given the potential for forest management practices to alter the taxonomic and functional diversity of predator assemblages in southern pine forests, incorporation of foodweb perspectives could further elucidate the mechanisms underlying ecosystem functioning and lead to solutions that protect forest resources (Layman et al. 2020; Loch et al. 2020). Current applications of restoration management in southern pine forests oversimplify the impacts of forest management practices (e.g., prescribed fire, thinning), under the perception that restoring mosaic landscapes alone will lead to the assembly of natural, biodiverse communities and inherent stability (Bowman and Legge 2016). The spatiotemporal heterogeneity that results from these practices, however, also regulates trophic dynamics and ecosystem structure because both bottom-up and top-down ecological processes can be reinforced by different expressions of the disturbance mosaic (Bowman et al. 2016; Ponisio 2020). Therefore, strengthening our understanding of how forest management regimes alter predator-prey relationships, and food-web structure, will provide a better path to restoring resilient and functional pine forest systems (Bowman and Legge 2016; Vander Zanden et al. 2016; Layman et al. 2020; Loch et al. 2020).

The loss of predators because of anthropogenic activities can have detrimental impacts on ecosystems (Estes et al. 2011; Terborgh and Estes 2013; Ripple et al. 2014). In either aquatic or terrestrial environments, changes in the vertical structure of food webs have extensive cascading effects that influence the functionality and resilience of ecosystems (Terbogh et al. 2001; Heithaus et al. 2008; Estes et al. 2011). Top-down effects are now widely recognized as important processes that regulate biodiversity and ecosystem function (Terborgh and Estes 2013). Knowledge on these dynamics can provide further insights as to how systems respond to ecological restoration efforts. Snakes are model predators to investigate how forest management might affect food-web dynamics in southern pine forests. Snake species in these systems have diverse life histories but exhibit predictable patterns of seasonal activity from year to year. In addition, snakes can range from generalized to specialized feeders, with prey preferences, prey size, and foraging modes that vary among species (Arnold 1993; Shine and Bonnet 2000; Weatherhead and Madsen 2009). Snakes also exhibit habitat-specific shifts in their diet, allowing for comparisons of resource use within and among species (Durso et al. 2013). As predators, snakes play important roles in food webs,

as both predators and prey, regulating populations of herbivores and secondary consumers while also contributing to the overall connectivity of food webs (i.e., trophic linkages). Therefore, snakes can serve as useful taxa to understand how disturbances influence trophic interactions and ecosystem properties (Beaupre and Douglas 2009).

In this study, we examined how differences in forest management practice frequency (e.g., prescribed fire, thinning) affected the food-web structure and resource use of predator assemblages (i.e., snakes) in southern pine forests inferred from stable isotope patterns. Through these processes, we expected prevailing habitat conditions under different disturbance regimes (e.g., high versus low frequency) to be coupled with bottom-up processes that affect food-web structure, and in turn, alter top-down processes that influence the resiliency of predator assemblages. Specifically, we investigated (1) community-wide measures of food-web structure including trophic position, trophic range, and isotopic niche space within and between predator assemblages; and (2) resource use within predator assemblages at high- and low-frequency sites. Utilizing snakes as a model taxon to investigate food-web structure, we hypothesized that differences in practice frequency may shift the energetic pathways that support the food webs, subsequently leading to differences in trophic structure of predator assemblages between sites. We predicted that the high-frequency site would likely feature greater environmental heterogeneity that could provide additional energetic pathways and support a greater diversity of snakes. We also predicted that this diverse predator assemblage would consist of species occupying similar isotopic niches resulting in increased trophic redundancy. At the low-frequency site, we hypothesized that environmental conditions may reduce the number of energetic pathways that support the food web, limiting prey resources available to consumers at multiple trophic levels, and resulting in a lower diversity of snakes at the top of the food web. We predicted that snakes would partition their isotopic niches to limit niche overlap under these conditions, leading to increased trophic diversity at the low-frequency site. Taxonomic and functional diversity of both primary and secondary consumers might respond differently to disturbance and subsequent habitat changes between management regimes (i.e., practice frequency) affecting secondary productivity (see Adams et al. 2022). Because secondary production encapsulates underlying energy acquisition and trophic relationships, we hypothesized that resource use within predator assemblages would differ between high- and low-frequency sites. We expected generalist predators would alter their resource use in high-frequency and low-frequency sites, potentially exploiting different prey groups based on their availability under different management conditions. We predicted that snakes in the high-frequency assemblage would broaden their resource use as management conditions may support increased prey availability of functional prey groups that could be then be exploited by snakes. In contrast, we predicted snakes in the low-frequency site would shift their resource use to exploit fewer functional prey groups that persist in low-frequency conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Study Areas

This study was conducted in the Pineywoods ecoregion of Texas, in the pine-dominated mesic uplands of the northwestern

Gulf Coastal Plain. In the northern extent, this ecoregion is characterized by dry, deep sandy uplands that transition to welldrained, loamy soils on broad, gently sloping uplands consisting of mixed-pine and hardwood associations (Marks and Harcombe 1975). Prior to European settlement, these upland sites were dominated by disturbance-adapted shortleaf pine (Pinus echi*nata*) that occurred in both single-species and mixed pine (loblolly pine, Pinus taeda and longleaf pine, Pinus palustris) stands (Marks and Harcombe 1975; Masters 2007). The widespread application of management strategies to promote commercial silviculture, along with the suppression of fire, has resulted in a multitude of structural and compositional responses within the vegetative communities that describe these upland sites today (Diaz-Toribio et al. 2020; Frost 1993). Many of the historical shortleaf pine forests of the region are now either displaced by commercially favorable pine species or hardwood tree species that persisted in the absence of natural and anthropogenic fire (Elliott and Vose 2005).

Surveys of snakes and representative prey assemblages were conducted at the Boggy Slough Conservation Area (Trinity County, TX; 31.30544°N, -94.93916°W, datum = WGS84 in all cases; hereafter high-frequency site) and the Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest (Nacogdoches County, TX; 31.50167°N, -94.76401°W, hereafter low-frequency site). The high-frequency site, overseen by the T.L.L. Temple Foundation, is actively managed for a variety of conservation goals (i.e., biodiversity, game management, restoration of native pine species) through the implementation of frequent forest management practices (i.e., 1 to 3-yr fire return intervals, thinning, and regeneration harvests; R. Sanders, personal communication). Although thinning operations are often conducted at this site, these operations are largely implemented to maintain stand compositions of native pines, and are not largely focused on timber production. The low-frequency site is overseen by the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) as part of the Southern Research Station network. Although historically managed for silvicultural research, specifically the development of commercially viable pine species, current implementation of management practices at this site is infrequent (i.e., 5 to 8-yr fire intervals, limited harvesting; Russell et al. 2002). The low-frequency site has been designated as a reference forest by the USFS, and as a result, thinning operations have not been conducted since the early 1990s.

Forest Habitat Structure

To identify any emerging patterns of biomass transfer at the base of food webs relative to forest structure, we measured eight habitat variables associated with stand density, ground cover, and canopy cover in each sampling location across sites. A standardized sampling design was implemented by establishing nested subplots within a larger circular plot in which the center of the plot was the approximate location of a trapping array (i.e., n = 50; see Adams et al. 2022). Habitat variables included basal area (m²/ha), mean leaf litter depth (mm), relative proportion of canopy openness (%), and the cumulative proportion of coarse woody debris (%). Additional measurements of understory ground cover composition were also measured to estimate the percent cover of herbaceous grasses and forbs, woody shrubs, bare ground, and detritus (Daubenmire 1959). These data were averaged for each sampling location and pooled to

identify the persistent environmental gradients occurring within and across sites (i.e., high and low frequency).

Differences in the frequency of forest management practices had significant effects on the overall structure and composition of forest habitats occurring in each site (Adams et al. 2022). We initially assumed these differences in the structural properties between sites would reflect differences in the number of energetic pathways at the base of respective food webs. For example, sampling localities in the lowfrequency site were homogenous in terms of forest structure (e.g., closed-canopies, high amounts of leaf litter buildup) and dominant basal resources were consistent in terms of species richness and abundance across all sampling localities (Adams et al. 2022). In contrast, the high-frequency site featured increased heterogeneity of habitats, with extremely diverse plant communities in the understory (e.g., grasses, forbs, sedges, and rushes) along with increased proportions of coarse woody debris left behind from thinning operations (Adams et al. 2022).

Sampling of Snakes, Prey, and Basal Resources

Snakes and prey species were collected using box traps equipped with four drift fences comprised of hardware cloth (length = 15 m; mesh size = 6.4 mm) and four pitfall traps (19 L) at the end of each fence following the design outlined in Burgdorf et al. (2005). We established 10 trap locations per site per year (n = 20 total; cumulative totals, high-frequency site = 30 total, low-frequency site = 20 total; see Adams et al. 2022). Prescribed fires at both sites are typically conducted during the cool season (i.e., winter and spring). However, application of prescribed fire at the high-frequency site is typically rotated between stands year to year to ensure a 1 to 3-yr fire interval. Therefore, traps were installed at localities at least one growing season (i.e., more than a year) postburn to ensure dominant vegetation had recovered. To minimize recaptures and ensure adequate sample sizes of snakes, sampling localities were separated by a minimum distance of 450 m and were moved yearly a minimum distance of 100 m from previous sampling localities (see Adams et al. 2022). Our trapping effort only resulted in five recaptures of the five most common species, with only one recapture occurring in a different sampling locality from initial capture (Schalk et al. 2022; Appendix I). Traps were checked daily from May to July across three summers (2018 to 2020) at the high-frequency site and two summers at the low-frequency site (2019 to 2020). Captured snakes were uniquely marked using a combination of ventral scale clipping and heat-branding with a medical cautery unit (Winne et al. 2006). Venomous snakes were anesthetized with isoflurane to allow for safe handling during processing (Beaupre 2004; Kane et al. 2020). Scale clips obtained from ventral marking were placed into small sealable bags, labeled, and stored in a freezer at -23.3°C for later isotopic analysis. Juvenile snakes were excluded from our analyses (Appendix I). We defined juveniles based on values for maximum snout-to-vent length (mm) obtained from the literature (Werler and Dixon 2010).

Relevant prey species were opportunistically sampled from box-trap and pitfall captures for SIA analyses. To assess dietary sources of snakes, we collected ~ 3 to 5 individuals of prevalent prey species based on capture totals observed in each site per year. Upon collection, vertebrates were euthanized either by cervical dislocation or cranial concussion to prevent biases in isotopic ratios of carbon and nitrogen that can arise with chemical euthanasia. Collected invertebrate and vertebrate prey taxa were labeled and stored on ice until they could be transferred to a -80° C freezer. We recorded species-level identity, capture per unit effort, and method of capture (e.g., box trap versus pitfall) for all species encountered during sampling (Adams et al. 2022). This provided insight on resource availability and the composition of different trophic guilds occurring in each site. Because of limitations of our trap design for sampling smallbodied arthropods, we supplemented sampling efforts with monthly sweep netting at each sampling location.

To determine the extent to which management practice frequency influences primary producer baselines in highand low-frequency sites, we harvested the aboveground mass of two to three specimens for the five most dominant plant basal resources found at each sampling location. Plants were identified to the species level, whenever possible. Multiple studies have attempted to synthesize and define carbon isotope compositions of terrestrial C3 and C4 plants in several different ecosystems (e.g., Buchmann et al. 1996; Murphy and Bowman 2009; Diefendorf et al. 2010) We used these sources, along with other public databases, to define photosynthetic pathways for dominant species and classify δ^{13} C carbon ranges (Kohn 2010; Kattge et al. 2020). Plants chosen as indicators of site-level isotopic basal resource values either occurred at all sampling locations (e.g., living and dead vegetative material from dominant overstory tree species) or occurred in relatively high abundances in several sampling locations (e.g., herbaceous grasses, forbs, and vines). To account for temporal variation in the turnover of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in the tissues of snake and prey consumers, basal resources were collected in May, June, and July of each year. This was also done to account for any shifts in basal resource biomass that might have occurred across the growing season in each year of sampling.

Stable Isotope Sample Preparation and Analysis

To minimize the risk of their contamination, samples selected for stable isotope analysis were cleaned with deionized water to remove any inorganic or organic material. Stomach contents and digestive tracts were removed from vertebrate prey items to limit bias in isotopic analyses, whereas individual plant samples contained leaf, stem, and flowering bodies (if present; Mateo et al. 2008). Snake scales, plant samples, and invertebrate and vertebrate prey were then dried in an oven at 60°C for 48 h, or until reaching a stable dry mass. Dried samples were homogenized using a mortar and pestle and stored in glass vials. Homogenization of whole-body samples was necessary to predict average isotopic signatures of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in each sample. Snake scales, however, could not be homogenized without substantial loss of material, and were instead cut into smaller clippings. Snake scale clippings and subsamples of each homogenized sample were then weighed (~ 1 to 3 mg) and packaged into 9×10 -mm Ultra-Pure tin capsules. All weighed samples were then sent to the Stable Isotope Ecology Laboratory at the University of Georgia to be analyzed for stable isotopes (¹³C and ¹⁵N) using an isotope mass spectrometer. Measurements are reported in delta notation (δ) , where

$$\delta^{13}$$
C or δ^{15} N = $(R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}}) - 1 \times 1,000$

and *R* is the ratio of the heavy/light isotope content (e.g., $^{13}C/^{12}C$ or $^{15}N/^{14}N$). Isotope ratios are expressed in per mil (‰) relative to international reference standards, V-PDB (Vienna PeeDee Belemnite) for carbon and atmospheric nitrogen for nitrogen (Gröning 2004).

Statistical Analyses

In order to compare differences in trophic structure in snake communities occurring in sites under different forest management regimes, samples were pooled across sampling localities and years into high-frequency and low-frequency sites. Within this framework, we tested for differences in the isotopic signatures of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N within different levels of each food web. Distributions of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in each test were first tested for normality using a Shapiro-Wilks test. When these data did not meet the assumptions for parametric analyses, we performed Wilcoxon tests to determine differences between groups. Snake consumers were separated by species within each site. Isotopic baselines for each site were grouped by photosynthetic pathway (C4 vs. C3). However, a C4 pathway was not detected in any of the dominant basal resources sampled within the low-frequency site. For this reason, we pooled resources into independent categories based on life form (e.g., herbaceous, woody, and detritus) for further analyses. Depending on the analyses performed, prey samples from each site were grouped either into primary or secondary consumers, or into eight prey groups based on taxonomic and functional roles of species. The functional grouping of prey taxa was based on both taxonomy and the trophic ecology of prey species, as defined in previous studies (Marshall 2006; Tipton 2012; Hibbitts and Hibbitts 2015; Schmidly and Bradley 2016; Ubick et al. 2017). To highlight taxonomic differences between high-frequency and low-frequency sites, we performed similarity percentage (SIMPER) analyses based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for snake consumers and prey groups using the 'simper' function in the package vegan in R (Oksanen et al. 2019).

The trophic structure of the snake community in each site was quantified using stable isotope-derived metrics that described relative trophic position and trophic range, along with multiple community-wide metrics of isotopic niche space. Each of these metrics describes different aspects of trophic structure (Layman et al. 2007). Trophic position describes the average number of steps involved in biomass transfer within a given food web, and was estimated relative to a resource baseline to account for inherent differences among sites in $\delta^{15}N$ (Post 2002). Ignoring baseline values and using unadjusted values of $\delta^{15}N$ to infer trophic position can lead to erroneous results and limit the interpretation of where species are located within food webs (Post 2002). The average δ^{15} N of invertebrate primary consumers was used as the baseline to estimate trophic range and relative trophic positions for each treatment (Table 1). Invertebrate primary consumers were utilized instead of plant resources because they have been shown to integrate temporal and spatial variation in producers' isotopic composition accurately (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 1999). The trophic positions of snakes might be underestimated based on the $\delta^{15}N$ of primary producers collected in this study, which varied within sampling localities in each site. This could have been

		High frequency			Low frequency		
Functional group	Taxa	n	δ^{13} C	δ^{15} N	n	δ^{13} C	δ^{15} N
Basal resources	C4 (grasses and sedges)	20	-12.97(0.68)	-1.03(2.89)	_		_
	C3 (other)	88	-29.36(1.93)	-1.54(2.43)	22	-30.38(0.87)	-3.33(1.27)
	C3 (canopy effects)	18	-32.10(0.44)	-1.29(2.54)	21	-32.45(0.92)	-2.81(2.04)
Primary consumers	Primary consumers	64	-24.80(4.66)	0.78(1.73)	63	-25.60(1.85)	1.62(1.24)
Secondary consumers	Secondary consumers	102	-25.24(2.13)	4.79 (1.32)	66	-26.57(2.50)	4.41 (1.59)
Anurans	Frogs and toads	41	-25.92(2.43)	4.64(1.35)	23	-26.31(2.86)	4.47 (1.47)
Caudates	Salamanders		_	_	10	-29.36(2.11)	6.03 (0.75)
Decapods	Crayfish	6	-22.82(1.90)	1.93(0.69)	5	-26.93(0.33)	2.60 (0.36)
Herbivorous arthropods	Caeliferans (grasshoppers)	34	-24.39(5.97)	0.01(1.72)		_	_
ľ	Coleopterans (terrestrial beetles)	12	-25.52(1.08)	1.12(1.63)	22	-23.83(0.54)	0.19(0.69)
	Ensiferans (crickets)	12	-26.24(2.82)	2.02(1.08)	41	-25.89(2.33)	1.57(0.88)
Predatory arthropods	Arachnids (spiders and scorpions)	21	-24.69(0.98)	4.75(0.96)	24	-25.82(0.59)	4.36 (0.65)
	Carabids (predatory beetles)	8	-25.20(1.03)	4.34(1.09)	6	-27.94(0.96)	3.67(1.31)
Small mammals	Eulipotyphlans (shrews)	10	-23.93(2.12)	6.37(0.83)	13	-21.84(4.96)	7.55(1.01)
	Rodents (omnivores)	17	-25.27(2.37)	4.54(1.55)	6	-25.96(0.93)	4.12(1.07)
Squamates	Lizards	5	-24.58(2.14)	4.55 (0.68)	10	-26.29(0.70)	2.55 (0.29)

TABLE 1.—Isotopic values of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N of functional groups for basal resources and prey in high- and low-disturbance frequency sites in east Texas. Values represent means (±1 SD) across functional groups and sites.

attributable to local factors that influenced higher enrichment or depletion of the nitrogen found in soils between sampling localities (Vanderklift and Ponsard 2003). The trophic position (TP) of a given snake species was calculated as

$$TP = \lambda + (\delta^{15}N_{consumer} - \delta^{15}N_{base})/1.63\%$$

where λ is the trophic position of the baseline organisms (e.g., $\lambda = 2$ for primary consumers), $\delta^{15}N_{consumer}$ is the measured $\delta^{15}N$ of each snake individual at each treatment, $\delta^{15}N_{\text{base}}$ is the mean δ^{15} N for the baseline in each treatment, and Δ_n is the trophic fractionation for δ^{15} N (Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 1999; Post 2002). Fractionation can be influenced by a variety of factors (e.g., diet of prey, predator physiology, disturbance) that might be hard to predict (Pilgrim 2005; Murray and Wolf 2012; Saiz et al. 2015). However, knowledge of trophic enrichment in snakes is limited and most enrichment factors are obtained from previous studies (Stellati et al. 2019; Durso et al. 2020, 2022; Rebelato et al. 2020). We used a simulated fractionation value of 1.63‰ based on the means and standard deviations of isotopic ratios from our sampling (Quezada-Romegialli et al. 2018). Once the TP for each snake sample was calculated, TP values were averaged for each snake species across highand low-frequency sites. We also examined how the range in trophic position per species (hereafter, trophic range; TR =maximum TP – minimum TP) might vary between high- and low-frequency sites. Trophic range describes the variability of snake feeding responses and is a measure that can be useful in describing the vertical structure of food webs (Layman et al. 2007). Trophic range was calculated for those species captured >3 times in each site, and only after potential outliers were assessed (Jackson et al. 2011).

To determine whether isotopic niche space of snake communities differed between sites, we performed a permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) at 999 iterations (Anderson 2017). A two-dimensional isotopic niche space was defined using the average isotopic signatures of snake species present in each community standardized by the average baseline values at each site. The predictor variables in the PERMANOVA were species and site (and interactions), and a Euclidean distance dissimilarity matrix based on the isotopic values (i.e., $\delta 13C - \delta 15N$) of snake consumers was the response variable. The PERMANOVA was performed using the 'adonis' function in the package vegan in R (Oksanen et al. 2019).

We investigated the trophic structure of snake communities within and between sites using the community-wide metrics proposed by Layman et al. (2007). These metrics use the stable isotope composition of different food-web components to describe trophic structure by measuring the relationships of species in isotopic space (Layman et al. 2007, 2012). Carbon $(\delta^{13}C)$ range (CR), nitrogen $(\delta^{15}N)$ range (NR), total area (TA), and mean distance to centroid (CD) are metrics that provide information on the trophic diversity within a food web. Specifically, CR indicates isotopic niche diversification at the base of the food web, NR describes trophic isotopic length, TA is the area of the convex hull that encompasses all groups within the food web and is a measure of the total isotopic diversity, and CD is the average Euclidean distance of each community component to the centroid and is a measure of the average isotopic diversity (Layman et al. 2007). Total area was not used in our final analyses of community-wide comparisons of trophic structure because this metric relies on extreme values and thus increases with sample size (Jackson et al. 2011). In place of this metric, the isotopic niches of snake communities within and across each treatment were calculated based on standard ellipse areas (SEA, expressed in $\%^2$; Batschelet 1981). SEAs are better indices of the isotopic niche of a community because they are comparable to univariate standard deviation and contain approximately 40% of the data. This measure is also not affected by biases associated with the number of groups included in analyses, allowing comparisons between communities with different numbers of species (Jackson et al. 2011). We also calculated the SEA corrected for small sample sizes (SEA_C) , the overlap in SEA_C , and the difference in SEA sizes between high- and low-frequency sites. Two additional metrics, mean nearest neighbor distance (MNND) and the standard deviation of nearest neighbor distance (SDNND) are metrics related to trophic redundancy. Specifically, MNND measures the density of species packing in isotopic niche space and SDNND measures the evenness of species packing in isotopic niche space (Layman et al. 2007). Therefore, smaller MNND values represent increased trophic redundancy attributable to many

species having similar trophic niches, and smaller SDNND values indicate increased trophic redundancy because assemblage members have more overlap in their trophic niches (Layman et al. 2007).

The metrics developed by Layman et al. (2007) might be difficult to interpret when comparing between sites because the values can vary with sample size. A Bayesian approach allows for the incorporation of sampling error estimates representing different community components, however, returning posterior distributions of the estimated metrics (Jackson et al. 2011). The posterior distributions provide a measure of uncertainty that allows for statistical comparisons to be made. Additionally, this approach is less sensitive to variations in the number of species, and can be applied to make comparisons between different communities (Jackson et al. 2011). Therefore, we calculated Bayesian estimates for all aforementioned metrics of isotopic niche diversity for each snake community (e.g., high-vs low-frequency disturbance). Bayesian estimates of CR, NR, CD, MNND, and SDNND were calculated using the SIAR package in R (Parnell et al. 2010). The results obtained were compared between high- and low-frequency sites based on the visual analysis of the confidence intervals, in which the degree of overlap between the Bayesian distributions was used as an indication of (dis)similarities between sites (Layman et al. 2012). Bayesian standard ellipse areas (SEA_B) were calculated using the SIBER extension of the SIAR package in R (Parnell et al. 2010; Jackson et al. 2011). All Bayesian analyses used to produce comparable posterior distributions of community-wide trophic structure included only those species with ≥ 3 individuals represented in a given community. Data were tested for normality before these analyses using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test in the base 'stats' package of R (R Core Team 2023).

To investigate shifts in resource use between high- and lowfrequency sites, we determined the proportion of prey groups contributing to sampled snake assemblages. We used Bayesian stable-isotope mixing models in the MixSIAR package in R to estimate the proportion of potential prey contributing to the diet of snakes in each site (Moore and Semmens 2008; Semmens et al. 2009; Stock and Semmens 2013). Individual models were also produced for the five most common shared snake species between sites with respect to the functional prey groups sampled within those sites. MixSIAR estimates the probability distributions of each source to a consumer's stable isotope values while accounting for variability among consumer and source isotopic values, and uncertainty associated with tissue-diet discrimination factors (Phillips et al. 2014). Before running the models, potential prey sources were grouped based on taxonomic and functional similarity that reflected species' roles in the food webs. We then analyzed each snake assemblage with prey sources in isotopic space with δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N biplots corrected with trophic discrimination factors to combine prey resources of similar isotopic signatures and limit biases in the models (Table 1). To examine the proportions of potential prey items for each community, the models included all snake consumers in each site, with species as a random effect. A Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis with three replicate chains was run for 300,000 iterations, discarding the first 200,000 samples and thinning by 100 (Phillips et al. 2014). Model convergence was confirmed using the Gelman-Rubin and Geweke diagnostic tests (Cowles and Carlin 1996). Fractionation values for each source were obtained from the literature (DeMots et al. 2010; Cloyed et al. 2015; Hyodo 2015; Lattanzio and Miles 2016). MixSIAR results for sources were reported as posterior density distributions of proportional contributions to consumer mixture data as mean dietary proportions with associated credibility intervals.

Results

Isotopic Analyses of Basal Resources

Isotopic analyses showed significant differences in the isotopic signatures (i.e., $\delta^{13}C - \delta^{15}N$) of basal resources between sites (PERMANOVA, F = 17.51, $\Pr[>F] = 0.001$; Appendix II). The $\delta^{15}N$ values of basal resources were variable within sampling localities, but differences between sites were not detected (Table 1). In both treatments, $\delta^{15}N$ values were generally depleted in primary consumers (Table 1). Most herbaceous plants in the open understories of sampling locations represented the C3 photosynthetic pathway and, while present in the high-frequency site, C4 grasses were dominant only at a few sites (Table 1; Appendix II).

Isotopic Signatures of Snakes and Prey Resources

Snake consumers varied between sites in species' richness and relative abundance (see Adams et al. 2022 for detailed descriptions of snake relative abundance and species composition between high- and low-frequency sites; Tables 2, 3). Isotopic values of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N for snake consumers were significantly different between sites as a function of site, species, and their interaction (PERMANOVA, $F \ge 7.90$, Pr $[>F] \leq 0.01$; Table 3; Appendix II). Species diversity and relative abundance of potential prey supporting snake consumers also varied between sites (Table 4; and see Adams et al. 2022). For example, salamanders were a representative prey taxon in the low-frequency site that was not found in the high-frequency site, and caeliferans (i.e., grasshoppers) were represented in the high-frequency site but not found in the low-frequency site (Table 1). Further grouping of prey taxa based on taxonomic similarity and trophic roles showed differences in the isotopic signatures within functional prey groups (Table 1). Secondary consumers in the high-frequency site had a narrower range in $\delta^{13}C$ and in δ^{15} N values than in the low-frequency site, and were significantly different between site in terms of site and taxa (PER-MANOVA,

 $F \geq 7.90$, $\Pr[>F] \leq 0.001$), but not in interaction between site and taxa (Appendix II). Primary consumers exhibited similar isotopic values of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N as a function of site, species, and their interaction (Table 1; Appendix III). Within the high-frequency site, only caeliferans had isotopic signatures that reflected herbivory on C4 resources.

Length of Trophic Structure and Relative Trophic Positions

There were no differences in average trophic position of snakes across sites, which indicates that snakes occupied similar trophic positions in both high- and low-frequency sites. In the high-frequency site, the difference in $\delta^{15}N$ between the primary consumer baseline and the species of highest $\delta^{15}N$ (Texas Coralsnakes, *Micrurus tener*) was only slightly smaller than the difference in $\delta^{15}N$ between the primary consumer baseline and the species of the highest $\delta^{15}N$ (Eastern Hog-nosed Snakes, *Heterodon platirhinos*) in the low-frequency site (Table 3). The lowest relative trophic positions recorded in the high-frequency and low-frequency

60

TABLE 2.—Similarity percentages analysis (SIMPER) analysis identifying the contribution (%) of each snake species ($n = 19$ spp.) to the Bray–Curtis
dissimilarity metric between high-frequency (HF) and low-frequency (LF) management regimes. Abundance values used in the dissimilarity matrix were
calculated relative to total trap effort across high-(1,350 trap days) and low-(850 trap days) disturbance frequency sites in east Texas. Species are listed in
order of their contribution to differences between management regimes; common names are provided in Appendix 1.

Snake species	Average dissimilarity	Mean contribution (HF)	Mean contribution (LF)	Cumulative contribution
Agkistrodon contortrix	0.2037	0.0296	0.0918	0.4741
Coluber constrictor	0.0839	0.0385	0.0129	0.6692
Agkistrodon piscivorus	0.0326	0.0111	0.0012	0.7450
Masticophis flagellum	0.0259	0.0126	0.0047	0.8052
Pantherophis obsoletus	0.0177	0.0252	0.0306	0.8464
Sistrurus miliarius	0.0121	0.0037	0.0000	0.8747
Micrurus tener	0.0120	0.0022	0.0059	0.9026
Lampropeltis triangulum	0.0073	0.0022	0.0000	0.9195
Nerodia fasciata	0.0057	0.0030	0.0047	0.9328
Farancia abacura	0.0049	0.0015	0.0000	0.9441
Haldea striatula	0.0049	0.0015	0.0000	0.9555
Lampropeltis holbrookii	0.0049	0.0015	0.0000	0.9668
Nerodia erythrogaster	0.0043	0.0022	0.0035	0.9767
Crotalus horridus	0.0029	0.0015	0.0024	0.9834
Storeria dekayi	0.0024	0.0007	0.0000	0.9890
Heterodon platirhinos	0.0019	0.0030	0.0035	0.9934
Lampropeltis calligaster	0.0014	0.0007	0.0012	0.9967
Opheodrys aestivus	0.0014	0.0007	0.0012	1.0000

sites were attributed to Rough Greensnakes (*Opheodrys aestivus*). Overall, pairwise species comparisons of the relative trophic positions of shared species between sites were similar; however, the range of trophic positions varied slightly among these species (Table 3).

Community-Wide Food-Web Structure

The food-web structure of snake assemblages differed between high-frequency and low-frequency sites (Fig. 1). We found evidence for increased niche diversification at the base of the low-frequency food web (CR; Fig. 2). The CR was significantly wider in the low-frequency site than in the high-frequency site. With no overlap in 95% credibility intervals (CIs), this indicates that snake consumers were supported by a greater diversity of basal resources in the low-frequency site (Fig. 2a). Trophic diversity was similar between assemblages, indicating snake consumers occupied a similar trophic level across high- and low-frequency sites (NR; Fig. 2b). Although there was some overlap in the 95% CIs, NR was slightly greater in the low-frequency site (Fig. 2b). However, the extent of trophic diversity was much smaller in the high-frequency site (Fig. 1). The SEA distributions from Bayesian results showed an 88% probability that the snake assemblage in the high-frequency site occupied a smaller isotopic niche area than the snake assemblage in the low-frequency site (Table 5; Fig. 2c).

Overlap in SEA between sites was relatively high (31.5%, corresponding to 36.46% and 49.19% of the total SEA for the high- and low-frequency sites, respectively); however, there was a large proportion of nonoverlap in SEA between sites (58%; Table 5). The average degree of trophic diversity was also greater in the low-frequency site, with no overlap in 95% CIs (CD; Fig. 2d). Additionally, there was a strong

TABLE 3.—Isotopic values of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N of snake species in high- and low-disturbance frequency sites. Trophic position (i.e., TP high-frequency/TP low-frequency) represents the average number of steps involved in biomass transfer, whereas trophic range (i.e., TR high-frequency/TR low-frequency) describes the variability in trophic position responses. Values represent means (± 1 SD) across sites. Species with three or fewer captures (*n*) from sampling efforts at either type of site are denoted with an asterisk. Note we were unable to obtain isotopic signatures from *Haldea striatula* tissues.

Snake species	n	$\delta^{13}\mathrm{C}$	δ^{15} N	TP	TR
Agkistrodon contortrix	42/77	-25.37(0.73)/-26.00(0.83)	5.78 (1.30)/5.08 (1.16)	4.29 (0.80)/3.50 (0.71)	3.04/3.41
Agkistrodon piscivorus*	15/1	-24.27(0.76)/-25.07	7.19 (1.13)/8.66	5.11 (0.69)/5.69	2.77/NA
Coluber constrictor	51/10	-23.23(1.01)/-24.45(0.74)	5.48 (0.95)/4.98 (1.32)	4.11 (0.58)/3.44 (0.81)	2.33/1.39
Crotalus horridus*	2/2	-24.82(0.30)/-23.36(0.01)	5.61 (0.88)/6.15 (0.66)	4.19 (0.53)/4.15 (0.40)	0.76/0.57
Farancia abacura*	2/0	-31.35 (0.91)/NA	7.36 (0.99)/NA	5.26 (0.61)/NA	0.86/NA
Heterodon platirhinos*	5/3	-24.12(0.21)/9.21(1.47)	7.54 (0.88)/9.21 (1.47)	5.37 (0.54)/6.03 (0.91)	1.35/1.58
Lampropeltis calligaster*	1/1	-23.34/-24.15	7.02/8.04	5.06/5.31	NA/NA
Lampropeltis holbrookii*	2/0	-23.31 (0.59)/NA	7.61 (0.30)/NA	5.41 (0.19)/NA	0.26/NA
Lampropeltis triangulum*	3/0	-24.14 (0.49)/NA	7.29 (1.53)/NA	5.22 (0.94)/NA	1.75/NA
Masticophis flagellum	16/4	-24.00(0.48)/-24.54(1.15)	6.12 (0.55)/5.91 (0.99)	4.50 (0.34)/4.00 (0.61)	1.36/1.31
Micrurus tener*	3/5	-23.43(0.68)/-23.50(0.30)	7.68 (0.25)/8.01 (0.72)	5.46 (0.15)/5.34 (0.44)	0.28/1.11
Nerodia erythrogaster*	3/3	-27.01 (0.93)/6.20 (3.41)	5.09 (0.23)/6.20 (3.41)	3.87 (0.14)/4.18 (2.10)	0.25/4.02
Nerodia fasciata	4/4	-28.37(2.17)/-29.20(0.74)	6.12 (0.81)/6.36 (1.28)	4.30 (0.37)/4.28 (0.78)	1.18/1.68
Opheodrys aestivus*	2/1	-25.43(0.47)/-28.22	3.43 (5.04)/-0.27	2.84 (3.09)/0.21	4.37/NA
Pantherophis obsoletus	34/26	-24.31(0.65)/-24.93(0.64)	7.07 (0.89)/6.84 (1.25)	5.08 (0.55)/4.57 (0.77)	2.53/3.01
Sistrurus miliarius*	4/0	-23.88 (0.63)/NA	6.48 (1.04)/NA	4.72 (0.64)/NA	1.5/NA
Storeria dekayi*	1/0	-24.33/NA	3.62/NA	2.97/NA	NA/NA
Thamnophis proximus	34/51	$-25.79\;(1.25)\!/\!-26.88\;(1.87)$	$6.48\ (0.79)/6.92\ (1.11)$	$4.72\ (0.48)/4.63\ (0.68)$	1.52/2.61

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Herpetological-Monographs on 10 Jul 2025 Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

Taxon	Average dissimilarity	Mean contribution (HF)	Mean contribution (LF)	Cumulative contribution	
Ensifera (crickets)	0.2538	0.033	0.384	0.384	
Anura (frogs and toads)	0.1764	0.065	0.309	0.652	
Aranae (spiders)	0.12148	0.085	0.253	0.836	
Coleoptera (terrestrial beetles)	0.07086	0.031	0.129	0.943	
Rodentia (small rodents)	0.01374	0.026	0.007	0.964	
Caelifera (grasshoppers)	0.00795	0.014	0.003	0.976	
Decapoda (cravfish)	0.00723	0.002	0.012	0.987	
Caudata (salamanders)	0.00434	0.000	0.006	0.993	
Squamata (lizards)	0.00217	0.003	0.006	0.997	
Eulipotyphia (shrews and moles)	0.00217	0.006	0.009	1.000	

TABLE 4.—The 10 most abundant prey taxa found across sampled sites. Average dissimilarity between high- and low-disturbance frequency (HF and LF) sites for each taxon was calculated with SIMPER analysis. Contributions for each species were calculated from the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrix of species relative abundances to trapping effort. Taxa are listed in order of their contribution to the differences between sites.

pattern of trophic redundancy observed in the high-frequency snake assemblage, indicating snakes at this site had similar trophic roles (MNND, SDNND; Fig. 2). The MNND and SDNND values were lower in the high-frequency site when compared to the low-frequency site, with the distributions of Bayesian results showing no overlap in 95% CIs in both metrics (Fig. 2e,f). This reveals that snakes in the highfrequency site were more tightly packed in isotopic niche space, and were more even in terms of species packing.

Resource Use of Snake Communities

Isotopic mixing models revealed that overall resource use of entire snake communities varied between sites (Fig. 3a,b). Both high- and low-frequency snake assemblages utilized mostly vertebrate prey (Fig. 4a,b). The snake assemblage at the high-frequency site utilized vertebrate prey groups in more equal proportions, however, with 68.7% of the estimated contribution of prey sources to snake diets explained by anuran (20.6%), eulipotyphla (i.e., shrews; 18.8% contribution), squamate (16.3%), and rodent (13.0%) prey (Fig. 4a). Invertebrate prey (i.e., arthropods) accounted for 31.3% of the estimated contribution to snake diets in the high-frequency site model (Fig. 4a). In contrast, snakes in the low-frequency site were less even in their utilization of vertebrate prey, with 78.1% of the estimated contribution of prey sources to snake diets



FIG. 1.—Biplot of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ in snake assemblages from high- (blue) and low- (pink) disturbance frequency sites. Dotted lines are the total convex hull areas (TA) of snake communities in each site that correspond to the area encompassing all snake species and individuals. Solid lines represent standard ellipses areas (SEA_C) depicting isotopic niche space of snake assemblages in each site.

explained by caudate (24.1%), rodent (23.8%), anuran (11.5%), eulipotyphla (13.7%), and squamate (5.5%) prey (Fig. 4b). Similar to the high-frequency site, invertebrate prey (i.e., arthropods) contributed only an estimated 21.5% contribution to snakes at the low-frequency site (Fig. 4b).

Mixing-model outputs of the most common snake species occurring in both high- and low-frequency sites revealed shifts in resource use indicating site-specific differences in resource use (Copperheads, Agkistrodon contortrix; North American Racers, Coluber constrictor; Western Ratsnakes, Pantherophis obsoletus; Western Ribbonsnakes, Thamnophis proximus; Figs. 5, 6). In the high-frequency site, A. contortrix were specialized in their diets, as arachnids (29.2%), anurans (29.2%), and squamates (19.1%) were estimated to contribute the most to A. contortrix resource use (Fig. 5a). In the low-frequency site, A. contortrix exhibited a broader, generalized strategy as coleopterans (23.8%), caudates (15.2%), squamates (15.1%), rodents (12.7%), and ensiferans (10.2%) contributed more evenly to their diets (Fig. 6a). Additionally, we documented multiple regurgitations of detritus-feeding coleopterans and ensiferans from A. contortrix captured at the low-frequency site (C.S. Adams, personal observation). At both sites, small mammals (i.e., rodent and eulipotyphla prey groups) were estimated to have the greatest contribution to C. constrictor diets (i.e., high frequency = 42.7%; low frequency = 35.5%). However, C. constrictor utilized multiple invertebrate and vertebrate prey groups at the high-frequency site, whereas C. constrictor at the low-frequency site were more specialized (Figs. 5b, 6b). Decapods, which were estimated to contribute 11.3% to C. constrictor diets at the high-frequency site, were also observed in regurgitations during snake processing (C.S. Adams, personal observation). Pantherophis obsoletus exhibited similarities in resource use to C. constrictor, as they were more generalized in the high-frequency site and specialized in the low-frequency site. Eulipotyphians (31.1%), squamates (30.3%), and rodents (10.9%) were the largest contributors to P. obsoletus diet in the high-frequency site (Fig. 5c). Conversely, rodents (70.3%) were the primary prey group observed in P. obsoletus diets at the low-frequency site (Fig. 6c). At both sites, T. proximus showed patterns of specialization in their resource use. Anurans (44.5%) and squamates (26.2%) were estimated to contribute the most to T. proximus diets in the high-frequency site, while caudates (43.6%) and anurans (25.6%) were estimated as the largest contributors to T. proxi*mus* diets in the low-frequency site (Figs. 5d, 6d).



FIG. 2.—Bayesian results for the six community-wide metrics providing information on trophic diversity and trophic redundancy within food webs of high-(HF) and low-(LF) disturbance frequency sites: δ^{13} C range (CR), δ^{15} N range (NR), standard ellipses area (SEA), mean distance to centroid (CD), mean nearest neighbor distance (MNND), and standard deviation of the nearest neighbor distance (SDNND). Black dots are the mode (‰), and boxes indicate the 50%, 75%, and 95% credibility intervals for high-frequency (HF) and low-frequency (LF) sites.

DISCUSSION

We found differences in food-web structure between high-frequency and low-frequency sites, indicating that forest management practices in these pine forests could modify environmental conditions that structure habitats, shift energetic pathways, and ultimately affect resource use of consumers in these systems. Stable isotope analyses of dominant basal resources, primary and secondary consumers, and snakes revealed contrasting patterns of food-web organization in response to management practice frequency. We found support for our first hypothesis that trophic structure of predator assemblages differs between sites. We observed greater trophic

TABLE 5.—Standard ellipses area analyses for snake communities in high- and low-disturbance frequency sites. Metrics listed are standard ellipse area (SEA) containing *c*. 40% of the bivariate isotopic signatures observed in a given snake assemblage, standard ellipse area corrected for small sample size (SEA_C), and standard ellipse area containing c. 95% of the bivariate isotopic signatures observed in a given snake assemblage.

	High frequency	Low frequency	SEA overlap	SEA 95% overlap	Proportion of nonoverlap
SEA	$6.06 \\ 6.09 \\ 36.46$	8.17	3.42	31.49	0.58
SEA _C		8.22	NA	NA	NA
SEA 95%		49.19	NA	NA	NA

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Herpetological-Monographs on 10 Jul 2025 Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use



FIG. 3.—Biplots of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in snake assemblages (colored circles) and Arachnidae, Anura, Caelifera, Caudata, Coleoptera, Decapoda, Ensifera, Eulipotyphla, Rodentia, and Squamata prey resources (mean values of δ^{13} C – δ^{15} N ± 1 SD; black circles) incorporating corrected trophic discrimination factors in (A) high- and (B) low-disturbance frequency sites. Species codes for snake species are listed in Appendix 1.



FIG. 4.—Isotopic mixing models depicting the contribution of prey sources for all snake species captured in the (A) high- and (B) low-disturbance frequency sites. Results are reported as posterior density distributions of proportional contributions to snake consumer mixture data as mean dietary proportions with associated credibility intervals (i.e., 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%).

diversity in the low-frequency site and lower trophic diversity with increased trophic redundancy in the high-frequency site. We expected resource use and niche breadth of snakes to vary between high- and low-frequency sites, and found evidence supporting our second hypothesis that predator assemblages differed in their resource use between high- and low-frequency sites as snake consumers utilized different functional prey groups.

Forest Management Frequency and Food-Web Structure

Greater trophic redundancy was observed in the high-frequency snake assemblage compared to the low-frequency snake assemblage. This observed difference in trophic diversity was not attributed to these predators shifting their trophic positions (sensu Schalk et al. 2017) as species from both assemblages exhibited consistency in their trophic positions across both sites. Rather, the observed increase in trophic redundancy was caused by a contraction in the diversity of basal resources (i.e., δ^{13} C range) that support these predators at the high-frequency site. These results are surprising, as C3 and C4 plants were both dominant basal resources at the high-frequency site as compared to the low-frequency site in which C3 plants were the dominant basal resources.

Forest management regimes characterized by high-frequency treatments are implemented to maintain forest as subclimax communities (Hanberry et al. 2018). Frequent fire disturbance is a driver of increased productivity and overall biodiversity in similar forest ecosystems, as the increased sunlight on the forest floor creates diverse herbaceous understories (DellaSala et al. 2014; Freeman et al. 2019). As such, these disturbanceinfluenced ecosystems can have a broader spatial effect of providing resource-rich environments allowing many consumers to exploit similar resources. Despite the presence of seed-producing C4 grasses, fruit-producing C3 forbs were the most dominant understory cover at the high-frequency site. The dominance of C3 forbs was attributable to relatively few species (i.e., black raspberry, Rubus occidentalis, and blueberry, *Vaccinium* spp.) known for both their high productivity in early seral forests and their nutritional benefits to a variety of wildlife (McWethy et al. 2010). These C3 forbs are particularly reliant on sunlight reaching the forest floor, and their establishment following prescribed fire and logging operations in open-pine systems is well known (Arthur et al. 1998; Clendenin and Ross 2001). C4 grasses adapted to higher-temperature environments possess anatomical and biochemical features that impact nutritional quality and might not be as palatable to consumers (Barbehenn et al. 2004; Silva Pedro et al. 2017). Given the similarity in carbon signatures of prey resources (e.g., secondary consumers) at multiple trophic levels in the high-frequency site, the trophic redundancy observed in the snake assemblage occupying the high-frequency site likely results from both predators and prey maximizing energetic uptake at each trophic level. Furthermore, this isotopic pattern prevails along a narrow and productive C3 pathway fostered by frequent forest management practices that preserve conditions for fruit-producing C3 plants to dominate.

Although fire maintains a well-developed herbaceous understory at the high-frequency site, we do not know the role that fire might play in shaping soil microbial community structure and the availability of soil nitrogen. For example, nitrogen-fixing microbes may decline as a direct response to fire severity (Wang et al. 2014). Also, elevated inorganic nitrogen has been observed in soils postfire (Gómez-Rey et al. 2013; Huber et al. 2013). Shifts in nitrogen caused by fire



FIG. 5.—Isotopic mixing models depicting the contribution of prey sources to snake species across the four most common shared species captured in the high disturbance frequency site. Results are reported as posterior density distributions of proportional contributions to snake consumer mixture data as mean dietary proportions with associated credibility intervals (i.e., 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%). Species codes are listed in Appendix I.

may be short-term responses, but could still influence baseline δ^{15} N values under high-frequency disturbance (i.e., frequent prescribed fire). We were unable to quantify these components of the soil food web because all of our sampling occurred at least one season postburn (Adams et al. 2022). How microbial communities recover or stabilize under different disturbance regimes may be an important determinant of food-web structure at higher trophic levels. Our study provides a perspective on the food-web structure within the growing season at these sites, which coincides with peak snake activity in this region (Fitch 1949; Schalk et al. 2022). The structure of these food webs may shift temporally, across seasons or across years, in response to variation in basal resources and prey availability. Still, experimental studies in which one or more energetic pathways were manipulated have shown that consumers at multiple trophic levels can shift their resource exploitation to take advantage of highly productive pathways (Nowlin et al. 2007; Klemmer and Richardson 2013). For example, productivity was altered with increased decomposition of salmon carcasses within experimental mesocosms replicating benthic food webs (Kiffney et al. 2018). As a result, primary consumers shifted their resource use and had a strong dependence on the energetic pathway associated with carcass loading, which led to increased trophic redundancy in both secondary and tertiary consumers (Kiffney et al. 2018).

Decreased forest management practice frequency might have created conditions in which basal resource diversity increased, despite an apparent homogenization of structural habitats. As such, partitioning of basal resources by functional prey groups might be a potential mechanism that resulted in increased trophic diversity in the snake assemblage occupying the low-frequency site. Studies of simple food chains in pristine and degraded shallow Caribbean coral reefs have reported similar patterns of trophic structure, suggesting that these patterns are consistent across aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (e.g., Le Bourg et al. 2018). Morillo-Velarde et al. (2018) found that habitat degradation (i.e., coral die-off from white band disease) altered trophic pathways, but food-chain length remained unchanged (i.e., $\delta^{15}N$ range). Specifically, the δ^{13} C range was broader and originated from more sources in the degraded food chain because of macroalgal consumption by a variety of mesograzers and omnivores that were then consumed by herbivorous fishes (Morillo-Velarde et al. 2018). Similarly, lack of consistent and effective forest management in the low-frequency site resulted in basal areas and closed-canopy conditions that led to homogenized understories and detritus buildup on forest floors. Reviews of food-



FIG. 6.—Isotopic mixing models depicting the contribution of prey sources to snake species across the four most common shared species captured in the low disturbance frequency site. Results are reported as posterior density distributions of proportional contributions to snake consumer mixture data as mean dietary proportions with associated credibility intervals (i.e., 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%). Species codes are listed in Appendix I.

web studies in ecosystems in which detritus is a major energy source have emphasized that such resources are not homogenous in terms of energy flow, energy quality, composition, and carbon value, and are instead highly variable in form and distribution across multiple spatial and temporal scales (e.g., Moore et al. 2004; Manlick et al. 2023). Food webs vary in the extent to which detritus is derived from decomposition rates and associated microbial communities, which then results in multiple and dynamic consumer-resource pathways (Polis and Hurd 1996; Moore et al. 2004; Mougi 2020). Previous studies have acknowledged how the biomass and community composition of microbes may influence trophic interactions in higher-order consumers (e.g., Sabo et al. 2005; Miyashita and Niwa 2006). Ecosystems in which anthropogenic alterations result in detritus sources becoming the dominant inputs into food webs can alter the trajectories of both ground-level habitat and energy flow (Moore et al. 2004; Gessner et al. 2010; Mougi 2020). In the low-frequency site, this might have resulted in the variation in δ^{13} C pathways and consequently, the broader δ^{13} C range of snake consumers.

Forest Management Frequency and Resource Use

Differences in the structural complexity of habitats, and the number and type of energetic pathways, between high- and

low-frequency sites, indicate the trophic structure of predator assemblages might be influenced by the responses of functional prey groups to disturbance frequency (Gorini et al. 2012). Forest management that influences secondary production by changing environmental conditions would then be expected to shift the resource use of predators by directly or indirectly altering trophic linkages (Beckerman et al. 2006; Layman and Rypel 2020). Snakes are abundant predators in pine-forest ecosystems and are largely considered generalists because of commonalities between species (e.g., low-energetic demands and broad dietary niche breadths; Colston et al. 2010; Lillywhite et al. 2014). Furthermore, coexistence is facilitated by dietary niche partitioning within snake communities and, as such, the diversity of these predators in managed ecosystems is likely correlated with resource-use responses (Toft 1985; Luiselli 2003, 2006a; Perkins et al. 2020). Previous studies investigating patterns of resource use and coexistence dynamics among terrestrial snake communities in temperate regions are limited (e.g., Luiselli 2006b). However, given that the taxonomic diversity of predators was greater in the high-frequency site, and that predator diversity in the low-frequency site was dominated by only a few generalist species, predator assemblages could be exhibiting predictable responses to forest management by switching their overall resource use between sites (Adams et al. 2022).

When all 18 species were included, snakes in the high-frequency site potentially exploited a wide variety of prey. In addition to exploiting vertebrate prey in more equal estimated proportions, overall resource use in the high-frequency snake assemblage also featured slightly higher contributions of invertebrate prey. Vertebrates are well documented in diets of generalist snake predators, whereas invertebrates are more likely to be consumed by small-bodied insectivorous snakes that are not well represented in our sampling efforts (e.g., O. aestivus; Trauth and McAllister 1995; Burgdorf et al. 2005; Schalk et al. 2018; Adams et al. 2022). Differences in lipid content, protein content, and water content between poikilothermic and homeothermic prey items can influence the physiological responses that contribute to growth in snakes (Dierenfeld et al. 2015). Although small mammals are typically high in lipid content, amphibians contain higher levels of chemical constituents that could also influence water loss and thermoregulation (Spencer et al. 2020). Under a forest management regime characterized by frequent disturbance, increases in microhabitat availability (e.g., coarse woody debris and herbaceous plant cover) may also increase prey availability to tertiary consumers (e.g., snakes; Greene et al. 2016). Diversity and abundance of small mammals and arthropods is higher in open pine forests subjected to frequent applications of prescribed fire and thinning and also support comparable amphibian diversity to other forest types (Steen et al. 2010; Sutton et al. 2014; Sheehan and Klepzig 2022). Under such conditions when multiple prey groups are abundant, coexistence might be facilitated when generalists utilize low- and high-quality prey (Reynolds and Scott 1982; Willson and Hopkins 2011; Durso et al. 2013). Although there could be some energetic trade-offs to consuming invertebrate prey, active-foraging snakes that rely on visual hunting may consume arthropods more readily in high-frequency conditions (Lourdais et al. 2014; Adams et al. 2022). For example, C. con*strictor* had a wider trophic range, and invertebrate prey groups were estimated as potentially important sources to their resource use at the high-frequency site. This species was also more common at the high-frequency site, and likely the largestbodied active forager capable of subsisting on invertebrate prey (Lennon 2013; Adams et al. 2022).

In contrast, snakes in the low-frequency site (n = 13 spp.) potentially exploited fewer vertebrate prey groups (i.e., caudates and rodents). Caudates, which were estimated to be an important component of diet in the low-frequency snake assemblage, were not sampled at the high-frequency site where the prevailing environmental conditions brought on by management practices may have limited their availability to snake consumers (Hocking et al. 2013). Similarly, other studies have documented how the shared use of resources may facilitate snake species coexistence when disturbances result in a temporally pulsed abundance of higher-quality prey (e.g., Luiselli et al. 2005; Hampton and Ford 2007; Willson et al. 2010). Despite having lower relative abundance in the low-frequency site (Adams et al. 2022), rodents were estimated to be an important component of diet for the snake assemblage at that site. In addition to prey availability, other factors (i.e., foraging mode, prey size, frequency of consumption,) may scale up to affect resource use in high- and low-frequency snake assemblages (Bolnick et al. 2003; Luiselli 2006a; Glaudas et al. 2019). The high-frequency assemblage consisted mostly of active-foraging snakes, whereas the low-frequency snake assemblage consisted mostly of snakes that exhibit sit-and-wait foraging tactics (Adams et al. 2022). Snake species that employ sit-and-wait foraging strategies have been reported to often consume larger prey and feed less frequently than active foragers (Glaudas et al. 2019). Although we have evidence to suggest some potential prey differ in relative abundance between highand low-frequency sites (Adams et al. 2022), we do not know the extent to which management practice frequency might affect prey encounter rates or the frequency of consumption of prey by snake consumers (sensu Tutterow et al. 2021). Sympatric predators that overlap in trophic niche space can partition resources by altering the frequency of consumption of highquality and low-quality prey (e.g., Luiselli 2006a; Jellyman and McIntosh 2020). Patterns of generalization and specialization in the diets of generalist predators has been attributed to the availability and selection of optimal foraging locations and behavioral adaptation to limited resources. This strategy, used by generalist predators in response to variation in prey, enables species to persist under a range of ecological contexts (Woo et al. 2008). This suggests that secondary production regulated by disturbance-mediated processes can drive differential resource use patterns in the snake assemblages between highand low-frequency sites.

The prey most important to predators at the high-frequency site occupied similar isotopic niches, indicating that frequent management practices facilitate the conditions that allow generalist predators with varied feeding ecologies to exploit these resources while also occupying similar trophic roles. For example, despite their differences in feeding ecology and behavior, the resource use of the most common generalist predators corresponded with the differences in trophic structure observed between sites. Agkistrodon con*tortrix* are highly generalized in their dietary preferences, feeding on an array of invertebrate and vertebrate prey (Trauth and McAllister 1995; McKnight et al. 2014; Schalk et al. 2018). In the high-frequency site, A. contortrix were supported by arachnids, anurans, and squamates in greater proportions than other prey. At the low-frequency site A. contortrix were even more generalized, consuming vertebrate and invertebrate prey in similar proportions. Thamnophis proximus, an active forager also known to consume a variety of vertebrate prey (Hampton 2008, 2013), specialized in their resource use at both sites. At the high-frequency site, T. proximus were supported by mainly anurans, as compared to being supported by both caudates and anurans at the low-frequency site. Salamanders typically exhibit strong responses to forest management (i.e., thinning), as shifts in abundance are well documented between open canopy (e.g., high-frequency) and closed canopy (e.g., low-frequency) forests (Chazal and Niewiarowski 1998; Hocking et al. 2013). Resource use of both A. contortrix and T. proximus varies between populations because of differences in environmental conditions (Quevedo et al. 2009; Hampton 2013; Schalk et al. 2018). Individual specialization in snake resource use that alters frequency-dependent interactions may influence ecological interactions that effect food-web structure in high-frequency and low-frequency sites (Bolnick et al. 2007; Layman et al. 2015).

The patterns of resource use we observed may also be a function of our approach (i.e., stable isotopes of scale tissues) to assess the trophic ecology of the snakes in these forest systems. In the absence of data obtained from stomach analyses, mixing-model estimations of snake diets may be influenced by the inability to sample all potential prey items of snake consumers (Durso et al. 2022). For example, some snake species are known to consume avian prey, as well as avian eggs and chicks (DeGregorio et al. 2014, 2016). The inability to assess and sample isotopic signatures of avian prey, which feed upon forest arthropods, might have resulted in higher contributions of arthropods being predicted in resource use models. Management practice frequency may also influence the diversity and abundance of ground-nesting and shrub-nesting birds, thereby affecting prey availability to snakes that potentially feed on them (DeGregorio et al. 2014). In some cases, certain prey groups might serve as proxies for other prey groups because of sampling limitations and low isotopic variation within prey resources. Although we expected invertebrate groups to contribute to diets of A. contortrix in our mixing models, we were unable to sample some hemipterans (i.e., cicadas) that are known to be temporally exploited by A. contortrix (Lagesse and Ford 1996; Hendricks 2019). Furthermore, depredations at lower levels of food webs may result in overlap in isotopic signatures with minimal fractionation (Durso et al. 2022).

Management practice frequency, which results in differences in forest structure and basal resource availability, may lead to differences in the productivity of varying energetic pathways (i.e., carbon availability). Differences in resource use among snake assemblages then have implications for the number and strength of trophic linkages in these food webs. In our study, these patterns of resource use reflected the overall patterns of trophic structure that we observed in the high- and low-frequency sites. At the high-frequency site, snakes were more even in the diversity of prey that they utilized. These prey groups were supported by a narrow energetic pathway, which could have contributed to the increased trophic redundancy observed at the high-frequency site. At the low-frequency site, snakes utilized prey disproportionally to the available prey at that site. The prey that supported snakes were supported by a broader energetic pathway (i.e., carbon pool), which may underlie the observed trophic niche diversification at the base of the food web and greater overall trophic diversity at the low-frequency site.

Considering these findings, the relative frequency of forest management practices (e.g., burning and thinning) is an important determinant of food-web structure in pine forest ecosystems. Alterations to structural habitats brought on by different forest management practices can influence the diversity and abundance of resources that either directly or indirectly support predator assemblages (Morris et al. 2013; Howze and Smith 2021). The importance of diverse predator assemblages and the roles of predators within food webs is well known. Indeed, unravelling the interactions between predators and their prey is an informative approach for understanding how communities will respond to anthropogenic activities. However, understanding the cumulative effects of species interactions on trophic structure within real food webs is a difficult endeavor (Massoud et al. 2018). Intraguild predation, omnivory, and processes spanning across multiple trophic levels can influence trophic structure, and are not easily addressed when considering predator responses at only one trophic level (Raffaelli et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2019; McLeod and Leroux 2020). Nonetheless, with the substantial loss of apex predators from ecosystems

across the globe, increased knowledge of the trophic roles of tertiary predators in ecosystems regulated by anthropogenic disturbance is important for understanding ecosystem functioning (Strong and Frank 2010; Estes et al. 2011).

CONCLUSIONS

Disturbance-prone pine forests with increased frequency of forest management practices may support a greater diversity of snakes, and increase trophic redundancy within these consumers (Adams et al. 2022; Schalk et al. 2022). As tertiary predators, most snake species are fairly generalized in their resource use within terrestrial environments. As such, forest management that encourages the persistence of many generalist predators has important implications for the stability of pine forest ecosystems. Generalist predators represent key nodes in the structure of most food webs, and can influence the number of trophic linkages within food webs because of their ability to exploit a broader array of prey resources than specialists (Closs et al. 1999). This produces many weak interactions with their prey that, in turn, contribute to the complexity of food webs and the maintenance of ecosystem processes. Consequently, the loss of generalist species in food webs can reduce this complexity and alter the resource-use relationships that influence ecosystem processes (Ings et al. 2009). Therefore, the resiliency of diverse assemblages of generalist predators performing similar trophic roles (i.e., increased trophic redundancy) can lead to greater stability in ecosystems prone to disturbance (Sanders et al. 2018). Increased forest management practice frequency as a consistent disturbance in pine forests affects the horizontal and vertical diversity of food webs, acting as a driver of bottom-up processes that then reinforce top-down processes. Altering the trophic relationships between predator assemblages and their prey has consequences for the provision of ecosystem services, especially in ecosystems in which ecological succession is heavily influenced by anthropogenic activities (Zhao et al. 2019).

Acknowledgments .- We thank W. Rosser, S. Jack, and R. Sanders of the T.L.L. Temple Foundation in Lufkin, TX, along with researchers of the USFS Southern Research Station for site access and logistical support. We are grateful to members of the C. Schalk and C. Montaña labs for their feedback on the manuscript. We also thank D. Thompson, K. Ward, J. Koenig, K. Jobe, N. Schiwitz, and D. Guidry for their assistance in data collection. Additionally, we would like to thank the three anonymous reviewers for taking the time and effort necessary to review the manuscript. We sincerely appreciate their valuable comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve the quality of the manuscript. Funding for this study was secured through grants provided by USFS, the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) McIntire-Stennis program, and Stephen F. Austin State University (SFASU) Office of Research and Graduate Studies Research and Creative Activities grant. The handling and use of animals in this study were approved by SFASU's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), reference number 2018-006, and Scientific Research Permit No. SPR-0519-087 from the Texas Parks & Wildlife Department. This paper was written and prepared in part by U.S. Government employees on official time, and therefore it is in the public domain and not subject to copyright. The findings and conclusions in this publication are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent an official USDA, UDFS, or United States Government determination or policy.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material associated with this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-23-00001.1.S1 and https://doi.org/10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-23-00001.1.S2.

LITERATURE CITED

- Adams, C.S., D. Saenz, K.R. Kidd, and C.M. Schalk. 2022. Disparate patterns of taxonomic and functional predator diversity under different forest management regimes. Ecological Indicators 136:108591.
- Anderson, M.J. 2017. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PER-MANOVA). Pp. 1–15 in Wiley StatsRef: Statistics Reference Online (N. Balakrishnan, T. Colton, B. Everitt, W. Piegorsch, F. Ruggeri, and J.L. Teugels, eds.). John Wiley and Sons Ltd., United Kingdom.
- Arnold, S.J. 1993. Foraging theory and prey size-predator size relations in snakes. Pp. 87–115 in Snakes: Ecology and Behavior (R.A. Seigel and J.T. Collins, eds.). Blackburn Press, USA.
- Arthur, M.A., R.D. Paratley, and B.A. Blankenship. 1998. Single and repeated fires affect survival and regeneration of woody and herbaceous species in an oak-pine forest. Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 125:225–236.
- Barbehenn, R.V., Z. Chen, D.N. Karowe, and A. Spickard. 2004. C3 grasses have higher nutritional quality than C4 grasses under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂. Global Change Biology 10:1565–1575.
- Batschelet, E. 1981. Circular Statistics in Biology. Academic Press, USA.
- Bearhop, S., C.E. Adams, S. Waldron, R.A. Fuller, and H. MacLeod. 2004. Determining trophic niche width: A novel approach using stable isotope analysis. Journal of Animal Ecology 73:1007–1012.
- Beaupre, S.J. (ed.). 2004. Guidelines for Use of Live Amphibians and Reptiles in Field and Laboratory Research, 2nd edition. American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, USA.
- Beaupre, S.J., and L.E. Douglas. 2009. Snakes as indicators and monitors of ecosystem properties. Pp. 244–261 in Snakes: Ecology and Conservation (S.J. Mullin and R.A. Seigel, eds.). Cornell University Press, USA.
- Beckerman, A.P., O.L. Petchey, and P.H. Warren. 2006. Foraging biology predicts food web complexity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103:13745–13749.
- Bellmore, J.R., J.R. Benjamin, M. Newsom, J.A. Bountry, and D. Dombroski. 2017. Incorporating food web dynamics into ecological restoration: A modeling approach for river ecosystems. Ecological Applications 27:814–832.
- Boecklen, W.J., C.T. Yarnes, B.A. Cook, and A.C. James. 2011. On the use of stable isotopes in trophic ecology. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 42:411–440.
- Bolnick, D.I., R. Svanbäck, J.A. Fordyce, L.H. Yang, J.M. Davis, C.D. Hulsey, and M.L. Forister. 2003. The ecology of individuals: Incidence and implications of individual specialization. American Naturalist 161:1–28.
- Bolnick, D.I., R. Svanbäck, M.S. Araújo, and L. Persson. 2007. Comparative support for the niche variation hypothesis that more generalized populations also are more heterogeneous. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 104:10075–10079.
- Borrvall, C., B. Ebenman, and T. Jonsson. 2000. Biodiversity lessens the risk of cascading extinction in model food webs. Ecology Letters 3:131–136.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S., and S. Legge. 2016. Pyrodiversity—Why managing fire in food webs is relevant to restoration ecology. Restoration Ecology 24:848–853.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S., G.L.W. Perry, S.I. Higgins, C.N. Johnson, S.D. Fuhlendorf, and B.P. Murphy. 2016. Pyrodiversity is the coupling of biodiversity and fire regimes in food webs. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 371:20150169.
- Brodié, J.F., Ć.E. Aslan, H.S. Rogers, K.H. Redford, J.L. Maron, J.L. Bronstein, and C.R. Groves. 2014. Secondary extinctions of biodiversity. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 29:664–672.
- Buchmann, N., J.R. Brooks, K.D. Rapp, and J.R. Ehleringer. 1996. Carbon isotope composition of C4 grasses is influenced by light and water supply. Plant, Cell & Environment 19:392–402.
- Buma, B. 2015. Disturbance interactions: Characterization, prediction, and the potential for cascading effects. Ecosphere 6:1–15.
- Burgdorf, S.J., D.C. Rudolph, R.N. Conner, D. Saenz, and R.R. Schaefer. 2005. A successful trap design for capturing large terrestrial snakes. Herpetological Review 36:421–424.
- Calizza, E., M.L. Costantini, G. Careddu, and L. Rossi. 2017. Effect of habitat degradation on competition, carrying capacity, and species assemblage stability. Ecology and Evolution 7:5784–5796.
- Cameron, E.K., M.K. Sundqvist, S.A. Keith, P.J. CaraDonna, E.A. Mousing, K.A. Nilsson, D.B. Metcalfe, and A.T. Classen. 2019. Uneven global distribution of food web studies under climate change. Ecosphere 10: e02645.
- Cardinale, B.J., M.A. Palmer, and S.L. Collins. 2002. Species diversity enhances ecosystem functioning through interspecific facilitation. Nature 415:426–429.

- Chazal, A.C., and P.H. Niewiarowski. 1998. Responses of mole salamanders to clearcutting: Using field experiments in forest management. Ecological Applications 8:1133–1143.
- Christensen, N.L., Jr. 2014. An historical perspective on forest succession and its relevance to ecosystem restoration and conservation practice in North America. Forest Ecology and Management 330:312–322.
- Cirtwill, A.R., G.V. Dalla Riva, M.P. Gaiarsa, M.D. Bimler, E.F. Cagua, C. Coux, and D.M. Dehling. 2018. A review of species role concepts in food webs. Food Webs 16:e00093.
- Clendenin, M., and W.G. Ross. 2001. Effects of cool season prescribed fire on understory vegetation in a mixed pine hardwood forest of east Texas. Texas Journal of Science 53:65–65.
- Closs, G.P., S.R. Balcombe, and M.J. Shirley. 1999. Generalist predators, interaction strength and food-web stability. Advances in Ecological Research 28:93–126.
- Cloyed, C.S., S.D. Newsome, and P.K. Eason. 2015. Trophic discrimination factors and incorporation rates of carbon- and nitrogen-stable isotopes in adult green frogs, *Lithobates clamitans*. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 88:576–585.
- Colston, T.J., G.C. Costa, and L.J. Vitt. 2010. Snake diets and the deep history hypothesis. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 101:476–486.
- Cowles, M.K., and B.P. Carlin. 1996. Markov chain Monte Carlo convergence diagnostics: A comparative review. Journal of the American Statistical Association 91:883–904.
- Daubenmire, R. 1959. Measurement of species diversity using canopy coverage classes. Northwest Science 33:43–66.
- DeGregorio, B.A., S.J. Chiavacci, P.J. Weatherhead, J.D. Willson, T.J. Benson, and J.H. Sperry. 2014. Snake predation on North American bird nests: Culprits, patterns and future directions. Journal of Avian Biology 45:325–333.
- DeGregorio, B.A., P.J. Weatherhead, and J.H. Sperry. 2016. Ecology and predation behavior of corn snakes (*Pantherophis guttatus*) on avian nests. Herpetological Conservation and Biology 11:150–159.
- DellaSala, D.A., M.L. Bond, C.T. Hanson, R.L. Hutto, and D.C. Odion. 2014. Complex early seral forests of the Sierra Nevada: What are they and how can they be managed for ecological integrity? Natural Areas Journal 34:310–324.
- DeMots, R.L., J.M. Novak, K.F. Gaines, A.J. Gregor, C.S. Romanek, and D.A. Soluk. 2010. Tissue–diet discrimination factors and turnover of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes in white-footed mice (*Peromyscus leucopus*). Canadian Journal of Zoology 88:961–967.
- Diaz-Toribio, M.H., S. Carr, and F.E. Putz. 2020. Pine savanna plant community disassembly after fire suppression. Journal of Vegetation Science 31:245–254.
- Diefendorf, A.F., K.E. Mueller, S.L. Wing, P.L. Koch, and K.H. Freeman. 2010. Global patterns in leaf 13C discrimination and implications for studies of past and future climate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107:5738–5743.
- Dierenfeld, E.S., T.M. Norton, N.L. Hyslop, and D.J. Stevenson. 2015. Nutrient composition of prey items consumed by free-ranging *Dry-marchon couperi* (Eastern indigo snakes). Southeastern Naturalist 14:551–560.
- di Lascio, A., L. Rossi, P. Carlino, E. Calizza, D. Rossi, and M.L. Costantini. 2013. Stable isotope variation in macroinvertebrates indicates anthropogenic disturbance along an urban stretch of the river Tiber (Rome, Italy). Ecological Indicators 28:107–114.
- Dornelas, M. 2010. Disturbance and change in biodiversity. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365:3719–3727.
- Dunne, J.A., R.J. Williams, and N.D. Martinez. 2002. Network structure and biodiversity loss in food webs: Robustness increases with connectance. Ecology Letters 5:558–567.
- Durso, A.M., J.D. Willson, and C.T Winne. 2013. Habitat influences diet overlap in aquatic snake assemblages. Journal of Zoology 291:185–193.
- Durso, A.M., G.D. Smith, S.B. Hudson, and S.S. French. 2020. Stoichiometric and stable isotope ratios of wild lizards in an urban landscape vary with reproduction, physiology, space and time. Conservation Physiology 8:coaa001.
- Durso, A.M., T.J. Kieran, T.C. Glenn, and S.J. Mullin. 2022. Comparison of three methods for measuring dietary composition of plains hog-nosed snakes. Herpetologica 78:119–132.
- Elliott, K.J., and J.M. Vose. 2005. Effects of understory prescribed burning on shortleaf pine (Pinus echinata Mill.)/mixed-hardwood forests. The Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society 132:236–251.
- Estes, J.A., J. Terborgh, J.S. Brashares, ... D.A. Wardle. 2011. Trophic downgrading of planet Earth. Science 333:301–306.

- Finke, D.L., and R.F. Denno. 2004. Predator diversity dampens trophic cascades. Nature 429:407–410.
- Finke, D.L., and W.E. Snyder. 2008. Niche partitioning increases resource exploitation by diverse communities. Science 321:1488–1490.
- Fitch, H.S. 1949. Road counts of snakes in western Louisiana. Herpetologica 5:87–90.
- Freeman, J.E., L.N. Kobziar, E.H. Leone, and K. Williges. 2019. Drivers of plant functional group richness and beta diversity in fire-dependent pine savannas. Diversity and Distributions 25:1024–1044.
- Frost, C.C. 1993. Four centuries of changing landscape patterns in the longleaf pine ecosystem. Pp. 17–43 in Proceedings of the Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference, Volume 18. (M. Hermann, ed.). Tall Timbers Research Station, USA.
- Gellner, G., and K.S. McCann. 2016. Consistent role of weak and strong interactions in high- and low-diversity trophic food webs. Nature Communications 7:1–7.
- Gessner, M.O., C.M. Swan, C.K. Dang, B. McKie, G.R.D. Bardgett, D.H. Wall, and S. Hättenschwiler. 2010. Diversity meets decomposition. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 25:372–380.
- Glaudas, X., K.L. Glennon, M. Martins, . . . and G.J. Alexander. 2019. Foraging mode, relative prey size and diet breadth: A phylogenetically explicit analysis of snake feeding ecology. Journal of Animal Ecology 88:757–767.
- Gómez-Rey, M.X., A. Couto-Vázquez, S. García-Marco, and S.J. González-Prieto. 2013. Impact of fire and post-fire management techniques on soil chemical properties. Geoderma 195:155–164.
- Gorini, L., J.D. Linnell, R. May, M. Panzacchi, L. Boitani, M. Odden, and E.B. Nilsen. 2012. Habitat heterogeneity and mammalian predator–prey interactions. Mammal Review 42:55–77.
- Greene, R.E., R.B. Iglay, K.O. Evans, D.A. Miller, T.B. Wigley, and S.K. Riffell. 2016. A meta-analysis of biodiversity responses to management of southeastern pine forests—Opportunities for open pine conservation. Forest Ecology and Management 360:30–39.
- Gröning, M. 2004. International stable isotope reference materials. Pp. 874– 906 in Handbook of Stable Isotope Analytical Techniques, vol. 1 (P.A. De Groot, ed.). Elsevier, The Netherlands.
- Guldin, J.M. 2019. Restoration of native fire-adapted Southern pine–dominated forest ecosystems: Diversifying the tools in the silvicultural toolbox. Forest Science 65:508–518.
- Guldin, J.M., and M.W. Black. 2018. Restoration of shortleaf pine in the southern United States—Strategies and tactics. Pp. 281–287 in Proceedings of the 19th Biennial Southern Silvicultural Research Conference, 2017 March 14–16, Blacksburg, VA (J.E. Kirschman, ed.). e-Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-234. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, USA.
- Hampton, P.M. 2008. Prey items of the western ribbon snake, *Thamnophis proximus*. Southwestern Naturalist 53:115–118.
- Hampton, P.M. 2013. Feeding in natricines: Relationships among feeding morphology, behavior, performance and preferred prey type. Journal of Zoology 290:215–224.
- Hampton, P.M., and N.B. Ford. 2007. Effects of flood suppression on natricine snake diet and prey overlap. Canadian Journal of Zoology 85:809– 814.
- Hanberry, B.B., D.C. Bragg, and T.F. Hutchinson. 2018. A reconceptualization of open oak and pine ecosystems of eastern North America using a forest structure spectrum. Ecosphere 9:e02431.
- Havens, K. 2014. Lake eutrophication and plankton food webs. Pp. 73–80 in Eutrophication: Causes, Consequences and Control (A. Ansari and S. Gill, eds.). Springer, The Netherlands. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ 978-94-007-7814-6_6.
- Hector, A., and R. Hooper. 2002. Darwin and the first ecological experiment. Science 295:639–640.
- Heithaus, M.R., A. Frid, A.J. Wirsing, and B. Worm. 2008. Predicting ecological consequences of marine top predator declines. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 23:202–210.
- Hendricks, J.J. 2019. Population Demographics and Active Foraging Behavior of Copperheads (Agkistrodon contortrix) at a Recreational Site in Eastern Kentucky. Ph.D. dissertation, Eastern Kentucky University, USA.
- Hibbitts, T.D., and T.J. Hibbitts. 2015. Texas Lizards: A Field Guide. University of Texas Press, USA.
- Hocking, D.J., G.M. Connette, C.A. Conner, B.R. Scheffers, S.E. Pittman, W.E. Peterman, and R.D. Semlitsch. 2013. Effects of experimental forest management on a terrestrial, woodland salamander in Missouri. Forest Ecology and Management 287:32–39.
- Hooper, D.U., F.S. Chapin, III, J.J. Ewel, ... D.A. Wardle. 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: A consensus of current knowledge. Ecological Monographs 75:3–35.

- Horswill, C., J.A. Jackson, R. Medeiros, R.W. Nowell, P.N. Trathan, and T.C. O'Connell. 2018. Minimising the limitations of using dietary analysis to assess foodweb changes by combining multiple techniques. Ecological Indicators 94:218–225.
- Howze, J.M., and L.L. Smith. 2021. The influence of prescribed fire on site selection in snakes in the longleaf pine ecosystem. Forest Ecology and Management 481:118703.
- Huber, E., T.L. Bell, and M.A. Adams. 2013. Combustion influences on natural abundance nitrogen isotope ratio in soil and plants following a wildfire in a sub-alpine ecosystem. Oecologia 173:1063–1074.
- Hyodo, F. 2015. Use of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes in insect trophic ecology. Entomological Science 18:295–312.
- Ings, T.C., J.M. Montoya, J. Bascompte, ... G. Woodward. 2009. Ecological networks—Beyond food webs. Journal of Animal Ecology 78:253–269.
- Jackson, A.L., R. Inger, A.C. Parnell, and S. Bearhop. 2011. Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within communities: SIBER–Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. Journal of Animal Ecology 80:595–602.
- Jellyman, P.G., and A.R. McIntosh. 2020. Disturbance-mediated consumer assemblages determine fish community structure and moderate topdown influences through bottom-up constraints. Journal of Animal Ecology 89:1175–1189.
- Jennings, S., J.K. Pinnegar, N.V. Polunin, and K.J. Warr. 2001. Impacts of trawling disturbance on the trophic structure of benthic invertebrate communities. Marine Ecology Progress Series 213:127–142.
- Jentsch, A., and P. White. 2019. A theory of pulse dynamics and disturbance in ecology. Ecology 100:e02734.
- Jin, W., H.S. He, S.R. Shifley, W.J. Wang, J.M. Kabrick, and B.K. Davidson. 2018. How can prescribed burning and harvesting restore shortleaf pineoak woodland at the landscape scale in central United States? Modeling joint effects of harvest and fire regimes. Forest Ecology and Management 410:201–210.
- Kane, L.P., S.K. Chinnadurai, K. Vivirito, D. Strahl-Heldreth, and M.C. Allender. 2020. Comparison of isoflurane, sevoflurane, and desflurane as inhalant anesthetics in prairie rattlesnakes (*Crotalus viridis*). Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association 257:945–949.
- Kattge, J., G. Bönisch, S. Díaz, ... A.T. Acosta. 2020. TRY plant trait database—Enhanced coverage and open access. Global Change Biology 26:119–188.
- Kiffney, P.M., S.M. Naman, J.M. Cram, M. Liermann, and D.G. Burrows. 2018. Multiple pathways of C and N incorporation by consumers across an experimental gradient of salmon carcasses. Ecosphere 9:e02197.
- Kirkman, L.K. and S.B. Jack. (eds.). 2017. Ecological Restoration and Management of Longleaf Pine Forests. CRC Press, USA.
- Klemmer, A.J., and J.S. Richardson. 2013. Quantitative gradient of subsidies reveals a threshold in community-level trophic cascades. Ecology 94:1920–1926.
- Kohn, M.J. 2010. Carbon isotope compositions of terrestrial C3 plants as indicators of (paleo) ecology and (paleo) climate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107:19691–19695.
- Lagesse, L.A., and N.B. Ford. 1996. Ontogenetic variation in the diet of the southern copperhead, Agkistrodon contortrix, in northeastern Texas. Texas Journal of Science 48:48–54.
- Lattanzio, M.S., and D.B. Miles. 2016. Trophic niche divergence among colour morphs that exhibit alternative mating tactics. Royal Society Open Science 3:150531.
- Layman, C.A., and A.L. Rypel. 2020. Secondary production is an underutilized metric to assess restoration initiatives. Food Webs 25:e00174.
- Layman, C.A., D.A. Arrington, C.G. Montaña, and D.M. Post. 2007. Can stable isotope ratios provide for community-wide measures of trophic structure? Ecology 88:42–48.
- Layman, C.A., M.S. Araujo, R. Boucek, ... S. Bearhop. 2012. Applying stable isotopes to examine food-web structure: An overview of analytical tools. Biological Reviews 87:545–562.
- Layman, C.A., S.T. Giery, S. Buhler, ... S.K. Archer. 2015. A primer on the history of food web ecology: Fundamental contributions of fourteen researchers. Food Webs 4:14–24.
- Layman, C.A., J.E. Allgeier, and S.T. Giery. 2020. Moving beyond semantics: Advancing restoration with food web approaches. Food Webs 25: e00175.
- Le Bourg, B., Y. Letourneur, D. Bănaru, J. Blanchot, C. Chevalier, G. Mou-Tham, B. Lebneton, and M. Pagano. 2018. The same but different: Stable isotopes reveal two distinguishable, yet similar, neighbouring food chains in a coral reef. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 98:1589–1597.

- LeCraw, R.M., P. Kratina, and D.S. Srivastava. 2014. Food web complexity and stability across habitat connectivity gradients. Oecologia 176:903– 915.
- Lennon, C.P. 2013. Dietary Ecology of an Actively-Foraging Snake Species: Coluber constrictor foxii. Master's thesis, Eastern Illinois University, USA.
- Lillywhite, H.B. 2014. How Snakes Work: Structure, Function and Behavior of the World's Snakes. Oxford University Press, UK.
- Loch, J.M., L.J. Walters, and G.S. Cook. 2020. Recovering trophic structure through habitat restoration: A review. Food Webs 25:e00162.
- Lourdais, O., G.E. Gartner, and F. Brischoux. 2014. Ambush or active life: Foraging mode influences haematocrit levels in snakes. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 111:636–645.
- Luiselli, L. 2003. Do snakes exhibit shifts in feeding ecology associated with the presence or absence of potential competitors? A case study from tropical Africa. Canadian Journal of Zoology 81:228–236.
- Luiselli, L., G. Akani, F. Angelici, L. Ude, and S. Wariboko. 2005. Seasonal variation in habitat use in sympatric Afrotropical semi-aquatic snakes, *Grayia smythii* and Afronatrix anoscopus (Colubridae). Amphibia-Reptilia 26:372–376.
- Luiselli, L. 2006a. Resource partitioning and interspecific competition in snakes: The search for general geographical and guild patterns. Oikos 114:193–211.
- Luiselli, L. 2006b. Broad geographic, taxonomic and ecological patterns of interpopulation variation in the dietary habits of snakes. Web Ecology 6:2–16.
- Manlick, P.J., J.A. Cook, and S.D. Newsome. 2023. The coupling of green and brown food webs regulates trophic position in a montane mammal guild. Ecology 104:e3949.
- Mao, Z., X. Gu, Y. Cao, M. Zhang, Q. Zeng, H. Chen, R. Shen, and E. Jeppesen. 2020. The role of top-down and bottom-up control for phytoplankton in a subtropical shallow eutrophic lake: Evidence based on long-term monitoring and modeling. Ecosystems 23:1449–1463.
- Marks, P.L., and P.A. Harcombe. 1975. Community diversity of coastal plain forests in southern east Texas. Ecology 56:1004–1008.
- Marshall, S.A. 2006. Insects: Their Natural History and Diversity. Firefly Books, USA.
- Massoud, E.C., J. Huisman, E. Benincà, M.C. Dietze, W. Bouten, and J.A. Vrugt. 2018. Probing the limits of predictability: Data assimilation of chaotic dynamics in complex food webs. Ecology Letters 21:93–103.
- Masters, R.E. 2007. The importance of shortleaf pine for wildlife and diversity in mixed oak-pine forests and in pine-grassland woodlands. Pp. 35–46 in Shortleaf Pine Restoration and Ecology in the Ozarks: Proceedings of a Symposium (J.M. Kabrick and D. Gwaze, eds.). USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station, General Technical Report GTR-NRS-P-15. United States Department of Agriculture, USA.
- Mateo, M.A., O. Serrano, L. Serrano, and R.H. Michener. 2008. Effects of sample preparation on stable isotope ratios of carbon and nitrogen in marine invertebrates: Implications for food web studies using stable isotopes. Oecologia 157:105–115.
- Matula, R., R. Řepka, J. Šebesta, J.L. Pettit, J. Chamagne, M. Šrámek, K. Horgan, and P. Maděra. 2020. Resprouting trees drive understory vegetation dynamics following logging in a temperate forest. Scientific Reports 10:1–10.
- May, R.M. 2019. Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems. Princeton University Press, USA.
- McCann, K.S. 2000. The diversity-stability debate. Nature 405:228-233.
- McCrackin, M.L., H.P. Jones, P.C. Jones, and D. Moreno-Mateos. 2017. Recovery of lakes and coastal marine ecosystems from eutrophication: A global meta-analysis. Limnology and Oceanography 62:507–518.
- McKnight, D.T., J.R. Harmon, J.L. McKnight, and D.B. Ligon. 2014. Notes on the diets of seven sympatric snakes in the genera Agkistrodon, Nerodia, Sistrurus, and Thannophis. Herpetology Notes 7:171–177.
- McLeod, A.M., and S.J. Leroux. 2020. The multiple meanings of omnivory influence empirical, modular theory and whole food web stability relationships. Journal of Animal Ecology 90:447–459.
- McWethy, D.B., A.J. Hansen, and J.P. Verschuyl. 2010. Bird response to disturbance varies with forest productivity in the northwestern United States. Landscape Ecology 25:533–549.
- Miyashita, T., and S. Niwa. 2006. A test for top-down cascade in a detritusbased food web by litter-dwelling web spiders. Ecological Research 21:611–615.
- Montoya, J.M., S.L. Pimm, and R.V. Solé. 2006. Ecological networks and their fragility. Nature 442:259–264.

- Moore, J.C., E.L. Berlow, D.C. Coleman, ... D.H. Wall. 2004. Detritus, trophic dynamics and biodiversity. Ecology Letters 7:584–600.
- Moore, J.W., and B.X. Semmens. 2008. Incorporating uncertainty and prior information into stable isotope mixing models. Ecology Letters 11:470– 480.
- Moreno-Mateos, D., E.B. Barbier, P.C. Jones, H.P. Jones, J. Aronson, J.A. López-López, M.L. McCrackin, P. Meli, D. Montoya, and J.M.R. Benayas. 2017. Anthropogenic ecosystem disturbance and the recovery debt. Nature Communications 8:1–6.
- Mori, A.S., K.P. Lertzman, and L. Gustafsson. 2017. Biodiversity and ecosystem services in forest ecosystems: A research agenda for applied forest ecology. Journal of Applied Ecology 54:12–27.
- Morillo-Velarde, P.S., P. Briones-Fourzán, L. Álvarez-Filip, S. Aguíñiga-García, A. Sánchez-González, and E. Lozano-Álvarez. 2018. Habitat degradation alters trophic pathways but not food chain length on shallow Caribbean coral reefs. Scientific Reports 8:4109.
- Morris, D.L., P.A. Porneluzi, J. Haslerig, R.L. Clawson, and J. Faaborg. 2013. Results of 20 years of experimental forest management on breeding birds in Ozark forests of Missouri, USA. Forest Ecology and Management 310:747–760.
- Mougi, A. 2020. Coupling of green and brown food webs and ecosystem stability. Ecology and Evolution 10:9192–9199.
- Murphy, B.P., and D.M. Bowman. 2009. The carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of Australian grasses in relation to climate. Functional Ecology 23:1040–1049.
- Murray, I.W., and B.O. Wolf. 2012. Tissue carbon incorporation rates and diet-to-tissue discrimination in ectotherms: Tortoises are really slow. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 851:96–105.
- Nagelkerken, I., G. Van der Velde, S.L.J. Wartenbergh, M.M. Nugues, and M.S. Pratchett. 2009. Cryptic dietary components reduce dietary overlap among sympatric butterflyfishes (Chaetodontidae). Journal of Fish Biology 75:1123–1143.
- Newsome, S.D., C. Martinez del Rio, S. Bearhop, and D.L. Phillips. 2007. A niche for isotopic ecology. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 5:429–436.
- Nielsen, J.M., E.L. Clare, B. Hayden, M.T. Brett, and P. Kratina. 2018. Diet tracing in ecology: Method comparison and selection. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 9:278–291.
- Noto, A.E., and T.C. Gouhier. 2020. The effects of intraspecific and interspecific diversity on food web stability. Theoretical Ecology 13:399–407.
- Nowlin, W.H., M.J. González, M.J. Vanni, M.H.H. Stevens, M.W. Fields, and J.J. Valente. 2007. Allochthonous subsidy of periodical cicadas affects the dynamics and stability of pond communities. Ecology 88:2174–2186.
- Oksanen, J., F.G. Blanchet, M. Friendly, ... H. Wagner. 2019. vegan: Community Ecology Package. Available at http://CRAN.R-project.org/packag e=vegan. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria.
- Owen, K., K. Charlton-Robb, and R. Thompson. 2011. Resolving the trophic relations of cryptic species: An example using stable isotope analysis of dolphin teeth. PLoS One 6:e16457.
- Paine, R.T. 1980. Food webs: Linkage, interaction strength and community infrastructure. Journal of Animal Ecology 49:667–685.
- Palmer, M.A., J.B. Zedler, and D.A. Falk. 2016. Ecological theory and restoration ecology. Pp. 3–26 in Foundations of Restoration Ecology (K. Holl, ed.). Island Press, USA.
- Parnell, A.C., R. Inger, S. Bearhop, and A.L. Jackson. 2010. Source partitioning using stable isotopes: Coping with too much variation. PLoS One 5:e9672.
- Perkins, M.W., C.S. Cloyed, and P.K. Eason. 2020. Intraspecific dietary variation in niche partitioning within a community of ecologically similar snakes. Evolutionary Ecology 34:1017–1035.
- Peterson, B.J., and B. Fry. 1987. Stable isotopes in ecosystem studies. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 18:293–320.
- Phillips, D.L., and P.M. Eldridge. 2006. Estimating the timing of diet shifts using stable isotopes. Oecologia 147:195–203.
- Phillips, D.L., R. Inger, S. Bearhop, A.L. Jackson, J.W. Moore, A.C. Parnell, B.X. Semmens, and E.J. Ward. 2014. Best practices for use of stable isotope mixing models in food-web studies. Canadian Journal of Zoology 92:823–835.
- Pickett, S.T., and P.S. White (eds.). 2013. The Ecology of Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics. Elsevier, The Netherlands.
- Pilgrim, M.A. 2005. Linking Microgeographic Variation in Pigmy Rattlesnake (*Sistrurus miliarius*) Life History and Demography with Diet Composition: A Stable Isotope Approach. M.S. thesis, University of Arkansas, USA.
- Pimm, S.L. 1982. Food Webs. Springer, The Netherlands.

- Polis, G.A., and S.D. Hurd. 1996. Allochthonous input across habitats, subsidized consumers, and apparent trophic cascades: Examples from the ocean–land interface. Pp. 275–285 in Food Webs: Integration of Patterns and Dynamics (G.A. Polis and K.O. Winemiller, eds.). Springer, USA.
- Polis, G.A., and K.O. Winemiller. 1996. Food Webs: Integration of Patterns and Dynamics. Springer Science & Business Media, USA.
- Ponisio, L.C. 2020. Pyrodiversity promotes interaction complementarity and population resistance. Ecology and Evolution 10:4431–4447.
- Post, D.M. 2002. Using stable isotopes to estimate trophic position: Models, methods, and assumptions. Ecology 83:703–718.
- Price, E.L., M. Sertić Perić, G.Q. Romero, and P. Kratina. 2019. Land use alters trophic redundancy and resource flow through stream food webs. Journal of Animal Ecology 88:677–689.
- Purvis, A., J.L. Gittleman, G. Cowlishaw, and G.M. Mace. 2000. Predicting extinction risk in declining species. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 267:1947–1952.
- Quevedo, M., R. Svanbäck, and P. Eklöv. 2009. Intrapopulation niche partitioning in a generalist predator limits food web connectivity. Ecology 90:2263–2274.
- Quezada-Romegialli, C., A.L. Jackson, B. Hayden, K.K. Kahilainen, C. Lopes, and C. Harrod. 2018. tRophicPosition, an R package for the Bayesian estimation of trophic position from consumer stable isotope ratios. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 9:1592–1599.
- R Core Team. 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, Version 4.3.2. Available at https://www.R-project.org/. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Austria.
- Raffaelli, D., W.H. Van der Putten, L. Persson, D.A. Wardle, O.L. Petchey, J. Koricheva, M. van der Heijden, J. Mikola, and T. Kennedy. 2002. Multi-trophic dynamics and ecosystem processes. Pp. 147–154 in Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Synthesis and Perspectives (M. Loreau, S. Naeem, and P. Inchausti, eds.). Oxford University Press, UK.
- Rask, M., T. Malinen, M. Olin, H. Peltonen, J. Ruuhijärvi, S. Vesala, and J. Hietala. 2020. Responses of the fish community in a eutrophicated lake to long-term food web management assessed by multiple sampling methods. Hydrobiologia 847:4559–4573.
- Rebelato, M.M., K.O. Winemiller, A.M. Durso, A.M. Tozetti, P.B. de Camargo, and L. Verrastro. 2020. What do stable isotopes tell us about the trophic ecology of *Thamnodynastes hypoconia* (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) in southern Brazil? Zoology 141:125812.
- Reynolds, R.P., and N.J. Scott, Jr. 1982. Use of a mammalian resource by a Chihuahuan snake community. Pp. 99–118 in Herpetological Communities (N.J. Scott, Jr., ed.). Wildlife Research Report 13. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, USA.
- Ripple, W.J., J.A. Estes, R.L. Beschta, ... A.J. Wirsing. 2014. Status and ecological effects of the world's largest carnivores. Science 343:1241484. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1241484.
- Roeder, K.A., and M. Kaspari. 2017. From cryptic herbivore to predator: Stable isotopes reveal consistent variability in trophic levels in an ant population. Ecology 98:297–303.
- Rooney, N., and K.S. McCann. 2012. Integrating food web diversity, structure and stability. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27:40–46.
- Rother, M.T., J.M. Huffman, C.H. Guiterman, K.M. Robertson, and N. Jones. 2020. A history of recurrent, low-severity fire without fire exclusion in southeastern pine savannas, USA. Forest Ecology and Management 475:118406.
- Russell, C.C., R.E. Thill, and D. Kulhavy. 2002. The Stephen F. Austin Experimental Forest. East Texas Historical Journal 40:11.
- Sabo, J.L., R. Sponseller, M. Dixon, ... J. Welter. 2005. Riparian zones increase regional species richness by harboring different, not more, species. Ecology 86:56–62.
- Saiz, G., J.G. Wynn, C.M. Wurster, I. Goodrick, P.N. Nelson, and M.I. Bird. 2015. Pyrogenic carbon from tropical savanna burning: Production and stable isotope composition. Biogeosciences 12:1849–1863.
- Sanders, D., L. Sutter, and F.F. van Veen. 2013. The loss of indirect interactions leads to cascading extinctions of carnivores. Ecology Letters 16:664–669.
- Sanders, D., R. Kehoe, and F.F. van Veen. 2015. Experimental evidence for the population-dynamic mechanisms underlying extinction cascades of carnivores. Current Biology 25:3106–3109.
- Sanders, D., E. Thébault, R. Kehoe, and F.F. van Veen. 2018. Trophic redundancy reduces vulnerability to extinction cascades. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115:2419–2424.
- Schalk, C.M., C.G. Montaña, K.O. Winemiller, and L.A. Fitzgerald. 2017. Trophic plasticity, environmental gradients and food-web structure of tropical pond communities. Freshwater Biology 62:519–529.

- Schalk, C.M., T. Trees, J.B. Pierce, and D.C. Rudolph. 2018. Food habits of sympatric pitvipers from the West Gulf Coastal Plain, USA. Herpetological Review 49:1–5.
- Schalk, C.M., Y.H. Weng, C.S. Adams, and D. Saenz. 2022. Spatiotemporal patterns of snake captures and activity in upland pine forests. American Midland Naturalist 187:195–209.
- Schmidly, D.J., and R.D. Bradley. 2016. The Mammals of Texas. University of Texas Press, USA.
- Semmens, B.X., J.W. Moore, and E.J. Ward. 2009. Improving Bayesian isotope mixing models: A response to Jackson et al. (2009). Ecology Letters 12:E6–E8.
- Sheehan, T.N., and K.D. Klepzig. 2022. Arthropods and fire within the biologically diverse longleaf pine ecosystem. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 115:69–94.
- Shine, R., and X. Bonnet. 2000. Snakes: A new "model organism" in ecological research? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 15:221–222.
- Shurin, J.B., D.S. Gruner, and H. Hillebrand. 2006. All wet or dried up? Real differences between aquatic and terrestrial food webs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 273:1–9.
- Silva Pedro, M., W. Rammer, and R. Seidl. 2017. Disentangling the effects of compositional and structural diversity on forest productivity. Journal of Vegetation Science 28:649–658.
- Sohngen, B., and S. Brown. 2006. The influence of conversion of forest types on carbon sequestration and other ecosystem services in the south central United States. Ecological Economics 57:698–708.
- Sousa, W.P. 1984. The role of disturbance in natural communities. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 15:353–391.
- Spencer, M.M., M.T. Pierson, and C.M. Gienger. 2020. Comparative energetics and thermal responses to feeding in allied *Agkistrodon* snakes with contrasting diet and habitat use. Journal of Comparative Physiology B 190:329–339.
- Steen, D.A., A.E. Rall McGee, S.M. Hermann, J.A. Stiles, S.H. Stiles, and C. Guyer. 2010. Effects of forest management on amphibians and reptiles: Generalist species obscure trends among native forest associates. Open Environmental Sciences 4:24–30.
- Stellati, L., N. Borgianni, N., Bissattini, ... L. Vignoli. 2019. Living with aliens: suboptimal ecological condition in semiaquatic snakes inhabiting a hot spot of allodiversity. Acta Oecologica 100:103466.
- Stock, B.C., and B.X. Semmens. 2013. MixSIAR User Manual, Version 3.1. Available at https://github.com/brianstock/MixSIAR. Zenodo, Switzerland. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.47719.
- Strong, D.R., and K.T. Frank. 2010. Human involvement in food webs. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 35:1–23.
- Supp, S.R., and S.M. Ernest. 2014. Species-level and community-level responses to disturbance: A cross-community analysis. Ecology 95:1717– 1723.
- Sutton, W.B., Y. Wang, C.J. Schweitzer, and D.A. Steen. 2014. Lizard microhabitat and microclimate relationships in southeastern pine–hardwood forests managed with prescribed burning and thinning. Forest Science 60:180–190.
- Terborgh, J., and J.A. Estes (eds.). 2013. Trophic Cascades: Predators, Prey, and the Changing Dynamics of Nature. Island Press, USA.
- Terborgh, J., L. Lopez, P. Nuñez, ... L. Balbas. 2001. Ecological meltdown in predator-free forest fragments. Science 294:1923–1926.
- Thébault, E., and M. Loreau. 2005. Trophic interactions and the relationship between species diversity and ecosystem stability. American Naturalist 166:E95–E114.
- Thom, D., and R. Seidl. 2016. Natural disturbance impacts on ecosystem services and biodiversity in temperate and boreal forests. Biological Reviews 91:760–781.
- Tilman, D., F. Isbell, and J.M. Cowles. 2014. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 45:471–493.
- Tipton, B.L. 2012. Texas Amphibians: A Field Guide. University of Texas Press, USA.
- Toft, C.A. 1985. Resource partitioning in amphibians and reptiles. Copeia 1985:1–21.
- Trauth, S.E., and C.T. McAllister. 1995. Vertebrate prey of selected Arkansas snakes. Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science 49:188–192.
- Turner, M.G. 2010. Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. Ecology 91:2833–2849.
- Tutterow, A.M., A.S. Hoffman, J.L. Buffington, Z.T. Truelock, and W.E. Peterman. 2021. Prey-driven behavioral habitat use in a low-energy ambush predator. Ecology and Evolution 11:15601–15621.

- Ubick, D., P. Paquin, P.E. Cushing, and V.D. Roth (eds.). 2017. Spiders of North America: An Identification Manual. American Arachnological Society, USA.
- Vanderklift, M.A., and S. Ponsard. 2003. Sources of variation in consumerdiet δ 15 N enrichment: A meta-analysis. Oecologia 136:169–182.
- Vander Zanden, M.J., and J.B. Rasmussen. 1999. Primary consumer δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N and the trophic position of aquatic consumers. Ecology 80:1395–1404.
- Vander Zanden, M.J., J.D. Olden, C. Gratton, and T.D. Tunney. 2016. Food web theory and ecological restoration. Pp. 301–329 in Foundations of Restoration Ecology (K. Holl, ed.). Island Press, USA.
- Veldman, J.W., W.B. Mattingly, and L.A. Brudvig. 2013. Understory plant communities and the functional distinction between savanna trees, forest trees, and pines. Ecology 94:424–434.
- Votier, S.C., S. Bearhop, A. MacCormick, N. Ratcliffe, and R.W. Furness. 2003. Assessing the diet of great skuas, *Catharacta skua*, using five different techniques. Polar Biology 26:20–26.
- Wang, S., U. Brose, and D. Gravel. 2019. Intraguild predation enhances biodiversity and functioning in complex food webs. Ecology 100:e02616.
- Wang, Y., Z. Xu, and Q. Zhou. 2014. Impact of fire on soil gross nitrogen transformations in forest ecosystems. Journal of Soils and Sediments 14:1030–1040.
- Weatherhead, P.J., and T. Madsen. 2009. Linking behavioral ecology to conservation objectives. Pp. 149–171 in Snakes: Ecology and Conservation (S.J. Mullin and R.A. Seigel, eds.). Cornell University Press, USA.
- Werler, J.E., and J.R. Dixon. 2010. Texas Snakes: Identification, Distribution, and Natural History. University of Texas Press, USA.
- West, J.B., G.J. Bowen, T.E. Cerling, and J.R. Ehleringer. 2006. Stable isotopes as one of nature's ecological recorders. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 21:408–414.

- White, C.R., and G.L. Harley. 2016. Historical fire in longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) forests of south Mississippi and its relation to land use and climate. Ecosphere 7:e01458.
- Willson, J.D., and W.A. Hopkins. 2011. Prey morphology constrains the feeding ecology of an aquatic generalist predator. Ecology 92:744–754.
- Willson, J.D., C.T. Winne, M.A. Pilgrim, C.S. Romanek, and J.W. Gibbons. 2010. Seasonal variation in terrestrial resource subsidies influences trophic niche width and overlap in two aquatic snake species: A stable isotope approach. Oikos 119:1161–1171.
- Winne, C.T., J.D. Willson, K.M. Andrews, and R.N. Reed. 2006. Efficacy of marking snakes with disposable medical cautery units. Herpetological Review 37:52–54.
- Woo, K.J., K.H. Elliott, M. Davidson, A.J. Gaston, and G.K. Davoren. 2008. Individual specialization in diet by a generalist marine predator reflects specialization in foraging behaviour. Journal of Animal Ecology 77:1082– 1091.
- Zhao, Q., P.J. Van den Brink, C. Carpentier, ... F. De Laender. 2019. Horizontal and vertical diversity jointly shape food web stability against small and large perturbations. Ecology Letters 22:1152– 1162.
- Zhang, Y., P. Luo, S. Zhao, S. Kang, P. Wang, M. Zhou, and J. Lyu. 2020. Control and remediation methods for eutrophic lakes in the past 30 years. Water Science and Technology 81:1099–1113.

Accepted on 6 April 2024 Published on 4 July 2024