

Conditional immune-gene suppression of honeybees parasitized by Varroa mites

Authors: Gregory, Pamela G., Evans, Jay D., Rinderer, Thomas, and de Guzman, Lilia

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 5(7) : 1-5

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.005.0701>

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Conditional immune-gene suppression of honeybees parasitized by *Varroa* mites

Pamela G. Gregory¹, Jay D. Evans², Thomas Rinderer³ and Lilia de Guzman³

¹USDA-ARS Honeybee Research Unit, 2413 E. HWY 83, Weslaco, Texas 78596, USA

²USDA-ARS Bee Research Laboratory, BARC-East Bldg. 476, Beltsville, Maryland 20705, USA

³USDA-ARS Honeybee Breeding, Genetics and Physiology Laboratory, 1157 Ben Hur Road Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70820–5502, USA

pgregory@WESLACO.ARS.USDA.GOV

Received 22 April 2004, Accepted 7 November 2004, Published 25 March 2005

Abstract

The ectoparasitic mite, *Varroa destructor*, is the most destructive parasite of managed honeybee colonies worldwide. Since *V. destructor* transfers pathogens to honeybees, it may be adaptive for bees to respond to mite infestation by upregulating their immune responses. Mites, however, may overcome the host's immune responses by suppressing them, which could facilitate the mite's ability to feed on hemolymph. A humoral immune response of bees parasitized by *V. destructor* may be detected by studying the expression levels of antibacterial peptides, such as abaecin and defensin, known to be immune-responsive. Expression levels for these two antibacterial peptides changed non-linearly with respect to the number of mites parasitizing honeybee pupae. Bees exposed to low or moderate number of mites had fewer immune-related transcripts than pupae that were never parasitized or pupae with high mite loads. Although many of the pupae tested indicated the presence of bacteria, no correlation with mite numbers or immune-response levels existed. All bees tested negative for acute paralysis and Kashmir bee viruses known to be vectored by *V. destructor*.

Keywords: abaecin, antimicrobial peptide, *Apis mellifera*, defensin, innate immunity

Introduction

Apis mellifera honeybees have numerous parasites and pathogens. The most destructive is the ectoparasitic mite, *Varroa destructor*. *Varroa* infestations result in colony-level mortality if untreated with acaricides. *A. mellifera* is not the natural host for *V. destructor*. The host shift of *V. destructor* from *Apis cerana* to *A. mellifera* occurred when humans introduced *A. mellifera* into regions where *A. cerana* occurred. Mites quickly spread throughout most of the current range of *A. mellifera* (Oldroyd 1999). Adult female *V. destructor* mites feed on adult bees, while both adult and immature mites of both sexes feed on developing pupal bees. *V. destructor* has direct impact on developing and adult bees, including lowered body weights (Bowen-Walker and Gunn 2001; de Jong *et al.* 1982) and reduced longevity (de Jong and de Jong 1983, Kovac and Crailsheim 1988). These impacts translate into both lowered productivity (Murilhas 2002) and higher mortality at the colony level.

V. destructor are also known to be associated with honeybee pathogens and are confirmed in some cases to be vectors of disease. Several experimental studies indicate that mites transfer single-stranded RNA viruses between bees (Bowen-Walker *et al.* 1999; Chen *et al.* 2004). Mites also produce wounds through the exoskeleton of bees while feeding and these wound sites are known to harbor infections of *Melissococcus pluton*, a primary component of the brood disease European foulbrood (Kanbar and Engels 2003).

Given the possibility that mites can transmit disease, it may be

adaptive for bees to respond to mite presence by upregulating their immune responses. Alternatively, mites could benefit by inhibiting the immune responses of bees if bee responses incorporate peptides, enzymes, or cells that negatively impact the feeding of mites. Finally, bees that are parasitized by mites could be less able to mount an effective immune response due to physiological costs of both parasitism and the immune response itself.

Honeybees appear to mount a cellular immune response at wound sites caused by *V. destructor* (Kanbar and Engels 2003). Bees also possess a humoral immune response leading to an upregulation of several antimicrobial peptides in response to both wound infections (Casteels-Josson *et al.* 1994) and oral bacterial infections (Evans 2004). To explore whether the presence of *V. destructor* affects the humoral immune response, transcript levels for two antimicrobial peptides, abaecin and defensin, that show activity against bacteria were examined. Both show activity against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria (Casteels *et al.* 1990, 1994). It was found that bees exposed to low or moderate numbers of mites sharply reduce their immune-peptide transcripts when compared to both heavily parasitized and unparasitized bees.

Materials and Methods

Collection of honeybees

Honeybee pupae were collected from an apiary at the USDA Honeybee Breeding, Genetics and Physiology Laboratory, Baton

Rouge, Lousiana, in August 2002. All bees were of *Apis mellifera ligustica* stock, from a single breeder in northern California. Brood cells from a total of 7 colonies were uncapped individually and adult and nymphal mites in each cell counted. Bee pupae from cells containing zero, one, two, three, four, five, or six mites were held in a -80 °C freezer until RNA extraction. Pupal cells with 1–4 mites and 5–6 mites were considered moderately and highly infested respectively. Pupae were classified according to their developmental state as described in Bitondi *et al.* (1998) and weighed. Pupae were divided into three approximate age groups, brown-eyed, unpigmented cuticle (280 hours), brown-eyed, light pigmented cuticle (315 hours), and brown-eyed, medium pigmented cuticle (350 hours).

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from abdomens of individual pupae using the RNAqueous protocol (Ambion, www.ambion.com), after which RNA's were quantified by spectrophotometry. DNA was removed using 45-minute DNase incubation at 37 °C (5 Units DNase I in appropriate buffer; Boehringer Mannheim (www.roche.com) with the RNase inhibitor RNasin; Ambion). Next, 1st-strand cDNA's were generated from approximately 2 µg total RNA using a mix of 50 U Superscript II (Invitrogen, www.invitrogen.com), 2 nmol DNTp mix, and a composite of 2 nmol poly dT-18 and 0.1 nmol poly dT(12–18). Synthesis was carried out at 42 °C for 1 hour.

Quantitative PCR amplification

DNA products were amplified in 96-well microtiter trays using specific oligonucleotide primers and an Icycler Real-Time PCR thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, www.bio-rad.com). Fifty µl reactions consisted of 2 U *Taq* DNA polymerase with suggested buffer (Boehringer Mannheim), 0.2 µM fluorescein, 1 mM DNTp mix, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 µM of each primer and a final concentration of 2.5× SYBRGreen 1 (Applied Biosystems, appliedbiosystems.com). Oligonucleotide primers for PCR are described in Table 1. Abaecin and defensin primers were designed from precursor sequences for these genes (Casteels-Josson *et al.* 1994; Evans 2004). Primers pl3123.f and pl3123.r were designed from the 16s rRNA sequence

of *Paenibacillus larvae larvae* (Heyndrickx 1996), a bacterial species responsible for American foulbrood disease. These primers also closely matched the 16s gene sequences of several other species of *Paenibacillus*, and these species could also be cross-amplified. We screened for presence of acute bee paralysis virus and Kashnmir bee virus in these samples using PCR primers that cross-amplify the capsid protein from these two species (primers Cap1S and Cap1A, Evans 2001). Transcript levels for a gene with low transcriptional variation across bees (microsomal glutathione-S-transferase; mGsT; Evans and Wheeler 2000) were used to normalize against variable mRNA levels. Expression of this gene strongly correlates with total RNA levels predicted by spectrophotometry.

The thermal program for all reactions was 95 °C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of (95 °C for 30 s, 58 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min 30 s). To insure the PCR products were the predicted sizes, melt-curve analyses were conducted. Fluorescence was measured repeatedly during the 58 °C step using appropriate laser excitation and filtration (Evans 2004).

Data analyses

Fluorescence levels were normalized using average fluorescence from the fluorescein included in the reaction mix. Threshold cycles were defined when well fluorescence became greater than 10 times the mean standard deviation across all samples. Threshold cycle numbers for defensin and abaecin were then subtracted from the MGsT threshold for each sample. This value was then scaled as a power of 1.8, the *de facto* reaction efficiency, to produce an estimate of relative cDNA abundance. Analyses of variance were carried out using source honeybee colony and mite number as factors and the controlled threshold cycle for abaecin or defensin as a response. Separate analyses of variance contrasted the presence or absence of bacteria against mite number, abaecin or defensin transcript levels as nominal (mite number) or continuous variables, respectively. We also used pupal age as a covariate in testing for factors involved with immune-gene transcript levels.

Results

Body mass

No direct impact of mite numbers on the body mass of pupae was observed. Mite-free pupae weighed 126.3 mg, on average (least-squares mean, SE = 2.32, n = 24), those with 1–4 mites averaged 124.5 mg (SE = 0.0016, n = 40), those with 5–6 mites averaged 126.5 mg (SE = 0.0017, n = 28). Body weight also did not vary significantly by pupal age, nor was there an interaction between pupal age and mite load with respect to body weight (2-way ANOVA, p = 0.8 for mite load × age interaction). There was significant body-weight variation at the level of colonies (ANOVA df = 7, F Ratio = 5.0, p < 0.0001). Colony-level mean body mass for six colonies with five or more sampled pupae ranged from 119 mg (SE = 2.2 mg) to 130 mg (SE 1.2 mg).

Antibiotic peptide expression

Both abaecin and defensin transcript levels varied significantly as a function of mite presence (Fig. 1). Generally pupae infested with 1–4 adult and immature mites had lower levels of antimicrobial transcripts than did pupae with either no mites or heavy

Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers and sequence identification for real-time quantitative RT-PCR.

Primer Name	Sequence (5' to 3')	Genbank Entry
Abaecin.F	CAGCATTCGCATACGTACCA	U15954
Abaecin.R	GACCAGGAAACGTTGGAAAC	“
Defensin.F	TGCGCTGCTAACTGTCTCAG	U15955
Defensin.R	AATGGCACTTAACCGAAACG	“
Mgst1.F	TTGCTCTGTAAGGTTGTTTTCG	BG101686
Mgst1.R	TGTCTGGTTAACTACAAATCCTTCTG	“
PI3123.F	AGGGTAACGGCTTACCAAGG	AY030079
PI3123.R	CTACGCATTTACCGCTACA	“
CAP1S	GGCGAGCCACTATGTGCTAT	AF263736
CAP1A	ATCTTCAGCCCACTT	“




Figure 1. Expression levels (mean \pm SE) for pupae exposed to different numbers of mites for antibacterial genes abaecin (a) and defensin (b).

infections of 5–6 mites. This trend differed slightly for the two antimicrobial peptides. For abaecin, expression levels with a single mite were significantly lower than were those with no mites. However,




Figure 2. Variation between bee body weight and antimicrobial peptide transcript levels for abaecin (a) and defensin (b).

for defensin this difference was not significant, although bees with 2, 3, and 4 mites showed significant inhibition. There was no significant relationship between pupal body weight and antimicrobial peptide expression (Fig. 2). Expression of abaecin and defensin did not vary as a function of pupal age (mixed-model ANOVA with mite number and age as factors, $p = 0.8$, $n = 12$, 57, and 25 bees in the age classes 280 h, 315 h, and 350 h, respectively).

Transcript levels for abaecin and defensin were significantly correlated among individual bees, (correlation coefficient = 0.33, $p < 0.0005$). Abaecin levels did not differ between the 7 colonies

analyzed (Fig. 3a). Defensin levels were similar in 6 colonies, while transcripts for this gene appeared to be essentially absent in most pupae in colony "C" (Fig. 3b). The mean level of defensin found in pupae of this colony was > 100-fold less than that found in the remaining colonies (mean levels of = 0.0019, SE = 0.003 versus 0.398, SE = 0.16).

Presence of potential pathogens

Pupae screened for bacteria in the genus *Paenibacillus* showed low 16S rRNA transcript levels when compared to larvae orally inoculated *in vitro* with *P. l. larvae* (Evans 2004). Overall 58% (n = 94) of screened pupae indicated the presence of *Paenibacillus* sp. but levels of bacteria were not correlated with mite number. Bacteria were present in 62% of the pupae with no mites (n = 24), 57% of pupae with a moderate number of mites (1 to 4 mites, n = 40) and 54% of pupae with high numbers of mites (5 or 6 mites, n = 28). Bacterial levels did not vary as a function of pupal age. Transcript levels of both immuno-peptides (abaecin and defensin) did not change as a function of bacterial presence. No signs of clinical American foulbrood or of viral disease were present in these samples, nor were there signs of transcripts from acute bee paralysis or Kashmir bee viruses.

Discussion

Honeybee pupae collected when parasitized by moderate numbers of *V. destructor* showed a significant down-regulation of immune-related transcripts. It is possible that mites directly reduce bee immune responses when they begin to feed, perhaps as a means of ensuring that feeding sites are maintained. Interestingly, the apparent suppression of immune-gene transcripts disappeared when bee pupa were faced with higher mite loads. Several non-exclusive mechanisms might explain this idiosyncratic relationship between mite parasitism and immune responses.

First, immune-gene suppression by *V. destructor* could be ephemeral, such that older pupae collected with mite foundresses, daughters, and sons eventually restart their immune response. Mite load in our study reflects the number of invading foundresses and nymphal offspring found within each cell. Accordingly, pupae with heavy mite loads may have been challenged for a longer time, allowing a stronger initiation of an immune response. This scenario is weakened, however, because there was no correlation between pupal age and immune-gene activity regardless of mite load. We would expect a correlation if bees could counteract mite suppression of their immune systems over time. Alternatively, high numbers of mites may be required to generate the specific cues or stress levels sufficient for upregulation of immune-related genes. The presence of immunity-inducing pathogens could have been especially high in the heavily parasitized bees used in this study, a result suggested in the context of virus transmission by Chen *et al.* (2004). No difference in pathogen load was found, although only a subset of possible pathogens important for developing bees (Morse and Flottum 1997) were surveyed. It would be interesting to search more exhaustively for correlates between immune-gene activity and the presence of one or more pathogens.

We have focused on the humoral immune response, and it will be interesting to determine the impact of *V. destructor* on the




Figure 3. Abaecin (a) and defensin (b) transcript (mean \pm SE) for pupae in 7 different honeybee colonies.

cellular immune response (Tzou *et al.* 2002). Kanbar and Engels (2003) observed a cellular immune response at wound sites on honeybee pupae where *V. destructor* have fed. They noted an aggregation of haemocytes in the center of the wounds. This observation suggests that haemocytes are involved both with deterring subsequent infections and with healing wound sites. Future studies could explore both the localization of humoral and cellular immune responses involved with wound sites, and the dynamics by which these immune responses change in response to the introduction of pathogens. This and future studies will help define the role *V. destructor* plays in vectoring diseases as well as mechanisms used by bees to limit the impact of this novel pest.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ahline Angeles and Molly McCoy for assistance

in collecting pupal samples and Dawn Lopez for laboratory assistance. Supported in part by USDA-NRI grant # 2002-02546 to JDE. This work was completed in cooperation with the Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station.

References

- Bitondi MMG, Mora IM, Simoes ZLP, Figueiredo VLC. 1998. The *Apis mellifera* pupal melanization program is affected by treatment with a juvenile hormone analogue. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 44:499–507.
- Bowen-Walker PL, Gunn A. 2001. The effect of the ectoparasitic mite, *Varroa destructor* on adult worker honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) emergence weights, water, protein, carbohydrate, and lipid levels. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* 101:207–217.
- Bowen-Walker PL, Martin SJ, Gunn A. 1999. The transmission of deformed wing virus between honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) by the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 73:101–106.
- Casteels-Josson K, Zhang W, Capaci T, Casteels P, Tempst P. 1994. Acute transcriptional response of the honeybee peptide-antibiotics gene repertoire and required post-translational conversion of the precursor structures. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 269:28569–28575.
- Casteels P, Ampe C, Riviere L, Van Damme J, Elicone C, Fleming M, Jacobs F, Tempst P. 1990. Isolation and characterization of abaecin, a major antibacterial response peptide in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). *European Journal of Biochemistry* 187:381–386.
- Chen Y, Pettis JS, Evans JD, Kramer M, Feldlaufer MF. 2004. Transmission of Kashmir bee virus by the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor* Anderson and Trueman. *Apidologie* 35:441–448.
- De Jong D, De Jong PH. 1983. Longevity of Africanized honeybees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) infested by *Varroa jacobsoni* (Parasitiformes: Varroidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 76:766–768.
- De Jong D, De Jong PH, Goncalves LS. 1982. Weight loss and other damage to developing worker honeybees from infestation with *Varroa jacobsoni*. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 21:165–167.
- Evans JD. 2004. Transcriptional immune response by honeybee larvae during invasion by the bacterial pathogen, *Paenibacillus larvae*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 85:105–111.
- Evans JD. 2001. Genetic evidence for coinfection of honeybees by acute bee paralysis and Kashmir bee viruses. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 78:189–193.
- Evans JD, Wheeler DE. 2000. Expression profiles during honeybee caste determination. *Genome Biology* 2:research0001.1–0001.6.
- Heyndrickx M, Vandemeulebroecke K, Hoste B, Janssen P, Kersters K, De Vos P, Logan NA, Ali N, and Berkeley RCW. 1996. Reclassification of *Paenibacillus* (formerly *Bacillus*) *pulvifaciens* (Nakamura 1984) Ash *et al.* 1994, a later subjective synonym of *Paenibacillus* (formerly *Bacillus*) larvae (White 1906) Ash *et al.* 1994, as a subspecies of *P. larvae*, with emended descriptions of *P. larvae* as *P. larvae* subsp. *larvae* and *P. larvae* subsp. *pulvifaciens*. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 46:270–279.
- Kanbar G, Engels W. 2003. Ultrastructure and bacterial infection of wounds in honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) pupae punctured by *Varroa* mites. *Parasitology Research*. 90:349–354.
- Kovac H, Crailsheim K. 1988. Lifespan of *Apis-mellifera-carnica* Pollm. infested by *Varroa-Jacobsoni* Oud. in relation to season and extent of infestation. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 27:230–238.
- Morse RA, Flottum K. 1997. *Honeybee Pests Predators and Diseases*. A.I. Root Co., Medina, Ohio.
- Murilhas AM. 2002. *Varroa destructor* infestation impact on *Apis mellifera carnica* capped worker brood production, bee population and honey storage in a Mediterranean climate. *Apidologie* 33:271–281.
- Oldroyd BP. 1999. Coevolution while you wait: *Varroa jacobsoni*, a new parasite of western honeybees. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 14:312–315.
- Tzou P, De Gregorio E, Lemaitre B. 2002. How *Drosophila* combats microbial infection: A model to study innate immunity and host-pathogen interactions. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* 5:102–110.