

# Moscovian (Middle Pennsylvanian) Foraminifers and Biostratigraphy of the Ichinotani Formation, Hida Marginal Terrane, Japan

Author: Kobayashi, Fumio

Source: Paleontological Research, 27(2): 160-181

Published By: The Palaeontological Society of Japan

URL: https://doi.org/10.2517/PR210013

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Moscovian (middle Pennsylvanian) foraminifers and biostratigraphy of the Ichinotani Formation, Hida Marginal Terrane, Japan

# FUMIO KOBAYASHI

Suzukakedai 1-1-19, Sanda, Hyogo 669-1322, Japan (e-mail: fkoba1@outlook.jp)

Received May 18, 2021; Revised manuscript accepted November 29, 2021; Published online November 1, 2022

Abstract. The Moscovian part of the Ichinotani Formation is subdivided into six fusuline zones from lower to upper, *Fusulinella kamitakarensis*, *Fusulina*? sp., *Fusulinella hanzawai–Fusulina kamensis*, *Fusulinella rhomboidalis–Protriticites ovatus*, *Beedeina lanceolata*, and *Fusulinella rhomboidalis–Fusulinella soligalichi*. The strata underlying the first zone, previously assigned to the Moscovian, are reassigned to the upper part of the Bashkirian. Age-diagnostic species are scarce in the possibly Kashirian *F. kamitakarensis* Zone and are absent in the *F*.? sp. Zone. The third to the sixth zones are correlated to the Podolskian, Myachkovian, Podolskian, and Myachkovian of the stratotypes in the Russian Platform, respectively. The fourth zone is inferred to be fault bounded with the fifth zone. The sixth zone is overlain by the lower part of the Kasimovian *Protriticites variabilis* Zone. Twenty species of fusulines and five species of non-fusuline foraminifers are described. Newly proposed herein are *Pseudojanischewskina titanica*, *Bradyinelloides paranautiliformis*, and *Fusulinella igoi*.

ZooBank registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:CFC5EA00-5D62-471E-87AB-ED27695F98FE

Keywords: Biostratigraphy, Foraminifers, Hida Marginal Terrane, Ichinotani Formation, Moscovian

## Introduction

The Carboniferous Ichinotani Formation in the Fukuji area, Hida Marginal Terrane, is notable in its lithologic composition of shallow-water limestone interbedded with reddish mudstone and sharpstone conglomerate (Igo, 1956, 1957). The Ichinotani Formation is conformably overlain by the "Lower Permian" Mizuyagadani Formation (Pseudoschwagerina Zone) and was divided lithostratigraphically into Lower, Middle, and Upper members by Adachi (1985), and biostratigraphically into the Millerella, Profusulinella, Fusulinella, Fusulina, and Triticites zones from lower to upper by Igo (1957). Igo's (1957) biostratigraphic zonation and correlation, and fusuline taxonomy were reexamined by Niikawa (1978, 1980) and Igo et al. (1984). The Pseudoschwage*rina* Zone assigned to the Asselian (lowest Cisuralian) by these workers was reassigned to the Gzhelian (uppermost Pennsylvanian) by Watanabe (1991).

Kobayashi et al. (2022) subdivided the Lower Member of the Ichinotani Formation into the Eostaffella kanmerai, Pseudostaffella antiqua, Pseudostaffella kanumai, *Profusulinella fukujiensis*, and *Profusulinella dagmarae* zones from lower to upper. They made clear (1) a remarkable faunal transition between the *E. kanmerai* Zone and the *P. antiqua* Zone, and (2) strata with late Bashkirian *Pseudostaffella-Profusulinella* assemblages recognizable both in the upper part of the Lower Member and the lower part of the Middle Member. Moreover, Kobayashi (2022) revealed that the upper part of the Upper Member of the formation contains strata with Moscovian faunal elements in addition to the Kasimovian and Gzhelian, suggesting a more complicated geological structure of the formation than previously presumed.

As a continuation of my recent studies in the Fukuji area, the fusuline biostratigraphy, faunal composition, and correlation of the main part of the Moscovian strata in the Ichinotani Formation are reviewed in this paper. A significantly different interpretation from the previous works of the main part of the Moscovian is supported by the fault-bounded relationship between the seemingly lowerlying Myachkovian strata and seemingly upperlying Podolskian strata in the lower part of the Upper Member. Described herein are 20 species of fusulines including *Fusulinella igoi* sp. nov., and five species of non-fusuline foraminifers including *Pseudojanischewskina titanica* sp. nov. and *Bradyinelloides paranautiliformis* sp. nov. Limestone thin sections of foraminifers used in this paper are stored in the collection of Museum of Nature and Human Activities, Hyogo (Fumio Kobayashi Collection, MNHAH).

## Stratigrapic setting

The Ichinotani Formation, trending ENE to WSW and dipping steeply to almost vertically, is typically exposed along the middle reaches of the Ichinotani Valley, Fukuji area (Figure 1). The thickness of the formation is estimated as about 350 meters in the type section. The strata of the formation are in fault bounded contact with the strata of the Devonian Fukuji Formation, and overlain by strata of the Lower Permian Mizuyagadani Formation (Kamei, 1952; Igo, 1956), the lower part of which was revised to the uppermost Pennsylvanian by Watanabe (1991).

The Ichinotani Formation is lithostratigraphically divided into Lower, Middle, and Upper members, and further subdivided into 4 units in the Lower Member, 24 units in the Middle Member, and 33 units in the Upper Member accoding to Adachi (1985). The lithostratigraphic division of the main part of the Moscovian in this paper fundamentally follows that of Adachi (1985). The middle to upper part of the Middle Member corresponds to Adachi's units 17 to 28, and the lower to middle part of the Upper Member to units 29 to 51 (Figure 2). Adachi's units from 5 to 16 in lower part of the Middle Member are reassigned to the upper Bashkirian (Tashatinian to Asatausian) according to Kobayashi et al. (2022). That is, late Bashkirian Pseudostaffella-Profusulinella assemblages occur not only in the upper part of the Lower Member, but also in the lower part of the Middle Member. The faultbounded relationship is inferred between Adachi's (1985) units 16 and 17 through the intrusion of dyke rocks, as well as the relationship between units 36 and 37 (between the Myachkovian Fusulinella rhomboidalis-Protriticites ovatus Zone and the Podolskian Beedeina lanceolata Zone) in the lower part of the Uppper Member (Figure 2), as discussed in the next section.

Adachi's (1985) unit 51 is overlain by the unit 52 referable to the lower Kasimovian that contains *Protriticites variabilis* Bensh, 1972 (Kobayashi, 2022). Several meters thick Moscovian limestones with *Beedeina*, *Fusulinella*, *Ozawainella*, and others are also distinguished at least in two horizons in the upper part of the Upper Member of the formation, which is treated as the *Triticites* Zone by Niikawa (1978) or the *Triticites exculptus– T. hidensis* Zone by Igo *et al.* (1984). These Moscovian



**Figure 1.** Map showing the location of the studied section of the Ichinotani Formation in the middle reaches of the Ichinotani valley. Topographic map is from 1:50,000 maps "Kamikochi" published by the Geospatial Information Authority of Japan.

limestones, not distinguished by previous workers, are in fault bounded contact with the upper Kasimovian to lower Gzhelian limestones (Kobayashi, 2022).

### **Biostratigraphy**

Based on the stratigraphic distribution of fusulines, the main part of the Moscovian is subdivided into six zones, from lower to upper: (1) *Fusulinella kamitakarensis* Zone, (2) *Fusulina?* sp. Zone, (3) *Fusulinella hanzawai-Fusulina kamensis* Zone, (4) *Fusulinella rhomboidalis-Protriticites ovatus* Zone, (5) *Beedeina lanceolata* Zone, and (6) *Fusulinella rhomboidalis–Fusulinella soligalichi* Zone (Figure 2). More than 40 species of non-fusuline for-aminifers were distinguished in these six zones, although all of them are not shown in Figure 2. The appropriate zonation, however, could not be established on the basis of biostratigraphic ranges of them in this paper. Adachi (1985) distinguished 148 species of non-fusuline foraminifers from the Ichinotani Formation, although no biostratigraphic zonation based on them was presented. For



**Figure 2.** Columnar section of the middle part of the Ichinotani Formation (modified from Adachi, 1985, fig. 4), stratigraphic level of samples, stratigraphic distribution of foraminifers and biostratigraphic zonation (this paper). Roman numerals shown in the left side of the column correspond to the unit numbers used in Adachi (1985). A: limestone, B: mudstone, C: sandstone, D: tuff, E: dyke rocks.

Fumio Kobayashi



Figure 3. Biostratigraphic correlation of the middle part of Ichinotani Formation.

the sake of convenience to understand where and how the previous works are modified in this paper, the fusuline zonation and correlation of the Moscovian are tabulated in Figure 3.

The Fusulinella kamitakarensis Zone is named for oolitic limestone and wackestone/lime-mudstone in the middle part of the Middle Member of the Ichinotani Formation. Fine-grained, ooid wackestone of sample Ic-27 yields commonly F. kamitakarensis Igo, 1957, and rarely Fusiella typica Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930 and Semistaffella multiforme (Villa in Villa and Merino-Tomé, 2016). The first species is confined to this zone, and the second and third species extend to the uppermost part of the lower part of the Upper Member. The third species was proposed from the Bashkirian/Moscovian of the Cantabrian Mountains (NW Spain). Though uncertain in their details due to insufficient description, Igo et al. (1984) showed the occurrence of Fusulina sp. A in association with Fusulinella kamitakarensis, Fusulinella simplicata Toriyama, 1958, Aljutovella? sp., Ozawainella vozhgalica Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951, and others from the units 17 and 19. However, detailed morphologic comparison of these species to the present materials is difficult. This is because almost all specimens illustrated in eight figures of Igo et al. (1984) are only one per species and all are drawn by hand and with no magnification.

The Fusulinella kamitakarensis Zone is in fault bounded contact with strata of the lowerlying upper Bashkirian in the lower part of the Middle Member (Kobayashi et al., 2022). Foraminifers suggesting the Vereian and biostratigraphically correlatable to the Akiyoshiella ozawai Zone in the Akiyoshi Terrane (Kobayashi, 2017) have not been discovered in the Ichinotani Formation. This zone is assumed to be possibly assignable to the Kashirian in spite of the lack of available species for the international biostratigraphic correlation.

The biostratigraphic boundary between the *Fusulinella kamitakarensis* Zone and *Fusulina*? sp. Zone is indeterminable because there are no exposures more than 30 m in thickness toward the limestone bed, from which sample Ic-28 consisting of bioclastic wackestone was collected (Figure 2). Foraminifers are still sporadic or barren in the stratigraphic interval from Ic-28 to the level below Ic-29. This interval is tentatively named the *Fusulina*? sp. Zone. The species *Fusulina*? sp., *Eoschubertella* sp., *Turrispiroides multivolutus* (Reitlinger, 1949), and *Endothyranella protracta* Rauzer-Chernousova, 1938 rarely occur in Ic-28 (Figure 10.15), but no species positively suggesting either the Kashirian or Podolskian are identified in Ic-28.

The Fusulinella hanzawai–Fusulina kamensis Zone is designated for the stratigraphic interval from units 24 to 28 composed of grey thick-bedded limestone and reddish to dark grey mudstone. This zone might be compared to the upper part of the Fusulinella kamitakarensis–Fusulinella hanzawai Zone of Igo et al. (1984). The abundance and species diversity of fusulines and non-fusulines become rich in the lower part of this zone (Figure 2). Fusulines characteristic in and confined to this zone are Fusulinella hanzawai Igo, 1957, Fusulina kamensis Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951, Fusulinella pseudobocki Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930, Fusulinella sp. A, and Beedeina sp. A. Among the species present, F. hanzawai is the most prolific. Fusulina kamensis was proposed from the upper part of Podolskian of the Kama River region and Samara Bend of the Russian Platform (Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951). Fusulinella asiatica Igo, 1957 and F. pseudobocki were designated as the zonal species of the zone overlying the F. kamitakarensis-F. hanzawai Zone by Igo et al. (1984) (Figure 3). The former species, however, first appears in this zone and extends to the Fusulinella rhomboidalis-Fusulinella soligalichi Zone, along with Pseudojanischewskina titinica sp. nov., Bradyinelloides paranautiliformis sp. nov., Bradyina nautiliformis von Möller, 1878, Ozawainella cf. angulata (Colani, 1924), Iriclinella mameti (Igo and Adachi, 1981), Globivalvulina moderata Reitlinger, 1949, and others. Their stratigraphic range is shown in Figure 2. Taking the known ranges of Fusulinella hanzawai in the Ichinotani Formation (Igo et al., 1984) and Fusulina kamensis in Russia into account, this zone is correlated to the Podolskian.

The Fusulinella rhomboidalis-Protriticites ovatus Zone, designated for the stratigraphic interval from units 29 to 36, mainly consists of grey to light grey bedded limestones dominated by ooid grainstone in the lower part, and dark grey to black bioclastic limestone irregularly containing seams of black mudstone in the upper part. Age-diagnostic species of this zone are represented by the two zonal species. Fusulinella rhomboidalis was proposed by Niikawa (1978) both from the Lower Zone of Fusulinella-Fusulina correlated to the Vereian? to the Kashirian by Niikawa and the Upper Zone of Fusulinella-Fusulina done to the Myachkovian by Niikawa (Figure 3). On the other hand, this species is restricted to the Myachkovian, and not found in the Vereian, Kashirian, and Podolskian of the Akiyoshi and Omi limestones (Kobayashi, 2017). Protriticites ovatus was originally described from the Myachkovian of Donbas (Putrya, 1948) and later from the Myachkovian of Moldova (Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951). It is designated as the zonal species of the uppermost Moscovian (Leven et al., 2006; Davydov et al., 2012). Accordingly, the Fusulinella rhomboidalis-Protriticites ovatus Zone is correlatable to the Myachkovian. Beedeina sp. B and Fusulina sp. exclusively occur in this zone. Other fusuline and non-fusuline foraminiferal species recognized in this zone are shown in Figure 2.

The *Beedeina lanceolata* Zone, designated for the stratigraphic interval from units 37 to 40, consists of black micritic limestone highly dominant in fusulines in the lower part, and of dark grey limestone poor in fusulines and calcareous sandstone in the upper part. The occurrence of Fusulinidae in the upper part is restricted to *Fusulinella*? sp. On the other hand, *Beedeina lanceolata* (Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930) and *Neostaffella umbilicata* (Putrya and Leontovich, 1948) are very abundant in many black limestone beds of the lower part. The

former species, originally assigned to *Fusulina* (*Girty-ina*), is considered to be a senior homonym of *Fusulina ichinotaniensis* Igo, 1957 that was designated as the zonal species of the "*Beedeina ichinotaniensis* Zone" by Igo *et al.* (1984). *Neostaffella umbilicata* ranges from the Kashirian to Podolskian in Russia (Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951), and is inferred to be as old as the Podolskian taking the one-way trend evolution of *Pseudostaffella*—*Neostaffella* lineage from the upper Bashkirian to Moscovian (Rauzer-Chernousova, 1963) into consideration. Accordingly, this zone is correlated to the Podolskian, though age-diagnostic species have not been distinguished in the upper part of this zone.

Thus, the faunal composition of characteristic and age-diagnostic species, as mentioned above, is significantly different between the *Fusulinella rhomboidalis*– *Protriticites ovatus* Zone and the *Beedeina lanceolata* Zone. The biostratigraphic distribution of these species suggests the fault-bounded relation between the seemingly lowerlying former zone (Myachkovian) and seemingly upperlying latter zone (Podolskian). However, any conspicuous disturbance suggesting post-depositional tectonic deformation has not been distinguished between the two zones in the field.

Hidaella kameii Fujimoto and Igo, 1955 and Ozawainella vozhgalica Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951 are also characteristic in the Beedeina lanceolata Zone and always occur in association with B. lanceolata and Neostaffella umbilicata. These four species are confined to the lower part of this zone in the Ichinotani section. Those species from the upper part of the Zone of Beedeina shown by Niikawa (1980, figs. 2, 3) are not from that of the Ichinotani section, but are from that of the Mizuboradani section. The acme of occurrences of Fusiella typica and Fusiella hayashii Igo, 1957 agrees with the lower part of this zone. On the other hand, both Fusulina and fusulines surely assignable to Fusulinella are absent in this part. Likewise, subordinate faunal elements such as Eoschubertella, Semistaffella, Schubertella, and Eostaffella, common to rare in the lowerlying and upperlying zones of this zone, are almost absent in the Beedeina lanceolata Zone. Pseudojanischewskina aff. akiyoshiensis Kobayashi and Vachard, 2019 is confined to this zone.

The *Fusulinella rhomboidalis–Fusulinella soligalichi* Zone is designated for the stratigraphic interval from units 41 to 51, and is composed of medium- to thick-bedded, mainly grey partly black to dark grey limestone beds. The unit 51 is conformably overlain by unit 52 containing *Protriticites variabilis* Bensh, 1972 referable to the lower Kasimovian (Kobayashi, 2022).

The generic composition of fusulines is considerably different between this zone and the *Beedeina lanceolata* 

Zone. Fusulinella and Eoschubertella are dominant and characteristic in the former zone are entirely or nearly absent in the latter zone. On the other hand, Beedeina, Neostaffella, and Hidaella are absent in the former zone. Fusiella typica and F. hayashii are characteristic in both zones. Fusulinella igoi sp. nov. is confined to this zone and Fusulinella asiatica commonly occurs in this zone. The faunal composition of non-fusuline foraminifers is more or less similar between both zones and more than 20 taxa are recognized in this zone and in the Beedeina lanceolata Zone.

The occurrence of two zonal species, Fusulinella rhomboidalis and Fusulinella soligalichi Dalmatskaya, 1961 is available for the correlation of this zone. The former species is also present in the Myachkovian F. rhomboidalis-Protriticites ovatus Zone, as noted above. The latter species, confined to this zone, was originally described from the upper Podolskian and the lower Myachkovian along the Volga of the Russian Platform (Dalmatskaya, 1961). It is closely similar to Fusulinella soligalichi polasnensis Rauzer-Chernousova, 1961 and F. valida Reitlinger, 1961 proposed from the lower part of the Myachkovian in the Russian Platform. Based on the stratigraphic ranges of the two zonal species, this zone is correlated to the Myachkovian. From the units 46 and 51, Igo et al. (1984) showed the occurrence of F. soligalichi polasnensis, by which the upper part of the Zone of Fusulina by Igo (1957) is presumed to have been replaced to the "Fusulinella soligalichi" Zone by Igo et al. (1984) (Figure 3), though this interpretation is uncertain in the details due to their insufficient biostratigraphic description.

# **Description of species**

Twenty species of fusulines and five species of nonfusuline foraminifers are described below. Registration numbers and sample localities of the illustrated specimens are shown in the explanation of Figures 4-10. Four species that are abundant, very characteristic in, and restricted to the Beedeina lanceolata Zone (Beedeina lanceolata, Neostaffella umbilicata, Hidaella kameii, and Ozawainella vozhgalica) are described in another paper. In this paper, only three or five specimens per species are illustrated.

#### Genus Endothyra Phillips, 1846

Type species.—Endothyra bowmani Phillips, 1846.

# Endothyra igoi Kobayashi, 1994

Figure 5.25-5.27, 5.32-5.35

Endothyra igoi Kobayashi, 1994, p. 620, 622, 624, figs. 3.1-3.7.

Remarks.--This large-sized Endothyra is abundant to common in the Upper Member of the Ichinotani Formation and is identified with Endothyra igoi proposed by Kobayashi (1994) from the upper Serpukhovian limestone blocks of the southern Kanto Mountains. They are closely similar to each other in size and shape, and ontogenetic change of coiling pattern of the test, long septa inclined anteriorly, and distinct septal sutures. Endothyra sp. A (Figures 5.18-5.24) is provisionally distinguished from this species by having smaller test.

# Genus Planoendothyra Reitlinger in Voloshinova and Reitlinger, 1959

Type species.—Endothyra aljutovica Reitlinger, 1950.

### Planoendothyra sp.

### Figure 5.30, 5.31

Remarks.—Two specimens illustrated are marked by a narrowly discoidal test with broad umbilical depressions, initial two whorls coiled at a large angle to outer evolute and planispiral whorls, and distinct basal floor deposits. They are assigned to Planoendothyra rather than to Iricrinella by their perpendicularly coiled inner whorls highly skewed to outer whorls and fewer evolute whorls, and having distinct basal floor deposits. This unnamed species is similar to Planoendothyra irinae (Reitlinger, 1950), originally assigned to Endothyra and proposed from the Kasimovian of Moldova, in its evolute and rapidly expanding outer whorls, but has a larger test with more deeply umbilical depressions and a more rounded periphery. It is easily distinguished from Iriclinella mameti (Igo and Adachi, 1981) from the Middle and Upper members of the Ichinotani Formation (Figure 5.1) by a larger test, thicker wall, and the presence of basal floor deposits.

## Genus Bradyinelloides Mamet and Pinard, 1992

Type species.—Bradyina pseudonautiliformis Reitlinger, 1950.

## Bradyinelloides paranautiliformis sp. nov.

Figure 6.14, 6.15, 6.18-6.21

ZooBank lsid: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:33C7174C-86BC-4B15-A36F-02E72EC2FE44

Etymology.—Similar to Bradyina nautiliformis von Möller, 1878.

Type specimens.—Holotype MNHAH D2-036020 (axial section, Figure 6.21) from Fj-12. Paratypes: two axial sections (Figures 6.14, 6.15), one tangential section



Figure 4. 1-4, Turrispiroides multivolutus; 1, D2-059418, Ic-55; 2, D2-058996, Ic-30; 3, D2-059138, Ic-37; 4, D2-059188, Ic-40; 5-7, Endothyranella protracta; 5, D2-058922, Ic-28; 6, D2-058916, Ic-28; 7, D2-059194, Ic-40; 8, Endothyranella gracilis Rauzer-Chernousova, 1938, D2-036032, Fj-13; 9, Endothyranella sp. A, D2-059205, Ic-40; 10-12, Spiroplectammina sp.; 10, D2-059200, Ic-40; 11, D2-059331, Ic-52; 12, D2-059300, Ic-50; 13, Ammodiscus? sp., D2-059421, Ic-55; 14, 22 Cribrostomum sp.; 14, D2-059453, Ic-58; 22, D2-059046, Ic-30; 15, Ammovertella? sp., D2-036017, Fj-13; 16, Pseudoglomospira sp., D2-036017, Fj-13; 17, 18, Palaeonubecularia spp.; 17, D2-035934, Fj-12; 18, D2-059199, Ic-40; 19, Spireitlina tokmovensis (Reitlinger, 1961), D2-059504, Ic-62; 20, Endothyranella sp. B, D2-035956, Fj-12; 21, Hemigordius? sp., D2-059302, Ic-50; 23, Palaeotextularia sp., D2-058949, Ic-29; 24, Deckerella? sp., D2-059398, Ic-54; 25, Climacammina tenuis Lin, 1978, D2-059511, Ic-62; 26, Climacammina longissimoides Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930, D2-059355, Ic-52; 27, 28, Deckerella bashkirica Morozova, 1949; 27, D2-059401, Ic-54; 28, D-058948, Ic-29; 29, Cribrogenerina celebrata Lin, 1978, D2-059364, Ic-53; 30, Climacammina cf. aljutovica Reitlinger 1950, D2-036017, Fj-13; 31-36, Globivalvulina moderata; 31, D2-059355, Ic-52; 32, D2-059521, Ic-62; 33, D2-059366, Ic-53; 34, D2-058947, Ic-29; 35, D2-035992, Fj-13; 36, D2-036013, Fj-13; 37-40, Globivalvulina sp. A, 37, D2-059522, Ic-62; 38, D2-059402, Ic-54; 39, D2-059393, Ic-54; 40, D2-059398, Ic-54; 41, 46, 47, Globivalvulina sp. B, 41, D2-059480, Ic-61; 46, D2-059350, Ic-52; 47, D2-059477, Ic-61; 42, 43, Tetrataxis conica Ehrenberg, 1854; 42, D2-059484, Ic-61; 43, D2-059322, Ic-52; 44, 45, Tetrataxis cf. paraconica Reitlinger, 1950; 44, D2-059421, Ic-55; 45, D2-059394, Ic-54; 48, Polytaxis sp., D2-059273, Ic-47. Scale bar is 1 mm; bar A for 24, 25, 27, 29; bar B for 14, 26, 30, 42; bar C for 45, 48; bar D for 22, 28, 43, 44; bar E for 1, 10–12, 15, 18; bar F for 2-9, 13, 16, 17, 19-21, 23, 31-41, 46, 47.



**Figure 5.** 1, *Iriclinella mameti*; 1, D2-059465, Ic-60; **2–4**, *Endothyra paraprisca* Schlykova, 1951; 2, D2-059029, Ic-30; 3, D2-059156, Ic-38; 4, D2-059108, Ic-35; **5–11**, *Endothyra* cf. *expressa* Ganelina, 1956; 5, D2-036012; 6, D2-059201; 7, D2-036029; 8, 11, D2-036003; 9, D2-035966; 10, D2-035987; 5, 7, 8, Fj-13; 6, Ic-40; 9–11, Fj-12; **12–14**, *Tetratxis* sp.; 12: D2-035883, Fj-12; 13, D2-035952, Fj-12; 14, D2-036029, Fj-13; **15–17**, *Endothyra* sp. B; 15, D2-059521, Ic-62; 16, D2-059145, Ic-38; 17, D-059146, Ic-38; **18–24**, *Endothyra* sp. A; 18, D2-035953; 19, D2-035994; 20, D2-035909; 21, D2-036039; 22, D2-036063; 23, D2-035948; 24, D2-035921; 18, 20, 23, 24, Fj-12; 19, 21, 22, Fj-13; **25–27**, **32–35**, *Endothyra igoi*; 25, D2-035881; 26, D2-035874; 27, D2-035956; 32, D2-059306, Ic-50; 33, D2-035948; 34, D2-036033, Fj-13; 35, D2-035915; 25–27, 33, 35, Fj-12; **28**, **29**, *Latiendothyra* sp.; 28, D2-059110, Ic-35; 29, D2-059205, Ic-40; **30, 31**, *Planoendothyra* sp.; 30, D2-059488, Ic-61; 31, D2-059143, Ic-38; **36–38**, *Bradyina compressa* Morozova, 1949; 36, D-059201, Ic-40; 37, D2-036015, Fj-13; 38, D2-059500, Ic-62; **39–44**, *Pseudojanischewskina* aff. *akiyoshiensis*; 39, D2-035975; 40, D2-035902; 41, D2-035985; 43, D2-035927; 44, D2-0359210, Ic-40; 37, 39–44; bar C for 12–14, 38.



**Figure 6.** 1–6, *Pseudojanischewskina titanica* sp. nov.; 5, Holotype; 1–4, 6, Paratypes; 1, D2-059382, Ic-54; 2, D2-058940, Ic-29; 3, D2-059004, Ic-30; 4, D2-058934, Ic-29; 5, D2-059492, Ic-61; 6, D2-059502, Ic-62; 7–9, *Bradyina* aff. *regularis* Lin, 1978; 7, D2-059211, Ic-40; 8, D2-036004, Fj-13; 9, D2-059487, Ic-61; **10**, *Bradyinelloides* sp. A, D2-058933, Ic-29; **11**, *Bradyinelloides* sp. B, D2-059334, Ic-52; **12**, **13**, **16**, **17**, *Bradyina nautiliformis*; 12, D2-058958, Ic-29; 13, D2-058952, Ic-29; 16, D2-059339, Ic-52; 17, D2-059345, Ic-52; **14**, **15**, **18–21**, *Bradyinelloides paranautiliformis* sp. nov.; 21, Holotype; 14, 15, 18–20, Paratypes; 14, D2-059288, Ic-49; 15, D2-058964, Ic-29; 18, D2-036021, Fj-12; 19, D2-036036, Fj-13; 20, D2-036030, Fj-13; 21, D2-036020, Fj-12. Scale bar is 1 mm; bar A for 2, 4, 5, 18, 19; Bar B for 1, 3, 6, 11, 12, 14–17, 20, 21; bar C for 9, 10, 13; bar D for 7, 8.



**Figure 7.** 1–5, *Eostaffella* spp.; 1, D2-058949, Ic-29; 2, D2-058980, Ic-30; 3, D2-059448, Ic-58; 4, D2-059032, Ic-30; 5, D2-058949, Ic-29; 6–9, Ozawainella cf. angulata; 6, D2-059386, Ic-54; 7, D2-059381, Ic-54; 8, D2-058966, Ic-29; 9, D2-059015, Ic-30; 10–13, Semistaffella variabilis; 10, D2-059046, Ic-30; 11, D2-059323, Ic-52; 12, D2-05896, Ic-29; 13, D2-059259, Ic-45; 14–18, Semistaffella multiforme; 14, D2-058956, Ic-29; 15, D2-059519, Ic-62; 16, D2-058951, Ic-29; 17, D2-059402, Ic-54; 18, D2-059267, Ic-46; 19–22, *Eoschubertella obscura*; 19, D2-059391, Ic-54; 20, D2-059321, Ic-52; 21, D2-058936, Ic-29; 22, D2-058953, Ic-29; 23–26, *Eoschubertella* sp.; 23, D2-058948, Ic-29; 24, D2-059406, Ic-54; 25, D2-058957, Ic-29; 26, D2-059389, Ic-54; 27, 28, 43, 47, 48, 50–52, *Fusiella typica*; 27, D2-059066, Ic-33; 28, D-059109, Ic-35; 43, D2-059363, Ic-53; 47, D2-059511, Ic-62; 48, D2-059335, Ic-52; 50, D2-059105, Ic-35; 51, D-059336, Ic-52; 52, D2-059322, Ic-52; 29–33, Schubertella kingi; 29, D2-059355, Ic-52; 30, D-059159, Ic-38; 31, D2-059145, Ic-38; 32, D2-059008, Ic-30; 33, D2-059163, Ic-38; 34–36, *Eoschubertella magna*; 34, D2-059003, Ic-30; 35, D2-059453, Ic-58; 36, D2-059499, Ic-62; 37, 38, 44, *Eoschubertella lata*; 37, D2-059369, Ic-53; 38, D2-059377, Ic-52; 44, D2-059348, Ic-52; 39–42, 45, 46, Schubertella mjachkovensis; 39, D2-059349; 40, D2-059337; 42, D2-058938; 45, D2-059031; 46, D2-059341; 42, 45, Ic-30; others, Ic-52; 49, 53–63, *Fusiella hayashii*; 49, D2-059087, Ic-34; 53, D2-059151, Ic-38; 54, D2-059363; 55, D2-059349; Ic-53; 59–63, Ic-52; 64, 65, *Fusiella* sp.; 64, D2-059304; 65, D2-059335; 61, D2-059330; 62, D-059354; 63, D2-059328; 54, 56–58, Ic-53; 59–63, Ic-52; 64, 65, *Fusiella* sp.; 64, D2-059304; 65, D2-059315; both Ic-50. Scale bar is 1 mm; bar A for 1–14, 16, 18, 21–23, 25, 27–29, 34, 36, 43, 49, 53, 56; bar B for 15, 17, 19, 20, 24, 26, 30–33, 35, 37–42, 44–47, 50, 52, 55, 61–65; bar C for 48, 51, 54, 57–60.



**Figure 8.** 1–3, Ozawainella vozhgalica; 1, 3, D2-035895, Fj-12; 2, D2-059181, Ic-40; 4, 5, Fusulinella kamitakarensis; 4, D2-058890; 5, D2-058898; both Ic-27; 6–12, Fusulinella sp.; 6, D2-059014; 7, D2-059032; 8, D2-058999; 9, D2-058981; 10, D2-059003; 11, D2-059039; 12, D2-058996; all Ic-30; 13–18, Fusulinella pseudobocki; 13, D2-058945; 14, D2-058931; 15, D2-058935; 16, D2-058937; 17, D2-058948; 18, D2-058953; all Ic-29; 19–30, Fusulinella hanzawai; 19, D2-058975; 20, D2-059006; 21, D2-059004; 22, D2-058982; 23, D2-058993; 24, D2-059036; 25, D2-059044; 26, D2-058998; 27, D2-058987; 28, D2-059028; 29, D2-058966; 30, D2-059042; all Ic-30; **31–37**, Fusulinella asiatica; 31, D2-059360, Ic-53; 32, D2-059390, Ic-54; 33, D2-059133, Ic-37; 34, D2-059411, Ic-55; 35, D2-059080, Ic-34; 36, D2-059112, Ic-30; 37, D2-058974, Ic-30. Scale bar is 1 mm; bar A for 1–3; bar B for 4, 5, 31–37; bar C for 6–30.



**Figure 9.** 1–7, *Fusulinella rhomboidalis*; 1, D2-059090, Ic-34; 2, D2-059440, Ic-58; 3, D2-059361, Ic-53; 4, D2-059446, Ic-58; 5, D2-059343, Ic-52; 6, D2-059088, Ic-34; 7, D2-059476, Ic-61; **8–11**, *Fusulinella soligalichi*; 8, D2-059477, Ic-61; 9, D2-059261, Ic-45; 10, D2-059442, Ic-58; 11, D2-059437, Ic-58; **12–16**, *Fusulinella igoi* sp. nov.; 16, Holotype; 12–15, Paratypes; 12, D2-059335, Ic-52; 13, D2-059373, Ic-53; 14, D2-059415, Ic-55; 15, D2-059323, Ic-52; 16, D2-059341, Ic-52; **17–20**, *Protriticites ovatus*; 17, D2-059108; 18, 20, D2-059100; 19, D2-059103; all Ic-35; **21–23**, *Staffella* sp.; 21, D2-059078; 22, D2-059095; 23, D2-059075; all Ic-34; **24, 25**, *Reitlingerina* sp., both D2-058960, both Ic-29. Scale bar is 1 mm.



**Figure 10.** 1–5, *Neostaffella umbilicata*; 1, D2-036063; 2, D2-059180; 3, D2-59189; 4, D2-059182; 5, D2-036024; 1, 5, Fj-13; 2–4, Ic-40; **6–8**, *Hidaella kamei*; 6, D2-059203, Ic-40; 7, D2-035889, Fj-12; 8, D2-035856, Fj-12; **9–13**, *Beedeina lanceolata*; 9, D2-036044, Fj-13; 10, D2-059198, Ic-40; 11, D2-059205, Ic-40; 12, D2-036033, Fj-13; 13, D2-003984, Ic-1A; **14**, *Beedeina* sp. A, D2-058951, Ic-29; **15**, wackestone with *Fusulina*? sp., D2-058917, Ic-28; **16**, *Fusulina* sp., D2-059093, Ic-34; **17**, *Beedeina* sp. B, D2-059081, Ic-34; **18–21**, *Fusulina kamensis*; 18, D2-059019; 19, D2-058969; 20, D2-058999; 21, D2-058995; all Ic-30. Scale bar is 1 mm; bar A for 15, 16, 18–21; bar B for 9–14, 17; bar C for 6–8; bar D for 1–5.

(Figure 6.20), and two nearly centered transverse sections (Figure 6.18, 6.19). Their registration numbers and sample localities are shown in the explanation of Figure 6.

*Type locality.*—Ichinotani, Fukuji, Takayama City, Gifu Prefecture.

*Diagnosis.*—Large nautiloid test involute and almost planispirally coiled. Thick lenticular first whorl is followed by rapidly expanding nautiloid outer whorls planispirally coiled. Wall thick and composed of tectum and coarsely and regularly perforate keriotheca in the middle and outer whorls. Septa accompany the post-septal lamella converging toward the septa.

*Measurement.*—Diameter = 3.18 to 4.53 mm, width = 2.02 to 2.47 mm, width/diameter = 0.61 to 0.71, number of whorls = 3.5 to 4.5, diameter of proloculus = 0.09 to 0.15 mm, wall thickness in the final whorl = 0.20 to 0.28 mm.

Description.—Test nautiloid, involute and almost planispirally coiled, and consisting of three and a half to four and a half whorls with a broardly rounded periphery and shallow umbilicus. Proloculus spherical and small for the test size. Thick lenticular first whorl is followed by rapidly expanding and planispirally coiled outer whorls without marked oscillation of the axis of coiling. Wall very thick and consists of microgranular tectum, and a coarsely and regularly perforate keriotheca in the middle and outer whorls. Septa inclined anteriorly and thickened from the second whorl. Post-septal lamella converging toward the septa form chamberlets near the septa.

*Remarks.*—Although the present specimens resemble *Bradyina nautiliformis* or its allies, they are assigned to *Bradyinelloides* by their thicker wall with a more coarsely perforate keriotheca than those of *Bradyina*. They are referable to a new species of the genus, since the size of the test is much larger and the wall is much thicker than those of the known species of bradyinids. Two specimens described by Adachi (1985, p. 117, pl. 19, figs. 8, 9) named as *Bradyina* sp. D from the Upper Member of the Ichinotani Formation are reassigned to *Bradyinelloides*. Although they are poorly oriented far from the center and smaller, they might be allied to this new species.

Occurrence.—Common in the Beedeina lanceolata Zone (Fj-12, Fj-13), and rare in Fusulinella hanzawai-Fusulina kamensis Zone (Ic-29) and rare in the Fusulinella rhomboidalis-Fusulinella sologalichi Zone (Ic-49).

## Genus Pseudojanischewskina Mamet and Pinard, 1992

*Type species.—Pseudojanischewskina multicribrata* Mamet and Pinard, 1992.

#### Pseudojanischewskina titanica sp. nov.

#### Figure 6.1-6.6

#### ZooBank lsid: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:B71CD03E-DA2B-445F-89E2-8796AFF90721

#### *Etymology*.—From a large test.

*Type specimens.*—Holotype MNHAH D2-059492 (axial section, Figure 6.5) from Ic-61. Paratypes: one axial section Figure 6.6), four transverse sections (Figure 6.1–6.4). Their registration numbers and sample localities are shown in the explanation of Figure 6.

*Type locality.*—Ichinotani, Fukuji, Takayama City, Gifu Prefecture.

*Diagnosis.*—Large nautiloid test with shallow umbilical depressions. Lenticular inner one to two whorls are somewhat skewed to rapidly expanding nautiloid outer whorls. Wall thin, even in the outer whorls, composed of tectum and finely porous layer. Loosely-spaced few septa curved anteriorly. Septal lamellae converging toward the septa form chamberlets near the septa.

*Measurement.*—Diameter = 2.14 to 3.41 mm, width = 1.33 to 1.88 mm, width/diameter = 0.58 to 0.63, number of whorls = 3.5 to 3.9, diameter of proloculus = 0.05 to 0.10 mm, wall thickness in the final whorl = 0.04 to 0.08 mm.

Description.—Test nautiloid, nearly planisirally coiled, and with shallow umbilical depressions. Proloculus minute to small. Inner one to two whorls lenticular, thin to very thin, and somewhat skewed to the rapidly expanding outer whorls. Wall very thin and not differentiated in the inner lenticular whorls. Wall still thin in the outer whorls and composed of a very thin microgranular tectum and inner granular, finely porous layer similar to keriotheca. Septa short, few, loosely spaced, and curved anteriorly. Septal lamellae converging toward the septa form chamberlets near the septa.

Remarks.—Detailed comparison to the type species of the genus (Pseudojanischewskina multicribrata) is not easy, because no axial sections and no centered transverse sections were illustrated in Mamet and Pinard (1992). Size and shape of the test, and loosely spaced septa in transverse sections of this new species resemble those of the type species, but the former has a thinner wall and thicker septa than the latter. The former might be also distinguished from the latter probably by its minute proloculus and no differentiation of wall in the inner lenticular whorls. Pseudojanichewskina tiainica is distinguished from P. akiyoshiensis Kobayashi and Vachard, 2019 and P. aff. akiyoshiensis Kobayashi and Vachard, described below, by its much larger test and more number of septa. Bradyina sp. F described by Adachi (1985) from the Upper Member of the Ichinotani Formation, reassigned to Pseudojanischewskina by Kobayashi and Vachard (2019), is different from this new species by having a larger proloculus and loosely coilied whorl(s) in juvenile stage.

Occurrence.—Common to rare in the Fusulinella hanzawai–Fusulina kamensis Zone (Ic-29, Ic-30) and in the upper part of the Fusulinella rhomboidalis-Fusulinella soligalichi Zone (Ic-54, Ic-61, Ic-62).

# Pseudojanischewskina aff. akiyoshiensis Kobayashi and Vachard, 2019

# Fumio Kobayashi

## Figure 5.39-5.44

Aff. Pseudojanischewskina akiyoshiensis Kobayashi and Vachard, 2019, p. 371, pl. 3, figs. 24–29, 32, 33.

*Remarks.*—Tightly coiled inner lenticular whorls more or less skewed to rapidly expanding outer nautiloid whorls of the present Ichinotani specimens are closely similar to those of *Pseudojanischewskina akiyoshiensis* described by Kobayashi and Vachard (2019). They are also similar in their small proloculus, very thin microgranular wall in inner whorls, and short septa with preseptal and postseptal lamellae. On the other hand, the present specimens have a smaller test, lower chamber height in the outer whorls, and thicker wall with a more distinct porous layer referable to keriotheca in the outermost whorl of most specimens.

## Genus Semistaffella Reitlinger, 1971

*Type species.—Pseudostaffella variabilis* Reitlinger, 1971.

### Semistaffella variabilis (Reitlinger, 1961)

### Figures 7.10-7.13

Pseudostaffella variabilis Reitlinger, 1961, p. 240, pl. 3, fig. 8; Brazhnikova et al., 1967, pl. 21, fig. 22.

Pseudostaffella primitiva Reitlinger, 1961, p. 241, pl. 3, fig. 9.

Semistaffella variabilis (Reitlinger, 1961). Reitlinger, 1971, pl. 1, figs. 11, 12; Brenckle, 2005, p. 86, pl. 15, figs. 5, 6; Kobayashi, 2019, p. 12, figs. 4.15–4.17.

Semistaffella primitiva (Reitlinger, 1961). Reitlinger, 1971, pl. 1, fig. 13.

Pseudostaffella (Semistaffella) variabilis (Reitlinger, 1961). Groves, 1988, p. 391, figs. 17.22–17.29.

*Remarks.*—The illustrated four specimens are closely similar to *Semistaffella variabilis* first described by Reitlinger (1961) from the core samples of the lower Akavasky Horizon (lower Bashkirian) of the Russian Platform and later reassigned to *Semistaffella* by Reitlinger (1971) in their size and shape of the test with irregularly coiled whorls throughout growth. In Japan, this species was described from the Bashkirian limestone block at Tatego, Yura area, Wakayama Prefecture (Kobayashi, 2019). Although the illustrated specimens herein are younger than the Yura specimens, they are closely related morphologically and not easily distinguished from each other.

# Semistaffella multiforme (Villa in Villa and Merino-Tomé, 2016)

#### Figure 7.14-7.18

Schubertella multiforme Villa in Villa and Merino-Tomé, 2016, p. 249, figs. 8.1–8.11.

*Remarks.*—The illustrated five specimens are different from *Semistaffella variabilis*, described above, by having a larger test, more rapidly and regularly expanding outer whorls planispirally coiled at a large angle to the inner whorls. They are closely similar to and identified with *Semistaffella multiforme* originally assigned to *Schubertella* by Villa. This species, described from the Bashkirian/Moscovian of the Cantabrian Mountains (NW Spain), exhibits broad intraspecific variations in size and shape of the test, development of chomata, and other features. Villa assumed that this species belongs to the "*Schubertella*" obscura species group from the similarities of size and shape of the test.

### Genus Eoschubertella Thompson, 1937

*Type species.*—*Schubertella obscura* Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930.

# *Eoschubertella obscura* (Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930)

#### Figure 7.19-7.22

- *Schubertella obscura* Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930, p. 112, 113, pl. 6, figs. 12–22; Sheng, 1958, p. 20, pl. 2, figs. 21–26.
- *Eoschubertella obscura* (Lee and Chen, 1930). Igo, 1957, p. 187, 188, pl. 3, figs. 9–11; Toriyama, 1958, p. 25–27, pl. 1, figs. 10–14; Niikawa, 1978, pl. 5, fig. 8; Kobayashi, 2017, p. 37, pl. 1, figs. 6–10.

Eoschubertella sp. A Toriyama, 1958, p. 27, 28, pl. 1, figs. 15, 19.

Eoschubertella toriyamai Ishii, 1962, p. 5, 6, pl. 6, figs. 23-37.

- *Eoschubertella* cf. *obscura* (Lee and Chen, 1930). Ishii, 1962, p. 8, 9, pl. 6, figs. 41–44.
- Schubertella sp. 2 ex gr. obscura Lee and Chen. Villa and Ginkel, 2000, p. 230, 231, pl. 2, figs. 13–16.
- Schubertella cf. toriyamai (Ishii, 1962). Villa and Ginkel, 2000, p. 231, pl. 2, fig. 17.

*Remarks.*—This species, first described from the Moscovian Huanglung Limestone of southeast China, was designated as the type species of *Eoschubertella* by Thompson (1937). Eleven type specimens illustrated are considerably variable in shape and size, the number of whorls, and coiling pattern of the test. Though uncertain, some specimens (pl. 6, figs. 16, 17 in Lee *et al.*, 1930) seem better separated from others by their tightly coiled inner whorls, smaller proloculus, and more number of whorls. Four specimens illustrated herein, and identified with this species, are different from *Semistaffella multiforme*, described above, in having a larger proloculus, thicker wall, and less rapidly expanding whorls. Despite the similarities of a large proloculus and few whorls,

*Eoschubertella* sp. (Figure 7.23–7.26) is distinguished from this species by its more rounded test with greater length and width of corresponding whorls. *Eoschubertella toriyamai* proposed by Ishii (1962) from the Moscovian limestone of western Shikoku was distinguished from *E. obscura* by its almost absent or rudimentary chomata, whereas specimens with more distinct chomata were compared to this species by Ishii (1962). Variable test characters of these two species described by Ishii (1962) are presumed to represent the broad intraspecific variations of *E. obscura*.

Eoschubertella lata (Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930)

#### Figure 7.37, 7.38, 7.44

*Schubertella lata* Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930, p. 111, pl. 6, figs. 9–11; Niikawa, 1978, pl. 5, figs. 6, 7.

*Eoschubertella lata* (Lee and Chen, 1930). Igo, 1957, p. 186, 187, pl. 3, figs. 6–8; Leven and Davydov, 2001, p. 15, pl. 1, figs. 10, 11.

*Eoschubertella* cf. *lata* (Lee and Chen, 1930). Ishii, 1962, p. 6–8, pl. 6, figs. 38–40.

*Remarks.*—Morphologic variations of schubertellids identified with this species by Igo (1957) and Niikawa (1978) are not obvious because of few well-oriented specimens. The illustrated three and other specimens herein have a small test with shallowly depressed poles, minute proloculus, and tightly coiled initial few whorls followed by planispirally coiled later whorls at large angles. They are identified with *Eoschubertella lata* by these test characters common to those of the types.

# *Eoschubertella magna* (Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930)

## Figure 7.34-7.36

Schubertella magna Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930, p. 113, pl. 6, figs. 24, 25; Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951, p. 82, pl. 3, fig. 17; Sheng, 1958, p. 22, pl. 2, fig. 36; Kobayashi, 2017, p. 37, 38, pl. 1, figs. 13–15, 19–21.

*Remarks.*—In spite of no axial sections, the present specimens are distinguished from other species of *Eoschubertella* from the Ichinotani Formation by their larger fusiform test with bluntly pointed poles. They are closely similar to and identified with *Schubertella magna* first described from the Huanglung Limestone by Lee and Chen, and later by Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.* (1951) from the Moscovian, mainly from the Kashirian and Podolskian of Russia, and by Sheng (1958) from the Penchi Series of Taitzeho, Liaoning in their size, shape, and coiling pattern of the test, and small proloculus. This species is assigned to *Eoschubertella* and not to *Schubertella* herein, based on plane septa throughout their growth.

#### Genus Schubertella Staff and Wedekind, 1910

*Type species.—Schubertella transitoria* Staff and Wedekind, 1910.

# Schubertella kingi Dunbar and Skinner, 1937

#### Figure 7.29-7.33

Schubertella kingi Dunbar and Skinner, 1937, p. 610, 611, pl. 45, figs. 10–8.15; Toriyama, 1958, p. 73–75, pl. 7, figs. 1–8; par Igo, 1957, p. 192, 193, pl. 4, figs. 9–11, 13–15, non pl. 4, figs. 12; non Niikawa, 1978, pl. 5, figs. 9, 10; Kobayashi, 2017, p. 37, pl. 1, figs. 22, 23, 26–30.

*Remarks.*—Elongate fusiform to subcylindrical forms of *Schubertella* illustrated herein resemble *S. kingi* proposed from the Wolfcampian of Texas (Dunbar and Skinner, 1937) in many respects. They are also similar to *Schubertella donetzica* Putrya, 1940 from the upper part of the Moscovian of the Donetz Basin (Putrya, 1940), but have a more elongate test with fewer inner endothyroid whorls. Some specimens listed above from Ichinotani, identified with *S. kingi* by Igo (1957) and Niikawa (1978), are separated from this species by their not so elongate test.

# Schubertella mjachkovensis Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951

Figure 7.39-7.42, 7.45, 7.46

Schubertella mjachkovensis Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951, p. 84, 85, pl. 3, fig. 21, pl. 4, fig. 1; Leven and Davydov, 2001, p. 15, pl. 1, fig. 9.

*Remarks.*—The present specimens are characteristic in their short subcylindrical to oval test with broadly arched to almost straight periphery and distinct umbilical cavities, and minute proloculus. Discoidal to lenticular inner few whorls are skewed to fusiform, three to three and a half outer whorls planispirally coiled. Septa are plane to weakly folded in the polar regions. From these morphologic features, they are identified with Schubertella mjachkovensis known from the early Moscovian (Kashirian) to Kasimovian of eastern European Platform (Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951) and southwestern Darvas (Leven and Davydov, 2001). They are distinguished from Schubertella donezica by their shorter subcylindrical test with more distinct umbilical cavities, from Eoschubertella magna by their test with distinct umbilical cavities and weakly folded septa, and from Eoschubertella lata by their larger test and thicker wall.

Genus Fusiella Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930

*Type species.—Fusiella typica* Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930.

Fusiella typica Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930

Figure 7.27, 7.28, 7.43, 7.47, 7.48, 7.50–7.52

- Fusiella typica Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930, p. 107, 108, pl. 6, figs.
  1–6; Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951, p. 87, 88, pl. 4, figs. 7, 8;
  Igo, 1957, p. 188, pl. 3, figs. 12–15, 18; Niikawa, 1978, p. 571, pl. 12, figs. 6–8.
- *Fusiella paradoxa* Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930, p. 108, 109, pl. 6, figs. 7, 8.
- Fusiella praecusor Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951, p. 90, 91, pl. 4, figs. 15–17; par Niikawa, 1978, p. 569, 570, pl. 5, figs. 13, 14, non pl. 5, figs. 15, 16 (Fusiella hayashii).
- *Fusiella praelancetiformis* Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951, p. 91, 92, pl. 5, fig. 1.
- *Fusiella praetypica* Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951, p. 89, 90, pl. 4, figs. 13, 14.

*Fusiella inouei* Igo, 1957, p. 190, par pl. 3, figs. 16, 19, non pl. 3, fig. 17 (*Schubertella* indet.); Niikawa, 1978, p. 570, 571 pl. 5, figs. 17, 18.

Fusiella typica var. sparsa Sheng, 1958, p. 81, 82, pl. 3, figs. 3–8.
 Fusiella typica sparsa Sheng, 1958. Ishii, 1962, p. 9, 10, pl. 6, figs. 45, 46, pl. 7, figs. 1–15, 17, 18.

Fusiella cf. mui Sheng, 1958. Niikawa, 1978, p. 570, pl. 5, fig. 12.

*Remarks.*—This species was proposed by Lee and Chen from the base of the Huanglung Limestone in southeast China. Other species and subspecies listed above are more or less similar to the original specimens of *Fusiella typica* and not easily distinguished from the original ones. They are supposed to be junior synonyms of *typica*, including *F. typica* var. *sparsa* by Sheng from the Penchi Series of of the Taitzeho Valley and *F. typica sparsa* by Ishii from western Shikoku, which were separated from *F. typica* by their fewer whorls, and less prominent chomata and axial fillings. Three specimens identified with *F. typica* by Leven and Davydov (2001) from the middle Kasimovian of southwestern Darvas are different from the types by having more whorls and more pointed poles of the middle and outer whorls.

Among the illustrated specimens assigned to *Fusiella* from the Ichinotani Formation, one specimen of *Fusiella inouei* by Igo (1957) might be reassigned to *Schubertella* on account of its weakly folded septa in axial regions and massive chomata. Two specimens named as *Fusiella praecusor* by Niikawa (1978) are transferred to *F. hayashii*, described below, by their larger test with not broadly arched periphery and concave lateral sides, and more whorls.

#### Fusiella hayashii Igo, 1957

Figure 7.49, 7.53-7.63

*Fusiella hayashii* Igo, 1957, p. 191, pl. 4, figs. 1–8. *Fusiella spatiosa* Sheng, 1958, p. 24, 82, pl. 3, fig. 14. *Fusiella praecusor* Rauzer-Chernousova. par Niikawa, 1978, p. 569, 570, pl. 5, figs. 15, 16, non pl. 5, figs. 13, 14 (*Fusiella typica*).

Remarks.--Igo (1957) proposed Fusiella hayashii for the form having larger test with more whorls than Fusiella typica. In the illustrated eight types, broad variations are recognized in the mode of shift of the axis of coiling ontogenetically, periphery and lateral sides of the test, and chamber height of corresponding whorls. These variabilities might lead to variable appearances of size and shape of the test in thin sections as well as in the orientation of axial sections of mature stage. More or less different appearances of the twelve specimens illustrated herein are inferred to be connected with the broad intraspecific variations of this species, with an orientation of an axial section, and with either mature or immature stage of a specimen. These morphologic variabilities in this species are more clearly discernible in many axial sections of this species from the Beedeina lanceolata Zone of the Ichinotani Formation not illustrated in this paper, because they will be described and illustrated elsewhere. Fusiella sp. (Figure 7.64, 7.65) is distinguished from this species by its larger test, more whorls, and larger height of chambers.

Elongate forms of this species are similar to Fusiella elongatissima Putrya, 1940 and F. lancetiformis Putrya, 1940 originally described from the Kasimovian of the Donetz Basin (Putrya, 1940). However, these two Russian species have a much more elongate test with broadly flattened periphery, more whorls, and lesser-developed chomata than F. hayashii. F. lancetiformis was also reported by Sheng (1958), though not illustrated, from possibly the Myachkovian of the Penchi Series of the Taitzeho Valley. Although a detailed comparison of F. hayashii to F. spatiosa is not easy because of only one specimen illustrated by Sheng, both species are presumably conspecific from their common test characters such as an elongate fusiform test with not broadly arched periphery and concave lateral sides, and poorly developed axial fillings and chomata. Fusiella segyrdashtiensis proposed from the middle Kasimovian of southwestern Darvas by Davydov in Leven and Davydov (2001) is distinguished from F. hayashii by its more whorls attaining to eight, and more massive axial fillings.

#### Genus Fusulinella von Möller, 1877

*Type species.—Fusulinella bocki* von Möller, 1878.

#### Fusulinella asiatica Igo, 1957

#### Figure 8.31-8.37

*Fusulinella* (*Neofusulinella*) *bocki* von Möller, 1878. Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930, p. 121, 122, pl. 8, figs. 8–15, pl. 9, figs. 1–9.

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Paleontological-Research on 15 Jul 2025

Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

Fusulinella asiatica Igo, 1957, p. 202-204, pl. 6, figs. 4-22.

*Remarks.*—Herein the illustrated seven and many other specimens are identified with Igo's (1957) type material showing broad intraspecific variations. They are common in many test characters such as shape and size of the test and of corresponding whorls, size of the proloculus, the degree of askew coiling between inner and outer whorls, and development of chomata. As pointed out by Igo (1957), specimens identified with *Fusulinella bocki* by Lee and Chen, listed above, are transferred to this species.

### Fusulinella hanzawai Igo, 1957

#### Figure 8.19-8.30

Fusulinella hanzawai Igo, 1957, p. 209-211, pl. 7, figs. 10-21.

- *Fusulinella schubertellinoides elshanica* Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951. Niikawa, 1978, p. 550, pl. 6, figs. 8, 9.
- Fusulinella colaniae (Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930). par Niikawa, 1978, p. 547, 548, pl. 6, figs. 10, 12, non pl. 6, figs. 11, 13 (possibly Fusulinella pseudobocki).

*Description.*—Test fusiform to elongate fusiform with a broadly arched periphery, straight to slightly convex lateral sides, and narrowly rounded to bluntly pointed poles, and composed of five to six whorls. Length 3.06 to 3.80 mm and width 1.06 to 1.60 mm, giving a form ratio of about 2.43 to 2.77.

Proloculus almost spherical, 0.053 to 0.081 mm in diameter. Inner one to one and a half whorls thick lenticular to oval and askew to subsequent whorls gradually increasing their length and width, and form ratio. Wall thin throughout the test, and 0.022 to 0.042 mm in the thicker part of outer whorls. It is undifferentiated in inner one to two whorls. Wall gradually thickened outwards in the succeeding whorls, and consists of an obscure upper tectorium, thin tectum, thin diaphanotheca, and discontinuous lower tectorium in the outer whorls. Diaphanotheca is discernible in all specimens, but it is poorly continuous laterally.

Septa thin and weakly folded in the polar regions of outer whorls. Septal counts 8, 10, 14, 14, 15, and 10> from the first to sixth whorl (Figure 8.19), and 8, 11, 13, 15, and 14< from the first to fifth whorl (Figure 8.20). Tunnel low and its path almost straight, and bordered by low chomata. Axial fillings not present.

*Remarks.*—Though the test is somewhat larger, the present specimens are identified with *Fusulinella hanza-wai* by their fusiform to elongate fusiform test with a broadly arched periphery, relatively thin wall, weakly folded septa, absence of axial fillings, and other features. Two specimens named *Fusulinella schubertellinoides elshanica* by Niikawa (1978) cannot be identified with

the original Russian ones by their larger test, larger length and width in corresponding whorls, and weaker chomata. They might be reassigned to this species, as well as two specimens having a relatively thinner wall and weaker chomata among four specimens named *Fusulinella colaniae* by Niikawa (1978). *Fusulinella hanzawai* is distinguished from *Fusulinella itadorigawensis* Ishii, 1962 by its wall structure of a less conspicuous and less continuous diaphanotheca.

## Fusulinella igoi sp. nov.

#### Figure 9.12-9.16

ZooBank lsid: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:429FB41B-ADD9-4E3B-AE60-9F80CC7ECD23

*Etymology.*—In honor of the late Hisayoshi Igo for his contribution to Late Paleozoic paleontologic works.

*Type specimens.*—Holotype MNHAH D2-059341 (axial section, Figure 9.16) from Ic-52. Paratypes: one tangential section (Figure 9.12), two oblique axial sections (Figures 9.13, 9.15), and one sagittal section (Figure 9.14). Registration numbers and sample localities of four paratypes are shown in the explanation of Figure 9.

*Type locality.*—Ichinotani, Fukuji, Takayama City, Gifu Prefecture.

*Diagnosis.*—Subspherical to nearly spherical *Fusulinella* characterized by a relatively small test with broadly rounded poles, minute proloculus, tightly coiled inner few whorls askew to outer subspherical whorls, thick wall with distinct diaphanotheca, and well-developed chomata extending poleward.

*Measurement.*—Length = 1.25 to 1.87 mm, width = 1.02 to 1.54? mm, length/width = about 0.8 to 1.1, number of whorls = 6.5 to 7, diameter of proloculus = 0.03 to 0.05 mm, wall thickness in the final whorl = 0.05 to 0.07 mm.

*Description.*—Test subspherical to nearly spherical with broadly rounded poles. Mature test with six and a half to seven whorls. Proloculus minute. Lenticular to oval, tightly coiled inner few whorls are askew to outer subspherical to nearly spherical whorls.

Wall thick for the size of the test, and consists of a discontinuous outer tectorium, tectum, diaphanotheca, and rather thick lower tectorium in outer whorls. Wall differentiation is obscure in inner tightly coiled whorls. Septa also thick, partly covered by secondary deposits, and weakly folded only in the polar regions. They are closely spaced in the inner whorls and become loosely spaced outerward. Septal counts from the second to seventh whorl 11, 12, 13, 15, 14, and 14 in the paratype.

Tunnel low in the inner whorls and gradually higher outward. Its path narrow and almost straight, but irregularly zigzag in some specimens. Chomata well developed, mostly more than a half as high as the chambers and extend toward the poles. They appear asymmetrical partly and more developed than the actual state due to calcareous coated material.

*Remarks.*—This new species is clearly different from the known species of the genus by its subspherical to nearly spherical test. It is distinguished from *F. asiatica* by its shape of the test, smaller proloculus, more number of and more tightly coiled inner whorls that are more remarkably askew to outer whorls, and taller chomata mostly extending to poles. The shape and size of *Fusulinella igoi* might appear more similar to those of *Staffellaeformes* than of *Fusulinella*. However, this new species cannot be assigned to *Staffellaeformes* because of its thicker wall with a distinct diaphanotheca and more massive chomata.

Occurrence.—Common to rare in five samples (Ic-51– Ic-55) of the middle part of the *Fusulinella rhomboidalis*-*Fusulina soligalichii* Zone.

#### Fusulinella kamitakarensis Igo, 1957

## Figure 8.4, 8.5

Fusulinella kamitakarensis Igo, 1957, p. 201, 202, pl. 6, figs. 1–3; non Niikawa, 1978, p. 548, pl. 6, figs. 4, 6, 7 (incomplete specimens of Fusulinella).

*Remarks.*—This species was thought to be a primitive form of *Fusulinella* by Igo (1957) because of its thin wall with a poorly developed diahanotheca. Three specimens identified with this species by Niikawa (1978) from the uppermost part of the Lower Zone of *Fusulinella– Fusulina* are not identified with this species in their thick wall with distinct diaphanotheca. They are assumed to be incomplete specimens of a different species of *Fusulinella* lacking outer whorl(s).

# *Fusulinella pseudobocki* (Lee and Chen in Lee *et al.*, 1930)

#### Figure 8.13-8.18

Neofusulinella pseudobocki Lee and Chen in Lee et al., 1930, p. 122, 123, pl. 9, figs. 10–14, pl. 10, figs. 1–7.

*Fusulinella pseudobocki* (Lee and Chen, 1930). Igo, 1957, p. 207–209, pl. 8, figs. 1–12; par Niikawa, 1978, p. 551, 552, pl. 7, figs. 2–4, non pl. 7, fig. 1 (*Fusulinella*? sp.).

*Remarks.*—The illustrated six specimens are identified with this species originally described from the middle part of the Moscovian Huanglung Limestone in southeast China in association with *Fusulina quasicylindrica* (Lee, 1927). Among the four specimens illustrated by Niikawa (1978), three correspond to an elongate form of this species. The remaining one is assumed to be separated from this species by its thinner wall and weaker chomata.

#### Fusulinella rhomboidalis Niikawa, 1978

Figure 9.1-9.7

*Fusulinella rhomboidalis* Niikawa, 1978, p. 549, 550, pl. 8, figs. 1–9; Kobayashi, 2017, p. 39, 40, pl. 5, figs. 17–23 (from Akiyoshi), p. 23, figs. 8.1–8.6 (8.1 = Niikawa, 1978, pl. 8, fig. 9; figs. 8.2–8.6 = from Omi).

Remarks.-This species proposed from the Lower and Upper zones of Fusuinella-Fusulina of the Ichinotani Formation by Niikawa (1978) is also known from the Myachkovian (Fusulinella bocki-Kanmeraia pulchra Zone) of the Akiyoshi and Omi limestones (Kobayashi, 2017). It is distinguishable from Fusulinella bocki and its allies such as F. bocki timanica Rauzer-Chernousova in Rauzer-Chernousova et al., 1951 by its tightly coiled inner whorls, more whorls, more strongly folded septa in the polar regions, and weaker development of the chomata. Kobayashi (2017) thought that this species might be a junior synonym of Fusulinella soligalichi and its allies, but postponed its taxonomic conclusion on account of little information on the morphologic variations of these Russian species. Fusulinella rhomboidalis is distinguished from Fusulinella biconica (Hayasaka, 1924) by its thinner wall and chomata not sloping down toward poles, and from Fusulinella biconiformis Ishii, 1962 by its larger test with higher chamber heights in the outer whorls.

# Fusulinella soligalichi Dalmatskaya, 1961

## Figure 9.8-9.11

Fusulinella soligalichi Dalmatskaya, 1961, p. 27, pl. 1, figs. 6, 7. Fusulinella soligalichi subsp. polasnensis Rauzer-Chernousova, 1961, p. 214, pl. 1, figs. 3, 4.

Fusulinella valida Reitlinger, 1961, p. 243, 244, pl. 4, fig. 3.

*Remarks.*—The illustrated four and other specimens are distinguished from *Fusulinella rhomboidalis* described above in their more number of and more tightly coiled inner whorls. In this paper, they are identified with *Fusulinella soligalichi* originally described by Dalmatskaya (1961) from the Podolskian and Myachkovian of the Gorky and Ul'yanovsk regions along the Volga. *F. soligalichi polasnensis* and *F. valida* proposed from the lower part of Myachkovian around the localities of *F. soligalichi* are closely similar to *F. soligalichi*. Although morphologic variations of these three taxa are uncertain, they might be conspecific. *F. soligalichi firma* Reitlinger, 1961 might be distinguished from these three taxa and *F. rhomboidalis* by its more elongate fusiform test and somewhat thicker wall.

## Fusulinella sp.

#### Figure 8.6-8.12

Fusulinella cf. hanzawai Igo. Villa and Ginkel, 2000, p. 235, pl. 5, figs. 1-4.

*Remarks.*—Seven specimens illustrated herein are associated with *Fusulinella hanzawai*. The former is separated from the latter by their smaller test with smaller length and width in corresponding whorls. *Fusulinella* sp. is distinguished from *Fusulinella kamitakarensis* by its more tightly coiled inner whorls, though this conclusion is uncertain due to incompleteness of the type specimens of *F. kamitakarensis*. Four specimens compared to *F. hanzawai* by Villa and Ginkel (2000) from the upper Myachkovian of the Cantabrian Mountains are more similar to this unnamed species than *F. hanzawai* in their smaller test, and smaller length and width of corresponding whorls. Other test characters more or less resemble among *Fusulinella* sp., *F. cf. hanzawai* of Villa and Ginkel, and *F. hanzawai*.

This unnamed species appears to be related to nine specimens (Igo, 1957, p. 206, 207, pl. 7, figs. 1–9) that were identified with *Fusulinella jamesensis* Thompson *et al.*, 1953 from central British Columbia. However, the chomata of the former are not so distinct as of the latter.

#### Genus Protriticites Putrya, 1948

Type species.—Protriticites globulus Putrya, 1948.

#### Protriticites ovatus Putrya, 1948

#### Figure 9.17-9.20

*Protriticites ovatus* Putrya, 1948, p. 93, 94, pl. 1, fig. 9; Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951, p. 318, 319, pl. 57, fig. 3.

*Remarks.*—The present specimens are characterized by an inflated fusiform test composed of six whorls, tightly coiled inner two and gradually to rather rapidly expanding outer four whorls, asymmetrical massive chomata, and thin wall composed of a tectum and finely perforate translucent layer gradually thickening and clearer in outer whorls. Based on close similarities of these features, they are identified with *Protriticites ovatus* decribed from the Myachkovian of Donvas (Putrya, 1948) and Moldova (Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951).

#### Genus Beedeina Galloway, 1933

*Type species.—Fusulinella girtyi* Dunbar and Condra, 1928.

### Beedeina sp. A

#### Figure 10.14

*Remarks.*—Fusulines assignable to *Beedeina* that occur seemingly below the *Beedeina lanceolata* Zone are sporadically contained in samples Ic-29 and Ic-34 (Figure 2). Those in Ic-29 are tentatively named as *Beedeina* sp. A, and in Ic-34 and as *Beedeina* sp. B (Figure 10.17). They are separated simply by the appearance of the size of the test. Their distinction from *Beedeina lanceolata* from the *B. lanceolata* Zone (Figures 10.9–10.13) and other species assignable to the genus described and/or illustrated by Igo (1957) and Niikawa (1978) is impossible because of no axial sections of *B.* sp. A and *B.* sp. B.

## Genus Fusulina Fischer de Waldheim, 1829

*Type species.—Fusulina cylindrica* Fischer de Waldheim, 1830.

# *Fusulina kamensis* Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951

## Figure 10.18–10.21

*Fusulina kamensis* Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova *et al.*, 1951, p. 306, 307, pl. 52, figs. 5, 6, pl. 53, fig. 1.

*Remarks.*—Fusulines certainly assignable to *Fusulina* were recognized in sample Ic-30 in association with *Fusulinellla hanzawai*. They are similar to *Fusulina kamensis* first described by Safonova from the upper part of Podolskian of the Kama River region and Samara Bend in their large, elongate subcylindrical test with bluntly pointed poles, large proloculus, and intensely folded septa. *Fusulina kamensis* is distinguished from *F. quasicylindrica* (Lee, 1927) by its much larger test. More well-oriented axial sections of mature specimens are necessary for further morphologic comparison of the Ichinotani specimens.

*Fusulina kamensis* is easily distinguished from *Fusulina consobrina* Safonova in Rauzer-Chernousova, 1951 described by Niikawa (1978) from the Upper Zone of *Fusulinella–Fusulina* at west of Ohzako just north of the Ichinotani valley in its much larger test and proloculus, and more intensely folded septa. All species assigned to *Fusulina* by Igo (1957) are reassigned to *Beedeina. Fusulina* sp. A in association with *Fusulinella kamitakarensis* and *Fusulina* sp. B in association with *Fusulinella hanzawai* are shown in Igo *et al.* (1984, fig. 4). However, details on their morphologies are uncertain because of only one hand-drawn specimen of *Fusulina* sp. A and *Fusulina* sp. B with no magnification in Igo *et al.* (1984).

# Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge Hiroshi Furutani who helped with limestone sampling of the Ichinotani Formation in 2010's and gave me valuable suggestions on the stratigraphy and fossils of the Upper Paleozoic in the Fukuji area. Sincere thanks are also due to two reviewers, Merlynd K. Nestell and Yasuhiro Ota for their constructive comments and helpful review of this paper. Financial support from Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research (C) of Japan Society for promotion of Science in 2013–2015 is thankfully acknowledged for the field and laboratory works.

#### References

- Adachi, S., 1985: Smaller foraminifers of the Ichinotani Formation (Carboniferous-Permian), Hida Massif, central Japan. Science Reports of the Institute of Geoscience, University of Tsukuba, Section B, vol. 6, p. 59–139.
- Bensh, F. R., 1972: Stratigraphy and Fusulinids from the Upper Paleozoic in South Fergana, 146 p. Institut Geologii i Geofiziki, Izdatelstovo FAN Uzbekskoi SSR, Tashkent. (in Russian; original title translated)
- Brazhnikova, N. E., Vakarchuk, G. I., Vdovenko, M. V., Vinnichenko, L. V., Karpova, M. A., Kolomiets, Ya, I. et al., 1967: Marker Microfaunal Horizons of the Carboniferous and Permian of the Dniepr-Donetz Depression, 224 p. Trudy Geologicheskii Nauk, Akademiya Nauk Ukrainskoi SSR, Kiev. (in Russian with English, French, and German summaries)
- Brenckle, P. L., 2005: A Compendium of Upper Devonian-Carboniferous Type Foraminifers from the Former Soviet Union, 153 p. Special Publication no. 38, Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research, Washington, DC.
- Colani, M., 1924: Nouvelle contribution à l'étude des Fusulinidés de l'Extrême-Orient. Mémoires du Service Géologique de l'Indo-Chine, vol. 11, p. 9–191.
- Dalmatskaya, I. I., 1961: Stratigraphy and foraminifers of the Middle Carboniferous deposits of the Gor'kovsk and Ul'yanovsk areas along the Volga. *In*, Dalmatskaya, I. I. *et al. eds.*, *Stratigraphy of the Middle Carboniferous deposits of the central and eastern parts of the Russian Platform*, p. 7–54. Regional'naya Stratigrafiya SSSR, vol. 5, Geologicheskiy Institut, Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Moscow. (*in Russian*; *original title translated*)
- Davydov, V. I., Korn, D. and Schmitz, M. D., 2012: The Carboniferous Period. *In*, Gradstein, F. M., Ogg, J. G., Schmitz, M. D. and Ogg. G. M. *eds.*, *A Geologic Time Scale 2012, Volume 2*, p. 603–651. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Dunbar, C. O. and Condra, G. E., 1928: The fusulinidae of the Pennsylvanian System in Nebraska. *Bulletin of the Nebraska Geological Survey, Series 2*, vol. 2, p. 1–135.
- Dunbar C. O. and Skinner J. W., 1937: Permian Fusulinidae of Texas. University of Texas Bulletin, no. 3701, p. 517-825.

Ehrenberg, C. G., 1854: Mikrogeologie, 374 p. L. Voss, Leipzig.

- Fischer de Waldheim, G., 1829: Les Céphalopodes fossiles de Moscou et de ses environs, en montrant ces objets en nature. *Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou*, vol. 1, p. 300–362.
- Fischer de Waldheim, G., 1830–1837: Oryctographie du gouvernement de Moscou, V+202 p. Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou, Moscou.
- Fujimoto, H. and Igo, H., 1955: *Hidaella*, a new genus of the Pennsylvanian fusulinids from the Fukuji district, eastern part of the Hida

Mountainland, central Japan. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Palaeontological Society of Japan*, n. ser., no. 18, p. 45–48.

- Galloway, J. J., 1933: *A manual of Foraminifera*, 483 p. Principia Press, Bloomington.
- Ganelina R. A., 1956: Visean foraminifers from the northwestern areas of the Moscow Depression. *Trudy VNIGRI*, 98, Mikrofauna SSSR, vol. 8, p. 61–159. (*in Russian; original title translated*)
- Groves, J. R., 1988: Calcareous foraminifers from the Bashkirian stratotype (Middle Carboniferous, South Urals) and their significance for intercontinental correlations and the evolution of the Fusulinidae. *Journal of Paleontology*, vol. 62, p. 368–399.
- Hayasaka, I., 1924: On the fauna of the anthracolithic limestone of the Omi-mura in the western part of Echigo. Science Reports of the Tohoku Imperial University, Series 2, vol. 8, p. 1–83.
- Igo, H., 1956: On the Carboniferous and Permian of the Fukuji district, Hida Massif with special reference to the fusulinid zones of the Ichinotani Group. *Journal of the Geological Society of Japan*, vol. 62, p. 217–240. (*in Japanese with English abstract*)
- Igo, H., 1957: Fusulinids of Fukuji, southeastern part the Hida Massif, central Japan. Science Reports of the Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, Section C, vol. 5, p. 153–246.
- Igo, H. and Adachi, S., 1981: Foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Ichinotani Formation (Carboniferous and Permian), Hida Massif, Central Japan, Part 1: Some foraminifers from the upper part of the Lower Member of the Ichinotani Formation. *Science Reports* of the Institute of Geosciences, University of Tsukuba, Section B, vol. 2, p. 101–118.
- Igo, Hisayoshi, Adachi, S. and Igo, Hisaharu, 1984: Foraminiferal biostratigraphy of the Ichinotani Formation, Hida Massif, central Japan. Comptes Rendus du 9e Congrès International de Stratigraphie et de Géologie du Carbonifère, Champaign-Urbana 1979, vol. 2, p. 453–465.
- Ishii, K., 1962: Fusulinids from the middle Upper Carboniferous Itadorigawa Group in western Shikoku, Japan. Part 2. Genus Fusulinella and other fusulinids. Journal of Geosciences, Osaka City University, vol. 6, p. 1–58.
- Kamei, T., 1952: The stratigraphy of the Paleozoic rocks of the Fukuji district, southern part of the Hida mountainland. *Journal of the Faculty of Liberal Arts and Science, Sinshu University*, no. 2, p. 43–74. (*in Japanese with English abstract*)
- Kobayashi, F., 1994: Carboniferous foraminifers from the exotic limestone blocks in the Itsukaichi district, southern Kanto Mountains, Japan. *Transactions and Proceedings of the Palaeontological Society of Japan*, n. ser., no. 176, p. 618–637.
- Kobayashi, F., 2017: Late Carboniferous and Early Permian fusulines of the Akiyoshi Limestone Group in the Wakatakeyama area, Akiyoshi (Japan) — Biostratigraphy, biogeography, and biodiversity. *Revue de Paléobiologie*, vol. 36, p. 1–155.
- Kobayashi, F., 2019: Carboniferous foraminifers of the Yura area, Southern Chichibu Terrane of the western part of Kii Peninsula. *Paleontological Research*, vol. 23, p. 29–54.
- Kobayashi, F., 2022: Late Carboniferous (Kasimovian and Gzhelian) fusulines of the Ichinotani and Mizuyagadani formations in the Fukuji area, Hida Marginal Terrane, central Japan. *Paleontological Research*, vol. 26, p. 341–358.
- Kobayashi, F., Furutani, H. and Vachard, D., 2022: Carboniferous foraminifers of the lower part of the Ichinotani Formation, Hida Marginal Terrane, Japan. *Paleontological Research*, vol. 27, p. 107–130.
- Kobayashi, F. and Vachard, D., 2019: Late Carboniferous and Early Permian non-fusuline foraminifers of the Akiyoshi Limestone Group in the Wakatakeyama area, Akiyoshi (Japan). *Revue de Paléobiologie*, vol. 38, p. 363–378.

- Lee, J. S., 1927: Fusulinidae of North China. Palaeontologia Sinica, Series B, vol. 4, p. 1–172. (in Chinese and English)
- Lee, J. S., Chen, S. and Chu, S., 1930: Huanglung Limestone and its fauna. *Memoirs of National Research Institute of Geology*, no. 9, p. 85–142.
- Leven, E. Ja. and Davydov, V. I., 2001: Stratigraphy and fusulinids of the Kasimovian and upper Gzhelian (Upper Carboniferous) in the southwestern Darvas (Pamir). *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, vol. 107, p. 3–46.
- Leven, E. Ja., Davydov, V. I. and Gorgij, M. N., 2006: Pennsylvanian stratigraphy and fusulinids of central and eastern Iran. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, vol. 9, p. 1–36.
- Lin, J. X., 1978: Foraminiferida of Carboniferous and Permian. In, Hubei Institute of Geological Sciences, and Hubei, Hunan, Guandong and Guangxi Geological Bureaus, eds., Paleontologic Atlas of Central South China Book 4, p. 10–43. Geological Publishing House, Beijing. (in Chinese)
- Mamet, B. and Pinard, S., 1992: Note sur la taxonomie des petits foraminifères du Paléozoïque supérieur. Bulletin de la Société belge de Géologie, vol. 99, p. 373–398.
- Möller, V. von, 1877: Ueber Fusulinen und ähnliche Foraminiferen-Formen des russischen Kohlenkalkes. Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie and Paläontologie, vol. 1877, p. 139–146.
- Möller, V. von, 1878: Die spiral-gewunden Foraminiferen des russischen Kohlenkalkes. Mémoires de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Pétersbourg, Série 7, vol. 25, p. 1–147.
- Morozova, V. G., 1949: Representatives of the families Lituolidae and Textulariidae from the Upper Carboniferous and Artinskian strata of the Bashkir Urals. *Trudy Instituta Geologicheskikh Nauk*, *Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Geologicheskaya Seriya 35*, vol. 105, p. 244–275. (in Russian; original title translated)
- Niikawa, I., 1978: Carboniferous and Permian fusulinids from Fukuji, central Japan. *Journal of the Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Series 4*, vol. 18, p. 533–610.
- Niikawa, I., 1980: Geology and biostratigraphy of the Fukuji district, Gifu Prefecture, central Japan. *Journal of the Geological Society* of Japan, vol. 86, p. 25–36. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Phillips, J., 1846: On the remains of microscopic animals in the rocks of Yorkshire. Proceedings of the Geological and Polytechnic Society of the West Riding of Yorkshire, vol. 2, p. 274–285.
- Putrya, F. S., 1940: Foraminifers and stratigraphy from the Upper Carboniferous sediments in the eastern part of the Donetz Basin. Materialy po Geologii i Poleznym Iskopaemym, Azovo-Chernomorskoye Geologicheskoye Upravleniye, sbornik 11, p. 1–148. (in Russian with English summary)
- Putrya, F. S., 1948: Protriticites, a new fusulinid genus. Trudy L'vovskogo Geogicheskogo Obschestva pri Gosudarstvennom Universitete im. Ivana Franko, Paleontologicheskaya Seriya, vol. 1, p. 89–96. (in Russian; original title translated)
- Putrya, F. S. and Leontovich, G. E., 1948: On the study of Middle Carboniferous fusulinids in the Volga region of Saratov. *Bulletin de la Société des naturalistes de Moscou, Geology*, vol. 23, p. 11–45.
- Rauzer-Chernousova, D. M., 1938: Upper Paleozoic foraminifera of the Samara Bend and Trans-Volga region. Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Trudy Geologicheskogo Instituta, vol. 7, p. 69–167. (in Russian with English summary)
- Rauzer-Chernousova, E. A., 1961: Some Middle Carboniferous fusulinids in the Kama region along the Volga. *In*, Dalmatskaya, I. I. *et al. eds.*, *Stratigraphy of the Middle Carboniferous Deposits of the central and eastern parts of the Russian Platform*, p. 213–217. Regional'naya Stratigrafiya SSSR, vol. 5, Geologicheskiy Institut, Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Moscow. (*in Russian; original title translated*)

- Rauzer-Chernousova, E. A., 1963: Einige Fragen zur Evolution der Fusulinideen. *In*, Koeningwald, G. H. R. von, Emeis, J. D., Buning, W. L. and Wagner, C. W. *eds.*, *Evolutionary trends in Foraminifera*, p. 45–65. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Rauzer-Chernousova, D. M., Gryzlova, N. D., Kireeva, G. D., Leontovich, G. E., Safonova, T. P. and Chernova, E. I., 1951: *Middle Carboniferous Fusulinids of the Russian Platform and Adjacent Regions*, 371 p. Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Institut Geologicheskikh Nauk, Moscow. (*in Russian: original title translated*)
- Reitlinger, E. A., 1949: Smaller foraminifera in the lower part of the Middle Carboniferous in the middle Urals and Kama River regions. *Izvestiay Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Geologicheskaya*, no. 6, p. 149–164. (*in Russian; original title translated*)
- Reitlinger, E. A., 1950: Foraminifers from Middle Carboniferous deposits in the central part of the Russian Platform (exclusive of the family Fusulinidae). *Trudy Geologicheskogo Instituta, Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Geologicheskaya Seriya*, vol. 126, p. 1–127. (*in Russian; original title translated*)
- Reitlinger, E. A., 1961: Stratigraphy of the Middle Carboniferous deposits of the Krasnya Polyana No. 1 Well in Middle Zavolzhe. In, Dalmatskaya, I. I. et al. eds., Stratigraphy of the Middle Carboniferous Deposits of the central and eastern parts of the Russian Platform, p. 218–260. Regional'naya Stratigrafiya SSSR, vol. 5, Geologicheskiy Institut, Akademiya Nauk SSSR, Moscow. (in Russian; original title translated)
- Reitlinger, E. A., 1971: Some problems in light of evolutional stages of the Upper Paleozoic foraminifers. *Voprosy Mikropaleontologii*, vol. 14, p. 3–16. (*in Russian with English summary*)
- Schlykova, T. I., 1951: Foraminifers from the Visean and Namurian stages of the Lower Carboniferous of the western limb of the Moscow Basin. *In*, Stratigrafiya i mikrofauna nizhnego karbona zapadnogo kryla Podmoskovnoi Kotloviny. *Trudy VNIGRI, Novaya Seriya*, vol. 56, p. 109–176. (*in Russian; original title translated*)
- Sheng, J. C., 1958: Fusulinids from the Penchi Series of the Taitzeho Valley, Liaoning. *Palaeontologica Sinica, New Series B*, vol. 7, p. 1–119. (*in Chinese and English*)
- Staff, H. von and Wedekind, R., 1910: Der oberkarbonische Foraminiferensapropelit Spitzbergens. Bulletin of the Geological Institution of the University of Upsala, vol. 10, p. 81–123.
- Thompson, M. L., 1937: Fusulinids of the subfamily Schubertellinae. Journal of Paleontology, vol. 11, p. 118–125.
- Thompson, M. L., Pitrat, C. W. and Sanderson, G. A., 1953: Primitive Cache Creek fusulinids from central British Columbia, Canada. *Journal of Paleontology*, vol. 27, p. 545–552.
- Toriyama, R., 1958: Geology of Akiyoshi, Part 3. Fusulinids of Akiyoshi. Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Series D, vol. 7, p. 1–246.
- Villa, E. and Ginkel, A. C. van, 2000: Some Late Moscovian and Kasimovian fusulinaceans from the Las Llacerias section (Cantabrian Mountains, Spain). *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, vol. 30, p. 219–243.
- Villa, E. and Merino-Tomé, Ó., 2016: Fusulines from the Bashkirian/ Moscovian transition in the Carboniferous of the Cantabrian Zone (NW Spain). *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, vol. 46, p. 237–270.
- Voloshinova, N. A. and Reitlinger E. A., 1959: Order Endothyrida. In, Rauzer-Chernousova, D. M. and Fursenko, A. V. eds., Fundamentals of Paleontology, General part—Protozoa, p. 190–201. Izdatel'stvo Akademii Nauk SSSR, Moscow. (in Russian; original title translated)
- Watanabe, K., 1991: Fusuline Biostratigraphy of the Upper Carboniferous and Lower Permian of Japan, with Special Reference to the Carboniferous-Permian Boundary, 150 p. Special Paper, no. 32, Palaeontological Society of Japan, Tokyo.