

New Replacement Names in Fossil Echinoderms (Echinodermata)

Authors: Ceccolini, Filippo, and Cianferoni, Fabio

Source: Paleontological Research, 27(4) : 379-382

Published By: The Palaeontological Society of Japan

URL: <https://doi.org/10.2517/PR210029>

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

New replacement names in fossil echinoderms (Echinodermata)

FILIPPO CECCOLINI¹ AND FABIO CIANFERONI^{1,2}

¹Zoology, “La Specola”, Natural History Museum, University of Florence, Via Romana 17, I-50125 Florence, Italy

²Research Institute on Terrestrial Ecosystems (IRET), National Research Council of Italy (CNR), Via Madonna del Piano 10, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy (e-mail: fabio.cianferoni@cnr.it)

Received November 9, 2021; Revised manuscript accepted May 29, 2022; Published online March 1, 2023

Abstract. Within the genera of fossil Echinodermata three junior homonyms are found and the following replacement names are proposed: *Edrioblastocystis* nom. nov. pro *Blastocystis* Jaekel, 1918 nec Aléxéieff, 1911 and consequently *Edrioblastocystidae* nom. nov. to replace *Blastocystidae* Jaekel, 1918; *Euzonohymenosoma* nom. nov. = *Hymenosoma* Lehmann, 1957 nec Desmarest, 1823; *Pennsylvanicloscapus* nom. nov. = *Cycloscapus* Moore and Jeffords, 1968 nec Erdös and Novicky in Erdös, 1951. Accordingly, also three new combinations (comb. nov.) are established.

ZooBank registration: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:71DDBCFF-5000-45E2-9CCA-77D04E3EB881

Keywords: homonym, new combination, new name, nomen novum, nomenclature change

Introduction

Echinodermata is a large phylum of deuterostomes, including only marine organisms, which occupy many diverse benthic habitats, ranging from intertidal to deep sea (Reich *et al.*, 2015). They are unique animals, as their symmetry is bilateral in larvae but radial (often pentamerous) in adults (Pandian, 2018). Echinoderms are very well represented in the fossil record: indeed, more than 20,500 species (including both fossil and recent species) are known, of which about 13,000 as fossils (Zhang, 2013). They are very important fossils in paleontology and they are recorded with certainty since the Cambrian Series 2, but their origin may be older, since the enigmatic pentaradial animal *Arkarua adami* from Vendian of Australia has been interpreted as the earliest known echinoderm (Gehling, 1987; Mooi and David, 1998); however its phylogenetic position is still debated (Sprinkle and Guensburg, 1997; Zamora and Rahman, 2014; Cracknell *et al.*, 2021).

Within fossil Echinodermata there are some genera whose names are junior homonyms, not recognized as such so far. Thus, according to the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999), they need to be replaced with new names.

Replacement names

Edrioblastocystis nom. nov.

Jaekel (1918: 107) described the new echinoderm genus *Blastocystis*—(first figured by Schmidt (1874)—from the Ordovician of the Baltic Region and established the new family *Blastocystidae* to allocate this new genus along with *Blastoidocrinus* Billings 1859. Both genus and family names are still accepted (Cope and Donovan, 2005; Rozhnov, 2013).

Some years earlier Aléxéieff (1911: 298) used the same name when, disputing the nature of some cysts of *Trichomonas intestinalis*, he asserted that they were actually a vegetable organism akin to yeasts. Thus he established the new genus name *Blastocystis* for the new species *B. enterecola*, a parasite in vertebrates and leeches, which he classified with the ascomycetes (and for this reason it is still reported by some sources such as Farr and Zijlstra, 2021). Although in the past several hypotheses were made about the classification of this genus among botanical organisms, including algae (e.g. Ciferri and Redaelli, 1938), thanks to first studies with the electron microscope Zierdt *et al.* (1967) reported several characteristics that fit a protozoan classification and changed the phylogenetic position of this organism. Currently, *Blastocystis*

Aléxéieff is considered belonging to Bigyra Opalozoa (Cavalier-Smith and Scoble, 2013). Thus, like all names referred to heterotrophic single-celled organisms that traditionally were grouped with the animals and generically called “protozoa” (Scamardella, 1999), this name is now treated under the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999, Art. 1.1.1) and it competes in homonymy in zoological nomenclature, even though today “protozoa” groups of organisms are not classified as animals (ICZN, 1999, Art. 2.2). For this reason *Blastocystis* Jaekel is an invalid name, since it is a junior homonym of *Blastocystis* Aléxéieff and, lacking available synonyms, according to ICZN (1999, Arts. 60.1, 60.2) a new replacement name for it is needed. Herein we propose *Edrioblastocystis* nom. nov.

Etymology.—The new name refers to the assignment of the genus to the order Edrioblastoidea, adding the prefix *Edrio-* to the original name. Feminine gender.

Systematics

Class Parablastoidea Hudson, 1907

Order Edrioblastoidea Fay, 1962

Family Blastocystidae Jaekel, 1918 (to be replaced, see below)

Genus *Edrioblastocystis* nom. nov.

= *Blastocystis* Jaekel, 1918 nec Aléxéieff, 1911

Species *Edrioblastocystis rossica* (Jaekel, 1918) comb. nov.

= *Blastocystis rossica* Jaekel, 1918 (type species)

= *Blastoidocrinus carchariaedens* Schmidt, 1874 nec Billings, 1859

ZooBank lsid: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:67272FD2-EF64-4556-9B30-E3FCE9A36741

Remarks.—*Blastocystis* Jaekel, 1918 is the type genus of the family Blastocystidae Jaekel, 1918, thus the name of this family-group taxon is invalid because its type genus is a junior homonym (ICZN, 1999, Arts. 23.4.1, 39). Since no synonyms are available, a replacement for the family-group name is required in relation to the new generic name. Thus, we propose *Edrioblastocystidae* nom. nov. to replace *Blastocystidae* Jaekel, 1918.

Euzonohymenosoma nom. nov.

Lehmann (1957: 31) established the new genus name *Hymenosoma* to accommodate a new species of fossil brittle star from the Early Devonian of Germany. The name is still in use (PBDB, 2021; GBIF Secretariat, 2021).

More than a century earlier, the name *Hymenosoma* was already used by Desmarest (1823: 275) in crusta-

cean Malacostraca and is still in use (Teske *et al.*, 2009; Dawson and Griffiths, 2012; WoRMS, 2021). Thus, *Hymenosoma* Lehmann is a junior homonym of *Hymenosoma* Desmarest and it is an invalid name which needs to be replaced since it lacks an available synonym (ICZN, 1999, Arts. 60.1, 60.2). Herein we propose *Euzonohymenosoma* nom. nov.

Etymology.—The new name refers to the assignment of the genus to the family Euzonosomatidae, adding the prefix *Euzono-* to the original name. Neutral gender.

Systematics

Class Ophiuroidea Gray, 1840

Order *incertae sedis*

Family Euzonosomatidae Spencer, 1930

Genus *Euzonohymenosoma* nom. nov.

= *Hymenosoma* Lehmann, 1957 nec Desmarest, 1823

Species *Euzonohymenosoma opitzi* (Lehmann, 1957) comb. nov.

= *Hymenosoma opitzi* Lehmann, 1957 (type species)

ZooBank lsid: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:8BB7FA8E-15B3-4C49-8C18-C3725F573E64

Pennsylvanicyclosapus nom. nov.

Moore and Jeffords (1968: 83) introduced the new genus name *Cyclosapus* for Pennsylvanian crinoid columnals from America. The name is still in use (Buitrón-Sánchez *et al.*, 2008, 2017; Villanueva-Olea *et al.*, 2016).

Some years earlier, Erdös and Novicky in Erdös (1951: 180) established a genus of Hymenoptera Eulophidae using the same name; although currently this is considered a junior subjective synonym of *Diglyphus* Walker, 1844 (Yefremova *et al.*, 2011; Noyes, 2021), it is a senior homonym of *Cyclosapus* Moore and Jeffords and thus the latter is invalid. Accordingly, since no synonyms are available, a new replacement name for *Cyclosapus* Moore and Jeffords is needed. (ICZN, 1999, Arts. 60.1, 60.2). Herein we propose *Pennsylvanicyclosapus* nom. nov.

Etymology.—The new name refers to the geologic epoch of the fossil echinoderm (Pennsylvanian), adding the prefix *Pennsylvani-* to the original name. Masculine gender.

Systematics

Class Crinoidea Miller, 1821

Order *incertae sedis*

Family *incertae sedis*

Genus *Pennsylvanicyclosapus* nom. nov.

= *Cyclosapus* Moore and Jeffords, 1968 nec Erdös and

Novicky in Erdös, 1951
 Species *Pennsylvanicyclosampus laevis* (Moore and Jeffords, 1968) comb. nov.
 = *Cyclosampus laevis* Moore and Jeffords, 1968 (type species)

ZooBank lsid: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:584A9BE0-AA04-4D17-8E60-9C12A208820D

Acknowledgement

We thank Andreas Kroh (Natural History Museum, Vienna, Austria) and Bertrand Lefebvre (University of Lyon, France) for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- Aléxéieff, A., 1911: Sur la nature des formations dites "Kystes de *Trichomonas intestinalis*". *Comptes rendus des séances et mémoires de la Société de biologie*, vol. 71, p. 296–298.
- Billings, E., 1859: On the Crinoideae of the Lower Silurian rocks of Canada. In, Logan, W. E. ed., *Figures and descriptions of Canadian Organic Remains*, 72 p. Decade 4, Geological Survey of Canada, Montreal.
- Buitrón-Sánchez, B. E., Gómez-Espinosa, C., Almazán-Vázquez, E., Vachard, D., Laguarda-Figueras, A. and Solís-Marín, F., 2008: A review of the crinoid columnals (Echinodermata-Crinoidea) from the Carboniferous of Mexico. *Revista Biología Tropical*, vol. 56, p. 1–12.
- Buitrón-Sánchez, B. E., Lopez Lara, O., Vachard, D. and Hernández Barroso, A. S., 2017: Algunos crinoides (Echinodermata-Crinoidea) del Pérmico de la región de Pemuxco, Hidalgo. *Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana*, vol. 69, p. 21–34.
- Cavalier-Smith, T. and Scoble, J. M., 2013: Phylogeny of Heterokonta: *Incisimonas marina*, a uniciliate gliding opalozoan related to *Solenicola* (Nanomonadea), and evidence that Actinophryida evolved from raphidophytes. *European Journal of Protistology*, vol. 49, p. 328–353.
- Ciferri, R. and Redaelli, P., 1938: A new hypothesis on the nature of *Blastocystis*. *Mycopathologia*, vol. 1, p. 3–6.
- Cope, J. C. W. and Donovan, S. K., 2005: Parablastoid holdfasts from the Lower Ordovician of South Wales. *Geological Journal*, vol. 40, p. 295–300.
- Cracknell, K., García-Bellido, D. C., Gehlin, J. G., Ankor, M. J., Darroch, S. A. F. and Rahman, I. A., 2021: Pentaradial eukaryote suggests expansion of suspension feeding in White Sea-aged Ediacaran communities. *Scientific Reports*, vol. 11, doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-83452-1.
- Dawson, J. and Griffiths, C. L., 2012: Revision of the crown crabs, genus *Hymenosoma* (Crustacea: Hymenosomatidae), of South Africa. *African Natural History*, vol. 8 (1), p. 16–29.
- Desmarest, A. G., 1823: Malacostracés. In, Cuvier, F. ed., *Dictionnaire des sciences naturelles, dans lequel on traite méthodiquement des différens êtres de la nature, considérés soit en eux-mêmes, d'après l'état actuel de nos connaissances, soit relativement à l'utilité qu'en peuvent retirer la médecine, l'agriculture, le commerce et les arts. Suivi d'une biographie des plus célèbres naturalistes*, vol. 28, p. 138–425. F. G. Levraut, Strasbourg.
- Erdös, J., 1951: Eulophidae novae. *Acta Biologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, vol. 2, p. 169–237.
- Farr, E. R. and Zijlstra, G., (eds.) 2021: *Index Nominum Genericorum (Plantarum). 1996–2021* [online]. [Cited 30 September 2021]. Available from: <http://botany.si.edu/ing/>.
- Fay, R. O., 1962: Edrioblastoidea, a new class of Echinodermata. *Journal of Paleontology*, vol. 36, p. 201–205.
- GBIF Secretariat, 2021: *GBIF Backbone Taxonomy* [online]. [Cited 1 October 2021]. Available from: <https://www.gbif.org/species/8538817>.
- Gehling, J. G., 1987: Earliest known echinoderm—a new Ediacaran fossil from the Pound Subgroup of South Australia. *Alcheringa*, vol. 11, p. 337–345.
- Gray, J. E., 1840: A synopsis of the genera and species of the class Hypostoma (Asterias, Linnaeus). *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, vol. 6, p. 275–290.
- Hudson, G. H., 1907: On some Pelmatozoa from the Chazy Limestone of New York. *New York State Museum Bulletin, Geology Papers*, vol. 107, p. 97–127.
- ICZN—International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, 1999: *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. 4th Edition*, p. XXIX + 306. The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London.
- Jaekel, O., 1918: Phylogenie und System der Pelmatozoen. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, vol. 3 (1), p. 1–128.
- Lehmann, W. M., 1957: Die Asterozoen in den Dachschifern des rheinischen Unterdevons. *Abhandlungen des Hessischen Landeshamtes für Bodenforschung*, vol. 21, p. 1–160.
- Miller, J. S., 1821: *A natural history of the Crinoidea or lilyshaped animals, with observation on the genera Asteria, Euryale, Comatula, and Marsupites*, p. 274. Bryan & Co., Bristol.
- Mooi, R. and David, B., 1998: Evolution within a bizarre phylum: homologies of the first echinoderms. *American Zoologist*, vol. 38, p. 965–975.
- Moore, R. C. and Jeffords, R. M., 1968: Classification and nomenclature of fossil crinoids based on studies of dissociated parts of their columns. *University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions, Echinodermata*, vol. 9, p. 1–86.
- Noyes, J. S., 2021: *Universal Chalcidoidea Database*. World Wide Web electronic publication [online]. [Cited 2 October 2021]. Available from: <http://www.nhm.ac.uk/chalcidooids>.
- Pandian, T. J., 2018: *Reproduction and Development in Echinodermata and Prochordata*, p. 286. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
- PBDB—The Paleobiology Database, 2021: *The Paleobiology Database. Revealing the history of life* [online]. [Cited 1 October 2021]. Available from: <https://paleobiodb.org/#/>.
- Reich, A., Dunn, C., Akasaka, K. and Wessel, G., 2015: Phylogenomic Analyses of Echinodermata Support the Sister Groups of Asterozoa and Echinozoa. *PLoS ONE*, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119627.
- Rozhnov, S. V., 2013: A New Genus of Parablastoidea (Echinodermata) from the Middle Ordovician of Ladoga Glint on the Volkhov River (Ladoga Region). *Paleontological Journal*, vol. 47, p. 154–161.
- Scamardella, J. M., 1999: Not plants or animals: a brief history of the origin of Kingdoms Protozoa, Protista and Protoctista. *International Microbiology*, vol. 2, p. 207–216.
- Schmidt, F., 1874: Miscellanea Silurica II. Über einige neue und wenig bekannte Baltisch-Silurische Petrefacten. *Mémoire de l'Academie impériale des Sciences de St Petersbourg, Séries 7*, vol. 21, p. 48.
- Spencer, W. K., 1930: A Monograph of the British Palaeozoic Asterozoa. Part VIII. *Monographs of the Palaeontographical Society*, vol. 82, p. 389–436.
- Sprinkle, J. and Guensburg, T. E., 1997: Early radiation of echinoderms. *Paleontological Society Papers*, vol. 3, p. 205–224.
- Teske, P. R., McLay, C. L., Sandoval-Castillo, J., Papadopoulos, I.,

- Newman, B. K., Griffiths, C. L. *et al.*, 2009: Tri-locus sequence data reject a “Gondwanan origin hypothesis” for the African/South Pacific crab genus *Hymenosoma*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, vol. 53, p. 23–33.
- Villanueva-Olea, R., Buitrón-Sánchez, B. E., Palafox-Reyes, J. J. and Piña-Flores, S., 2016: Crinoides (Echinodermata: Crinoidea) del Pensilvánico de sierra Las Mesteñas, NE de Sonora, México. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad*, vol. 87, p. 1225–1234.
- Walker, F., 1844: On the species of Chalcidites inhabiting the Arctic Region. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, vol. 14, p. 407–410.
- WoRMS, 2021: *World register of marine species* [online]. [Cited 1 October 2021]. Available from: www.marinespecies.org.
- Yefremova, Z., Sungur Civelek, H., Boyadzhiev, P., Dursun, O. and Eskin, A., 2011: A review of Turkish *Diglyphus* Walker (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), with description of a new species. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France*, vol. 47, p. 273–279.
- Zamora, S. and Rahman, I. A., 2014: Deciphering the early evolution of echinoderms with Cambrian fossils. *Palaeontology*, vol. 57, p. 1105–1119.
- Zhang, Z.-Q., 2013: Animal biodiversity: An update of classification and diversity in 2013. In, Zhang, Z.-Q. ed., *Animal Biodiversity: An Outline of Higher-level Classification and Survey of Taxonomic Richness (Addenda 2013)*. Zootaxa, vol. 3703, p. 1–82.
- Zierdt, C. H., Rude, W. S. and Bull, B. S., 1967: Protozoan characteristics of *Blastocystis hominis*. *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, vol. 48, p. 495–501.

Author contributions

The first author conceived the study. Both authors wrote the manuscript and equally contributed to the article.