

The foundation of the British Ornithologists' Club

Author: Collar, Nigel J.

Source: Bulletin of the British Ornithologists' Club, 144(2) : 187-188

Published By: British Ornithologists' Club

URL: <https://doi.org/10.25226/bboc.v144i2.2024.a11>

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

The foundation of the British Ornithologists' Club

by Nigel J. Collar 

Received 28 March 2024; revised 4 April 2024; published 3 June 2024

<http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:AC62A4AF-A8C2-455B-8294-62B3FF69C021>

In providing a delightful account of the formation in 1892 of the British Ornithologists' Club, Robert Prŷs-Jones (2024) repeats the assertion in Richard Bowdler Sharpe's (1893) preface to the first ten issues of the *Bulletin* that the 'core reason' for establishing the club was to give members of the British Ornithologists' Union 'an opportunity for meeting more frequently than the customary once a year'. However, I suspect that Sharpe's explanation, while not untrue, was camouflage for another albeit (for gentlemen ornithologists of the 19th century) unsayable motive, which Prŷs-Jones only obliquely identifies towards the end of his account when referring to 'novelties [that] could be rapidly presented at BOC meetings... and then published very quickly in the ensuing *Bull[etin]*...' (see also Peal 1980).

In the period 1887–90 there was a considerable and at times somewhat fractious rivalry between Britain and Germany over the discovery and naming of new bird species. In the Canary Islands, Britain's Meade-Waldo and Canon Tristram vied to find ornithological novelties with Germany's Alexander Koenig, leading to some immoderately partisan language over publication dates and the issue of priority; and, in the Philippines, Britain's Sharpe (processing material from Whitehead) was beaten to at least one original description by Germany's Wilhelm Blasius (processing material from the Platens) because the latter got his new name published in a local Braunschweig newspaper seemingly only a day or two after the *soirée* at which he announced the discovery (see Collar 1999).

It can barely be a coincidence that within two years of Sharpe's frustration by the *Braunschweigische Anzeigen* he had been instrumental in founding a club which would publish, within a matter of days, a formal record of the proceedings—with himself their editor—of its monthly meetings (inauguration on 5 October 1892, first 'regular' event on 19 October, proceedings of both published on 1 November, establishing 15 new species within the first six pages). And it can barely be a coincidence that in Germany, in January of the following year, a similar venture began, in the form of the journal *Ornithologische Monatsberichte* ('Ornithological Monthly Reports'), edited by Anton Reichenow. Possibly the mollifying influence of elder statesmen in the respective ornithological establishments (Alfred Newton and Gustav Hartlaub, who from my limited readings enjoyed a cordial relationship, spring to mind) played a part, resulting in a gentlemen's agreement to forgo public newspapers in favour of appropriately targeted outlets where novelties could be announced at a decorously higher speed than permitted by the quarterly appearance of *Ibis* and *Journal für Ornithologie*. At any rate, after ten months and ten issues of the *Bulletin* Sharpe (1893), in the same preface already cited, was able to report—clearly as the club's single achievement—that:

Twenty-five new or amended names of genera have been proposed, and fifty-eight new species of birds have been described. The Club may therefore be congratulated on the work done under its auspices during its first session.

That second sentence has the satisfied ring of 'mission accomplished' about it. New descriptions in *Ornithologische Monatsberichte* were rather fewer (by my count two new genera, 39 new species and seven new subspecies—a taxonomic rank the British were then still reluctant to embrace), but the journal had a far broader span of topics and a

greater commitment to providing reviews of recent literature. In any case, by this time any differences based on patriotic loyalties had seemingly been set aside. Ornithology was and remains a far greater cause than nationalism, in token of which Ernst Hartert was long ensconced in Britain, working first for Sharpe and then for Walter Rothschild (*Ibis* 76 [1934]: 350–377), and Lionel Wiglesworth had moved in 1889 to work with first Blasius and then Adolf Meyer (*Ibis* 43 [1901]: 751–752).

It is of course inevitable that troublesome relationships between individuals, and between groups, are generally smoothed over in the published record, with the result that after perhaps two generations almost no trace of them remains. Nevertheless, if any early manuscript archives held by bird clubs and societies still exist, they may yet prove to be a valuable source of information on the various forces, noble and sometimes perhaps a little ignoble, that have shaped the development of ornithology over the best part of two centuries.

References:

- Collar, N. J. 1999. New species, high standards and the case of *Laniarius liberatus*. *Ibis* 141: 358–367.
Peal, R. E. F. 1980. A short history of the Club and its Bulletin. *Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl.* 100: 4–13.
Prŷs-Jones, R. P. 2024. The founding of the British Ornithologists' Club, and its main protagonists. *Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl.* 144: 91–95.
Sharpe, R. B. 1893. Preface. *Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl.* 1: 3.

Address: Bird Group, Natural History Museum, Akeman Street, Tring, Herts. HP23 6AP, UK, and BirdLife International, Pembroke Street, Cambridge CB2 3QZ, UK, e-mail: nigel.collar@birdlife.org