

Development and Characterization of Microsatellite Loci in the Mistletoe *Psittacanthus schiedeanus* (Loranthaceae)

Authors: González, Clementina, Harvey, Nick, and Ornelas, Juan Francisco

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 3(1)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1400099>

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MICROSATELLITE LOCI IN THE MISTLETOE *PSITTACANTHUS SCHIEDEANUS* (LORANTHACEAE)¹

CLEMENTINA GONZÁLEZ², NICK HARVEY³, AND JUAN FRANCISCO ORNELAS^{2,4}

²Departamento de Biología Evolutiva, Instituto de Ecología, A.C., Xalapa, Veracruz 91070, Mexico; and ³Genetic Marker Services, Brighton, United Kingdom

- **Premise of the study:** Microsatellite primers were developed for the parasitic *Psittacanthus schiedeana*, a common mistletoe species on cloud forest-adapted tree hosts in Mesoamerica, to investigate intraspecific genetic patterns of diversity and genetic structure.
- **Methods and Results:** Using an enriched library, 10 polymorphic microsatellite loci were developed in *P. schiedeana*. All loci consisted of dinucleotide repeats. Average alleles per locus were 12 (4–17), and a total of 120 alleles were recorded across 39 individuals from four populations in Mexico. Primers were tested in 11 additional species, but only amplified successfully in *P. calyculatus* and *P. angustifolius*.
- **Conclusions:** The polymorphic loci described will be useful in studies of genetic diversity and genetic population differentiation in natural populations of these parasitic plants, and will provide valuable information to understand the importance of host distribution.

Key words: hemiparasite; Loranthaceae; microsatellites; mistletoe; *Psittacanthus schiedeana*.

Mistletoes are considered the most damaging pathogens to attack commercially important coniferous and hardwood timber stands (Mathiasen et al., 2008). Despite their negative economic impact, mistletoes are ecologically important in forest ecosystems as they provide food, cover, and nesting sites for a variety of birds, mammals, and insects (Watson, 2001). The geographic range of mistletoes is related to the availability of suitable host trees, and the genetic structuring of mistletoe populations is potentially influenced by the distribution of host populations (Norton and Carpenter, 1998). The genus *Psittacanthus* Mart. (c. 119 species; Loranthaceae), an aerial hemiparasite distributed throughout the Neotropics on a wide range of tree hosts, is distinguished by its large and conspicuous red, yellow, or orange flowers, bulky haustorial connections to the host trees, and large fruits with seeds that lack endosperm (Kuijt, 2009). *Psittacanthus schiedeana* (Cham. & Schlecht.) G. Don is characteristic of the canopy in the cloud forest edges in Mesoamerica and often parasitizes tall trees (López de Buen and Ornelas, 2002). The hermaphroditic, hummingbird-pollinated

flowers are self-compatible (Ramírez and Ornelas, 2010), and ripe, lipid-rich, purplish-black fleshy fruits are dispersed by a variety of resident and migratory bird species (López de Buen and Ornelas, 1999, 2001; Ramírez and Ornelas, 2009). The foraging and flocking behavior and local abundance of birds differ widely (López de Buen and Ornelas, 2001), and consequently affect the spatial patterns of mistletoe seed deposition (López de Buen and Ornelas, 1999; López de Buen et al., 2002). At a local scale, mistletoes can develop specificity on particular host trees depending on the heterogeneity of host patches, which may lead to gene flow changes and the eventual formation of mistletoe races (Overton, 1997; Norton and Carpenter, 1998). Cross-infection experiments, which have proven useful to demonstrate host specificity in other mistletoes (Overton, 1997; Lara et al., 2009), have shown local host adaptation of *P. schiedeana* on *Liquidambar styraciflua* L. (Ramírez and Ornelas, 2012). However, the parasite-host interaction is predominantly on other host species in areas where *L. styraciflua* is not distributed. Thus, geologic- and climate-driven processes implicated in the fragmentation of the Mesoamerican cloud forests and the distribution of potential host species across a geographic range could have influenced the distribution of genetic variation among populations of *P. schiedeana*.

Our aim is to determine to what extent the historically fragmented distribution of cloud forest in Mesoamerica and the distributions of host species have affected the spatial genetic variability of *P. schiedeana* and interactions with its hosts, pollinators, and seed dispersers. For these purposes, we isolated and characterized 10 polymorphic nuclear microsatellite loci that are being successfully applied to describe spatial patterns of genetic structure. To date, microsatellite primers have not been developed for this mistletoe species.

¹Manuscript received 16 October 2014; revision accepted 21 November 2014.

The authors thank Cristina Bárcenas and Antonio Vásquez for laboratory assistance. Permission to conduct fieldwork was granted by the Mexican government (Instituto Nacional de Ecología, Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales [INE-SEMARNAT], SGPA/DGGFS/712/1299/12), and Universidad del Valle de Guatemala (UVAL) and Universidade de São Paulo (USP) herbaria collecting permits. This project was funded by research funds from competitive grants (no. 61710, 155686) from the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) awarded to J.F.O.

⁴Author for correspondence: francisco.ornelas@inecol.mx

doi:10.3732/apps.1400099

Applications in Plant Sciences 2015 3(1): 1400099; <http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps> © 2015 González et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

TABLE 1. Characteristics of 17 microsatellite loci developed in *Psittacanthus schiedeana*.

Locus	Primer sequences (5′–3′)	Repeat motif	Allele size range (bp)	Fluorescent dye	GenBank accession no.
Psi1	F: GGTGAAATGTGTGAAATATGGA R: GCACATTGTGTCTCTGCTTG	(AG) ₁₈	95–131	6FAM	KP027826
Psi29	F: CCAGAGTTAGAGATGATCCAG AGTC R: TCCATTGTGCCCTTTTAACCA	(AG) ₁₄	139–145	VIC	KP027827
Psi6	F: CATCTTGGCTTGAGG GAACT R: CCCTCTCCCTCTCTCACTCA	(AG) ₂₂	145–199	NED	KP027828
Psi8	F: TGCACCT TTCCCTTCTCGATT R: CTTTCACATCACCGCTTTCA	(GA) ₂₃	209–247	PET	KP027829
Psi25	F: CGGTTAATCAAACCCATTAAAG R: AAGCTAAGGACTAAGAAGTGACA	(GT) ₁₉	157–227	6FAM	KP027830
Psi15	F: AAGAAAGGGAGATTCCAACC R: TTTTACATAAAGAGGGCTTATAAATG	(AG) ₁₄	78–102	PET	KP027831
Psi2	F: TCGAAGGTGTTGGAGGAAGA R: ACACACATATACACTTGATGCAC	(AG) ₂₁	88–130	6FAM	KP027832
Psi16	F: TGAATG GGAGGGAACATT TG R: GGGCATCCACATTTTTTCATT	(AG) ₁₀	168–180	VIC	KP027833
Psi17	F: CAAAGGGAGGTTGCCACAA R: ACAGGGACCAACAGACATCC	(AG) ₁₂	196–208	NED	KP027834
Psi19	F: GTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGCGA R: CCGGAAACCTTATCACTGCT	(GA) ₁₇	145–179	PET	KP027835
*Psi7	F: TGGGGTTTTGAATTGTAACAAAA R: GAGGCATTATGACCCGAGTG	(GA) ₁₂ (GT) ₁₂ (GA) ₁₃	192		KP027836
*Psi18	F: TCATGCTCCCACTTATGGAA R: TAGAGGGGGCTCAAAGTGTC	(CT) ₉	163		KP027837
*Psi21	F: GCTACACAGTGCCTTTACGG R: TGCCAAAAATTTGATGCATAG	(AC) ₉	107		KP027838
*Psi22	F: TCTGCCCAAAAATACATCCT R: CTGGATTTTCACGATTGTGTTG	(AC) ₁₀	122		KP027839
*Psi24	F: CATTGGGATCTGTGATGCTC R: AAGAACTGGGAGGTGGCATT	(GT) ₈	114		KP027840
*Psi27	F: ACCAGTTTCTCCAAACCAAG R: CTCTCTATCTCCACTTCAATTC	(AG) ₇	100		KP027841
*Psi12	F: CACGAGCATCCTCAATAGCC R: TGTGACATCAGGGGCCATAC	(GT) ₁₁	122		KP027842

*Loci untested for polymorphism, probably monomorphic.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Microsatellite isolation was performed by the simple sequence repeat (SSR) development company Genetic Marker Services (Brighton, United Kingdom; <http://www.geneticmarkerservices.com>). We extracted genomic DNA from a single *P. schiedeana* (PSI) individual collected in Jardín Botánico Francisco Xavier Clavijero, near the city of Xalapa, Veracruz, Mexico (Appendix 1), with the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia,

California, USA) to develop an enriched library, and to design and test primer pairs for microsatellite-containing loci. Enrichment involved incubating adapter-ligated restricted DNA with filter-bonded synthetic repeat motifs: (AG)₁₇, (AC)₁₇, (AAC)₁₀, (CCG)₁₀, (CTG)₁₀, and (AAT)₁₀. We detected and sequenced 29 microsatellite-positive *Escherichia coli* clones, of which 27 contained repeat motifs, and 19 of these loci had sufficient flanking regions to design F/R primer pairs using the primer design software Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000). All repeat motifs were perfect dinucleotides.

TABLE 2. Genetic properties of the 10 newly developed polymorphic microsatellites of *Psittacanthus schiedeana*.^a

Locus	Jitotol (n = 5)				Motozintla (n = 7)				Rancho Viejo (n = 19)				Xilitla (n = 8)			
	A	H _o	H _e	HWE	A	H _o	H _e	HWE	A	H _o	H _e	HWE	A	H _o	H _e	HWE
Psi1	4	0.600	0.822	0.1597	2	0.00	0.263	0.0771	11	0.631	0.829	0.1824	7	1.00	0.875	0.6202
Psi29	4	0.800	0.777	0.6939	3	0.571	0.648	1.000	5	0.388	0.495	0.0386	1	—	—	—
Psi6	—	—	—	—	3	0.000	0.666	0.0043*	10	0.555	0.792	0.0021*	8	1.00	0.857	0.1551
Psi8	4	0.750	0.821	0.3172	3	0.200	0.511	0.1105	8	0.705	0.798	0.5665	3	0.375	0.675	0.1994
Psi25	4	0.600	0.777	0.6951	6	0.571	0.868	0.0012*	6	0.473	0.605	0.0359	5	0.500	0.725	0.1767
Psi15	6	0.200	0.911	0.0009*	4	0.333	0.651	0.0699	4	0.473	0.613	0.3026	3	0.375	0.425	0.3835
Psi2	4	0.600	0.733	0.1829	4	0.428	0.648	0.2545	10	0.842	0.832	0.0093	5	0.500	0.533	0.5869
Psi16	3	0.600	0.511	1.000	2	0.428	0.362	1.000	5	0.052	0.482	0.0002*	3	0.500	0.425	1.000
Psi17	—	—	—	—	2	0.428	0.362	1.000	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
Psi19	4	0.000	0.800	0.0034*	9	0.571	0.912	0.0058	12	0.500	0.892	0.000*	6	0.625	0.816	0.0678

Note: A = number of alleles sampled; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; HWE = P values of the exact test of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; n = number of individuals sampled.

^aAll four populations are located in Mexico. See Appendix 1 for geographic coordinates and voucher information.

*Locus showed significant deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium after Bonferroni correction (P < 0.005).

TABLE 3. Cross-species amplifications of microsatellite primers developed for *Psittacanthus schiedeana*.

Species	Psi1	Psi29	Psi6	Psi8	Psi25	Psi15	Psi2	Psi16	Psi17	Psi19
<i>Psittacanthus robustus</i> (Mart.) Mart.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus acinarius</i> (Mart.) Mart.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus cordatus</i> (Hoffmanns. ex Schult. f.) G. Don	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus sonore</i> (S. Watson) Kuijt	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus ramiflorus</i> (Moc. & Sessé ex DC.) G. Don	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus mayanus</i> Standl. & Steyerl.	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus macrantherus</i> Eichler	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus calyculatus</i> (DC.) G. Don	+	~	–	+	+	~	+	+	+	–
<i>Psittacanthus angustifolius</i> Kuijt	+	+	+	+	+	–	+	+	+	+
<i>Psittacanthus auriculatus</i> (Oliv.) Eichler	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Psittacanthus rhynchanthus</i> (Benth.) Kuijt	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Note: + = successful amplification; ~ = amplification of multiple bands; – = failed amplification.

Primer pairs were developed to amplify products ranging from 100–250 bp, to help minimize later multiload overlap ambiguities during sequencer genotyping. The primers were then tested on seven individuals from different populations (Xilitla, Coacoatzintla, Tlalnelhuayocan, Actopan, La Mancha, Motozintla, Jitotol; Appendix 1) using the same touchdown PCR, to maximize specificity. PCR amplifications were performed in a 25-μL final volume containing 7 pmol of each primer, 1.5 mM of MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1× PCR buffer, 0.8 μg/μL bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.5 unit *Taq* polymerase (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA), and 1.5 μL of DNA diluted 20-fold. Touchdown PCR consisted of 32 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 60 s, annealing temperature step downs every two cycles of 1°C from 64°C to 59°C (12 cycles), then 10 cycles at 58°C and 10 cycles at 57°C for 60 s, elongation at 72°C for 60 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Specificity and active polymorphism were checked on a cooled high-resolution agarose gel. The products were run on 4% MetaPhor agarose gels (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) in TAE at 10°C. Ten microsatellite loci out of 19 showed specific bands and clearly differed in product sizes among the seven individuals used to test polymorphism, and seven loci showed specific bands but are probably monomorphic. Characteristics of microsatellite loci are shown in Table 1.

To determine the number of alleles per locus, observed and expected heterozygosity, significant deviations of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), and linkage disequilibrium, we amplified the 10 microsatellite loci that showed variation in band sizes in 39 individuals from four distantly located populations (Jitotol, Motozintla, Xilitla, Rancho Viejo; Appendix 1). We amplified microsatellite loci with the Multiplex PCR Kit (QIAGEN) using two mixes, each of five fluorescently labeled primers (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA; Table 1): Mix 1 (Psi1, Psi29, Psi6, Psi8, Psi25) and Mix 2 (Psi15, Psi2, Psi16, Psi17, Psi19). Multiplex PCR amplifications were performed in a 5-μL final volume containing final concentrations of 1× Multiplex PCR Master Mix, 1 mM of additional MgCl₂, 0.08 μM of primer mix, and 1–1.5 μL of DNA, with the following cycling conditions: an initial heat activation at 95°C for 15 min, 28 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 80 s, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 60°C for 30 min. PCR products (1 μL) were run on an ABI-PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer including the GeneScan 600 LIZ Size Standard (Applied Biosystems). Fragment sizing was performed in GeneMapper 3.2 (Applied Biosystems). The locus Psi6 failed to amplify in one population (Jitotol), and others were monomorphic in specific populations (Psi29 in Xilitla, and Psi17 in Jitotol, Xilitla, and Rancho Viejo). The number of different alleles per locus across populations ranged from four to 17. Observed and expected heterozygosity, deviations from HWE, and linkage disequilibrium between pairs of loci were estimated in Arlequin 3.5.1.2 (Excoffier et al., 2005). Significant deviations from expectations under HWE after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons were inconsistently found in two loci from Jitotol and Motozintla, and in three loci from Rancho Viejo, probably due to the presence of null alleles. No significant linkage disequilibrium was detected among paired loci comparisons after Bonferroni correction (Table 2).

Cross-species amplifications of microsatellite loci were performed in one to three individuals of each of 11 species of *Psittacanthus*, with the same conditions above. Most primers amplified successfully only in *P. calyculatus* (DC.) G. Don and *P. angustifolius* Kuijt (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

The 10 microsatellites described here are the first to be developed for *P. schiedeana* and the genus *Psittacanthus*. These polymorphic loci will be useful in studies of genetic diversity and genetic population differentiation and will provide valuable information to understand the importance of host distribution and abiotic factors involved in geographic variation and structure of this widespread mistletoe in Mesoamerica. Cross-species amplifications were successful in closely related *P. calyculatus* and *P. angustifolius*, but unsuccessful in most of the studied *Psittacanthus* species, likely due to their high genetic divergence.

LITERATURE CITED

- EXCOFFIER, L., G. LAVAL, AND S. SCHNEIDER. 2005. Arlequin ver. 3.0: An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* 1: 47–50.
- KUIJT, J. 2009. Monograph of *Psittacanthus* (Loranthaceae). Systematic Botany Monographs, vol. 86. American Society of Plant Taxonomists, Laramie, Wyoming.
- LARA, C., G. PÉREZ, AND J. F. ORNELAS. 2009. Provenance, guts, and fate: Field and experimental evidence in a host-mistletoe-bird system. *Ecoscience* 16: 399–407.
- LÓPEZ DE BUEN, L., AND J. F. ORNELAS. 1999. Frugivorous birds, host selection and the mistletoe *Psittacanthus schiedeana*, in central Veracruz, Mexico. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 15: 329–340.
- LÓPEZ DE BUEN, L., AND J. F. ORNELAS. 2001. Seed dispersal of the mistletoe *Psittacanthus schiedeana* by birds in central Veracruz, Mexico. *Biotropica* 33: 487–494.
- LÓPEZ DE BUEN, L., AND J. F. ORNELAS. 2002. Host compatibility of the cloud forest mistletoe *Psittacanthus schiedeana* (Loranthaceae) in central Veracruz, Mexico. *American Journal of Botany* 89: 95–102.
- LÓPEZ DE BUEN, L., J. F. ORNELAS, AND J. G. GARCÍA-FRANCO. 2002. Mistletoe infection of trees located at fragmented forest edges in the cloud forests of central Veracruz, Mexico. *Forest Ecology and Management* 164: 293–302.
- MATHIASSEN, R. L., D. L. NICKRENT, D. C. SHAW, AND D. M. WATSON. 2008. Mistletoes: Pathology, systematics, ecology, and management. *Plant Disease* 92: 988–1006.
- NORTON, D. A., AND M. A. CARPENTER. 1998. Mistletoes as parasites: Host specificity and speciation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 13: 101–105.
- OVERTON, J. M. 1997. Host specialization and partial reproductive isolation in desert mistletoe (*Phoradendron californicum*). *Southwestern Naturalist* 42: 201–209.
- RAMÍREZ, M. M., AND J. F. ORNELAS. 2009. Germination of *Psittacanthus schiedeana* (mistletoe) seeds after passage through the gut of cedar waxwings and grey silky-flycatchers. *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society* 136: 322–331.

- RAMÍREZ, M. M., AND J. F. ORNELAS. 2010. Pollination and nectar production of *Psittacanthus schiedeanus* (Loranthaceae) in central Veracruz, Mexico. *Boletín de la Sociedad Botánica de México* 87: 71–77.
- RAMÍREZ, M. M., AND J. F. ORNELAS. 2012. Cross-infection experiments of *Psittacanthus schiedeanus*: Effects of host provenance, gut passage and host fate on mistletoe seedling survival. *Plant Disease* 96: 780–787.
- ROZEN, S., AND H. SKALETSKY. 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], *Methods in molecular biology*, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
- WATSON, D. M. 2001. Mistletoe—A keystone resource in forests and woodlands worldwide. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 32: 219–249.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher, number of individuals sampled, and location information for *Psittacanthus* species in this study.

Species	Locality	Latitude	Longitude	<i>n</i>	Voucher no. (Herbarium ID) ^a
<i>P. acinarius</i>	Brazil, Mato Grosso, Cuiabá	–15°35'56"	–56°05'42"	3	G. Ceccantini 3676 (USP)
<i>P. angustifolius</i>	Mexico, Chiapas, Comitán	16°13'46"	–92°08'01"	2	A. Ortiz-Rodríguez s.n. (XAL)
<i>P. angustifolius</i>	Mexico, Oaxaca, Puerto Escondido	15°43'32"	–96°39'48"	1	E. Ruiz-Sánchez 448 (XAL)
<i>P. auriculatus</i>	Mexico, Oaxaca, El Molino	17°46'14"	–97°44'58"	3	A. Ortiz-Rodríguez s.n. (XAL)
<i>P. calyculatus</i>	Mexico, Michoacán, Maravatío	19°54'00"	–100°27'00"	1	E. Ruiz-Sánchez 414 (XAL)
<i>P. calyculatus</i>	Mexico, Michoacán, Morelia	19°60'05"	–101°23'00"	1	A. González s.n. (XAL)
<i>P. calyculatus</i>	Mexico, Tlaxcala, Tlaxcala	19°17'00"	–98°14'00"	1	C. Lara s.n. (XAL)
<i>P. cordatus</i>	Brazil, Mato Grosso, Cuiabá	–15°35'56"	–56°05'42"	3	G. Ceccantini 3671 (USP)
<i>P. macrantherus</i>	Mexico, Sinaloa, El Palmito	23°33'00"	–105°50'00"	1	E. Ruiz-Sánchez 348 (XAL)
<i>P. mayanus</i>	Mexico, Yucatán, Unucmá	21°02'58"	–89°54'38"	1	Nonvouchered
<i>P. mayanus</i>	Mexico, Yucatán, Cuxtal	20°54'37"	–89°37'15"	1	Nonvouchered
<i>P. mayanus</i>	Mexico, Chiapas, Ocozocuahtla	16°47'47"	–93°24'30"	1	A. Ortiz-Rodríguez s.n. (XAL)
<i>P. ramiflorus</i>	Mexico, Chiapas, Berriozabal	16°50'21"	–93°18'11"	3	A. Ortiz-Rodríguez s.n. (XAL)
<i>P. rhynchanthus</i>	Guatemala, Patutul	14°22'24"	–91°08'18"	3	J. J. Vega s.n. (UVAL)
<i>P. robustus</i>	Brazil, Minas Gerais, Serra do Cipó	–19°18'26"	–43°52'33"	3	G. Ceccantini 3589 (USP)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, San Luis Potosí, Xilitla	21°22'39"	–98°59'35"	8	E. Ruiz-Sánchez 281 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Veracruz, Clavijero	19°30'47"	–96°56'28"	1	M. T. Mejía 2036 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Veracruz, Rancho Viejo	19°31'11"	–96°58'22"	19	M. T. Mejía 362 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Veracruz, Coacoatzintla	19°37'41"	–96°52'56"	1	M. T. Mejía 2043 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Veracruz, Tlalnahuayocan	19°34'47"	–96°57'38"	1	M. T. Mejía 2041 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Veracruz, Actópan	19°23'13"	–96°36'56"	1	M. T. Mejía 2049 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Veracruz, La Mancha	19°20'43"	–96°36'05"	1	M. T. Mejía 2050 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Chiapas, Motozintla	15°21'21"	–92°14'54"	7	E. Ruiz-Sánchez 261 (XAL)
<i>P. schiedeanus</i>	Mexico, Chiapas, Jitotol	17°01'47"	–92°50'46"	5	E. Ruiz-Sánchez 263 (XAL)
<i>P. sonorae</i>	Mexico, Sonora, Nacapule	27°59'04"	–111°02'40"	1	Nonvouchered
<i>P. sonorae</i>	Mexico, Sonora, Cruz de Piedra	27°57'25"	–110°40'51"	1	Nonvouchered
<i>P. sonorae</i>	Mexico, Sonora, Paraíso La Manga	27°53'43"	–111°06'55"	1	Nonvouchered

^aIDs reported below refer to accession numbers in the Instituto de Ecología, A.C. (XAL), Universidad del Valle de Guatemala (UVAL), and the Universidade de São Paulo (USP) herbaria.