

## Occurrence of Rat Lungworm (Angiostrongylus cantonensis) in Invasive Coqui Frogs (Eleutherodactylus coqui) and Other Hosts in Hawaii, USA

Authors: Niebuhr, Chris N., Jarvi, Susan I., Kaluna, Lisa, Torres Fischer, Bruce L., Deane, Ashley R., et al.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 56(1): 203-207

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/2018-12-294

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commmercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## Occurrence of Rat Lungworm (*Angiostrongylus cantonensis*) in Invasive Coqui Frogs (*Eleutherodactylus coqui*) and Other Hosts in Hawaii, USA

Chris N. Niebuhr,<sup>1,4,5</sup> Susan I. Jarvi,<sup>2</sup> Lisa Kaluna,<sup>2</sup> Bruce L. Torres Fischer,<sup>2</sup> Ashley R. Deane,<sup>3</sup> Israel L. Leinbach,<sup>1</sup> and Shane R. Siers<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>US Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, National Wildlife Research Center, Hawaii Field Station, PO Box 10880, Hilo, Hawaii 96721, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Science, Daniel K. Inouye College of Pharmacy, University of Hawaii at Hilo, 200 W Kawili Street, Hilo, Hawaii 96720, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand; <sup>4</sup>Current address: Landcare Research, PO Box 69040, Lincoln 7608, New Zealand; <sup>5</sup>Corresponding author (email: niebuhrc@ landcareresearch.co.nz)

ABSTRACT: The rat lungworm (Angiostrongylus cantonensis) has emerged as an important human and animal health concern in Hawaii, US. Although the life cycle of the parasite requires both rat and gastropod hosts, other animals acting as paratenic hosts, such as frogs and centipedes, have been identified as sources of infection. We investigated the occurrence of rat lungworm infections in potential paratenic hosts in Hawaii to provide information on how they might be involved in transmission of angiostrongyliasis. We confirmed the presence of rat lungworm in 87% (21/24) of introduced Puerto Rican coqui frogs (Eleutherodactylus coqui) in Hilo, Hawaii, by realtime PCR. Additionally, four Cuban greenhouse frogs (Eleutherodactylus planirostris), two cane toads (Rhinella marina), and three centipedes (Scolopendra subspinipes) were found to be infected. In the frogs and toads, multiple tissue types were positive, including stomach and intestine, muscle, liver, heart, and brain, indicating larval migration. We identified rat lungworm infections in frogs, toads, and centipedes in Hawaii and highlighted the lack of knowledge of the role paratenic hosts may be playing in the transmission and life cycle maintenance of rat lungworm in Hawaii.

*Key words:* Cane toad, centipede, frog, Hawai'i, nematode, parasite, paratenic.

The rat lungworm (*Angiostrongylus cantonensis*) is a tropical and subtropical parasitic nematode that causes angiostrongyliasis (rat lungworm disease) in humans and other animals. Infections typically occur as a result of intentional or unintentional ingestion of animals or animal parts that contain infective third-stage parasite larvae. The life cycle of the rat lungworm requires both rat definitive hosts and gastropod intermediate hosts. Additionally, paratenic (transport) hosts can play an important role in transmission, acting as disease reservoirs, but in which no development of the parasite occurs. For example, frogs and centipedes have been identified as a source of human infection by rat lungworm (Cuneo et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2018). One study of an introduced frog species in New Caledonia reported 53% (23/43) of frogs sampled from market gardens to be infected with rat lungworm (Ash 1968). Natural infections of rat lungworm larvae have been found in multiple tissue types of frogs, including muscle, liver, digestive tract, and the heart-lung complex (Ash 1968; Asato et al. 1978). Other paratenic hosts of rat lungworm have also been identified, including some crustaceans, flatworms (planarians), and lizards (Wang et al. 2008).

In Hawaii, a high level of human cases of angiostrongyliasis have recently been reported, as well as high infection levels in both rat and gastropod hosts (Kim et al. 2014; Jarvi et al. 2017, 2018); however, little research has been conducted on paratenic hosts in Hawaii, except a brief mention of flatworms by Qvarnstrom et al. (2013). To our knowledge, there have been no studies of rat lungworm in amphibians in Hawaii. Although Hawaii has no native amphibians, multiple species have been introduced, including the invasive Puerto Rican coqui frog (Eleutherodactylus coqui), Cuban greenhouse frog (Eleutherodactylus planirostris), and cane toad (Rhinella marina). In particular, coqui frogs have one of the highest densities of terrestrial amphibians worldwide, with densities in Hawaii reaching 91,000 frogs/ha (Beard et al. 2009). Determining the role that paratenic hosts may be playing in the transmission and life cycle maintenance of rat lungworm is an important component in the overall understanding of the epidemiology of rat lungworm disease. Here, we report observations of rat lungworm infections in coqui frogs in Hawaii, as well as preliminary findings in greenhouse frogs, cane toads, and the Chinese red-headed centipede (*Scolopendra subspinipes*).

We collected 24 coqui frogs on 21 June 2018 from a site in Hilo, Hawaii. The site is at an elevation of approximately 25 m and is in an area known to have high levels of rat lungworm infections in wild rats (Jarvi et al. 2017). Additionally, four greenhouse frogs, two cane toads, and three centipedes were collected opportunistically between February and June 2018 within 20 km of the coqui frog collection site. Tissue samples taken from frogs and toads comprised approximately 25-100 mg of each of five distinct regions: digestive tract, muscle, liver, heart, and brain. Typically, the brains and hearts were collected in their entirety, whereas digestive tract samples consisted of tissue from both stomach and lower intestine. Liver samples comprised tissue from each of the three lobes, and muscle samples included tissue from both thigh muscles. The centipede samples consisted of scrapings of internal organs from within the exoskeleton. Snout-vent length, or the length from tip of the snout to the posterior end of the backbone, was taken for each coqui frog to the nearest millimeter. For each individual sampled, separate instruments were used for the dissection of each organ after soaking in 10% bleach (Clorox 8.3% v/v sodium hypochlorite diluted 10:1) for a minimum of 10 min to remove any potential DNA contamination (Prince and Andrus 1992). Tissue samples were stored in 500  $\mu$ L of DNA lysis buffer (0.1 M Tris HCl, 0.1 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 2% sodium dodecyl sulfate) with 0.2 g of 0.5-mm zirconiasilica beads (BioSpec Products, Bartlesville, Oklahoma, USA) and six 3.0-mm zirconia beads (OPS Diagnostics, Bridgewater, New Jersey, USA) at -80 C. Samples were homogenized (one to four cycles, 8 m/s, 40

s) in a FastPrep-24 5G bead-beater (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, California, USA), cooled on ice for 5 min, and centrifuged at  $6,200 \times G$  for 3 min. DNA was extracted from 50 µL of tissue homogenate using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Valencia, California, USA) according to the manufacturer's animal tissue protocol. Tissue samples smaller than 25 mg were digested directly in 180 µL of DNA lysis buffer without homogenization. Real-time PCR followed Jarvi et al. (2012), with thermal cycling conditions of one cycle of 50 C for 2 min, 95 C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 C for 15 s, 60 C for 1 min. Initial data showed amplification of some samples beginning after 35 cycles, so the number of cycles was increased to 50 cycles to allow for these samples to reach the plateau phase of amplification. Samples were considered positive for rat lungworm if two or three replicates within a run showed exponential amplification in both the  $\Delta Rn$  vs. cycle and Rn vs. cycle plot types that crossed a set threshold of 0.25 fluorescence units. One small brain sample was evaluated at a 0.015 threshold because of a lack of sample available to rerun at 50 cycles. Most replicates of a sample had a cycle threshold ( $C_T$ ) SD<0.5 within one run; however, because consistent C<sub>T</sub> replication is challenging with very low target DNA concentrations, a C<sub>T</sub> SD of 0.5-1.0 within one run was accepted for sample replicates with cycle thresholds >35. Despite repeated attempts, replicates of two brain samples were unable to produce a  $C_T$  SD<1.0 within one run; however, multiple replicates were consistently positive in repeated runs (Table 1). Samples with low reproducibility were also deemed positive if one replicate per run showed amplification and was reproduced in multiple runs (Table 1). Samples with exponential amplification in only one replicate that was not reproduced in multiple runs were categorized as undetermined. Negative samples were determined by lack of exponential amplification in all replicates. All animal procedures followed the approved Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol (QA-2835, US Department of Agriculture, National Wildlife Research Center).

|                         |                           |                     | Tissue type analyzed <sup>a</sup> |           |           |           |                   |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| Frog no.                | Snout-vent<br>length (mm) | Status <sup>b</sup> | Brain                             | Heart     | Liver     | Muscle    | Stomach-intestine |
| 1                       | 14                        | Р                   | ND                                | _         | $+^{c}$   | _         | _                 |
| 2                       | 15                        | Α                   | ND                                | ND        | ND        | UD        | _                 |
| 3                       | 22                        | Α                   | _                                 | UD        | _         | _         | _                 |
| 4                       | 26                        | Р                   | ND                                | +         | +         | _         | +                 |
| 5                       | 27                        | Р                   | +                                 | ND        | +         | +         | +                 |
| 6                       | 28                        | Р                   | _                                 | _         | _         | _         | +                 |
| 7                       | 28                        | Р                   | —                                 | $+^{c}$   | —         | —         | +                 |
| 8                       | 29                        | Р                   | +                                 | UD        | —         | —         | +                 |
| 9                       | 30                        | Р                   | _                                 | $+^{c}$   | _         | +         | +                 |
| 10                      | 30                        | Р                   | +                                 | +         | $+^{c}$   | _         | +                 |
| 11                      | 31                        | Α                   | _                                 | _         | _         | _         | _                 |
| 12                      | 31                        | Р                   | +                                 | +         | +         | +         | +                 |
| 13                      | 32                        | Р                   | $+^{c}$                           | _         | +         | +         | +                 |
| 14                      | 32                        | Р                   | UD                                | _         | +         | +         | _                 |
| 15                      | 33                        | Р                   | +                                 | $+^{c}$   | —         | +         | -                 |
| 16                      | 34                        | Р                   | _                                 | +         | _         | +         | +                 |
| 17                      | 36                        | Р                   | +                                 | +         | +         | ND        | +                 |
| 18                      | 37                        | Р                   | ND                                | _         | +         | _         | _                 |
| 19                      | 37                        | Р                   | +                                 | —         | —         | +         | -                 |
| 20                      | 37                        | Р                   | $+^{d}$                           | +         | +         | +         | +                 |
| 21                      | 38                        | Р                   | $+^{d}$                           | +         | +         | +         | +                 |
| 22                      | 39                        | Р                   | +                                 | +         | +         | _         | +                 |
| $23^{\rm e}$            | 39                        | Р                   | _                                 | +         | +         | +         | +                 |
| 24                      | 42                        | Р                   | _                                 | _         | +         | +         | +                 |
| % Positive <sup>f</sup> |                           | 87(21/24)           | 58(11/19)                         | 60(12/20) | 61(14/23) | 55(12/22) | 67 (16/24)        |

TABLE 1. Presence (+) or absence (-) of rat lungworm (*Angiostrongylus cantonensis*) in different tissue types from invasive coqui frogs (*Eleutherodactylus coqui*) in Hilo, Hawaii, USA, by real-time PCR.

 $^{a}$  ND = no data; UD = undetermined (samples with exponential amplification in only one replicate that was not reproduced in multiple runs).

 $^{\rm b}$  Presence (P) and absence (A) of A. cantonensis from one or more tissue types.

<sup>c</sup> Samples with low reproducibility, having one replicate per run reproduced in multiple runs.

<sup>d</sup> Samples with consistently positive replicates in repeated runs but a cycle threshold SD>1.0 across replicates within one run.

<sup>e</sup> Frog with semislug (Parmarion martensi) found in stomach that also tested positive for A. cantonensis.

<sup>f</sup> Total number of samples positive/total number of samples analyzed in each category.

A total of 87% (21/24) of sampled coqui frogs were positive for rat lungworm in at least one tissue type per individual. Overall, parasite presence was detected in each of the five tissue types sampled (stomach-intestine, muscle, liver, heart, and brain), with 14 frogs positive for at least three tissue types (Table 1). Snout-vent lengths of coqui frogs ranged from 14 to 42 mm, with positive individuals found at both extremes. Of note, a whole semislug (*Parmarion martensi*) was found in the stomach of one of the frogs sampled, with both the frog and slug testing positive (Table 1). The invasive *P. martensi* can carry heavy parasite burdens and has been identified as a highly competent intermediate host of rat lungworm in Hawaii (Hollingsworth et al. 2007). Additionally, the four greenhouse frogs, two cane toads, and three centipedes were all positive for rat lungworm, with the latter previously identified as a paratenic host in China (Wang et al. 2018).

Although experimental infections may be necessary to confirm these species' role as paratenic hosts definitively, our findings suggest that they have the potential to be players in rat lungworm epidemiology in Hawaii. Although molecular analysis only confirms the presence of the parasite DNA and not life cycle stage or viability, positive detections from muscle, liver, heart, and brain (as opposed to stomach-intestine) indicate larval migration within the host's body, with some of these tissue types previously identified as the source of human infection from other frog species (Cuneo et al. 2006). Although the species discussed here are not known to be intentionally consumed by humans in Hawaii, the ingestion of infected hosts could still pose a threat to other animals, because rat lungworm can infect both domestic and wild animals such as dogs (Canis lupus familiaris), horses (Equus caballus), and birds (Spratt 2015).

If these species are indeed capable of acting as reservoirs for infective larvae, then there may also be spillover risk to rat definitive hosts, ultimately aiding in the completion of the parasite life cycle in the wild. Rats have been documented scavenging coqui frog and cane toad carcasses on Hawaii Island (Abernethy et al. 2016), where rat species have high rat lungworm infection levels (Jarvi et al. 2017). These rat species are also known to consume centipedes, cane toads, and *Eleutherodactylus* spp. elsewhere (Marples 1955; Fitzgerald 1990; Stewart and Woolbright 1996). Concern also exists regarding the potential spread of infected paratenic hosts to other locations, especially in areas where competent definitive and intermediate hosts are already present. Multiple reports exist of frogs, including coqui frogs, being spread from Hawaii to other locations such as Guam and the continental US (Beard et al. 2009; Olson et al. 2012). Although our report of rat lungworm infections in frogs and centipedes implicates them as possible disease reservoirs, further investigations are warranted to better understand the role paratenic hosts may be playing in angiostrongyliasis transmission in Hawaii.

This study was funded by the US Department of Agriculture, University of Hawaii at Hilo, National Institutes of Health National Institute of General Medical Sciences, IDeA Networks of Biomedical Research Excellence (award P20GM103466), and the Hawaii Invasive Species Council. The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of their respective institutions. We thank two anonymous reviewers and the editor for their comments that improved this article.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Abernethy EF, Turner KL, Beasley JC, DeVault TL, Pitt WC, Rhodes OE. 2016. Carcasses of invasive species are predominantly utilized by invasive scavengers in an island ecosystem. *Ecosphere* 7:e01496.
- Asato R, Sato Y, Otsuru M. 1978. The occurrence of Angiostrongylus cantonensis in toads and frogs in Okinawa Prefecture, Japan. Jpn J Parasitol 27:1–8.
- Ash LR. 1968. The occurrence of Angiostrongylus cantonensis in frogs of New Caledonia with observations on paratenic hosts of metastrongyles. J Parasitol 54:432–436.
- Beard KH, Price EA, Pitt WC. 2009. Biology and impacts of Pacific island invasive species. 5. *Eleutherodactylus coqui*, the coqui frog (Anura: Leptodactylidae). *Pac Sci* 63:297–316.
- Cuneo P, Clements S, Sokol T. 2006. Eosinophilic meningitis and Angiostrongylus cantonensis. La Morb Rep 17:1.
- Fitzgerald M. 1990. Rattus rattus: The introduced black rat, a successful predator on the introduced cane toad Bufo marinus in northern New South Wales. Herpetofauna 20:9–14.
- Hollingsworth RG, Kaneta R, Sullivan JJ, Bishop HS, Qvarnstrom Y, daSilva AJ, Robinson DG. 2007. Distribution of *Parmarion* cf. *martensi* (Pulmonata: Helicarionidae), a new semi-slug pest on Hawai'i Island, and its potential as a vector for human angiostrongyliasis. *Pac Sci* 61:457–467.
- Jarvi SI, Farias MEM, Howe K, Jacquier S, Hollingsworth R, Pitt W. 2012. Quantitative PCR estimates Angiostrongylus cantonensis (rat lungworm) infection levels in semi-slugs (*Parmarion martensi*). Mol Biochem Parasitol 185:174–176.
- Jarvi SI, Howe K, Macomber P. 2018. Angiostrongyliasis or rat lungworm disease: A perspective from Hawai'i. *Curr Trop Med Rep* 5:59–66.
- Jarvi SI, Quarta S, Jacquier S, Howe K, Bicakci D, Dasalla C, Lovesy N, Snook K, McHugh R, Niebuhr CN. 2017. High prevalence of *Angiostrongylus cantonen*sis (rat lungworm) on eastern Hawai'i Island: A closer look at life cycle traits and patterns of infection in wild rats (*Rattus* spp.). *PLoS One* 12:e0189458.
- Kim JR, Hayes KA, Yeung NW, Cowie RH. 2014. Diverse gastropod hosts of *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*, the rat lungworm, globally and with a focus on the Hawaiian Islands. *PLoS One* 9:e94969.

- Marples RR. 1955. *Rattus exulans* in Western Samoa. *Pac* Sci 9:171–176.
- Olson CA, Beard KH, Pitt WC. 2012. Biology and impacts of Pacific Island invasive species. 8. *Eleutherodactylus planirostris*, the greenhouse frog (Anura: Eleutherodactylidae). *Pac Sci* 66:255–270.
- Prince AM, Andrus L. 1992. PCR: How to kill unwanted DNA. *Biotechniques* 12:358–360.
- Qvarnstrom Y, Bishop HS, da Silva AJ. 2013. Detection of rat lungworm in intermediate, definitive, and paratenic hosts obtained from environmental sources. *Hawaii J Med Publ Health* 72 (Suppl 2):63–69.
- Spratt DM. 2015. Species of Angiostrongylus (Nematoda: Metastrongyloidea) in wildlife: A review. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl 4:178–189.

- Stewart MM, Woolbright LL. 1996. Amphibians. In: *The food web of a tropical rain forest*, Reagan DP, Waide RB, editors. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois, pp. 273–320.
- Wang H, Lu L, She D, Wen Z, Mo Z, Li J, Li H. 2018. Eating centipedes can result in Angiostrongylus cantonensis infection: Two case reports and pathogen investigation. Am J Trop Med Hyg 99:743–748.
- Wang QP, Lai DH, Zhu XQ, Chen XG, Lun ZR. 2008. Human angiostrongyliasis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 8:621–630.

Submitted for publication 19 December 2018. Accepted 4 April 2019.