

## **Junglerice (*Echinochloa colona*) control with sequential applications of glyphosate and clethodim to dicamba**

Authors: Perkins, Clay M., Mueller, Thomas C., and Steckel, Lawrence E.

Source: Weed Technology, 35(4) : 651-655

Published By: Weed Science Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2021.31>

---

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](http://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

## Research Article

**Cite this article:** Perkins CM, Mueller TC, Steckel LE (2021) Junglerice (*Echinochloa colona*) control with sequential applications of glyphosate and clethodim to dicamba. Weed Technol. 35: 651–655. doi: [10.1017/wet.2021.31](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2021.31)

Received: 20 February 2021

Revised: 1 April 2021

Accepted: 14 April 2021

First published online: 29 April 2021

**Associate Editor:**

Amit Jhala, University of Nebraska, Lincoln

**Nomenclature:**

Clethodim; dicamba; glyphosate; junglerice; *Echinochloa colona* L.

**Keywords:**

Sequential applications; antagonism

**Author for correspondence:**

Lawrence E. Steckel, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Tennessee, Jackson, TN 38301  
Email: [lsteckel@utk.edu](mailto:lsteckel@utk.edu)

# Junglerice (*Echinochloa colona*) control with sequential applications of glyphosate and clethodim to dicamba

Clay M. Perkins<sup>1</sup>, Thomas C. Mueller<sup>2</sup> and Lawrence E. Steckel<sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Research Assistant, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA; <sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA and <sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Tennessee, Jackson, TN, USA

**Abstract**

Junglerice is becoming more prevalent in Tennessee, Arkansas, and Mississippi row crop fields. The evolution of glyphosate-resistant (GR) junglerice populations is one reason for the increase. Another possible explanation is that glyphosate and clethodim grass activity is being antagonized by dicamba. This question has led to research to examine whether sequential applications alleviate antagonism observed with dicamba plus glyphosate and/or clethodim mixtures and determine whether sequential treatments with those herbicides at 24 h, 72 h, or 168 h can improve junglerice control. Glyphosate + clethodim applications provided >90% junglerice control. The observed levels of antagonism varied by whether the location of the test was in the greenhouse or the field, and the timing of applications. In the greenhouse, clethodim + dicamba provided excellent control, whereas in the field, the same treatment showed a greater than 30% reduction in junglerice control compared with clethodim alone. However, control was restored by using a mixture of glyphosate + clethodim without dicamba. The environment at the time of application and relative GR level of the junglerice influenced the overall control of these sequential applications. When clethodim applied first followed by dicamba at 72 h or 168 h, better control was observed compared with applying dicamba followed by clethodim. Overall, mixing glyphosate + clethodim provided the most complete junglerice control regardless of timing. These data confirm that leaving dicamba out of the spray tank will mitigate herbicide antagonism on junglerice control. These data would also indicate that avoiding dicamba and glyphosate mixtures will also improve the consistency of control with glyphosate-susceptible junglerice.

**Introduction**

Junglerice is one of the predominant weeds in soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] and cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) across the mid-South and in particular Tennessee (Perkins et al. 2020; Tahir 2007). Junglerice and barnyardgrass [*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P. Beauv.] are the two most common *Echinochloa* species found in Tennessee (Perkins et al. 2020). Junglerice is a warm-season annual grass that grows rapidly, has prolific seed production, and an extended emergence period. Testing for herbicide resistance of junglerice in Tennessee indicated that 15% of junglerice populations have a 2-fold to 8-fold resistance level to glyphosate, which is consistent to what Nandula et al. (2018) found on selected Mississippi and Tennessee populations (Perkins et al. 2020).

The increase in junglerice prevalence across the mid-South is believed to be due to the evolution of glyphosate resistance (GR) and dicamba antagonism of glyphosate (Perkins et al. 2021). Poor junglerice control could be compounded by using the ultra-course nozzles and drift reduction agents that are mandated for dicamba applications (Perkins et al. 2020). The majority of the hectares across the mid-South, including Tennessee, are receiving at least one glyphosate + dicamba application. The U.S. Department of Agriculture reports that in 2018, 71% of the hectares were planted in dicamba-tolerant soybean and more than 2.2 million kg of dicamba were applied in-crop in the United States (Wechsler et al. 2019). Dicamba use increased in 2019 with 16 million soybean hectares planted to Xtend® varieties (Bayer Crop Protection, St. Louis, MO). A recent memorandum issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on benefits of dicamba in dicamba-tolerant soybean production suggested that 97% of dicamba applications were applied with glyphosate in 2018 and 2019 (Orlowski and Kells 2020). The frequent co-application of dicamba with glyphosate and/or clethodim could result in reduced grass control recently observed in the mid-South. In addition, growers have reported Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Wats.) control failures with glyphosate + dicamba applications, which resulted in some producers using higher dicamba rates (Steckel 2019). Although

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



using higher dicamba rates may improve Palmer amaranth control, it has been reported to decrease glyphosate effectiveness on junglerice (Perkins et al. 2021).

Colby (1967) describes herbicide antagonism as a result of applying two herbicides in combination, which will result in less control than what is expected based on how the individual herbicide performs alone. A decrease in glyphosate activity on junglerice plus other grass species has been documented from mixtures of glyphosate + dicamba compared with glyphosate applied alone (O'Sullivan and O'Donovan 1980; Perkins et al. 2021). In addition, antagonism of graminicides have been observed in other studies when they are applied with auxin herbicides (Blackshaw et al. 2006; Fletcher and Drexler 1980; Mueller et al. 1989; Olson and Nalewaja 1981; Todd and Stobbe 1980). Minton et al. (1989b) reported that antagonism and synergism responses may vary with the herbicides used in mixtures or sequential applications, and responses may also differ depending on the grass species to be controlled. Minton et al. (1989a) also reported decreased control of barnyardgrass when sethoxydim or quizalofop was mixed with the broadleaf herbicides imazaquin, chlorimuron, or lactofen. Those researchers also reported antagonism when either grass product was applied 24 h after imazaquin or lactofen but not with chlorimuron. Mixtures of broadleaf and grass herbicides have been reported to provide less grass control than expected (Byrd and York 1987; Croon and Merkle 1988; Grickar and Boswell 1987; Minton et al. 1989a, 1989b; Vidrine 1989). Myers and Coble (1992) reported that sequential applications of broadleaf and grass herbicides have been used to overcome antagonism.

Antagonism plus herbicide resistance can lead to weed control failure after only a few years. Avoiding antagonism from herbicide applications will assist in resistance management as well. Therefore, the objective of this research was to 1) examine whether sequential applications alleviate antagonism observed with dicamba plus glyphosate and/or clethodim mixtures on junglerice control, and 2) determine whether sequential treatments with those herbicides at 24 h, 72 h, or 168 h can improve the consistency of junglerice control.

## Materials and Methods

### Greenhouse Research

A greenhouse experiment was replicated to determine how applications of glyphosate or clethodim made either 24 h or 72 h before or after an application of dicamba would impact weed control. This study was conducted on six nonrepeating collected populations from Tennessee and was similar to that reported by Perkins et al. (2020). The study design is a randomized complete block design with three replications of each population per treatment. Seeds were first planted in flats and then transplanted to 10-cm pots with 2 plants pot<sup>-1</sup>, using a 50:50 silt loam and potting soil premix. The treatment list contains a nontreated (check), glyphosate (Touchdown Hi-Tech<sup>®</sup>; Syngenta Crop Protection, Greensboro, NC), clethodim (Select Max<sup>®</sup>; Valent U.S.A. LLC., Walnut Creek, CA), glyphosate + dicamba (Engenia; BASF Corporation, Ludwigshafen, Germany), and clethodim + dicamba. Touchdown Hi-Tech<sup>®</sup> applications included 0.25% vol/vol nonionic surfactant and Select Max<sup>®</sup> applications included 1% vol/vol crop oil concentrate for this experiment. Treatments were applied in a Generation 4 Research Track Sprayer (DeVries Manufacturing, Inc., Hollandale, MN) using a TTI 11003 nozzle. The track sprayer speed was calibrated to deliver

140 L ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the nozzle height was set to spray approximately 45 cm above the crop canopy. Applications were made when plants reached 8 to 10 cm in height. Greenhouse air temperature was set at 24 to 27 C and 60% relative humidity. Junglerice control was visually estimated on a scale of 0% to 100% where 0 = no injury and 100 = plant death at 28 d after treatment. Plant biomass was taken 28 to 35 d after treatment. Biomass was collected by clipping plants at the soil surface and collecting fresh weights.

### Field Research

Because the greenhouse data indicated that a 72-h sequential application mitigated antagonism, field studies having a 72-h and 168-h sequential timing were conducted. The research was arranged in a randomized complete block design. Individual plot size was 1.5 m wide and 9.1 m long at the West Tennessee Research and Education Center (WTREC) in Jackson, TN. Plots at two other locations, Milan Research and Education Center (MREC) and a grower's field (Burlison, TN) were 1.5 m wide and 6 m long. Depending upon location, there were three (MREC and Burlison) or four (WTREC) replications. The herbicide treatments included a nontreated (check), glyphosate (Roundup Powermax<sup>®</sup>; Bayer Crop Protection, St. Louis, MO), clethodim (Intensity<sup>®</sup>; Loveland Products, Greenville, MS), glyphosate + clethodim, glyphosate + dicamba (Engenia; BASF Corporation), clethodim + dicamba, and glyphosate + clethodim + dicamba. In addition, glyphosate and clethodim applications were made at 72 h and 168 h, preceding or following dicamba. Herbicide rates were consistent throughout with glyphosate at 870 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, dicamba at 560 g ha<sup>-1</sup>, and clethodim at 105 g ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Herbicides were applied with a CO<sub>2</sub>-pressurized backpack sprayer calibrated to apply at 140 L ha<sup>-1</sup> using TTI 11003 nozzles. Applications occurred when junglerice plants were 8 to 10 cm in height. Control of junglerice was visually estimated at 7, 14, and 21 d after final treatment on a scale of 0% to 100% where 0 = no injury and 100 = plant death. Aboveground, fresh weight biomass data was collected 21 to 28 d after treatment in a 0.2-m<sup>2</sup> area by clipping plants at the soil surface and weighing to the nearest gram. Only the latest evaluations are presented.

### Data Analysis

Populations were blocked on site due to differences in junglerice population density and GR. Fixed effects were herbicide treatments. Environment, replication, and any interactions of fixed by random effects were considered random in the model. Each year-location combination was considered an environment sampled at random from a population as described by Carmer et al. (1989). Designating the environments random will broaden the possible inference space applicable to the experimental results (Carmer et al. 1989). Mean separation for individual treatment differences was performed using Fisher's protected LSD test at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Post-ANOVA single degree of freedom contrasts were then used (SAS v9.4; SAS Institute; Cary, NC) to compare herbicide applications with and without dicamba.

## Results and Discussion

### Greenhouse Study

There was an overall herbicide effect ( $P < 0.001$ ) among treatments with glyphosate and clethodim. Glyphosate alone provided 83% junglerice control (Table 1). Glyphosate + dicamba mixture

**Table 1.** Junglerice control (21 DAT) comparing 24-h and 72-h sequential applications of glyphosate applications preceding and following dicamba application across six environments (populations) in the greenhouse.<sup>a,b</sup>

Sequential timing	Herbicide treatment	Control, %	Biomass <sup>c</sup> , g
Alone	Glyphosate	83 abc	0.67 b
Mixture	Glyphosate + Dicamba	68 cd	1.92 b
24-h Sequential	Glyphosate fb Dicamba	59 d	1.08 b
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate	68 cd	0.5 b
72-h Sequential	Glyphosate fb Dicamba	76 bcd	0.25 b
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate	87 ab	0.42 b
	F-value	4.01	2.81
	Df	11, 191	11, 132
	P-value	< 0.001	0.003

<sup>a</sup>Means not followed by a common letter are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: DAT, days after treatment; Df, degrees of freedom; fb, followed by.<sup>c</sup>Biomass is recorded in grams per pot.**Table 2.** Junglerice control (21 DAT) comparing 24-h and 72-h sequential applications of clethodim applications preceding and following dicamba application across six environments (populations) in the greenhouse.<sup>a,b</sup>

Sequential timing	Herbicide treatment	Control, %	Biomass <sup>c</sup> , g
Alone	Clethodim	92 ab	2.00 b
Mixture	Clethodim + Dicamba	86 ab	1.75 b
24-h Sequential	Clethodim fb Dicamba	87 ab	2.33 b
	Dicamba fb Clethodim	65 d	1.25 b
72-h Sequential	Clethodim fb Dicamba	66 cd	5.25 a
	Dicamba fb Clethodim	93 a	0.01 b
	F-value	4.01	2.81
	Df	11, 191	11, 132
	P-value	< 0.001	0.003

<sup>a</sup>Means not followed by a common letter are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: DAT, days after treatment; Df, degrees of freedom; fb, followed by.<sup>c</sup>Biomass is recorded in grams per pot.

provided numerically less (68%) junglerice control, but this difference was not quite significant at  $\alpha = 0.06$ . This is consistent with results reported by Combellack (1982) that due to the environment and application variability in the field, less control in the field was observed compared with greenhouse applications. The treatment that included dicamba applied 24 h after the glyphosate application resulted in the lowest level of junglerice control (59%). Conversely, when glyphosate was applied 24 h after dicamba, control was similar to that when glyphosate applied alone. When the glyphosate application was separated from the dicamba application for 72 h, regardless of order, junglerice control was not different from that when glyphosate was applied alone. Biomass results in all cases but one supported the control data with no difference detected among the treatments (Table 1).

The clethodim treatment provided 92% control of junglerice (Table 2), and the clethodim + dicamba mixture provided similar control (86%). When dicamba was applied 24 h after clethodim, control was consistent with glyphosate alone or in a mixture of clethodim + dicamba. These results are consistent with those reported by Minton et al. (1989a) that no antagonism occurred with clethodim when mixed with 2,4-DB on barnyardgrass. However, control was lower (65%) when clethodim was applied 24 h after the dicamba application. When dicamba was applied 72 h after clethodim, junglerice control was reduced by 20%, whereas when clethodim was applied 72 h after dicamba, junglerice control was similar to glyphosate alone (93%). With the exception of the treatment of clethodim applied 24 h after dicamba, the biomass data reflected the control data. These results are consistent

**Table 3.** Junglerice control (21 DAT) comparing 72-h and 168-h sequential applications of glyphosate and glyphosate + clethodim applications preceding and following dicamba application across three environments in Tennessee in 2020.<sup>a,b</sup>

Sequential timing	Herbicide treatment	Control, %	Biomass <sup>c</sup> , g m <sup>-2</sup>
Alone	Glyphosate	59 de	120.0 abc
	Glyphosate + Clethodim	91 a	53.0 c
Mixture	Glyphosate + Dicamba	48 e	133.8 ab
	Glyphosate + Clethodim + Dicamba	81 ab	93.9 abc
3-d Sequential	Glyphosate fb Dicamba	55 de	133.6 ab
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate	53 de	131.8 ab
	Glyphosate + Clethodim fb Dicamba	81 ab	95.1 abc
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate + Clethodim	87 a	73.5 abc
7-d Sequential	Glyphosate fb Dicamba	68 bcd	92.0 abc
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate	60 de	100.0 abc
	Glyphosate + Clethodim fb Dicamba	87 a	135.0 a
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate + Clethodim	86 a	79.3 abc
	F-value	5.27	1.16
	Df	17, 34	17, 17
	P-value	< 0.001	0.381

<sup>a</sup>Means not followed by a common letter are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: DAT, days after treatment; Df, degrees of freedom; fb, followed by.<sup>c</sup>Biomass is recorded in grams per square meter.

with those reported by Minton et al. (1989a, 1989b) that fluazifop-P was antagonized by mixing with 2,4-DB.

### Field Studies

An effect of herbicide treatments on junglerice control was observed in the field studies ( $P < 0.001$ ). Glyphosate alone provided 59% control (Table 3). The poor junglerice control by glyphosate would suggest that at least one of the locations contained both a segregating glyphosate-resistant and glyphosate-susceptible population. The glyphosate + dicamba application provided similar junglerice control (48%). These results are similar to those reported by Perkins et al. (2020) that 57% junglerice control was achieved with a glyphosate + dicamba mixture, but glyphosate alone provided 82% control. This was also similar to observations by Flint and Barrett (1989) who reported antagonism with dicamba mixtures on johnsongrass. The glyphosate + clethodim treatment provided the greatest junglerice control at 91%. These results are consistent with those reported by Perkins et al. (2020) that 15% of Tennessee junglerice populations could no longer be controlled with glyphosate, but clethodim was still effective. Similarly, a glyphosate + clethodim + dicamba application provided 81% control of junglerice. Glyphosate control was reduced when dicamba was sprayed 72 h before or after glyphosate (55% and 53%, respectively). Similar control was found with a 168-h sequential. From these data, however, a glyphosate + clethodim application preceding or following a dicamba application at both 72 h and 168 h provided the best control of junglerice. Biomass results supported visual control data with no differences detected among the glyphosate or clethodim treatments.

Antagonism was observed from a clethodim + dicamba application, which provided only 63% control, whereas clethodim alone provided 86% control ( $P < 0.001$ ; Table 4). This differs from that reported by Minton et al. (1989a) that 2,4-DB did not reduce



**Table 4.** Junglerice control (21 DAT) comparing 72-h and 168-h sequential applications of clethodim and glyphosate + clethodim applications preceding and following dicamba application across three environments in Tennessee in 2020.<sup>a,b</sup>

Sequential timing	Herbicide treatment	Control, %	Biomass <sup>c</sup> , g m <sup>-2</sup>
Alone	Clethodim	86 ab	59.8 abc
	Glyphosate + Clethodim	91 a	53.0 c
Mixture	Clethodim + Dicamba	63 cde	72.3 abc
	Glyphosate + Clethodim + Dicamba	81 ab	93.9 abc
3-d Sequential	Clethodim fb Dicamba	78 abc	70.6 ab
	Dicamba fb Clethodim	82 ab	72.9 abc
	Glyphosate + Clethodim fb Dicamba	81 ab	95.1 abc
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate + Clethodim	87 a	73.5 abc
7-d Sequential	Clethodim fb Dicamba	84 ab	84.8 abc
	Dicamba fb Clethodim	61 cde	57.3 bc
	Glyphosate + Clethodim fb Dicamba	87 a	135.0 a
	Dicamba fb Glyphosate + Clethodim	86 a	79.3 abc
	F-value	5.27	1.16
	Df	17, 34	17, 17
	P-value	< 0.001	0.381

<sup>a</sup>Means not followed by a common letter are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

<sup>b</sup>Abbreviations: DAT, days after treatment; Df, degrees of freedom; fb, followed by.

<sup>c</sup>Biomass is recorded in grams per square meter.

control of barnyardgrass by clethodim. We observed that adding glyphosate to the clethodim + dicamba mixture improved control (81%), but mixing glyphosate with clethodim did not improve junglerice control (91%) over clethodim alone. When dicamba followed clethodim at 72 h or 168 h later junglerice control was similar to clethodim applied alone. Similarly, dicamba applied first followed 72 h later with clethodim did not reduce junglerice control over clethodim applied alone. However, if clethodim was applied 168 h after dicamba, then junglerice control was greatly reduced (61%). Biomass results were similar and supported these data with no differences detected.

The addition of dicamba decreased junglerice control by glyphosate and clethodim in some but not all of our studies. As would be anticipated, where GR junglerice existed in the population, control with glyphosate was poor regardless of whether or not dicamba was added. In some of these environments, dicamba hindered clethodim control of junglerice. The level of antagonism observed varied by timing of sequential applications. In the greenhouse, dicamba + clethodim provided excellent control, whereas in the field the same treatment showed a greater than 30% reduction in junglerice control compared with clethodim alone. However, resistance or antagonism was overcome by using a mixture of glyphosate + clethodim. Ultimately, the question of whether junglerice control could be improved by applying glyphosate and waiting 24, 72, or 168 h to apply dicamba or vice versa was not clearly answered. We suggest that the relative GR level of the junglerice influenced the overall control of these sequential applications. However, clethodim applied first followed at either 72 h or 168 h by dicamba provided consistently better control than applying dicamba followed by clethodim.

Mixing glyphosate + clethodim provided the most consistent junglerice control regardless of different application intervals. These data confirm that leaving dicamba out of the spray tank will avoid the possibility of it antagonizing control of junglerice with clethodim. These data along with those reported by

Perkins et al. (2020) indicate that avoiding dicamba and glyphosate mixtures will also improve the consistency of control with glyphosate-susceptible junglerice. A survey by Perkins et al. (2020) reported that on average, 40% of the fields in Tennessee have both Palmer amaranth plus *Echinochloa* species present at harvest. Thus, the control of both junglerice and Palmer amaranth in the same field can be improved by not co-applying dicamba with glyphosate.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Syngenta for providing us the access to conduct research at their facilities in Vero Beach, Florida. We especially thank Ethan Parker, Marshall Hay, Gracee Hendrix, and all the employees at the Vero Beach research station for their assistance and guidance throughout this process. We also thank the support staff and technicians at The University of Tennessee West Tennessee Research and Education Center for their assistance. This research was funded in part by the Tennessee Soybean Promotion Board and Cotton Incorporated. No other conflicts of interest are noted.

## References

- Blackshaw RE, Harker N, Clayton GW, O'Donovan JT (2006) Broadleaf herbicide effects on clethodim and quizalofop-p efficacy on volunteer wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Weed Technol* 20:221–226
- Byrd JD, York AC (1987) Interaction of fluometuron and MSMA with sethoxydim and fluzafop. *Weed Sci* 35:270–276
- Carmer SG, Nyquist WE, Walker WM (1989) Least significant differences for combined analysis of experiments with two or three-factor treatment designs. *Agron J* 81:665–672
- Colby SR (1967) Calculating synergistic and antagonistic responses of herbicide combinations. *Weeds* 15:20–22
- Combella JH (1982) Loss of herbicides from ground sprayers. *Weed Res* 22:193–204
- Croon KA, Merkle MG (1988) Effects of bentazon, imazaquin or chlorimuron on haloxyfop or fluzafop-P efficacy. *Weed Technol* 2:36–40
- Fletcher RA, Drexler DM (1980) Interactions of diclofop-methyl and 2,4-D in cultivated oats (*Avena sativa*). *Weed Sci* 28:363–366
- Flint JL, Barrett M (1989) Antagonism of glyphosate toxicity to johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense*) by 2,4-D and dicamba. *Weed Sci* 37:700–705
- Grickar WJ, Boswell TE (1987) Herbicide combinations in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Weed Technol* 1:290–293
- Minton BW, Kurtz ME, Shaw DR (1989a) Barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) control with grass and broadleaf weed herbicide combinations. *Weed Sci* 37:223–227
- Minton BW, Shaw DR, Kurtz MB (1989b) Postemergence grass and broadleaf herbicide interactions for red rice (*Oryza sativa*) control in soybeans (*Glycine max*). *Weed Technol* 3:329–334
- Mueller TC, Witt WW, Barrett M (1989) Antagonism of johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense*) control with fenoxaprop, haloxyfop, and sethoxydim by 2,4-D. *Weed Technol* 3:86–89
- Myers PF, Coble HD (1992) Antagonism of graminicide activity on annual grass species by imazethapyr. *Weed Technol* 6:333–338
- Nandula VK, Montgomery GB, Vennapusa AR, Jugulam M, Giacomini DA, Ray JD, Bond JA, Steckel LE, Tranel PJ (2018) Glyphosate-resistant junglerice (*Echinochloa colona*) from Mississippi and Tennessee: magnitude and resistance mechanisms. *Weed Sci* 66:603–610
- Olson WA, Nalewaja JD (1981) Antagonistic effects of MCPA on wild oat (*Avena fatua*) control with diclofop. *Weed Sci* 29:566–571
- O'Sullivan PA, O'Donovan JT (1980) Interaction between glyphosate and various herbicides for broadleaved weed control. *Weed Res* 20:255–260
- Orlowski J, Kells B (2020) Memorandum: assessments of the benefits of dicamba use in genetically modified, dicamba-tolerant soybean production (PC# 100094, 128931). <https://www.regulations.gov/docket/EPA-HQ-OPP-2020-0492/document>. Accessed: February 3, 2021
- Perkins CM, Mueller TC, Steckel LE (2020) Survey of glyphosate-resistant junglerice accessions in dicamba-resistant crops in Tennessee. *Weed Technol.* DOI: 10.1017/wet.2020.131

- Perkins CM, Mueller TC, Steckel LE (2021) Junglerice control with glyphosate and clethodim as influenced by dicamba and 2,4-D mixtures. *Weed Technol.* DOI: [10.1017/wet.2021.5](https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2021.5)
- Steckel LE (2019) Control options for Palmer amaranth that has escaped Engenia or XtendiMax. *UTCrops News Blog*. The University of Tennessee. <https://news.utcrops.com/2019/07/control-options-for-palmer-amaranth-that-has-escaped-engenia-or-xtendimax/#more-18079> Accessed: February 3, 2021
- Tahir J (2007) Characterization of *Echinochloa* spp. in Arkansas. <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=3272&context=etd> Accessed: February 3, 2021
- Todd BG, Stobbe EH (1980) The basis of the antagonistic effect of 2,4-D on diclofop-methyl toxicity to wild oat (*Avena fatua*). *Weed Sci* 28:371–377
- Vidrine PR (1989) Johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense*) control in soybeans (*Glycine max*) with postemergence herbicides. *Weed Technol* 3:455–458
- Wechsler SJ, Smith D, McFadden J, Dodson L, Williamson S (2019) The use of genetically engineered dicamba-tolerant soybean seeds has increased quickly, benefitting adopters but damaging crops in some fields. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture–Economic Research Service. <https://www.ers.usda.gov/amber-waves/2019/october/the-use-of-genetically-engineered-dicamba-tolerant-soybean-seeds-has-increased-quickly-benefiting-adopters-but-damaging-crops-in-some-fields/> Accessed: February 3, 2021