

Evaluation of acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides for red sorrel (Rumex acetosella L.) management in lowbush blueberry (Vaccinium angustifolium Aiton)

Author: White, Scott N.

Source: Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 103(1) : 111-122

Published By: Canadian Science Publishing

URL: https://doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2022-0136

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Evaluation of acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides for red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* **L.) management in lowbush blueberry (***Vaccinium angustifolium* **Aiton)**

Scott N. White

Department of Plant, Food, and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Dalhousie University, Bible Hill, NS B2N 5E3, Canada

Corresponding author: **Scott N. White** (email: [scott.white@dal.ca\)](mailto:scott.white@dal.ca)

Abstract

Red sorrel is a common herbaceous perennial weed species in lowbush blueberry fields that may be managed with acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicides. Greenhouse and field experiments were established to determine the crop tolerance and potential efficacy on red sorrel of tribenuron-methyl, nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron, foramsulfuron, flazasulfuron, pyroxsulam, and halosulfuron-methyl. Ramet density of greenhouse-grown red sorrel plants established from root fragments was reduced by tribenuron-methyl, flazasulfuron, and pyroxsulam, though tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron were the most consistently effective herbicides under field conditions. Spring non-bearing year tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron applications reduced both non-bearing and bearing year total red sorrel ramet density and reduced non-bearing year red sorrel flowering ramet and seedling density without injuring lowbush blueberry. Fall non-bearing year tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron applications reduced bearing year red sorrel total and flowering ramet density, and this application timing should be evaluated further to improve understanding of crop injury risks. Fall bearing year tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron applications reduced non-bearing year red sorrel total and flowering ramet density but did not reduce seedling density. Nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron, foramsulfuron, pyroxsulam, and halosulfuron-methyl efficacy on red sorrel were inconsistent or limited, and these herbicides are not recommended for red sorrel management in lowbush blueberry.

Key words: amino acid inhibiting herbicide, fall bearing year herbicide, fall non-bearing year herbicide, spring non-bearing year herbicide, sulfonylurea herbicide

Introduction

The lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Aiton) is a rhizomatous perennial berry species [\(Hall et al. 1979\)](#page-11-0). Commercial fields are developed from native stands and managed primarily on a 2-year cycle in which fields are pruned to ground level by burning or flail mowing in the first year (non-bearing year) and fruit development and harvest occur in the second year (bearing year) [\(Jensen 1985;](#page-11-1) Eaton et al. [2004\). Fields are managed to encourage the vegetative spread](#page-11-2) of blueberry plants, but this also encourages the growth and spread of perennial weeds [\(Yarborough and Bhowmik 1989;](#page-12-0) [McCully et al. 1991\)](#page-11-3).

Red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) is a common perennial weed species in commercially managed lowbush blueberry fields in Canada and Maine, USA [\(McCully et al. 1991;](#page-11-3) [Ayers 2020\)](#page-11-4) and occurs in >95% of the acreage of this crop in Nova Scotia [\(Lyu et al. 2021\)](#page-11-5). The low pH soils and lack of tillage associated with commercial lowbush blueberry production contribute to the persistence of red sorrel, and the seed of this weed species is a common contaminant on harvesting equipment [\(Boyd and White 2009\)](#page-11-6). Red sorrel spreads by seeds and a shallow creeping root system [\(Kennedy 2009;](#page-11-7) [White 2014\)](#page-12-1). Seedlings contribute to established red sorrel populations [\(White et al. 2014\)](#page-12-2), but production of ramets from the creeping root system is the primary means of population maintenance [\(Kennedy 2009;](#page-11-7) [White et al. 2014\)](#page-12-2). Ramets emerge throughout the growing season in Nova Scotia, with ramet populations peaking in mid to late autumn of both the nonbearing and bearing years [\(White et al. 2015](#page-12-3)*a*). Emerged ramets remain as vegetative rosettes persisting below the blueberry canopy in the year of emergence, as flowering occurs primarily in overwintering ramets [\(White et al. 2014\)](#page-12-2) and is induced by vernalization [\(White et al. 2015](#page-12-4)*b*). As such, opportunities for control of red sorrel exist in fall before ramet populations overwinter or in spring prior to bolting and flowering of overwintering ramets [\(White et al. 2015](#page-12-5)*c*).

Control of red sorrel with currently registered herbicides, such as hexazinone, is variable [\(Kennedy et al. 2010,](#page-11-8) [2011\)](#page-11-9) due to occurrence of hexazinone-resistant populations in commercial blueberry fields in Nova Scotia [\(Li et al. 2014\)](#page-11-10). Red sorrel can be suppressed with fall pronamide applications [\(Hughes et al. 2016;](#page-11-11) [White et al. 2021\)](#page-12-6), but this herbicide is expensive (\$500.00 CAD ha⁻¹) and difficult to use due to the requirement for applications to cold soils in late au-

tumn. Recent research determined that fall bearing year applications of the acetolactate synthase (ALS)-inhibiting herbicide tribenuron-methyl reduced red sorrel ramet density in the subsequent non-bearing year [\(White et al. 2021\)](#page-12-6), indicating potential for the ALS-inhibiting class of herbicides to contribute to red sorrel management in lowbush blueberry. ALS-inhibiting herbicides inhibit the enzyme ALS which is required for catalyzing the first step in the biosynthetic pathway for the branch-chain amino acids isoleucine, valine, and leucine [\(Ray 1984;](#page-11-12) [Rhodes et al. 1987;](#page-11-13) [Kishore and Shah 1988;](#page-11-14) [Zhou et al. 2007\)](#page-12-7). Subsequent lack of these amino acids in susceptible plants results in protein deficiency and several other negative downstream effects that cause plant injury or death [\(Ray 1984;](#page-11-12) [Rhodes et al. 1987;](#page-11-13) [Bestman et al. 1990;](#page-11-15) Gaston et [al. 2003\). Although prone to resistance development \(Tranel](#page-11-16) [and Wright 2002\), ALS-inhibiting herbicides generally exhibit](#page-11-17) good environmental profiles [\(Dinelli et al. 1998;](#page-11-18) Gigliotti and Allievi 2001; [Russel et al. 2002\), have low mammalian toxicity](#page-11-19) [\(Mazur and Falco 1989;](#page-11-21) [Russel et al. 2002\)](#page-11-20), are applied at low use rates [\(Brown 1990;](#page-11-22) [Reddy and Whiting 2000;](#page-11-23) Russel et al. [2002\), are generally of low cost per hectare \(Donald and Prato](#page-11-20) 1991; [Reddy and Whiting 2000\)](#page-11-23), and likely degrade rapidly in [acidic soils of lowbush blueberry fields \(](#page-11-26)[Sabadie 1996](#page-11-25)[;](#page-11-26) Scrano et al. 1999; [Sarmah and Sabadie 2002;](#page-11-27) Grey and McCullough [2012\). These characteristics make these herbicides a desirable](#page-11-28) component of weed control programs in lowbush blueberry.

Red sorrel causes significant yield losses in lowbush blueberry [\(Kennedy et al. 2010;](#page-11-8) [Hughes et al. 2016\)](#page-11-11), may contribute to increased severity of Botrytis blossom blight (*Botrytis cinerea* Pers.) infections in blueberry flowers [\(Hughes 2012;](#page-11-29) [Hughes et al. 2016\)](#page-11-11) by serving as an alternative host for this [disease \(](#page-11-31)[Giraud et al. 1999](#page-11-30)[\), interferes with harvesting \(Jensen](#page-11-31) and Specht 2002), and becomes prolific if not controlled following fertilizer applications [\(Kennedy et al. 2010,](#page-11-8) [2011\)](#page-11-9). Growers are therefore interested in effective and economically viable control options for this weed species. The objectives of this research were to (*i*) evaluate efficacy of several ALS-inhibiting herbicides for general efficacy on red sorrel under greenhouse conditions and (*ii*) evaluate these same herbicides for control of red sorrel when applied in spring and fall of the non-bearing year and fall of the bearing year in commercial lowbush blueberry fields. These objectives were based on the hypothesis that tribenuron-methyl would be the most effective ALS-inhibiting herbicide evaluated for red sorrel control. A spring bearing year application was not included as pre-harvest bearing year applications are not permitted for any currently registered ALS-inhibiting herbicides in lowbush blueberry.

Materials and methods

Greenhouse evaluation of ALS-inhibiting herbicides on red sorrel

The experiment was conducted using plants established from planted root fragments. Root fragments were collected from a greenhouse stock population established by planting seeds collected from a lowbush blueberry field located in Collingwood, NS, Canada (45.5622, −63.8613). Seed dormancy was broken by soaking seeds in concentrated sulfuric acid for 1 min. Seeds were then rinsed with distilled water and planted in plastic greenhouse flats filled with Pro-Mix BX general-purpose growing medium (Premier Horticulture Inc., Quakertown, PA, USA). Flats were kept in a greenhouse maintained at 22 ± 1 °C under natural daylight extended to 16 h by metal halide lamps providing a photosynthetic photon flux density of 61 \pm 3 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at plant level. After approximately 3 months of growth, a large mass of creeping roots was established in each flat that could be harvested for use in experiments. For this experiment, three 5 cm-long root fragments were planted in 715 cm^3 square plastic pots filled with a 1:2:2 (*v*:*v*:*v*) mixture of sand, potting soil (Pro-Gro Premium Organic Top Soil, Annapolis Valley Peat Moss Co., Ltd., Berwick, NS, Canada), and Pro-Mix BX general-purpose growing medium. Pots were then maintained in the same greenhouse under the conditions described above. Pots were fertilized 1 month after planting with 100 mL of 0.12% 20– 20–20 (N–P–K) aqueous fertilizer per pot, and each pot constituted one experimental unit. The experiment consisted of seven herbicide treatments [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0) arranged in a completely randomized design with six replications. Herbicide application rates were based on currently labeled application rates for tribenuron-methyl, nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron, foramsulfuron, and flazasulfuron in lowbush blueberry and manufacturer recommendations for pyroxsulam and halosulfuronmethyl. The experiment was repeated once for a total of two experimental runs and run 2 was initiated 4 weeks after the start of run 1. Herbicides were applied 2 months after plant-ing at the rates indicated in [Table 1](#page-3-0) using a single nozzle, $CO₂$ pressurized hand-held sprayer (model 601F4, R & D Sprayers, Opelousas, LA, USA) equipped with a single TeeJet 8002 DG nozzle (TeeJet Technologies, Spraying Systems Co., Springfield, PA, USA) and calibrated to deliver 200 L ha^{-1} at a pressure of 275 kPa for all herbicides. Mean red sorrel ramet density and leaf number at the time of herbicide applications were 6 \pm 0.3 ramets pot⁻¹ and 9 \pm 1 leaves ramet⁻¹, respectively, in run 1 and 5 \pm 1 ramets pot⁻¹ and 11 \pm 1 leaves ramet−1, respectively, in run 2. Data collection included visual injury ratings on red sorrel at 14, 35, and 56 days after spraying (DAS) and final red sorrel ramet density in each pot at 56 DAS. Ramet biomass in each pot was to be collected but was not possible due to an unforeseen fire that damaged the building attached to the greenhouse in which the plants were maintained. Visual injury ratings were conducted using a 0–10 scale in which $0 =$ no injury and $10 =$ complete plant death.

Field evaluation of ALS-inhibiting herbicides on red sorrel

Field experiments were conducted to determine the crop tolerance and efficacy of red sorrel of spring non-bearing year, fall non-bearing year, and fall bearing year ALSinhibiting herbicide applications in lowbush blueberry fields in Nova Scotia. Each application timing was conducted as a separate experiment due to the large number of treatments required for a single experiment, and treatments consisted of the herbicides and application rates outlined in [Table 1.](#page-3-0) Each

Table 1. ALS-inhibiting herbicides evaluated for control of red sorrel under greenhouse conditions and in lowbush blueberry fields in Nova Scotia, Canada.

Common name	Trade name ^a	Application rate $(g \text{ a.i.} \text{ ha}^{-1})$	Manufacturer, city, state or province, country
Nontreated control	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tribenuron-methyl	Express	34	DuPont, Wilmington, DE, USA
Flazasulfuron	Chikara	50	ISK BioSciences, Concord, OH, USA
Pyroxsulam	Simplicity or	15	Dow AgroSciences, Calgary, AB, Canada
	Simplicity GoDri ^b		Dow AgroSciences, Calgary, AB, Canada
$Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron$	Ultim	$13 + 13$	DuPont, Wilmington, DE, USA
Halosulfuron-methyl	Sandea	36	Canyon Group, Yuma, AZ, USA
Foramsulfuron	Option	35	Bayer CropScience, Regina, SK, Canada

aExpress, Chikara, Simplicity or Simplicity GoDri, Ultim, and Sandea were applied in conjunction with 0.2% *v*/*v* Activate Plus non-ionic surfactant (NIS). Option was applied in conjunction with 28% liquid UAN fertilizer at 2.5 L ha⁻¹

bSimplicity was used in the spring non-bearing year experiment, and Simplicity GoDri was used in the greenhouse, fall non-bearing year, and fall bearing year experiments.

experiment was conducted at two sites and was arranged in a randomized complete block design with five blocks and a $2 m \times 4 m$ plot size. Locations, dates of trial establishment, dates of herbicide applications, and related weather conditions for each experiment are provided in [Table 2.](#page-4-0) Herbicides were applied at each site using a $CO₂$ -pressurized research plot sprayer (model 601 C, R & D Sprayers, Opelousas, LA, USA) equipped with four HYPRO ULD120-02 nozzles (Pentair, Delavan, WI, USA) and calibrated to deliver 200 L ha⁻¹ at a pressure of 275 kPa. Spring non-bearing year, fall nonbearing year, and fall bearing year herbicide treatments were applied preemergence to wild blueberry, postemergence to wild blueberry after blueberry stems lost their leaves, and after field pruning that removed emerged blueberry stems, respectively. Red sorrel ramets were in the rosette (e.g., vegetative) stage and were green and lacking significant frost injury (leaf reddening and necrosis) at the time of all herbicide applications.

Data collection was similar across experiments but varied in frequency and timing based on extent of the lowbush blueberry production cycle remaining following herbicide applications. Data collection for red sorrel consisted of total ramet density, seedling density, and flowering ramet density. Total red sorrel ramet density was determined at the time of herbicide applications in each experiment and again in June, August, and October of the non-bearing year and May and June of the bearing year in the spring non-bearing year experiment, May and June of the bearing year in the fall nonbearing year experiment, and May, June, August, and October of the non-bearing year and May and June of the subsequent bearing year in the fall bearing year experiment. Red sorrel seedling density was determined in May or June of the nonbearing and bearing year in the spring non-bearing year and fall bearing year experiments and in May of the bearing year in the fall non-bearing year experiment. Red sorrel flowering ramet density was determined in June of the non-bearing and bearing year in the spring non-bearing year and fall bearing year experiments and in June of the bearing year in the fall non-bearing year experiment.

Data collection for lowbush blueberry consisted of lowbush blueberry stem density, stem height, and flower bud number per stem in the spring non-bearing year and fall bearing year experiments, and yield in all experiments. Lowbush blueberry stem density, stem height, and flower bud number per stem were determined in October of the non-bearing year, and lowbush blueberry yield was determined in August of the bearing year.

Red sorrel ramet and seedling density and lowbush blueberry stem density were determined in three 0.3 m \times 0.3 m quadrats in each plot on each counting date. Lowbush blueberry stem height and flower bud number stem $^{-1}$ were determined directly in the field on 20 stems per plot selected using a line transect method previously described (White and Ku[mar 2017\). Lowbush blueberry yield was determined by hand](#page-12-8) raking all berries in two 1 m \times 1 m quadrats per plot. Debris was removed from the berries via wind, and berries were weighed at the time of harvest in the field.

Statistical analysis

The effect of herbicide treatment, experimental run, and the herbicide treatment by experimental run interaction on final red sorrel ramet density in the greenhouse experiment was determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) in PROC MIXED in SAS (SAS Version 9.4, Cary, NC, USA). Herbicide treatment and experimental run were modeled as fixed effects in the analysis. The effect of herbicide treatment on total red sorrel ramet density throughout the duration of each field experiment was determined using a repeated measures ANOVA in PROC MIXED in SAS. Herbicide treatment was modeled as a fixed effect in the analysis, and data collection date (expressed as Julian day) was modeled as the repeated effect in the analysis using a spatial power covariance structure that assumed homogeneous variance over time due to the unequal temporal spacing that occurred between counting dates. Sites were analyzed separately for this analysis due to differing dates of data collection at each site. The effect of site and herbicide treatment on red sorrel flowering ramet density, red sorrel seedling density, lowbush blueberry stem density, stem height, flower bud number per stem, and yield was determined using ANOVA in PROC MIXED in SAS.

Table 2. Locations, dates of trial establishment, dates of herbicide applications, and related weather conditions for field experiments used to evaluate ALS-inhibiting herbicides for red sorrel control in lowbush blueberry fields in Nova Scotia, Canada.

Data transformations $[SQRT(Y + 1)$ or $LOG(Y + 1)]$ were used where necessary to meet the assumptions of normality and constant variance in all analyses. Data transformations used are indicated in the results tables. Significance of all main and interactive effects in all analyses was assessed at $\alpha = 0.05$, and means separations, where necessary, were conducted using a Tukey's test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Greenhouse ALS-inhibiting herbicide evaluation

Visual injury data were similar in both experimental runs and were averaged across runs for presentation. There was a significant herbicide treatment by experimental run interaction effect on final ramet density $(P = 0.004)$, so these data were analyzed separately across experimental runs. Visual injury occurred slowly in all treatments but was generally highest in the tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron treatments [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0). There was a significant herbicide treatment effect on final ramet density in each experimental run (*P* < 0.0001). Final ramet density was generally lowest in the tribenuronmethyl, flazasulfuron, and pyroxsulam treatments in each experimental run, though density was also reduced by nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron and halosulfuron-methyl [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0). Foramsulfuron caused low visual injury and did not reduce final ramet density [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0).

Effect of ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on total red sorrel ramet density in the field

There was a significant herbicide treatment and data collection date effect on total red sorrel ramet density at each site in all experiments $(P < 0.0001)$. There was, however, no significant herbicide treatment by data collection date interaction effect on total red sorrel ramet density at each site in the spring non-bearing year experiment ($P \geq 0.1790$), the Mount Pleasant site in the fall bearing year experiment $(P = 0.098)$, or the Sherbrooke site in the fall bearing year experiment $(P = 0.118)$, suggesting differences between treatments remained consistent throughout the experiments at these sites. Data were, however, still analyzed using the full repeated measures analysis to ascertain differences within and between treatments over time in each experiment.

Spring non-bearing year tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron applications reduced ramet density by June of the nonbearing year, and density in these treatments was lower than most other treatments at each site in both June and August of the non-bearing year [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1). Density increased in these treatments by October of the non-bearing year but still remained lower than the non-treated control and most other herbicides evaluated [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1). Although ineffective at Collingwood, pyroxsulam reduced ramet density early in the non-bearing year at Murray Siding [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1). Nicosul $furon + rimsulfuron$, halosul $furon-methyl$, and $foramsul$ furon did not reduce non-bearing year density at either site [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1). Ramet density in May of the bearing year was similar to May of the non-bearing year in the tribenuron-methyl treatment at each site and in the flazasulfuron treatment at Murray Siding, though density had increased by May of the bearing year relative to May of the non-bearing year in all other treatments [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1). Ramet density in June of the bearing year was also generally lowest in the tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron treatments at each site [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1).

Fall non-bearing year tribenuron-methyl, flazasulfuron, and nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron applications reduced ramet density by May of the bearing year at Collingwood, and density was lowest in these treatments at this time relative to the other treatments [\(Table 5\)](#page-6-0). Density in the tribenuronmethyl treatment remained lower than all other treatments at Collingwood by June 2018, though density in the flazasulfuron treatment was also lower than the nontreated control at this site [\(Table 5\)](#page-6-0). Ramet density declined significantly in all treatments between October of the non-bearing year and May of the bearing year at Mount Pleasant but remained low-

Table 3. Effect of ALS-inhibiting herbicides on visual injury and final ramet density of greenhousegrown red sorrel plants.

	Visual injury ratings ^a			Final ramet density (ramets m^{-2})		
Treatment	14 DAS ^b	35 DAS	56 DAS	Run 1	Run 2 ^c	
Nontreated control	1 ± 0.2	$1 + 0.3$	1 ± 0.3	$12 + 1a$	13a	
Tribenuron-methyl	3 ± 0.3	$6 + 0.5$	$8 + 0.4$	$3 + 1c$	1c	
Flazasulfuron	$3 + 0.4$	$4 + 0.5$	$7 + 0.5$	$4+1c$	3 _{bc}	
Pyroxsulam	$1 + 0.3$	$2 + 0.4$	3 ± 0.4	$4+1c$	4 _b c	
$Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron$	$1 + 0.2$	1 ± 0.3	$2 + 0.3$	$6 + 1bc$	5b	
Halosulfuron-methyl	$1 + 0.2$	$1 + 0.3$	$2 + 0.3$	$6 + 1bc$	6b	
Foramsulfuron	$1 + 0.2$	1 ± 0.4	1 ± 0.4	$9 + 1$ ab	17a	

Note: Means within columns with the same lowercase letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at $\alpha = 0.05$. Values represent the mean \pm 1 SE.

^aVisual injury ratings were conducted on a scale of 0–10 in which 0 = no plant injury and 10 = complete plant death. b DAS, days after spraying.

^cFinal ramet density data in run 2 were LOG(Y + 1) transformed prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of the variance analysis. Geometric means determined using PROC MEANS in SAS are presented.

Table 4. Effect of spring non-bearing year ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on non-bearing year and bearing year total red sorrel ramet density at Collingwood and Murray Siding, Nova Scotia, Canada.

		Red sorrel ramet density (ramets m^{-2}) ^a						
		Non-bearing year (2017)				Bearing year (2018)		
Siteb	Treatment	May	June	August	October	May	June	
Collingwood	Nontreated control	462a(B)	509a(B)	469a(B)	914a(A)	1088a(A)	1154a(A)	
	Tribenuron-methyl	381a(A)	82b(B)	93b(B)	387bc(A)	384c(A)	396c(A)	
	Flazasulfuron	360a(BC)	222b(C)	131b(C)	499b(B)	854b(A)	710b(AB)	
	Pyroxsulam	536a(B)	436a(B)	444a(B)	943a(A)	1094ab(A)	1023ab(A)	
	$Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron$	569a(B)	433a(B)	404a(B)	760ab(AB)	890b(AB)	959ab(A)	
	Halosulfuron-methyl	585a(B)	444a(B)	387a(B)	744ab(B)	1344a(A)	809ab(B)	
	Foramsulfuron	453a(B)	453a(B)	390a(B)	852a(B)	1279ab(A)	1019ab(AB)	
Murray Siding	Nontreated control	195a(C)	513a(B)	316a(BC)	531a(B)	1112a(A)	867a(AB)	
	Tribenuron-methyl	207a(AB)	29c(BC)	76bc(B)	224b(AB)	370b(A)	384b(A)	
	Flazasulfuron	198a(AB)	100bc(B)	101b(B)	174b(AB)	328b(A)	373b(A)	
	Pyroxsulam	207a(B)	253b(B)	279a(B)	443ab(B)	918a(A)	791a(A)	
	$Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron$	179a(C)	267ab(BC)	254ab(BC)	422ab(B)	1057a(A)	681ab(AB)	
	Halosulfuron-methyl	196a(B)	347ab(B)	219abc(B)	324ab(B)	890a(A)	593ab(A)	
	Foramsulfuron	234a(C)	513a(B)	269ab(BC)	466ab(BC)	1048a(A)	685ab(AB)	

Note: Means within columns for each site with the same lowercase letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at $\alpha = 0.05$. Means within rows for each site with the same uppercase letter are not s

 a Total ramet density data for each site were SQRT(Y + 1) transformed prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of the variance analysis. Geometric means determined using PROC MEANS in SAS are presented.

^bHerbicides were applied on 4 May 2017 and 5 May 2017 at Collingwood and Murray Siding, respectively. Non-bearing year ramet density was determined on 4 May, 22 June, 24 August, and 19 October 2017 at Collingwood and on 5 May, 22 June, 17 August, and 19 October 2017 at Murray Siding. Bearing year ramet density was determined on 1 May and 21 June 2018 at Collingwood and on 2 May and 19 June 2018 at Murray Siding.

est in the tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron treatments by June of the bearing year at this site [\(Table 5\)](#page-6-0).

Fall bearing year tribenuron-methyl, flazasulfuron, and pyroxsulam applications reduced ramet density by May of the non-bearing year at each site, and density was lowest in these treatments on this data collection date [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1). Density in these treatments also remained lower than the nontreated control throughout the non-bearing year at each site [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1), though density in the pyroxsulam treatment had increased in October relative to May at Mount Pleasant and was similar to the nontreated control [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1). Fall bearing year nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron and foramsulfuron applications also reduced density relative to the nontreated control in May and June of the non-bearing year at Mount Pleasant [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1), though density in these treatments increased in August and October relative to May and density did not differ from the nontreated control. Ramet density in the halosulfuron-methyl treatment was lower than the nontreated control in June of the non-bearing year at each site [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1), though density was once again similar to the nontreated control by August. Ramet density declined in most treatments between October of the non-bearing year and May of the bearing year at Mount Pleasant, and density was similar across all treatments throughout the bearing year at this site [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1). A similar decline in density did not occur at Sherbrooke where density in both May and June of the bearing

Note: Means within columns with the same lowercase letter for each site are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at α = 0.05. Means within rows with the same uppercase letter for each site are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at α = 0.05. Values represent the mean \pm 1 SE.

^a Herbicides were applied on 24 November 2017 and 9 November 2018 at Collingwood and Mount Pleasant, respectively. Non-bearing year ramet density was determined on 19 October 2017 and 16 October 2018 at Collingwood and Mount Pleasant, respectively. Bearing year ramet density was determined on 1 May 2018 and 21 June 2018 at Collingwood and on 1 May 2019 and 20 June 2019 at Mount Pleasant.

Table 6. Effect of fall bearing year ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on total red sorrel ramet density at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke, Nova Scotia, Canada.

		Red sorrel ramet density (ramets m^{-2}) ^a						
		Bearing year (2017)	Non-bearing year (2018)			Bearing year (2019)		
		October-						
Siteb	Treatment	November	May	June	August	October	May	June
Mount Pleasant	Nontreated control	450a(B)	581a(AB)	707a(A)	653a(AB)	748a(A)	366a(B)	600a(AB)
	Tribenuron-methyl	433a(A)	36c(C)	112c(BC)	213c(B)	430b(A)	344a(AB)	457a(A)
	Flazasulfuron	486a(A)	44c(BC)	12 $d(C)$	87 d(B)	370b(A)	340a(A)	516a(A)
	Pyroxsulam	444a(AB)	73c(C)	246b(B)	447b(AB)	628ab(A)	407a(B)	544a(AB)
	$Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron$	437a(AB)	243b(B)	402b(B)	599ab(AB)	658a(A)	344a(B)	547a(AB)
	Halosulfuron-methyl	438a(AB)	399ab(B)	389b(B)	603ab(A)	592ab(A)	361a(B)	495a(AB)
	Foramsulfuron	427a(B)	288b(B)	418b(B)	581ab(AB)	754a(A)	376a(B)	592a(AB)
Sherbrooke	Nontreated control	511a(AB)	351a(B)	534a(AB)	519a(AB)	650a(A)	361a(B)	361a(B)
	Tribenuron-methyl	485a(A)	58b(B)	100c(B)	98bc(B)	156c(B)	150b(B)	159b(B)
	Flazasulfuron	341a(A)	5b(C)	4 d(C)	33c(BC)	$24 \text{ d}(\text{BC})$	89b(BC)	110b(B)
	Pyroxsulam	466a(A)	20b(C)	252b(AB)	162b(B)	259bc(AB)	191ab(B)	235ab(AB)
	$Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron$	588a(A)	167a(B)	373ab(B)	393ab(AB)	362b(B)	241ab(B)	347ab(B)
	Halosulfuron-methyl	418a(A)	272a(A)	279b(A)	339ab(A)	415ab(A)	266ab(A)	287ab(A)
	Foramsulfuron	541a(A)	309a(AB)	424a(AB)	366ab(AB)	410ab(AB)	333ab(AB)	281ab(B)

Note: Means within columns for each site with the same lowercase letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at $\alpha = 0.05$. Means within rows for each site with the same uppercase letter are not s

^aRamet density data at each site were SQRT(Y + 1) transformed prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of the variance analysis. Geometric means determined using PROC MEANS in SAS are presented.

^bHerbicides were applied on 5 November 2017 and 1 November 2017 at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke, respectively. Ramet density at Mount Pleasant was determined on 3 November 2017, 1 May 2018, 21 June 2018, 22 August 2018, 16 October 2018, 1 May 2019, and 20 June 2019. Ramet density at Sherbrooke was determined on 23 October 2017, 2 May 2018, 20 June 2018, 22 August 2018, 22 October 2018, 2 May 2019, and 26 June 2019.

year was lowest in the tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron treatments [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1).

Effect of ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on red sorrel flowering ramet and seedling density in the field

There was a significant site by treatment effect on nonbearing year flower ramet density and seedling density $(P \leq 0.0189)$ in the spring non-bearing year experiment, and these data were therefore analyzed separately across sites. Bearing year flower ramet density and seedling density, however, were not affected by the site by treatment interaction in this experiment ($P \ge 0.5381$) and, these data were pooled across sites for analysis. There was a significant herbicide treatment effect on non-bearing year red sorrel seedling and flowering ramet density ($P \leq 0.001$) at each site in the spring non-bearing year experiment. Spring nonbearing year tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron applications reduced non-bearing year red sorrel seedling and flowering ramet density at each site [\(Table 7\)](#page-8-0). Pyroxsulam was once again ineffective at Collingwood but did reduce nonbearing year seedling and flowering ramet density at Murray Siding [\(Table 7\)](#page-8-0). Nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron reduced nonbearing year flowering ramet density at Murray Siding and foramsulfuron did not reduce non-bearing year seedling or flowering ramet density at either site [\(Table 7\)](#page-8-0). Spring nonbearing year herbicide applications did not affect bearing year seedling or flowering ramet density in the pooled data set ($P \ge 0.0814$) with mean bearing year seedling and flowering ramet density of 101 \pm 13 seedlings m⁻² and 265 \pm 20 flowering ramets m^{-2} , respectively, across sites.

There was no significant site by treatment effect on bearing year flower ramet density and seedling density ($P \ge 0.3552$) in the fall non-bearing year experiment, and these data were therefore pooled across sites for analysis. There was a significant herbicide treatment effect on bearing year flower ramet density (*P* < 0.0001). Fall non-bearing year tribenuronmethyl applications gave the greatest reductions in bearing year flowering ramet density [\(Table 8\)](#page-8-1), though flazasulfuron and pyroxsulam also reduced flowering ramet density relative to the nontreated control [\(Table 8\)](#page-8-1). There was no effect of fall non-bearing year herbicide applications on bearing year red sorrel seedling density ($P = 0.1382$) with a mean seedling density of 114 \pm 13 seedlings m⁻².

There was no significant site by herbicide treatment effect on non-bearing or bearing year red sorrel flowering ramet and seedling density ($P \ge 0.1374$) in the fall bearing year experiment. All data were therefore pooled across sites for analysis. There was a significant fall bearing year herbicide treatment effect on non-bearing year flowering ramet density $(P < 0.0001)$ with fall bearing year tribenuronmethyl, flazasulfuron, and pyroxsulam applications giving the greatest reductions in flowering ramet density [\(Table 8\)](#page-8-1). Fall bearing year nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron, halosulfuronmethyl, and foramsulfuron applications, however, also reduced non-bearing year flowering ramet density relative to the nontreated control [\(Table 8\)](#page-8-1). There was no significant fall bearing year herbicide treatment effect on nonbearing year seedling density $(P = 0.9818)$, bearing year flower ramet density $(P = 0.7294)$, or bearing year seedling density $(P = 0.2560)$. Mean non-bearing year seedling density, bearing year flower ramet density, and bearing year seedling density were 234 \pm 31 seedlings m⁻², 180 \pm 16 ramets m⁻², and 72 \pm 10 seedlings m⁻², respectively.

Effect of ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on lowbush blueberry

There was no significant site by herbicide treatment effect on lowbush blueberry stem density $(P = 0.8877)$, stem height (*P* = 0.9564), flower buds per stem (*P* = 0.6379), or yield $(P = 0.3133)$ in the spring non-bearing year experiment. All data were therefore pooled across sites for analysis. There was a significant herbicide treatment effect on lowbush blueberry stem density $(P = 0.0111)$, though this was due mainly to fewer stems in the halosulfuron treatment (343 \pm 36) relative to the other treatments (405 \pm 36 to 456 \pm 36). There was no herbicide treatment effect on lowbush blueberry stem height ($P = 0.7200$), flower buds per stem ($P = 0.0612$), or yield $(P = 0.6955)$, with mean lowbush blueberry stem height, flower buds per stem, and yield of 19 ± 1 cm, 3 ± 0.3 buds stem⁻¹, and 2062 \pm 180 kg ha⁻¹, respectively.

There was no significant site by herbicide treatment effect on lowbush blueberry yield in the fall non-bearing year experiment $(P = 0.1084)$. Yield data were therefore pooled across sites for analysis. There was no herbicide treatment effect on yield (*P* = 0.2259) with mean yield across sites of 2515 \pm 223 kg ha⁻¹.

There was no significant site by herbicide treatment effect on lowbush blueberry stem density $(P = 0.8893)$, stem height ($P = 0.9241$), flower buds per stem ($P = 0.5608$), or yield $(P = 0.6236)$ in the fall bearing year experiment. All data were therefore pooled across sites for analysis. There was no significant herbicide treatment effect on lowbush blueberry stem density $(P = 0.1165)$ or stem height $(P = 0.8349)$ with mean stem density and height of 694 \pm 29 stems m⁻² and 16 ± 0.3 cm, respectively. There was, however, a significant herbicide treatment effect on flower bud number per stem $(P < 0.0001)$ and yield $(P < 0.0001)$, with fall bearing year flazasulfuron applications providing the greatest increase in flower bud number per stem and yield [\(Table 9\)](#page-9-0). Fall bearing year tribenuron-methyl applications increased flower bud number per stem but not yield, and all other herbicide treatments had flower bud numbers and yield similar to the nontreated control [\(Table 9\)](#page-9-0).

Discussion

Results of the greenhouse and field experiments demonstrate fairly consistently that tribenuron-methyl, flazasulfuron, and pyroxsulam were the most effective ALS-inhibiting herbicides evaluated for red sorrel control. Tribenuronmethyl currently has both spring and fall applications approved for use in lowbush blueberry [\(Anonymous 2015\)](#page-11-32), and results of this study provide an additional use for this important herbicide. In terms of application timing, spring nonbearing year tribenuron-methyl applications seem most ef**Table 7.** Effect of spring non-bearing year ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on non-bearing year red sorrel seedling and flowering ramet density at Collingwood^a and Murray Siding, Nova Scotia, Canada.

Note: Means within columns with the same letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at $\alpha = 0.05$.
^aHerbicides were applied on 4 May 2017 and on 5 May 2017 at Collingwood and Murray Siding, respe determined on 22 June 2017 at each site.

 b Red sorrel seedling density data at Collingwood and Murray Siding were SQRT(Y + 1) and LOG(Y + 1) transformed, respectively, prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of the variance analysis. Geometric means determined using PROC MEANS in SAS are presented.

 c Red sorrel flowering ramet density data at Collingwood and Murray Siding were SQRT(Y + 1) and LOG(Y + 1) transformed, respectively, prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of the variance analysis. Geometric means determined using PROC MEANS in SAS are presented.

> **Table 8.** Effect of ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on bearing year red sorrel flowering ramet density at Collingwood^a and Mount Pleasant (fall nonbearing year experiment) and on non-bearing year flowering ramet density at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke (fall bearing year experiment), Nova Scotia, Canada.

Note: Means within columns with the same letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

^a Herbicides were applied on 24 November 2017 and 9 November 2018 at Collingwood and Mount Pleasant, respectively, and on 5 November 2017 and 1 November 2017 at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke, respectively. Red sorrel flowering ramet density was determined on 21 June 2018 and 20 June 2019 at Collingwood and Mount Pleasant, respectively, and on 21 June 2018 and 20 June 2018, respectively, at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke.

 b Red sorrel flowering ramet density data in each experiment were SQRT(Y) transformed prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of the variance analysis. Back-transformed means are presented.

fective as they reduced ramet density throughout the entire 2-year production cycle [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1) and reduced both seedling and flowering ramet density in the non-bearing year [\(Table 7\)](#page-8-0). Fall bearing year tribenuron-methyl applications reduced ramet density in the non-bearing year at both Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke, but only at Sherbrooke in the bearing year [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1). Fall bearing year tribenuron-methyl applications also failed to control red sorrel seedlings in the non-bearing year, thus allowing red sorrel to recover from seed following fall bearing year applications. Tribenuronmethyl residues in soil damage weeds and sensitive crop plants [\(Kotoula-Syka et al. 1993a;](#page-11-33) [Mehdizadeh et al. 2016\)](#page-11-34), suggesting that soil residual activity from spring applications may have contributed to red sorrel seedling control. Soil residual activity of tribenuron-methyl, however, is reduced at low soil pH [\(Kotoula-Syka et al. 1993b\)](#page-11-35), presumably due to rapid degradation [\(Sarmah and Sabadie 2002\)](#page-11-27). This may explain lack of seedling control by fall tribenuronmethyl applications. Although spring tribenuron-methyl applications pose a greater crop injury risk than fall bearing [year applications \(](#page-11-36)[Yarborough and Hess 1996](#page-12-9)[;](#page-11-36) Jensen and Specht 2004; [Anonymous 2015\)](#page-11-32), vegetative red sorrel ramets overwinter [\(White et al. 2014\)](#page-12-2) and can therefore be treated [with tribenuron-methyl prior to blueberry emergence \(White](#page-12-5) et al. 2015*c*). New red sorrel ramets and seedlings also be[gin emerging earlier than lowbush blueberries \(White et al.](#page-12-10) 2012, [2015](#page-12-3)*a*), again providing opportunity to control or suppress this weed prior to blueberry emergence and therefore reduce risk of crop injury. Fall non-bearing year tribenuronmethyl applications reduced total and flowering red sorrel ramet density in the bearing year [\(Tables 5](#page-6-0) and [8\)](#page-8-1). Although not currently registered for use, additional work should be

Table 9. Effect of fall bearing year ALS-inhibiting herbicide applications on lowbush blueberry flower bud number and yield at Mount Pleasant^a and Sherbrooke, Nova Scotia, Canada.

Treatment	Flower bud number (buds stem ^{-1})	Yield (kg ha^{-1})
Nontreated control	$2.5 + 0.17c$	$1870 + 331h$
Tribenuron-methyl	$3.4 + 0.17$	$1665 + 331b$
Flazasulfuron	$4.2 + 0.17a$	$4080 \pm 331a$
Pyroxsulam	2.9 ± 0.17 bc	$1785 + 331h$
$Nicosulfuron + rimsul-$ furon	2.6 ± 0.17 bc	$1590 + 331h$
Halosulfuron-methyl	$3 + 0.17$ bc	$2080 + 331h$
Foramsulfuron	$2.6 \pm 0.17c$	$1789 + 331h$

Note: Means within columns with the same letter are not significantly different according to a Tukey's test at α = 0.05. Values represent the mean \pm SE.
^aHerbicides were applied on 5 November 2017 and 1 November 2017 at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke, respectively. Lowbush blueberry flower bud number stem−¹ was determined on 16 October 2018 and 22 October 2018 at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke, respectively. Lowbush blueberry yield was determined on 15 August 2019 and 16 August 2019 at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke, respectively.

conducted to improve our understanding of crop injury risks and weed control associated with this application timing as this use would be beneficial to growers for reducing potential interference from red sorrel or other weeds in the bearing year.

Flazasulfuron efficacy on red sorrel was similar to tribenuron-methyl across all application timings, suggesting similar postemergence and preemergence activity of these herbicides on red sorrel. Fall bearing year flazasulfuron applications, however, caused greater reductions in non-bearing year red sorrel ramet density than tribenuron-methyl during June and August at Mount Pleasant and June, August, and October at Sherbrooke [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1). Flazasulfuron was recently registered for suppression of *Festuca* spp. in lowbush blueberries [\(Zhang et al. 2018\)](#page-12-11), with both fall bearing year and spring non-bearing year applications approved for use. Results of this study indicate that growers could expect good control of red sorrel when using these flazasulfuron application timings as well. The potential for use of both tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron for management of red sorrel, however, necessitates timely development of resistance management strategies due to the high risk of resistance development to ALS-inhibiting herbicides [\(Tranel and Wright 2002\)](#page-11-17). Fall bearing year dicamba, dichlobenil, and pronamide applications also suppress or control red sorrel in lowbush blueberry [\(White et al. 2021\)](#page-12-6) and use of these herbicides in conjunction with tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron will be important for mitigating resistance to the ALS-inhibitor site of action. Given the high seedling densities and lack of seedling control from some application timings and herbicides, identification of tank mixtures, or alternative herbicides that improve residual control of red sorrel seedlings will also be important for improving weed control and ensuring sustainability of tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron for red sorrel management.

Pyroxsulam was effective in the greenhouse experiment [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0) but exhibited inconsistent efficacy under field con-

ditions. Spring non-bearing year applications did not reduce total red sorrel ramet density [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-1) and gave inconsistent reductions in red sorrel seedling and flowering ramet density [\(Table 7\)](#page-8-0), indicating limited efficacy of spring applications of this herbicide on red sorrel. Fall non-bearing year and fall bearing year applications gave more consistent reductions in red sorrel ramet density [\(Tables 5](#page-6-0) and [6\)](#page-6-1), though red sorrel recovered in the pyroxsulam treatments more quickly than in the tribenuron-methyl or flazasulfuron treatments. Yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus* L.) response to ALS-inhibiting herbicides also varied between field and greenhouse trials [\(Ackley et al. 1996;](#page-11-37) [Nelson and Renner 2002\)](#page-11-38), suggesting that greenhouse research on perennial weeds with ALS-inhibiting herbicides should be accompanied by field experiments before drawing conclusions on herbicide efficacy. Clopyralid, sulfentrazone, and flumioxazin also controlled red sorrel in greenhouse pot experiments but failed to control this weed in the field [\(White et al. 2021\)](#page-12-6), suggesting that greenhouse red sorrel plants are more susceptible to some herbicides than plants in the field. Given that more effective herbicides than pyroxsulam are available, pursuit of a pyroxsulam registration in lowbush blueberry should be based on efficacy on weeds other than red sorrel and may be worth pursuing given the crop tolerance associated with this herbicide.

Efficacy of the remaining herbicides was limited relative to that of tribenuron-methyl, flazasulfuron, and pyroxsulam. Spring non-bearing year nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron applications did not reduce total ramet or seedling density [\(Tables 4](#page-5-1) and [7\)](#page-8-0), though a reduction in flowering ramet density at Murray Siding [\(Table 7\)](#page-8-0) likely explains the suppressive effects of this herbicide perceived by growers as non-flowering red sorrel ramets remain below the blueberry canopy and are not readily visible when scouting fields. Nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron applications in fall of the non-bearing year at Collingwood [\(Table 5\)](#page-6-0) and fall of the bearing year at Mount Pleasant [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1) provided temporary reductions in ramet density, indicating that this herbicide may be more effective for red sorrel management when used as part of a fall weed control program. Superior efficacy of tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron, however, likely precludes fall nicosulfuron $+$ rimsulfuron use for red sorrel management. Halosulfuron-methyl appeared promising for red sorrel management under greenhouse conditions [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0) but was generally ineffective in the field. Although effective on some annual broadleaf weeds [\(Brandenberger et al. 2005;](#page-11-39) [Macrae et al. 2008\)](#page-11-40), halosulfuronmethyl is most commonly used to control sedges such as yellow nutsedge and purple nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L.) [\(Blum et al. 2000;](#page-11-41) [Grichar et al. 2003\)](#page-11-42) and should be evaluated further for sedge control in lowbush blueberry given the opportunities for crop tolerance identified in this study. Foramsulfuron provides control or suppression of some grass [and broadleaf weed species in lowbush blueberry \(White and](#page-12-12) Webb 2018; [Zhang et al. 2018;](#page-12-11) [White and Zhang 2019\)](#page-12-13) but appears to be ineffective on red sorrel.

Lowbush blueberry appeared to exhibit good crop tolerance to most of the herbicides evaluated across all application timings. None of the spring non-bearing year applications reduced lowbush blueberry stem density, flower bud number, or yield, nor did the fall non-bearing year herbicide applica-

tions reduce yield. These data do, however, highlight the fact that control of red sorrel at these sites did not increase yield. Reasons for this are unclear, though exact mechanisms of weed interference between red sorrel and lowbush blueberry have yet to be elucidated, and it is not clear what red sorrel density is required to cause detectable yield loss. Several grass species, particularly rough bent grass (*Agrostis hyemalis* (Walt.)), gradually infiltrated the plot sites as well, which may have affected yields [\(Boyd et al. 2014\)](#page-11-43). Red sorrel may therefore require a larger systems-based approach that integrates factors associated with pollination, fertility inputs, control of other weeds, and disease management into experimental design to detect true impacts of this weed species on lowbush blueberry. In the meantime, growers are cautioned that control of red sorrel with tribenuron-methyl may not lead to yield increases and they will need to justify the herbicide application based on anticipated weed interference with other aspects of crop management.

Fall bearing year control of red sorrel with flazasulfuron, and to some extent tribenuron-methyl increased flower bud number per stem at Mount Pleasant and Sherbrooke and increased yield in the flazasulfuron treatment [\(Table 9\)](#page-9-0). Flazasulfuron was a more effective fall bearing year herbicide than tribenuron-methyl and maintained lower red sorrel ramet density throughout the non-bearing year [\(Table 6\)](#page-6-1), potentially contributing to the yield increase in this treatment. Sporadic patches of poverty oat grass (*Danthonia spicata* (L.) P. Beauv. Ex Roem. & Schult.) and rough bent grass also developed during the course of the fall bearing year trial at each site, particularly at Sherbrooke, which, as indicated above, may have also affected yield of some plots. Ongoing ALS-inhibiting herbicide evaluations for red sorrel should therefore likely be combined with additional non-bearing or bearing year herbicide applications to suppress other weeds such as grasses that become established in plots.

Conclusion

In conclusion, tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron were identified as ALS-inhibiting herbicides that may contribute to management of red sorrel in lowbush blueberry fields. Pyroxsulam was most effective as a fall non-bearing year or fall bearing year application, but superior efficacy of tribenuronmethyl and flazasulfuron likely negates further consideration of this herbicide for red sorrel control in lowbush $blue$ blueberry fields. Nicosulfuron + rimsulfuron, halosulfuronmethyl, and foramsulfuron provided inconsistent or limited control of red sorrel and are not recommended for red sorrel management in lowbush blueberry fields. Given that tribenuron-methyl and flazasulfuron are currently registered for weed control in lowbush blueberries, growers can readily utilize this information in their weed management programs. Growers are, however, cautioned that control of red sorrel with tribenuron-methyl in this research was incomplete and did not lead to yield increases. Further research is needed to understand the mechanisms of red sorrel interference with lowbush blueberry.

Acknowledgements

Field sites were provided by Bragg Lumber Company, Purdy Resources, Chesley Walsh, and Jim Wood. Field assistance from Hugh Lyu, Lienna Hoeg, Rakesh Menapati, Muhammad Hammad Farooq, and Jianan Lin is gratefully acknowledged.

Article information

History dates

Received: 23 June 2022 Accepted: 5 December 2022 Accepted manuscript online: 7 December 2022 Version of record online: 16 January 2023

Copyright

© 2022 The Author(s). Permission for reuse (free in most cases) can be obtained from [copyright.com.](https://marketplace.copyright.com/rs-ui-web/mp)

Data availability

Data generated or analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author information

Author ORCIDs

Scott N. White <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8658-4024>

Author notes

Scott N. White served as an Associate Editor at the time of manuscript review and acceptance; peer review and editorial decisions regarding this manuscript were handled by another Editorial Board Member

Author contributions

Conceptualization: SNW Data curation: SNW Formal analysis: SNW Funding acquisition: SNW Investigation: SNW Methodology: SNW Project administration: SNW Resources: SNW Supervision: SNW Writing – original draft: SNW Writing – review & editing: SNW

Competing interests

The author declares there are no competing interests.

Funding information

This research was supported by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Collaborative Research and Development Grant (Grant # CRDPJ 500615-16) to S.N. White in collaboration with the Wild Blueberry Producers Association of Nova Scotia.

References

- Ackley, J.A., Wilson, H.P., and Hines, T.E. 1996. Yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*) control POST with acetolactate synthase-inhibiting herbicides. Weed Technol. **10**: 576–580. doi[:10.1017/S0890037X0004046X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0890037X0004046X)
- Anonymous. 2015. Bunchberry control in wild blueberries with SPARTAN herbicide. Wild blueberry fact sheet C.4.3.0. New Brunswick Department of Agriculture, Aquaculture, and Fisheries, Moncton, NB.
- Ayers, A.G. 2020. Evaluating current weed community in wild blueberry fields an IPM strategies for spreading dogbane (*Apocynum androsaemifolium*). M.Sc. thesis, University of Maine, Orono, ME.
- Bestman, H.D., Devine, M.D., and Vanden Born, W.H. 1990. Herbicide chlorsulfuron decreases assimilate transport out of treated leaves of field pennycress (*Thlaspi arvense* L.) seedlings. Plant Physiol. **93**: 1441– 1448. doi[:10.1104/pp.93.4.1441.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.93.4.1441) PMID: [16667637.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16667637)
- Blum, R.R., Isgrigg, J., and Yelverton, F.H. 2000. Purple (*Cyperus rotundus*) and yellow (*C. esculentus*) control in bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*) turf. Weed Technol. **14**[: 357–365. doi:10.1614/0890-037X\(2000\)014%](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/0890-037X(2000)014%5b0357:PCRAYN%5d2.0.CO;2) 5b0357:PCRAYN%5d2.0.CO;2.
- Boyd, N.S., and White, S. 2009. Impact of wild blueberry harvesters on weed seed dispersal within and between fields. Weed Sci. **57**: 541– 546. doi[:10.1614/WS-08-156.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WS-08-156.1)
- Boyd, N.S., White, S., and Rao, K. 2014. Fertilizer and fluazifop-P inputs for winter bentgrass (*Agrostis hyemalis*) infested lowbush blueberry fields. Weed Technol. **28**: 527–534. doi[:10.1614/WT-D-13-00124.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WT-D-13-00124.1)
- Brandenberger, L.P., Talbert, R.E., Wiedenfeld, R.P., Shrefler, J.W., Webber, C.L., and Malik, M.S. 2005. Effects of halosulfuron on weed control in commercial Honeydew crops. Weed Technol. **19**: 346–350. doi[:10.1614/WT-04-152R.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WT-04-152R)
- Brown, H.M. 1990. Mode of action, crop selectivity, and soil relations of [the sulfonylurea herbicides. Pestic. Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ps.2780290304) **29**: 263–281. doi:10.1002/ps. 2780290304.
- Dinelli, G., Vicari, A., and Accinelli, C. 1998. Degradation and side effects of three sulfonylurea herbicides in soil. J. Environ. Qual. **27**: 1459– 1464. doi[:10.2134/jeq1998.00472425002700060023x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq1998.00472425002700060023x)
- Donald, W.W., and Prato, T. 1991. Profitable, effective herbicides for planting-time weed control in no-till spring wheat (*Triticum aestevium*). Weed Sci. **39**: 83–90. doi[:10.1017/S0043174500057921.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0043174500057921)
- Eaton, L.J., Glen, R.W., and Wyllie, J.D. 2004. Efficient mowing for pruning [wild blueberry fields. Small Fruits Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J301v03n01_12) **3**(l/2): 123–131. doi:10.1300/ J301v03n01_12.
- Gaston, S., Ribas-Carbo, M., Busquets, S., Berry, J.A., Zabalza, A., and Royuela, M. 2003. Changes in mitochondrial electron partitioning in response to herbicides inhibiting branched-chain amino acid biosynthesis in soybean. Plant Physiol. **133**[: 1351–1359. doi:10.1104/pp.103.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.027805) 027805. PMID: [14576285.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14576285)
- Gigliotti, C., and Allievi, L. 2001. Differential effects of the herbicides bensulfuron and cinosulfuron on soil microorganisms. J. Environ. Sci. Health. **36**: 775–782. doi[:10.1081/PFC-100107411.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/PFC-100107411)
- Giraud, T., Fortini, D., Levis, C., Lamarque, C., Leroux, P., LoBuglio, K., and Brygoo, Y. 1999. Two sibling species of *Botrytis cinerea* complex, *transposa* and *vacuma*, are found in sympatry on numerous host plants. Phytopathology, **89**[: 967–973. doi:10.1094/PHYTO.1999.89.10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO.1999.89.10.967) 967. PMID: [18944743.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18944743)
- Grey, T.L., and McCullough, P.E. 2012. Sulfonylurea herbicides' fate in soil: dissipation, mobility, and other processes. Weed Technol. **26**: 579–581. doi[:10.1614/WT-D-11-00168.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WT-D-11-00168.1)
- Grichar, W.J., Besler, B.A., and Brewer, K.D. 2003. Purple nutsedge control and potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) tolerance to sulfentrazone and halosulfuron. Weed Technol. **17**: 485–490. doi[:10.1614/WT02-045.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WT02-045)
- Hall, I.V., Alders, L.E., Nickerson, N.L., and Vander Kloet, S.P. 1979. The biological flora of Canada. 1. *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait., sweet lowbush blueberry. Can. Field Natural. **93**: 415–430.
- Hughes, A.D. 2012. An ecological study on red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) in wild blueberry fields in Nova Scotia. M.Sc. thesis, Dalhousie University, Truro, NS
- Hughes, A., White, S.N., Boyd, N.S., Hildebrand, P., and Cutler, G.C. 2016. Red sorrel management and potential effect of red sorrel pollen on *Botrytis cinerea* spore germination and infection of lowbush blueberry flowers. Can. J. Plant Sci. **96**: 590–596. doi[:10.1139/cjps-2015-0285.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2015-0285)
- Jensen, K.I.N. 1985. Weed control in lowbush blueberries in Eastern Canada. Acta Horticul. **165**[: 259–265. doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.1985.](http://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1985.165.35) 165.35.
- Jensen, K.I.N., and Specht, E.G. 2002. Response of lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) to hexazinone applied early in the fruiting year. Can. J. Plant Sci. **82**: 781–783. doi[:10.4141/P01-188.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4141/P01-188)
- Jensen, K.I.N., and Specht, E.G. 2004. Use of two sulfonyl urea herbi[cides in lowbush blueberry. Small Fruits Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J301v03n03_03) **3**: 257–272. doi:10.1300/ J301v03n03_03.
- Kennedy, K. 2009. Combined effects of fertilizer and hexazinone on sheep sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) populations in lowbush blueberry fields. M.Sc. thesis, Dalhousie University, Truro, NS.
- Kennedy, K.J., Boyd, N.S., and Nams, V.O. 2010. Hexazinone and fertilizer impacts on sheep sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) in wild blueberry. Weed Sci. **58**: 317–322. doi[:10.1614/WS-D-09-00081.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WS-D-09-00081.1)
- Kennedy, K.J., Boyd, N.S., Nams, V.O., and Olson, A.R. 2011. The impacts of fertilizer and hexazinone on sheep sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) growth [patterns in lowbush blueberry fields. Weed Sci.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WS-D-10-00088.1) **59**: 335–340. doi:10. 1614/WS-D-10-00088.1.
- Kishore, G.M., and Shah, D.M. 1988. Amino acid biosynthesis inhibitors [as herbicides. Annu. Rev. Biochem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bi.57.070188.003211) **57**: 627–663. doi:10.1146/annurev. bi.57.070188.003211.
- Kotoula-Syka, E., Eleftherohorinos, I.G., Gagianas, A.A., and Sficas, A.G. 1993a. Phytotoxicity and persistence of chlorsulfuron, metsulfuronmethyl, triasulfuron and tribenuron-methyl in three soils. Weed Res. **33**: 355–367. doi[:10.1111/j.1365-3180.1993.tb01951.x.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.1993.tb01951.x)
- Kotoula-Syka, E., Eleftherohorinos, I.G., Gagianas, A.A., and Sficas, A.G. 1993b. Persistence of preemergence applications of chlorsulfuron, metsulfuron, triasulfuron, and tribenuron in three soils in Greece. Weed Sci. **41**: 246–250. doi[:10.1017/S004317450007613X.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S004317450007613X)
- Li, Z., Boyd, N., McLean, N., and Rutherford, K. 2014. Hexazinone resistance in red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella*). Weed Sci. **62**: 532–537. doi:10. [1614/WS-D-13-00173.1.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WS-D-13-00173.1)
- Lyu, H., McLean, N., McKenzie-Gopsill, A., and White, S.N. 2021. Weed survey of Nova Scotia lowbush blueberry fields. Int. J. Fruit Sci. **21**: 359–378. doi[:10.1080/15538362.2021.1890674.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2021.1890674)
- Macrae, A.W., Culpepper, A.S., Blatts, R. B., and Lewis, K.L. 2008. Seeded watermelon and weed response to halosulfuron applied preemer[gence and postemergence. Weed Technol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WT-06-180.1) **22**: 86–90. doi:10.1614/ WT-06-180.1.
- Mazur, B.J., and Falco, S.C. 1989. The development of herbicide resistant [crops. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.40.060189.002301) **40**: 441–470. doi:10. 1146/annurev.pp.40.060189.002301.
- McCully, K.V., Sampson, M.G., and Watson, A.K. 1991. Weed survey of Nova Scotia lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) fields. Weed Sci. **39**: 180–185. doi[:10.1017/S0043174500071447.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0043174500071447)
- Mehdizadeh, M., Alebrahim, M.T., Roushani, M., and Streibig, J.C. 2016. Evaluation of four different crops' sensitivity to sulfosulfuron and tribenuron methyl soil residues. Acta Agr. Scand. **66**: 706–713.
- Nelson, K.A., and Renner, K.A. 2002. Yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus esculentus*) control and tuber production with glyphosate and ALS-inhibiting herbicides. Weed Technol. **16**[: 512–519. doi:10.1614/0890-037X\(2002\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/0890-037X(2002)016%5b0512:YNCECA%5d2.0.CO;2) 016%5b0512:YNCECA%5d2.0.CO;2.
- Ray, T.B. 1984. Site of action of chlorsulfuron. Plant Physiol. **75**: 827–831. doi[:10.1104/pp.75.3.827.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.75.3.827) PMID: [16663712.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16663712)
- Rhodes, D., Hogan, A.L., Deal, L., Jamieson, G.C., and Haworth, P. 1987. Amino acid metabolism of *Lemna minor* L. Plant Physiol. **84**: 775–780. doi[:10.1104/pp.84.3.775.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.84.3.775) PMID: [16665521.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16665521)
- Reddy, K.N., and Whiting, K. 2000. Weed control and economic comparisons of glyphosate-resistant, sulfonylurea-tolerant, and conventional soybean (*Glycine max*) systems. Weed Technol. **14**: 204–211. doi[:10.1614/0890-037X\(2000\)014%5b0204:WCAECO%5d2.0.CO;2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/0890-037X(2000)014%5b0204:WCAECO%5d2.0.CO;2)
- Russel, M.H., Saladini, J.L., and Lichtner, F. 2002. Sulfonylurea herbicides. Pestic. Outlook. **4**: 166–173. doi[:10.1039/b206509f.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b206509f)
- Sabadie, J. 1996. Alcoholysis and chemical hydrolysis of bensulfuronmethyl. Weed Res. **36**[: 441–448. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3180.1996.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3180.1996.tb01673.x) tb01673.x.
- Sarmah, A. K., and Sabadie, J. 2002. Hydrolysis of sulfonylurea herbicides in soils and aqueous solutions: a review. J. Agric. Food Chem. **50**: 6253–6265. doi[:10.1021/jf025575p.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jf025575p) PMID: [12381100.](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12381100)
- Scrano, L., Bufo, S.A., Perucci, P., Meallier, P., and Mansour, M. 1999. Photolysis and hydrolysis of rimsulfuron. Pestic. Sci. **55**: 955–961. doi:10. [1002/\(SICI\)1096-9063\(199909\)55:9%3c955::AID-PS29%3e3.0.CO;2-9.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199909)55:9%3c955::AID-PS29%3e3.0.CO;2-9)
- Tranel, P.J., and Wright, T.R. 2002. Resistance of weeds to ALS-inhibiting herbicides: what have we learned? Weed Sci. **50**: 700–712. doi:10. [1614/0043-1745\(2002\)050%5b0700:RROWTA%5d2.0.CO;2.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/0043-1745(2002)050%5b0700:RROWTA%5d2.0.CO;2)

- White, S.N., Boyd, N.S., and Van Acker, R.C. 2012. Growing degree-day models for predicting lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) ramet emergence, tip dieback, and flowering in Nova Scotia,Canada. HortScience, **47**: 1014–1021. doi[:10.21273/HORTSCI.47.8.1014.](http://dx.doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI.47.8.1014)
- White, S.N. 2014. Emergence and development of red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) and lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) ramets in lowbush blueberry fields. Ph.D. thesis, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON.
- White, S.N., Boyd, N.S., and Van Acker, R.C. 2014. Demography of red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) in lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) fields. Weed Res. **54**: 377–387. doi[:10.1111/wre.12092.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/wre.12092)
- White, S.N., Boyd, N.S., and Van Acker, R.C. 2015a. Temperature thresholds and growing degree-day models for red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) ramet sprouting, emergence, and flowering in wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) fields. Weed Sci. **63**[: 254–263. doi:10.1614/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WS-D-14-00048.1) WS-D-14-00048.1.
- White, S.N., Boyd, N.S., Van Acker, R.C., and Swanton, C.J. 2015b. Studies on the flowering biology of red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella*) ramets from lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*) fields in Nova Scotia, Canada. Botany. **93**: 41–46. doi[:10.1139/cjb-2014-0123.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2014-0123)
- White, S.N., Boyd, N.S., Van Acker, R.C., and Swanton, C.J. 2015c. Preand post-vernalization ramet removal reduces flowering of red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) in wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.). Can. J. Plant Sci. **95**: 549–556. doi[:10.4141/cjps-2014-318.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4141/cjps-2014-318)
- White, S.N., and Kumar, S.K. 2017. Potential role of sequential glufosinate and foramsulfuron applications for management of fescues (*Fes-*

tuca [spp.\) in wild blueberry. Weed Technol.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WT-D-16-00086.1) **31**: 100–110. doi:10.1614/ WT-D-16-00086.1.

- White, S.N., and Webb, C. 2018. Susceptibility of American burnweed (*Erechtites hieraciifolius*) to herbicides and clipping in wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.). Can. J. Plant Sci. **98**: 1–8.
- White, S.N., and Zhang, L. 2019. Evaluation of foramsulfuron for poverty oat grass (*Danthonia spicata* (L.) P. Beauv. Ex Roem. & Shult.) and rough bentgrass (*Agrostis scabra* Willd.) management in lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.). Can. J. Plant Sci. **99**: 942–954.
- White, S.N., Menapati, R., and McLean, N. 2021. Evaluation of currently registered herbicides for fall bearing year red sorrel (*Rumex acetosella* L.) management in lowbush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Aiton). Can. J. Plant Sci. **10**: 199–211. doi[:10.1139/cjps-2020-0133.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2020-0133)
- Yarborough, D.E., and Bhowmik, P.C. 1989. Effect of hexazinone on weed populations and on lowbush blueberries in Maine. Acta Hort. **241**: 344–349. doi[:10.17660/ActaHortic.1989.241.59.](http://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1989.241.59)
- Yarborough, D.E., and Hess, T.M. 1996. Control of bunchberry in wild [blueberry fields. J. Small Fruit Viticul.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J065v03n02_13) **3**: 125–132. doi:10.1300/ J065v03n02_13.
- Zhang, L., White, S.N., Olson, A.R., and Pruski, K. 2018. Evaluation of flazasulfuron for hair fescue (*Festuca filiformis*) suppression and wild blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait.) tolerance. Can. J. Plant Sci. **98**: 1293–1303. doi[:10.1139/cjps-2018-0052.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2018-0052)
- Zhou, Q., Liu, W., Zhang, Y., and Liu, K.K. 2007. Action mechanisms of acetolactate synthase-inhibiting herbicides. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. **89**: 89–96. doi[:10.1016/j.pestbp.2007.04.004.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2007.04.004)