



Stifle arthrodesis in a feline pelvic limb amputee

Authors: Goh, Wei Tze, and Drew, Jarrod

Source: Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery Open Reports, 10(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/20551169231217837>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Stifle arthrodesis in a feline pelvic limb amputee

Wei Tze Goh¹  and Jarrod Drew²

Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery Open Reports
1–6

© The Author(s) 2024

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/20551169231217837

journals.sagepub.com/home/jfmsopenreports

This paper was handled and processed by the European Editorial Office (ISFM) for publication in *JFMS Open Reports*



Abstract

Case summary A domestic shorthair cat presented to the Animal Referral Hospital (Brisbane, Australia) after having the left pelvic limb incorrectly amputated. The cat was unable to ambulate on the remaining right pelvic limb due to a chronically subluxated stifle. A stifle arthrodesis was performed on the right pelvic limb to manage the injury. Follow-up radiographs performed 5 months postoperatively demonstrated stifle arthrodesis with no detectable complications. The owner reported that aside from some difficulties in toileting, the cat had a good quality of life and was capable of performing the majority of daily activities. Stifle arthrodesis in a feline pelvic limb amputee appears to be a viable treatment option. After a period of rehabilitation and adaptation, the cat in the case report has been able to lead a near-normal lifestyle.

Relevance and novel information To the authors' knowledge, this is the first report of the outcome and complications associated with stifle arthrodesis in a feline pelvic limb amputee. This is also the first report of stifle arthrodesis in a cat using the bilateral plating technique.

Keywords: Stifle; arthrodesis; hindlimb; amputee; salvage

Accepted: 10 November 2023

Introduction

The primary stabilising structures of the stifle joint consist of the cranial and caudal cruciate ligaments and the medial and lateral collateral ligaments.¹ Luxation of the feline stifle joint typically occurs after a traumatic event that generates large extrinsic bending and torsional forces, subsequently damaging both the cranial and caudal cruciate ligaments and the medial and lateral collateral ligaments.^{2–4}

Reported treatments for multiligamentous stifle injuries include ligament reconstruction or prosthesis, trans-articular external skeletal fixation, total knee replacement and stifle arthrodesis.^{5–7} Stifle joint arthrodesis is an alternative to amputation and is indicated in the case of stifle subluxation/luxation, failure in primary joint reconstruction and when total knee replacement is precluded due to clinical or financial grounds.^{6–9} A previous case report demonstrated good long-term outcomes after a stifle arthrodesis in two cats.⁶ However, we are unaware of any case reports that document the outcome of stifle arthrodesis in a feline pelvic limb amputee. This case report

details the surgical technique, complications and long-term outcome after stifle arthrodesis in a feline pelvic limb amputee.

Case description

An 11-year-old, male neutered, 6.4 kg domestic shorthair cat was presented to a primary care veterinarian for acute onset non-weightbearing lameness of the right pelvic limb after returning from outdoors. On physical examination, laxity of the stifle joint was noted. Radiographs revealed a cranial luxation of the right stifle. Amputation was elected due to financial constraints

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA, Australia

²Animal Referral Hospital, Sinnamon Park, QLD, Australia

Corresponding author:

Wei Tze Goh BVSc (Hons), College of Veterinary Medicine, Murdoch University, Nyarrie Drive, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia
Email: gohweitze@gmail.com



Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).




Figure 1 (a) Preoperative mediolateral radiograph of the right stifle. Marked cranial displacement of the tibia in relation to the femur was noted. (b) Craniocaudal radiograph of the right stifle. Proximal displacement of the tibia with mild overriding of the stifle joint was noted

and was performed approximately 4 weeks after the initial diagnosis. However, the left pelvic limb was amputated instead of the right one, due to human error.

The cat was then referred to our hospital for further management. Closed reduction attempts under general anaesthesia were unsuccessful due to the chronicity of the injury. Consequently, a stifle arthrodesis was recommended.⁸

Surgery was delayed for 10 days to allow management of an episode of idiopathic cystitis resulting in severe haematuria requiring blood transfusion. Once resolved, radiographs were obtained under general anaesthesia, which demonstrated stifle effusion and cranial luxation of the stifle (Figure 1). Stressed radiographs were not performed as the stifle had limited mobility.

A craniomedial approach to the right femur and tibia that extended from the femoral mid-diaphysis to the tibial mid-diaphysis was performed. A tibial tuberosity osteotomy was then performed with a sagittal saw to

allow proximal retraction of the quadriceps muscle group to improve stifle exposure.¹⁰ A 1 mm K-wire was placed perpendicular to the long axis of the distal femur in the sagittal plane. A second 1 mm K-wire was then placed distal to the femoral K-wire, 30° from perpendicular in the sagittal plane, in a craniodistal to caudoproximal orientation. Another 1 mm K-wire was then placed perpendicular to the long axis of the proximal tibia in the sagittal plane. A 1 mm K-wire was then placed proximal to the tibial K-wire, 30° from perpendicular in the sagittal plane, in a cranioproximal to caudodistal orientation. The angled K-wires were used as osteotomy guides to achieve a stifle arthrodesis angle of 120°. A sagittal saw was then used to perform an osteotomy of the distal femur and proximal tibia parallel to the angled K-wires. The stifle was stabilised using two crossed 1.4 mm K-wires and the four 1 mm K-wires were then removed. A 15-hole 2.7 mm String-of-Pearls (SOP) (Orthomed) plate was contoured and applied to the medial surface of




Figure 2 (a) Mediolateral radiograph of the right stifle immediately postoperatively. A 15-hole SOP plate has been applied medially and an eight-hole SOP plate has been applied laterally. Two 1.0 mm K-wires were placed in a parallel fashion to stabilise the tibial tuberosity osteotomy. (b) Craniocaudal radiograph of the right stifle immediately postoperatively. Two 1.4 mm K-wires were placed in a cross-pin fashion

the femur and tibia, fixed with four screws in the femur and four screws in the tibia. A contoured eight-hole 2.7 mm SOP plate was then applied laterally to the surface of the femur and tibia, and fixed with three screws in the femur and two screws in the tibia. The tibial tuberosity was reduced and secured with two parallel 1 mm K-wires. The musculofascial incision, subcutaneous tissue and skin were all closed routinely.

Postoperative radiographs revealed satisfactory alignment, apposition and implant positioning (Figure 2). The stifle flexion angle was 110°. A soft padded bandage was placed postoperatively. The cat was maintained on a continuous rate infusion of fentanyl for intraoperative analgesia and cefazolin (22 mg/kg IV) was administered as perioperative antibiotic. Both medications were continued for 12 h postoperatively. The cat was then

transitioned to methadone (0.2 mg/kg SC q6h) and oral meloxicam (0.05 mg/kg PO q24h).

The cat was hospitalised for another 7 days postoperatively due to the development of an abscess at the previous amputation site and a urinary tract infection. Under general anaesthesia, the abscess was drained, samples were submitted for culture and sensitivity testing, and a Jackson-Pratt drain was placed. The cat was then transferred back to the primary care veterinarian for continued management.

Two weeks postoperatively, the primary care veterinarian reported that the abscess had resolved and the cat was able to ambulate with sling assistance. Three weeks postoperatively, avulsion of the tibial tuberosity occurred (Figure 3). Revision surgery was performed to reduce the tibial tuberosity and secure it with three parallel 1.0 mm




Figure 3 (a) Mediolateral radiograph of the right stifle 3 weeks postoperatively. Image shows displacement of the tibial tuberosity cranioproximally with one of the parallel K-wires. (b) Craniocaudal radiograph 3 weeks postoperatively

K-wires and a figure-of-eight tension band wire using 22 G orthopaedic wire. At 6 weeks postoperatively, the cat was able to rise and ambulate without support.

The cat returned to our hospital 5 months postoperatively for a recheck. On physical examination, no pain reaction was elicited on palpation over the implants. Although the cat had a mechanical lameness characterised by circumduction of the right pelvic limb, the cat was able to rise and walk without any apparent difficulties. Radiographs revealed stifle arthrodesis with no evidence of implant-related complications (Figure 4).

A phone update was obtained 1 year after the surgery. The owner reported that aside from an inability to squat during toileting, the cat had resumed a near-normal lifestyle.

The owner stated that she was satisfied with the outcome and believed that the cat had a good quality of life, justified on the basis of its similar activity level before the injury and after the surgery.

Discussion

Primary joint reconstruction is desired whenever possible and has been reported to achieve good to excellent outcomes in the majority of cats.⁴ However, in this case, primary joint reconstruction was not possible due to the

chronicity of the injury. Consequently, a stifle arthrodesis was deemed appropriate.

A prior case report has documented effective arthrodesis of the feline stifle joint with a single plate technique.⁶ As a previous canine biomechanical study has demonstrated increased load on the remaining pelvic limb after amputation, we chose to utilise a novel bilateral plate technique.¹¹ Bilateral plating has been shown to increase axial, bending and torsional stiffness compared with unilateral plating.^{12,13} In addition, SOP plates instead of the previously reported dynamic compression plate were selected to stabilise the osteotomy. The beneficial features of the SOP plate include preservation of the periosteal blood supply, availability of variable lengths, the ability to contour the plate in six degrees of freedom and ease of contouring of the plate without drastically affecting its mechanical properties.¹⁴ In retrospect, a longer lateral and medial plate that extended over the proximal femur and the distal tibia, respectively, should have been used to reduce stress concentration within the bone diaphysis, thereby minimising the likelihood of postoperative fracture, as previously reported.^{8,9}

The avulsion of the tibial tuberosity could have been avoided by placing a tension band wire at the initial surgery. The use of tension band wire has previously been




Figure 4 Five months postoperative (a) mediolateral radiograph and (b) craniocaudal radiograph

shown to reduce complication rates as it converts tension force into compression force.¹⁵ In this case, we incorrectly theorised that the traction generated by the quadriceps mechanism would have been neutralised by the rigid immobilisation of the stifle joint.

The previous case report detailing feline stifle arthrodesis demonstrated successful joint fusion by debriding the articular cartilage of the distal femur and proximal tibia.⁶ In contrast, we decided to perform ostectomies to optimise load sharing and congruency between the distal femur and proximal tibia.⁸ Meticulous preoperative planning and execution of the ostectomies are required to achieve the desired joint angle and to avoid iatrogenically inducing an angular limb deformity. Although the postoperative stifle flexion angle of 110° was slightly smaller than the previously reported 120°, it does not appear to be clinically significant.⁸

This case report also highlights the importance of implementing a surgical checklist in veterinary practices. In human medicine, a reduction of postoperative complication rates by 36% has been documented, whereas in

two veterinary studies, reductions of complication rates by 11.6% and 10% have been documented.^{16–18} A similar scenario has previously been reported in the veterinary literature where an incorrect limb had been draped and prepared for surgery.¹⁹ Fortunately, a preoperative checklist was performed that identified the mistake before a catastrophic error was made.¹⁹ It is highly likely that had a preoperative checklist been performed, the cat in this report would not have had the incorrect limb amputated.

In conclusion, this case report has demonstrated the tolerance of a stifle arthrodesis in an amputee cat.

Conflict of interest The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Ethical approval The work described in this manuscript involved the use of non-experimental (owned or unowned) animals. Established internationally recognised high standards

(‘best practice’) of veterinary clinical care for the individual patient were always followed and/or this work involved the use of cadavers. Ethical approval from a committee was therefore not specifically required for publication in *JFMS Open Reports*. Although not required, where ethical approval was still obtained, it is stated in the manuscript.

Informed consent Informed consent (verbal or written) was obtained from the owner or legal custodian of all animal(s) described in this work (experimental or non-experimental animals, including cadavers) for all procedure(s) undertaken (prospective or retrospective studies). For any animals or people individually identifiable within this publication, informed consent (verbal or written) for their use in the publication was obtained from the people involved.

ORCID iD Wei Tze Goh  <https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3007-3173>

References

- Vasseur PB. **Stifle joint**. In: Slatter DH (ed). *Textbook of small animal surgery*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders, 1993, pp 1817–1865.
- Bruce WJ. **Stifle joint luxation in the cat: treatment using transarticular external skeletal fixation**. *J Small Anim Pract* 1999; 40: 482–488.
- Keeley B, Glyde M, Guerin S, et al. **Stifle joint luxation in the dog and cat: the use of temporary intraoperative transarticular pinning to facilitate joint reconstruction**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2007; 20: 198–203.
- Coppola M, Das S, Matthews G, et al. **Traumatic stifle injury in 72 cats: a multicentre retrospective study**. *J Feline Med Surg* 2022; 24: 587–595.
- Connery NA and Rackard S. **The surgical treatment of traumatic stifle disruption in a cat**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2000; 13: 208–211.
- Belch A, Fitzpatrick N and Farrell M. **Stifle arthrodesis in two cats**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2012; 25: 421–426.
- Fitzpatrick N, Miraldo DC and Meswania J. **Custom-built constrained uniaxial and rotating hinge total knee replacement in cats: clinical application, design principles, surgical technique, and clinical outcome**. *Vet Surg* 2021; 50: 1283–1295.
- DeCamp CE, Johnston SA, Déjardin LM, et al. **The stifle joint**. In: DeCamp CE, Johnston SA, Déjardin LM, et al. (eds). *Brinker, Piermattei, and Flo’s handbook of small animal orthopedics and fracture repair*. 5th ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier, 2016, pp 597–669.
- Petazzoni M and Nicetto T. **Stifle arthrodesis using a locking plate system in six dogs**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2015; 28: 288–293.
- Piermattei DL and Johnson KA. **Approach to the distal femur and stifle joint by osteotomy of the tibial tuberosity**. In: *An atlas of surgical approaches to the bones and joints of the dog and cat*. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders, 2004, pp 354–355.
- Fuchs A, Goldner B, Nolte I, et al. **Ground reaction force adaptations to tripedal locomotion in dogs**. *Vet J* 2014; 201: 307–315.
- Hurt RJ, Syrcle JA, Elder S, et al. **A biomechanical comparison of unilateral and bilateral String-of-Pearls™ locking plates in a canine distal humeral metaphyseal gap model**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2014; 27: 186–191.
- Hutcheson KD, Butler JR and Elder SE. **Comparison of double locking plate constructs with single non-locking plate constructs in single cycle to failure in bending and torsion**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2015; 28: 234–239.
- Ness MG. **The effect of bending and twisting on the stiffness and strength of the 3.5 SOP implant**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2009; 22: 132–136.
- Cashmore RG, Havlicek M, Perkins NR, et al. **Major complications and risk factors associated with surgical correction of congenital medial patellar luxation in 124 dogs**. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol* 2014; 27: 263–270.
- Semel ME, Resch S, Haynes AB, et al. **Adopting a surgical safety checklist could save money and improve the quality of care in U.S. hospitals**. *Health Aff* 2010; 29: 1593–1599.
- Cray MT, Selmic LE, McConnell BM, et al. **Effect of implementation of a surgical safety checklist on perioperative and postoperative complications at an academic institution in North America**. *Vet Surg* 2018; 47: 1052–1065.
- Bergström A, Dimopoulou M and Eldh M. **Reduction of surgical complications in dogs and cats by the use of a surgical safety checklist**. *Vet Surg* 2016; 45: 571–576.
- Thieman Mankin KM, Jeffery ND and Kerwin SC. **The impact of a surgical checklist on surgical outcomes in an academic institution**. *Vet Surg* 2021; 50: 848–857.