BioOne DIGITAL LIBRARY

Evaluation of the Horizontal Wave Forces on Piles

Authors: Barbaro, Giuseppe, Foti, Giandomenico, and Sicilia, Carmelo Luca

Source: Air, Soil and Water Research, 7(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/ASWR.S14989

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Open Access: Full open access to this and thousands of other papers at http://www.la-press.com.

Air, Soil and Water Research

Evaluation of the Horizontal Wave Forces on Piles

Giuseppe Barbaro, Giandomenico Foti and Carmelo Luca Sicilia

Department of Civil, Energetics, Environmental and Materials Engineering, Mediterranea University, Reggio Calabria, Italy.

ABSTRACT: Reliability of offshore platforms is an important issue in the prevention of environmental disasters. In this paper, the variation of the horizontal force exerted on an offshore gravity platform is analyzed to achieve a deep comprehension of a storm scenario. Considering the wave motion as a potential motion, the quasi-determinism theory is applied to obtain the kinematic characteristics of a storm. The evolution of force against time is analyzed through the Morison's equation, diffraction theory, and a simplified method. Moreover, two case studies are examined, one in the Ortona region of the Italian coast and the other in the Gulf of Alaska, USA.

KEYWORDS: wave motion, wave forces, piles

CITATION: Barbaro et al. Evaluation of the Horizontal Wave Forces on Piles. Air, Soil and Water Research 2014:7 103–110 doi:10.4137/ASWR.S14989. RECEIVED: February 20, 2014. RESUBMITTED: July 30, 2014. ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION: August 2, 2014.

ACADEMIC EDITOR: Carlos Alberto Martinez-Huitle, Editor in Chief

TYPE: Original Research

FUNDING: Authors disclose no funding sources.

COMPETING INTERESTS: GB discloses funding from Mediterranea University of Reggio Calabria for travel to meetings related to this study or other purposes. Other authors disclose no potential competing interests.

COPYRIGHT: © the authors, publisher and licensee Libertas Academica Limited. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY-NC 3.0 License.

CORRESPONDENCE: giuseppe.barbaro@unirc.it

This paper was subject to independent, expert peer review by a minimum of two blind peer reviewers. All editorial decisions were made by the independent academic editor. All authors have provided signed confirmation of their compliance with ethical and legal obligations including (but not limited to) use of any copyrighted material, compliance with ICMJE authorship and competing interests disclosure guidelines and, where applicable, compliance with legal and ethical guidelines on human and animal research participants.

Introduction

The Morison's equation¹ is used to calculate the horizontal wave force acting on cylinders as a function of particle velocity and acceleration. This equation includes two coefficients: c_{in} , the inertia coefficient, and c_{dg} , the drag coefficient. There are many methods to obtain the coefficient values for random, wind-generated waves. They are based on wave by wave analysis, or on the time series analysis of a set of records, such as the method of moments developed by Pierson and Holmes² or the method proposed by Borgman.³

When using time series data, the random wave force is calculated through the Morison's equation using the measured particle velocity, the calculated particle velocity, or the theory of wind-generated waves from the measured directional wave spectrum as proposed by Boccotti et al,⁴ Barbaro et al,^{5,6} and Romolo and Arena.⁷ Using one of the methods proposed by Borgman,⁸ $c_{\rm in}$ and $c_{\rm dg}$ are estimated through the frequency spectrum. The Morison's equation is

probably the most widely used equation in offshore engineering. That is why extensive laboratory work has been done to test the accuracy of this equation. In addition, some large projects have been undertaken to analyze the wave forces acting on cylinders in the field, including the work of Najafian et al and Wolfram and Naghipour.^{9,10} Boccotti et al¹¹ carried out an experiment in the autumn of 2009 off the beach of Reggio Calabria, Italy, at the Natural Ocean Engineering Laboratory (NOEL).^{12–15} During the experiment, the inline force acting on a rigid, smooth truncated cylinder was measured. Force on a horizontal cylinder has been analyzed by Romolo et al.^{16,17}

According to Boccotti,¹⁸ the force exerted on piles can be calculated using the diffraction theory. This theory is based on the concept that the force exerted on piles is greater than the force exerted on water of an equivalent mass, which is called Froude–Krylov force. This force is introduced by the unsteady pressure field generated by waves. The Froude– Krylov force and the diffraction force combine to make up the total non-viscous force acting on a body in regular or irregular waves. The difference is caused by a drop in the propagation speed of the pressure head at the cylinder.¹⁸ For that reason, it is possible to estimate the forces on piles by multiplying the Froud–Krylov force for a diffraction coefficient, $C_{\rm do}$, which represents the ratio between the two forces. Therefore, it is important that the coefficient evaluation is correct. All the particles' motion characteristics are determined with the quasi-determinism theory.¹⁸ The directional spectrum necessary to apply the quasi-determinism theory is evaluated using the approaches of Boccotti et al,⁴ Barbaro et al,^{5,6} and Romolo and Arena.⁷

Nowadays, with any PC, it is easy to obtain the total maximum force on a cylinder. The analytical solution carries a significant advantage for synthesis, particularly in the planning stage. In many cases, the analytical solution allows one to see, simply and clearly, the effect of the variation of the parameters including sections of the girder, depth of the seafloor, and characteristics of the waves. In that regard, it could be useful to apply a simplified model such as the one proposed by Barbaro.^{19–22}

This model starts from the Morison's equation and provides a quick and simple estimation of the horizontal force acting on a vertical cylinder. It is valid for regular waves.

The design wave has been estimated by applying the equivalent triangular storm (ETS) model.¹⁸ According to this model, a real storm is approximated by a storm shaped as a triangle, in which the height of the triangle is equal to the maximum significant wave height in the actual storm. Furthermore, the base of the triangle, which is equal to the duration of the equivalent triangular storm, is such that the maximum expected wave height of the triangular storm is equal to the maximum expected wave height of the actual storm (see Fig. 1).

In the ETS model, the height of the triangle is immediately obtained, while the base is obtained through an iterative process. The process consists of the calculation of the maximum expected wave height for different values of the base until the calculated value is close to the real value. The equivalence between this triangular storm and the actual storm is complete because they have the same maximum significant wave height and the same probability that the maximum wave height exceeds any fixed threshold. To define the wave climate in a particular location, it is necessary to examine the history of sea storms that have occurred, analyzing at least 10 years of records.

The case studies are located in the Gulf of Alaska (USA) and in the Adriatic Sea (Italy). The chosen buoys are 46001 in the USA and the Ortona buoy in Italy (see Fig. 2). The use of two different locations is to demonstrate the applicability of the new methods proposed in this paper, in different storm conditions.

The data used are provided by the National Data Buoy Center (NDBC) network (USA) and the Italian buoys network, Rete Ondametrica Nazionale (RON)—managed by the







Figure 1. Storm and its relative ETS registered in 1997 in the Gulf of Alaska.

Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale (ISPRA).

Force Exerted by Surface Waves on Piles

The Morison's equation allows us to calculate the force acting on piles only by unbroken surface waves, considering that at the breaking point, the force acting on a cylinder is impulsive in nature and it is much greater than that produced by unbroken waves.

The equation proposed by Morison et al¹ and rewritten by Borgman³ is

$$F(t) = c_{\rm in} \rho \pi R^2 a_{\rm sec} + c_{\rm dg} \rho R^2 v_{\rm sec} v_{\rm sec}$$
(1)

The first term represents the inertia force, ie the force acting on the equivalent mass of water multiplied by the inertia coefficient, which is generally greater than 1. The second term is the drag force, which is the same kind of force exerted by a steady current. In ideal conditions, the first term is greater than the second, which exerts more influence with the increase of turbulence around the cylinder.

The coefficients $c_{\rm in}$ and $c_{\rm dg}$ depend, respectively, on the Keulegan–Carpenter number and the Reynolds number. The ratio between the Reynolds (Re) number and the Keulegan–Carpenter number (Ke) normally surpasses 10⁴ (exceptions are made for cases of small cylinders) so that $c_{\rm in}$ and $c_{\rm dg}$ can assume asymptotic values. Sarpkaya and Isaacson²³ in 1981 obtained the following values:

$$c_{\rm in} = 1.85 \text{ and } c_{\rm do} = 0.62 \text{ for Re/Ke} > 10^4$$
 (2)

In this case, the normal direction of the pile is represented by the *y*-axis. As wave motion in the open field could be considered as potential motion, and the water surface elevation could be considered as an ergodic Gaussian process,¹⁸ the quasi-determinism theory can be applied.

Quasi-determinism, introduced and developed by Boccotti,¹⁸ allows us to obtain an analytical solution, with a probability approaching 1 for the function of free surface displacement, when an exceptionally large wave height occurs in a random Gaussian sea state. The theory is directly applicable to the time series recorded at sea.



Figure 2. Location of the case studies.

The expressions to calculate velocity and acceleration in the *y* direction are following¹⁸:

$$v_{y}(X,Y,z,T) = g \frac{H}{2} \omega^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} S(\omega,\theta) k \frac{\cosh[k_{i}(d+z)]}{\cosh(k_{i}d)}$$

$$\sin(kX \sin\theta + kY \cos\theta - \omega T)$$

$$-\sin[kX \sin\theta + kY \cos\theta - \omega (T - T^{*})] d\theta d\omega /$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} S(\omega,\theta) [1 - \cos(\omega T^{*})] d\theta d\omega$$

$$a_{y}(X,Y,z,T) = -g \frac{H}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} S(\omega,\theta) k \frac{\cosh[k_{i}(d+z)]}{\cosh(k_{i}d)}$$

$$\cos(kX \sin\theta + kY \cos\theta - \omega T)$$

$$-\cos[kX \sin\theta + kY \cos\theta - \omega (T - T^{*})] d\theta d\omega /$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} S(\omega,\theta) [1 - \cos(\omega T^{*})] d\theta d\omega /$$
(3b)

The calculation must be carried out for the whole portion of the structure that is under the water surface elevation. It is also necessary to consider the expression of the displacement, η , of the free surface. With reference to the quasi-determinism theory,¹⁸ the expression of the surface displacement is

$$\eta(X,Y,T) = \frac{H}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} S(\omega,\theta) \Big\{ \cos(kX\sin\theta + kY\cos\theta - \omega T) \\ -\cos[kX\sin\theta + kY\cos\theta - \omega(T - T^*)] \Big\} d\theta \, d\omega / \qquad (3c) \\ \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} S(\omega,\theta) [1 - \cos(\omega T^*)] d\theta \, d\omega$$

According to Barbaro,^{19–22} the maximum force acting on piles can be evaluated using the following expression, valid for regular waves, obtained from the manipulation of Eq. (1):

$$F(x) = W_1 x + W_2 x \sqrt{1 - x^2} + W_3 (1 - x^2) + W_4 \sqrt{1 - x^2} (1 - x^2)$$
(4a)

for $0 \le x \le 1$, where *x* stands for $sin(\omega t)$ and with

$$W_1 \equiv c_{\rm in} \rho \pi R^2 g \frac{H}{2} \tanh(kd) \tag{4b}$$

$$W_2 \equiv c_{\rm in} \,\rho \pi R^2 g \frac{H^2}{4} k \tag{4c}$$

$$W_{3} \equiv c_{\rm dg} \rho R g^{2} \frac{H^{2}}{16} \omega^{-2} k \frac{1}{\cosh^{2}(kd)} [\sinh(2kd) + 2kd] \qquad (4d)$$

$$W_{4} \equiv c_{\rm dg} \rho R g^{2} \frac{H^{3}}{16} \omega^{-2} k^{2} \frac{1}{\cosh^{2}(kd)} [\cosh(2kd) + 1] \qquad (4e)$$

Eq. (4a) can also be expressed as

$$F(x) = F_1(x) + F_2(x)$$
(4f)

defining

$$F_1(x) \equiv W_1 x + W_3 (1 - x^2)$$
 (4g)

$$F_2(x) \equiv W_2 \sqrt{1 - x^2} x + W_4 \sqrt{1 - x^2} (1 - x^2)$$
 (4h)

 $F_1(x)$ is the force on the portion of the cylinder between the seabed and the average level; $F_2(x)$ is the force on the portion of the cylinder between the average level and the water surface.

 $F_1(x)$ is maximized if $W_1 < 2W_3$, otherwise the maximum of $F_1(x)$ is realized at x = 1:

$$if \qquad \qquad W_1 \ge 2W_3 \to F_{\max} = W_1 \qquad (4i)$$

In cases in which the inertia component is totally predominant over the component of drag:

if
$$W_1 < 2W_3$$

$$F_{\max} = W_1 x_1 + W_2 \sqrt{1 - x_1^2 x_1} + W_3 (1 - x_1^2) + W_4 \sqrt{1 - x_1^2} (1 - x_1^2)$$
(4j)

In cases in which the drag component is completely predominant over the component of inertia:

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{W_1 + W_2 \sqrt{1 - (W_1/2W_3)^2}}{W_3 + W_4 \sqrt{1 - (W_1/2W_3)^2}}$$
(4k)

The Morison's equation (Eq. (1)) and the Barbaro's simplification (Eq. (4a)) can be applied both under ideal and not ideal flow. The hypothesis of ideal flow is satisfied when the Keulegan–Carpenter number is less than 6.¹⁸ Under this hypothesis, the diffraction-based methods can be applied.

It may seem that the ideal conditions usually are not verified, but experiments made at NOEL¹²⁻¹⁵ stated that for large cylinders, the Ke is less than $6.^{18}$

Thus, the force exerted on large cylindrical piles can be obtained as a product of the diffraction coefficient and the Froude–Krylov force, expressed as follows:

$$F_{y} = \rho W a_{y}, \tag{5}$$

where ρ is the water density, *W* is the volume of the equivalent mass water, and a_y is the acceleration of the equivalent mass of water.

To calculate the diffraction coefficient C_{do} , the following expression can be used¹⁸:

$$C_{\rm do} = \frac{\left| \sin\left(F_{\rm R} \frac{\pi}{4} k R\right) \right|}{\left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4} k R\right) \right|} \tag{6}$$

where $F_{\rm R}$ is the reduction factor of the propagation speed of the pressure head waves at the base and R is the radius of the cylinder.

Design Sea State for Offshore Structure

The design wave $H_{\max}(P,L)$ is the wave height that has a given probability *P* of being exceeded in the design lifetime *L* of the structure, with the wave period T_b .¹⁸

The evaluation of $H_{\max}(P,L)$ is simplified by the ETS model. While some studies in which the equivalent storm could be parabolic have generated interesting results,²⁴ further study is needed in this area.

The probability that the maximum wave height in the lifetime L exceeds a fixed threshold H is equal to the encounter probability of a storm whose maximum height exceeds H:

$$P(L,R) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{L}{R(H)}\right)$$
(7a)

where R(H) is calculated as follows:

$$R(H) = \begin{cases} \int_{H}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\overline{T}(b)} p(x; H_{s} = b) \int_{b}^{\infty} -\frac{\mathrm{d} p(H_{s} = a)}{\mathrm{d} a} \\ \left[1 - \frac{\overline{b}(a)}{a} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{1}{\overline{T}(b')} \ln[1 - P(x; H_{s} = b')] \right]^{2} \mathrm{d} a \, \mathrm{d} b \, \mathrm{d} x \end{cases}^{-1}$$
(7b)

To obtain the value of $H_{\max}(P,L)$, it is necessary to plot the diagram of P(L,R) against H, and to find the corresponding value of H for a fixed value of P.^{25–29}

Once $H_{\max}(P,L)$ is calculated, it is necessary to obtain the significant wave height of the sea state where the $H_{\max}(P,L)$ will occur. The probability density function of the sea state where this wave will occur is calculated here:

$$p(H_{s} = b; H_{\max} = x) = \frac{\left(\frac{\overline{T}(b)}{\overline{T}(b)}p(x; H_{s} = b)\int_{b}^{\infty} -\frac{d}{d}\frac{p(H_{s} = a)}{da}\right)}{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\overline{D}(a)}{a}\int_{0}^{a}\frac{1}{\overline{T}(b')}\ln[1-P(x; H_{s} = b')]db'\right]da}$$
$$\frac{p(H_{s} = b; H_{\max} = x)}{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\overline{T}(b)}p(x; H_{s} = b)\int_{b}^{\infty} -\frac{d}{d}\frac{p(H_{s} = a)}{da}\right)}{da}db'$$
$$\exp\left[\frac{\overline{b}(a)}{a}\int_{0}^{a}\frac{1}{\overline{T}(b')}\ln[1-P(x; H_{s} = b')]db'\right]da}db'$$
(7c)

where T(h) is the mean wave period of a wave of height h, $p(x; H_s = h)$ is the probability density function derived from the probability of occurrence expressed by Boccotti, $P(x; H_s = h)$,¹⁷ and $dp(H_s = a)$ is the probability that H_s falls in a fixed small interval (a, a + da), $\overline{b}(a)$ is the base of the ETS, and it is calculated as follows:

$$\bar{b}(a) = b_{10}C_1 \exp\left[-C_2 \frac{a}{a_{10}}\right]$$
 for the "Open Ocean" (7e)

$$\overline{b}(a) = b_{10} \left[1.12 - 0.12 \frac{a}{a_{10}} \right] \quad \text{for the Italian coasts} \tag{7f}$$

where a_{10} , b_{10} , C_1 , and C_2 are parameters that change with the considered location.

The maximum value of Eq. (7c) is the design significant wave height, H_s . It has been proved that the value of H_s is very close to half of $H_{max}(P, L)$.¹⁸

Case Study

Gravity-based offshore platforms are structures typically constructed in deep water. They are formed by a large base plate, completely submerged, from which emerge some tapered columns that are connected at the summit to the deck (see Fig. 3). Generally, the value of Ke for the platform base proves to be smaller than the unit. For the columns, Ke is larger but it remains near to the value of 2–3 under the mean water level. Thus, the maximum force on these structures can be obtained as a product of the diffraction coefficient and the Froude–Krylov force. The geometry is the same for the different locations.

Calculation of the horizontal force on the offshore platform. The characteristics of the two locations are reported in Table 1.²⁴

The encounter probability, P, for this type of structure is 0.1 and the corresponding lifetime value is 100 years.

106 🛔 AIR, SOIL AND WATER RESEARCH 2014:7

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Air,-Soil-and-Water-Research on 20 May 2025 Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use



The design wave evaluated in Ortona was 18 m, whereas in the Gulf of Alaska, it was 32.4 m. The significant wave heights of the sea states in which the design waves occur are 9 m in Ortona and 16.2 m in the Gulf of Alaska. These values have been obtained through Eq. (7).

It is important to point out that the kinetic parameters $(a_y \text{ and } v_y)$ used in these examples were evaluated through Eq. (3) both for the methodology of Boccotti¹⁸ (Eq. (5)) and using Morison's equation (Eq. (1)). In Barbaro's formula (Eq. (4a)), Stokes' theory was used. Moreover, in the first two cases, the base of the structure was composed of M elements and the kinetic parameters were calculated in the barycenter of each element.

The value of the Froude–Krylov force on the platform resulted from the following expression:

$$F_{\rm F,y} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} F_{\rm F,y_{\rm cl}}$$
(8a)

The value of the Morison's force on the platform resulted from the following expression:

$$F_{M,y} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} F_{M,y_{ci}}$$
(8b)

Table 1. Parameter characteristics of the locations studied.

| ORTONA BUOY | | 46001 BUOY | |
|----------------------------|------|----------------------------|------|
| u | 0.94 | u | 1.46 |
| w (m) | 0.56 | w (m) | 2.53 |
| <i>a</i> ₁₀ (m) | 3.30 | <i>a</i> ₁₀ (m) | 8.3 |
| b ₁₀ (h) | 61 | <i>b</i> ₁₀ (h) | 57 |
| <i>C</i> ₁ | _ | <i>C</i> ₁ | 2.06 |
| C ₂ | - | C ₂ | 0.73 |

where $F_{F,y_{ci}}$ and $F_{M,y_{ci}}$ are, respectively, Froude–Krylov and the Morison's force calculated in the barycenter of each element.

Once $F_{\rm F}$ was obtained, it was multiplied for the corresponding diffraction coefficient evaluated using Eq. (6), giving a ratio of 1.17 for the base of platform and 1.73 for the columns in the Adriatic Sea, and 1.53 for the base of the platform and 1.74 for the columns in the Gulf of Alaska.

The probability that the maximum wave height in the lifetime L exceeds a fixed threshold H is reported in Figure 4 both for the Ortona buoy and for the 46001 buoy.

Tables 2 and 3 show the maximum wave force exerted on the platforms in the Adriatic Sea and in the Gulf of Alaska, respectively.

Figures 5 and 6 report the instantaneous water surface elevation and the instantaneous value of the force for the gravity platforms in Ortona and in Alaska, respectively.

| MAXIMUM WAVE FORCE ON THE BASE | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|--|--|--|
| Boccotti | 8251 t | -8878 t | | | |
| Morison | 12963 t | -13972 t | | | |
| Barbaro | 8596 t | | | | |
| MAXIMUM WAVE FORCE ON THE COLUMNS | | | | | |
| Boccotti | 1438 t | –1688 t | | | |
| Morison | 1565 t | –1789 t | | | |
| Barbaro | 2300 t | | | | |
| MAXIMUM WAVE FORCES ON THE ENTIRE PLATFORM | | | | | |

9573 t

14376 t

10896 t

Boccotti

Morison

Barbaro

Table 2. Maximum wave force on the platform in the Adriatic Sea.

| AIR. SOIL AND WATER RESEARCH 2014:7 | 107 | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|--|

-10566 t

–15761 t



Table 3. Maximum wave force on the platform in the Gulf of Alaska.

| MAXIMUM WAVE FORCE ON THE BASE | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------|--|--|--|
| Quasi-determinism | 31743 t | -35334 t | | | |
| Morison | 38061 t | -42181 t | | | |
| Barbaro | 17754 t | | | | |
| MAXIMUM WAVE FORCE ON THE COLUMNS | | | | | |
| Quasi-determinism | 2187 t | –2568 t | | | |
| Morison | 2414 t | –2695 t | | | |
| Barbaro | 4583 t | | | | |
| MAXIMUM WAVE FORCES ON THE ENTIRE PLATFORM | | | | | |
| Quasi-determinism | 33697 t | -37901 t | | | |
| Morison | 40146 t | -44876 t | | | |
| Barbaro | 22302 t | | | | |

Summary and Conclusion

This paper analyzed the force acting on an offshore platform. The geometric scheme of the gravity-based offshore platform is reported in Figure 3.

For the evaluation of the horizontal force, three approaches were used. The first is the method proposed by Boccotti,¹⁸ based on the diffraction theory; the second is the well-known Morison's equation; and the third is the Barbaro's criterion, based on Morison's equation.

The structures were situated in two different locations, Ortona, in the Adriatic Sea (Italy), and the Gulf of Alaska, in the Pacific Ocean (USA). The design parameters characteristic of the locations are reported in Table 1.

The design sea state was calculated through Eqs. (7a–f). In Figures 5 and 6, the instantaneous horizontal force on the platform is reported. Results illustrate that the Morison's equation gives higher values than the ones obtained using the method proposed by Boccotti¹⁸ only for the base of the platform. The ratio between the two forces for the entire structure is 1.50 in the Adriatic Sea and 1.19 in the Pacific Ocean. Considering only the base of the platform, the ratio is 1.57 in the







Figure 5. Horizontal forces on the gravity platform in the Adriatic Sea. The continuous line is for the methodology of Boccotti¹⁸ and the dashed line is for Morison's equation.





Note: The continuous line is for the methodology Boccotti18 and the dashed line is for Morison's equation.

Evaluation of the horizontal wave forces on piles

Adriatic Sea and 1.19 in the Pacific Ocean. Considering only the columns, the ratio is 1.1 in both locations.

The criterion proposed by Barbaro, in the case of the columns, seems to overestimate the force value in comparison to the results obtained through the other methods, and in the case of the base of the platform, it underestimates the force value. The difference between the Barbaro and Morison's results depends on two factors: the kinetic parameters and the method of calculation. In the Barbaro's criterion, Stoke's theory is used, whereas in the Morison's equation, quasi-determinism is used. A further factor is that the volume of water in which the equations are applied in the two cases is slightly different. In the first case, the volume is considered as the entire element of the structure. In the second case, each element is composed of Msub-elements, as previously stated. In fact, the difference between the results is less in the two columns than in the base of the platform.

Finally, the criterion proposed by Barbaro¹⁹ can be used to analyze the forces on offshore structures and also for coastal structures^{30–35} but only for a preliminary analysis. To gain a more complete understanding of the force acting on a structure, it is preferable to apply a more reliable model, such as those proposed by Morison or Boccotti.

List of Symbols

 c_{in} , inertia coefficient; c_{dg} , drag coefficient; a_{sect} , acceleration vectors normal to the pile; v_{sect} , velocity vectors normal to the pile; ρ , water density; R, radius of the pile; Ke, Keulegan–Carpenter number; Re, Reynolds number; ω , angular frequency; θ , angle between the y-axis and the direction of wave advance; $S(\omega, \theta)$, directional spectrum; k, wave number; T^* , abscissa of the absolute minimum of the autocovariance function; $\Psi(T)$, autocovariance function; T_p, peak period; X, ancillary variable related to H; Y, ancillary variable related to H; $x_0(x_0, y_0)$, fixed point of the horizontal plane; H, H, significant wave height; d, water depth; g, gravity acceleration; $H_{max}(P,L)$, design wave; η , water surface elevation; L, design lifetime; R(H), return period; T(b), mean wave period of a wave of height *h*; $p(x; H_s = h)$, probability density function derived from the probability of occurrence; $P(x; H_{c} = h)$, probability of occurrence; $dp(H_{c} = a)$, probability that H_{c} falls in a fixed small interval (a, a + da); $\overline{b}(a)$, base of the ETS; a_{10} , parameter of the ETS model; b_{10} , parameter of the ETS model; C_1 , parameter of the ETS model; C_2 , parameter of the ETS model; $H_{max}(P,L)$, maximum expected wave height of storm; $F_{F,y}$, Froude–Krylov force in the *y* direction; $F_{M,y}$, Morison force in the *y* direction.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: GB. Analyzed the data: CLS. Wrote the first draft of the manuscript: CLS. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: GB. Agree with manuscript results and conclusions: GB, GF, CLS. Jointly developed the structure and arguments for the paper: CLS.

Made critical revisions and approved final version: GB, GF. All authors reviewed and approved of the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Morison JR, O'Brien MP, Johnson JW, et al. The forces exerted by surface waves on piles. *Pet Trans.* 1950;189:149–156.
- Pierson WJ, Holmes P. Irregular wave forces on a pile. J Waterw Harbors Div. 1965;91(WW4):1–10.
- Borgman LE. Confidence intervals for ocean wave spectra. In: Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Coastal Engineering; 1972:237–250.
- Boccotti P, Arena F, Fiamma V, Romolo A, Barbaro G. Estimation of mean spectral directions in random seas. *Ocean Eng.* 2011;38:509–518.
- Barbaro G, Foti G, Malara G. Set-up due to random waves: influence of the directional spectrum. Int J Marit Eng R Inst Nav Archit. 2013;160:A105–A115.
- Barbaro G, Foti G, Malara G. Set-up due to random waves: influence of the directional spectrum. In: Proceedings of 30th International Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering (OMAE 2011), Rotterdam, The Netherlands. June 18–19, 2011:1–9.
- Romolo A, Arena F. Three-dimensional non-linear standing wave groups: formal derivation and experimental verification. *Int J Non-Linear Mecb.* 2013;57: 220–239.
- Borgman LE. Statistical models for ocean waves and wave forces. *Adv Hydrosci*. 1972;8:139–181.
- Najafian G, Tickell RG, Burrows R, Bishop JR. The UK Christchurch Bay compliant cylinder project: analysis and interpretation of Morison wave force and response data. *Ocean Res.* 2000;22(3):129–153.
- Wolfram J, Naghipour M. On the estimation of Morison force coefficients and their predictive accuracy for very rough circular cylinders. *Appl Ocean Res.* 1999;21(6):311–328.
- Boccotti P, Arena F, Fiamma V, Barbaro G. Field experiment on random-wave forces on vertical cylinders. *Probab Eng Mech.* 2012;28:39–51.
- Boccotti P, Arena F, Fiamma V, Romolo A, Barbaro G. Small scale field experiment on wave forces on upright breakwaters. J Waterw Port Coastal Ocean Eng Am Soc Civ Eng. 2011;138:97–114.
- Barbaro G. The natural laboratory of Reggio Calabria. In: Proceedings of 17th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference (ISOPE 2007), Vol. 3, Lisbona; July 2–4, 2007:2327–2333.
- 14. Arena F, Barbaro G. The Natural Ocean Engineering Laboratory, NOEL, in Reggio Calabria, Italy: a Commentary and Announcement. *J Coastal Res.* 2013;39:7–10.
- Barbaro G. The natural laboratory of Reggio Calabria: the first one to operate directly in the sea. *Ital J Eng Geol Environ*. 2007;2:35–46.
- Romolo A, Malara G, Barbaro G, Arena F. An analytical approach for the calculation of random wave forces on submerged tunnels. *Appl Ocean Res.* 2009; 31(1):31–36.
- Romolo A, Barbaro G, Malara G, Arena F. An analytical approach for the calculation of random wave forces on submerged tunnels. In: Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering (OMAE 2008), Estoril, Portugal; June 15–20, 2008; New York: American Society of Mechanical Engineers; 1–7.

- 18. Boccotti P. Wave Mechanics for Ocean Engineering. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2000.
- Barbaro G. A new expression for the direct calculation of the maximum wave force on vertical cylinders. *Ocean Eng.* 2007;34(11–12):1706–1710.
- Barbaro G, Ierino B, Martino MC. Maximum force produced by wind generated waves on offshore maritime structures. In: Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering (OMAE 2007), San Diego, USA; June 10–15, 2007:1–8.
- Barbaro G, Foti G, Sicilia CL. Maximum wave forces: evaluation and case studies. In: Proceedings 32nd International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering (OMAE 2013), Nantes, France; June 9–14, 2013:1–10.
- Barbaro G, Foti G, Sicilia CL. Wave forces on upright breakwater, evaluation and case study. *Disaster Adv.* 2013;6(10):90–95.
- Sarpkaya T, Isaacson M. Mechanics of Wave Forces on Offshore Structures. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Co; 1981.
- 24. Arena F, Barbaro G. Il rischio ondoso nei mari Italiani. Editoriale Bios; 1999.
- Barbaro G, Martino MC. On the run-up levels and relative mean persistence. In: Proceedings 17th International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference (ISOPE), Vol. 3, Lisbon, Portugal. July 2–4, 2007:1816–1821.
- Arena F, Malara G, Barbaro G, Romolo A, Ghiretti S. Long-term modelling of wave run-up and overtopping during sea storms. J Coastal Res. 2013;29:419–429.
- Barbaro G. Estimating design wave for offshore structures in Italian waters. Proc Inst Civ Eng Marit Eng. 2011;164:115–125.
- Arena F, Barbaro G, Romolo A. Return period of a sea storm with at least two waves higher than a fixed threshold. *Math Probl Eng.* 2013;1:1–6.
- Arena F, Barbaro G, Romolo A. Return period of a sea storm with at least two waves higher than a fixed threshold. In: Proceedings 28th International Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering (OMAE 2009), Honolulu, USA; May 31-June 5, 2009:1–6.
- Barbaro G. Management and protection of coastal area, the importance of coastal processes during the planning phase. *Air Soil Water Res.* 2013;6:103–106.
- Barbaro G, Foti G. Shoreline behind a breakwater: comparison between theoretical models and field measurements for the Reggio Calabria Sea. J Coastal Res. 2013;29:216–224.
- Tomasicchio GR, D'Alessandro F, Barbaro G, Malara G. General longshore transport model. *Coastal Eng.* 2013;71:28–36.
- Barbaro G, Foti G, Mandaglio G, Mandaglio M, Sicilia CL. Estimation of sediment transport capacity in the basin of the Fiumara Annunziata (RC). *Rendiconti* Online Società Geologica Italiana. 2012;21(1):696–697.
- 34. Barbaro G, Foti G. Shoreline behind a breakwater for wave energy absorption in Reggio Calabria: comparison between theoretical models and experimental data. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Physical Coastal Processes, Management and Engineering, Coastal Processes, Vol. 149; 2011: 237–248.
- Tomasicchio GR, D'Alessandro F, Barbaro G. Composite modelling for largescale experiments on wave-dune interaction. J Hydraul Res. 2011;49:15–19.

