

Microbial Carriage of Cockroaches at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Ghana

Authors: Tetteh-Quarcoo, Patience B., Donkor, Eric S., Attah, Simon K., Duedu, Kwabena O., Afutu, Emmanuel, et al.

Source: Environmental Health Insights, 7(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/EHI.S12820>

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

OPEN ACCESS

Full open access to this and thousands of other papers at <http://www.la-press.com>.

Microbial Carriage of Cockroaches at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Ghana

Patience B. Tetteh-Quarcoo¹, Eric S. Donkor¹, Simon K. Attah¹, Kwabena O. Duedu^{1,2}, Emmanuel Afutu¹, Isaac Boamah¹, Michael Olu-Taiwo², Isaac Anim-Baidoo² and Patrick F. Ayeh-Kumi²

¹Department of Microbiology, University of Ghana Medical School, Accra, Ghana. ²Department of Medical Laboratory Science, School of Allied Health Sciences, University of Ghana.

Corresponding authors email: ericsson@hotmail.com; ericssampene-donkor@lshtm.ac.uk

Abstract: Cockroaches are common in the environment of many hospitals in Ghana; however, little is known about their public health risks. To evaluate potential risks, we investigated the external and internal microbial flora of 61 cockroaches from a tertiary hospital in Ghana and evaluated the antibiotic resistance profiles of the common bacterial species. Standard methods were used in all the microbiological investigations and antibiotic susceptibility testing. A rotavirus carriage rate of 19.7% was observed among the cockroaches. Four types of intestinal parasites were carried externally by the cockroaches, and the most prevalent was Hookworm (4.9%). Eight nosocomial bacteria were isolated from the cockroaches, and the most prevalent was *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, which occurred internally in 29.5% of the cockroaches and 26.2% externally. Multiple drug resistance among common bacteria isolated from the cockroaches ranged from 13.8% (*Escherichia coli*) to 41.1% (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*). Cockroaches constitute an important reservoir for pathogenic microorganisms, and may be important vectors of multiple resistant nosocomial pathogens in the studied hospital.

Keywords: cockroach, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, hookworm, rotavirus, antibiotic resistance, nosocomial

Environmental Health Insights 2013;7 59–66

doi: [10.4137/EHI.S12820](https://doi.org/10.4137/EHI.S12820)

This article is available from <http://www.la-press.com>.

© the author(s), publisher and licensee Libertas Academica Ltd.

This is an open access article published under the Creative Commons CC-BY-NC 3.0 license.



Introduction

Cockroaches are of public health significance as they can serve as hosts, reservoirs and carriers of pathogenic microbes.^{1–3} Cockroaches feed readily on feces, sputum, skin scrapings and other human detritus as well as on a variety of foodstuffs. The filthy behavior of cockroaches coupled with their nocturnal lifestyle make them ideal vectors for a wide range of pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella* spp., *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Bacillus cereus*, and *Entamoeba histolytica*.^{1–4} Usually cockroaches dwell in crevices of buildings and garbage, and improper handling of refuse as well as urbanization have been linked with increased infestation of cockroaches in communities.^{5,6} In developing countries, overcrowding and poor sanitary conditions, which are a common characteristic in most public health care settings, provide favorable breeding grounds for cockroaches.^{3,4,6–8}

Cockroaches pose a concern in the hospital environment because they may serve as reservoirs for nosocomial pathogens.^{1,3,5–9} Nosocomial infections constitute a major public health burden with serious economic consequences, which are exacerbated by antimicrobial resistance among the etiological microorganisms.^{10,11} In developing countries such as Ghana, there have been concerns about the increasing cockroach populations in some hospitals.^{4,7,9,12} However, no study has investigated the public health risks posed by cockroaches in Ghanaian hospitals. The only study that investigated microbial carriage of cockroaches in Ghana was carried out in kitchens and villages, and identified mainly *Staphylococcus aureus* and enterobacteria.¹³ As this study investigated only diarrheal bacterial microorganisms, the spectrum of microbial agents carried by cockroaches in Ghana, especially in the hospital environment, still remain unknown. In the current study, we found that cockroaches at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, a major referral center in Ghana, constitute an important reservoir of rotavirus and a range of parasites and bacterial species, many of which are of nosocomial significance. Our findings indicate that cockroaches at the hospital constitute an important source of multiply resistant bacteria.

Materials and Methods

Sampling, identification and processing of cockroaches

The study was carried out at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital in May, 2012. Cockroaches at the Korle-Bu

Teaching Hospital were collected using sticky rods, traps, and using a direct collection method with sterile hand-gloves.¹⁴ The cockroaches were collected once a week for 4 weeks. The sampled cockroaches were transported to the Microbiology laboratory of the University of Ghana Medical School and identified using the method described by Burgess in 1993.¹⁵ Live, intact and matured cockroaches were processed for microbiological investigations as follows. The cockroaches were killed in a sterile jar using cotton soaked in chloroform. Each cockroach was then placed in a beaker and washed in 5 mL sterile physiological saline by shaking for 2 minutes. The wash was considered as external body homogenate sample. Following the external body washing, the cockroach was soaked in 90% ethanol for 5 minutes to decontaminate the body surface and taken out of the ethanol to dry. It was then re-washed with sterile physiological saline to remove traces of ethanol, and the alimentary tract was aseptically dissected out using autoclave-sterilized entomological dissecting needles. The excised gut was then homogenized in 5 mL of sterile saline solution, and the sample was considered an internal body homogenate sample.

Parasitological examination

About 2 mL of both the external and internal homogenate of the saline washes were dispensed into centrifuge tubes and centrifuged with a g-force of 1258 g at 5000 rpm for at least 5 minutes. The sediments were examined using the direct wet mount.¹⁶ Briefly, a drop of the suspended sediment was placed on 2 clean, grease-free microscope slides, and stained with 1% Lugol's iodine. Each slide was then examined for parasites under the light microscope.

Virological examination

Homogenates from all the samples were tested for rotavirus using the ProSpecT™ Rotavirus ELISA kit (Oxoid, UK). The process was carried out using the protocol designed by the manufacturer (Oxoid, UK). In order to ensure that quality and accurate results were obtained, known positive rotavirus sampling (positive control test) and sterile water tests (negative control test) were also run on the rotavirus kits.

Isolation, identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing of bacteria

The external and gut (internal) homogenates were enriched in buffered peptone water and incubated overnight at 37 °C. The enriched samples were subcultured onto various primary media including Mac-Conkey agar, Sheep Blood Agar, Chocolate agar and Deoxycholate Citrate agar. The agar plates were incubated overnight, and isolated colonies were identified based on colonial morphology, Gram staining and a battery of biochemical reactions, such as the triple sugar iron test, catalase test, urease test, indole test and citrate utilization test.^{17–21}

Identified bacterial colonies were purified and their susceptibility patterns were determined for various antibiotics using a modified form of the Kirby Bauer method.^{18,19} The antibiotics tested included gentamicin, amikacin, tetracycline, cotrimoxazole, cefotaxime, ceftizoxime, ampicillin, piperacillin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and ofloxacin (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK). These antibiotics were tested because they seem to be common on the Ghanaian market. The antibiotic susceptibility testing procedure employed is briefly described as follows. The test organism was emulsified in peptone water until the turbidity was comparable with 0.5% McFarland's standard. A loopful of the suspension was transferred onto a Mueller-Hinton agar plate, and then a sterile cotton swab was used to streak the entire surface of the plate. Sterile forceps were used to apply the antibiotic discs to the surface of the agar plate and incubated at 37 °C for 18–24 hours (h). Zone diameters around the antibiotic discs were measured and classified as sensitive or resistant based on the NCLS break point system.

Statistical analysis

The data collected in the study were entered into MS Excel and analyzed using Minitab software version 15 (Minitab Inc., 2010). Descriptive analysis was carried out on the various microbial agents carried by cockroach samples, including determination of their frequencies of occurrence and prevalence rates. Subsequently, a Chi-square test was used to compare external and internal carriage rates of the different microbial agents at $P < 0.05$. Antibiotic resistance data of each bacterial species was categorized as “resistant isolates” and “sensitive isolates”. Subsequently, for

each bacterial agent, the frequency and prevalence of resistant isolates were determined. Isolates that were resistant to 3 or more antibiotics were labeled as multidrug resistant isolates; the frequency and prevalence of such isolates were also determined.

Results

All 61 cockroaches sampled in the study were identified to be *Periplaneta americana*. 12 (19.7%) of the cockroaches tested positive for rotavirus. Parasitological investigations identified 4 different parasites carried by 6 (7%) cockroaches (Table 1). All the parasites occurred on the external surface of the cockroaches and the most common was *Ancylostoma duodenale* (4.9%). Bacteria were isolated from all 61 cockroaches. Overall, 8 bacterial species were isolated and each bacteria was isolated from both the internal and external parts of the cockroaches (Table 1). Prevalence rates of the bacteria from the 2 cockroach parts were 1.3%–26.2% and 1.3%–29.5%, respectively, and there was no significant difference in bacteria isolated from the 2 cockroach parts. The most common bacteria isolated was *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, followed by *Escherichia coli*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Citrobacter ferundii*, *Enterobacter cloacae*. Some bacteria agents, notably *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, were relatively less commonly carried by the cockroaches (<5%).

Table 1. Bacteria and parasites carried externally and internally by cockroaches.

Microorganism	External n (%)	Internal n (%)
Bacteria		
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	16 (26.2)	18 (29.5)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	15 (24.6)	14 (23.0)
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	14 (23.0)	13 (21.3)
<i>Citrobacter ferundii</i>	13 (21.3)	14 (23.0)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	8 (13.1)	10 (16.4)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	3 (3.9)	2 (3.3)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	1 (1.3)	2 (3.3)
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	1 (1.3)	1 (1.3)
Parasites		
<i>Ancylostoma duodenale</i> larvae	3 (4.9)	0 (0)
Intestinal flagellate	1 (1.6)	0 (0)
<i>Taenia</i> spp.	1 (1.6)	0 (0)
<i>Hymenolepis nana</i>	1 (1.6)	0 (0)
Virus		
Rotavirus	12 (19.7)	12 (19.7)

Antibiotic resistance patterns of the common bacteria isolated from the cockroaches are reported in Figure 1. For *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, the percentage resistance ranged from 6% (piperacillin) to 88% (cotrimoxazole). Among isolates of *Citrobacter*

freundii, the percentage resistance ranged from 8% (levofloxacin/piperacillin) to 61.5% (tetracycline); no resistance was observed for gentamicin. *Enterobacter cloacae* also showed percentage resistance ranging from 37.5% (chloramphenicol/ceftizoxime/gentamicin) to




Figure 1. Antibiotic resistance prevalence rates of common bacterial species isolated from cockroaches.

75% (cotrimoxazole/cefotaxime); no resistance was observed for amikacin and piperacillin. The percentage resistance for *Escherichia coli* ranged from 6.7% (amikacin) to 66% (cefotaxime); no resistance was observed for levofloxacin, piperacillin and ofloxacin. Isolates of *Proteus vulgaris* also displayed percentage resistance ranging from 14% (ciprofloxacin) to 70% (cotrimoxazole); no resistance was observed for piperacillin. The prevalence of multiple drug resistance among the common bacterial species isolated were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (41.1%), *Citrobacter freundii* (29.6%), *Proteus vulgaris* (29.6%), *Enterobacter cloacae* (27.8%) and *Escherichia coli* (13.8%).

Discussion

In this study we investigated microbial flora carried by cockroaches collected from a tertiary care hospital in Ghana. A wide range of bacterial species were isolated from the cockroaches sampled, an observation which has also been reported in other studies (Table 2). This highlights the close association of cockroaches and bacteria, which is not surprising given the broad habitat range of cockroaches and the ubiquitous nature of bacteria. Interestingly, the bacterial flora carried by the cockroaches in this study is similar to that reported by a study in the UK.⁵ In both studies, cockroaches carried *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterobacter specie*, *Proteus specie* and *Klebsiella*

specie. Most of these organisms have also been isolated from cockroaches in France and India,^{8,9} suggesting that the type of bacterial flora carried by cockroaches may be independent of geographical location or socio-economic factors. Most of the bacteria carried by cockroaches in this study are pathogenic or potentially pathogenic, and are therefore of public health significance. For example, the most prevalent bacterial agent, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, causes pneumonia, urinary tract infections and wound infections.^{20,21} *Proteus vulgaris*, an opportunistic pathogen, causes urinary tract and wound infections.^{20,21} Similarly, *Citrobacter freundii*, another opportunistic pathogen, causes respiratory infections, urinary tract infections and septicemia.^{20,21} The overall bacterial flora of the cockroaches sampled is highly related to nosocomial infections, as all 8 bacteria identified are recognized nosocomial pathogens. In a previous study carried out at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital, various fomites and hospital equipment were found to be reservoirs of nosocomial pathogens.²² The current study, which was carried out in the same hospital, shows that apart from fomites and hospital equipment, infestation of the hospital environment with cockroaches constitutes an important reservoir of nosocomial pathogens. In addition to being reservoirs of nosocomial pathogens in the hospital, the cockroaches can also spread the nosocomial pathogens in the hospital environment,

Table 2. Microbial carriage of cockroaches reported by other investigators.

Other works	n	Site	Organisms isolated
Fotadar et al ¹	96	Hospital ward	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> , <i>Streptococcus faecalis</i> and <i>Micrococci</i>
Tachbele et al ⁴	600	Four hospitals and four restaurants	<i>Salmonella</i> spp., <i>Shigella flexneri</i> , <i>Escherichia coli</i> O157, <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Bacillus cereus</i>
Oothuman et al ⁷	104	Four paediatric wards	<i>Shigella boydii</i> , <i>S. dysenteriae</i> , <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> , <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> , <i>K. ozaena</i> and <i>Serratia marcescens</i>
Guyader et al ⁸	532	Hospital environment	<i>Citrobacter freundii</i> , <i>Enterobacter cloacae</i> , <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Enterobacter agglomerans</i> , <i>Escherichia adacarboxylata</i> , <i>Serratia marcescens</i> , <i>Serratia liquefaciens</i> , <i>Acinetobacter</i> , <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> , <i>P. putida</i> , and <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . <i>Escherichia coli</i> , <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
Fotadar et al ⁹	279	Hospital environment and residential area	Bacteria: <i>Klebsiella</i> , <i>E. coli</i> , <i>Enterobacter</i> , <i>P. aeruginosa</i> , <i>proteus</i> spp., <i>S. aureus</i> ; <i>S. epidermidis</i> , <i>S. faecalis</i> , <i>S. viridans</i> ; <i>micrococci</i> , <i>streptococci</i> and <i>Bacillus</i> . Parasites: <i>Endolimax nana</i> , <i>Entamoeba coli</i> , <i>Entamoeba histolytica</i> Fungi: <i>Candida</i> spp., <i>Rhizopus</i> spp. <i>Mucor</i> spp., <i>Alternaria</i> spp. <i>Aspergillus niger</i> , <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> , <i>Aspergillus</i> spp.



including transferring the organisms from one fomite to another. This probably makes infected cockroaches relatively more important reservoirs of nosocomial pathogens, and their presence in healthcare environments should be seen as a serious public health threat. Though we did not collect fecal specimens of cockroaches in this study, the common occurrence of the 8 bacterial microorganisms in the gut of the cockroaches indicate that their feces could also pose a public health risk.

For the various bacteria isolated from the cockroaches, a high percentage of resistance was observed for tetracycline, cotrimoxazole and cefotaxime. Generally, this concurs with resistance data of the various bacteria isolated from patients and healthy individuals in Ghana.^{23–25} These antibiotics, especially tetracycline and cotrimoxazole, have been on the Ghanaian market for a long time and therefore have been subjected to high rates of antibiotic use or abuse, hence their high levels of resistance observed.^{23,24} The high prevalence of cefotaxime resistance in this study (54%–75%) is surprising, as a previous study at Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital reported an overall prevalence of 18%.²³ This study involved most of the bacteria in the current study, but this was isolated from patients. Over a 10-year period, resistance to this antibiotic seems to have increased by 36%–57%. This highlights the rapid rate of evolution of antibiotic resistance in healthcare settings where there are no strict regulations regarding antibiotic prescription and use. The result is a high prevalence of multiple drug resistance (13.8%–41.1%) observed among the common bacterial pathogens, which threaten antimicrobial therapy and could have serious implications in a developing country like Ghana, where antibiotic treatment options are relatively limited.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report on viral carriage of cockroaches, though we investigated only one viral agent due to our limited resources. We found 19.7% carriage of rotavirus, which is quite high, considering the public health importance of this viral agent in Ghana. Rotavirus is the most common cause of severe and fatal diarrhea in young children worldwide, and in Ghana almost half of the diarrheal disease hospitalizations of children under 5 are caused by rotavirus.^{26,27} The common occurrence of cockroaches in Ghanaian homes and their association with food suggests that

cockroaches could play a role in transmission of rotavirus infection. However, this possibility requires further investigation.

As far as parasites are concerned, the cockroaches sampled appear to be important mainly for gastrointestinal infections, as all 4 parasites identified are gastrointestinal parasites.²⁸ The most prevalent parasite among the cockroaches, *Ancylostoma duodenale* (hookworm), has been commonly reported in some economically disadvantaged communities in Ghana.^{29,30} Hookworm infection is transmitted by skin penetration. Therefore, the presence of the larval stages on these cockroaches can pose health problems, as the cockroaches can deposit the larvae at various places, and on items that can get into contact with human skin. Since the egg of *Taenia solium* could lead to human cysticercosis, an extra-intestinal complication of *Taenia* spp. infection, the current finding will help create awareness, which would eventually lead to avoiding this complication. A report by the Center for Food Security and Public Health (USA) indicated that neurocysticercosis is the most serious form of cysticercosis, which occur when there is a blockage of the Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) by a floating cysticercus.³¹ They also stated that, in some cases, the symptoms are insidious while others occur suddenly. Chronic headaches and seizures are the most common symptoms. Other symptoms include changes in mental status, behavioral abnormalities, progressive dementia, and focal neurologic signs.³¹ Therefore, carriage of the eggs of *Taenia* spp. by cockroaches in the hospital environment is a major health concern. Although *Hymenolepis nana* infection is most often asymptomatic, heavy infections can cause weakness, anorexia, irritability, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. It is noteworthy that prolonged or concurrent infection, especially in children can cause more severe symptoms, even though it is not as severe in adults.³² Generally, the parasites appear to have limited occurrence among the cockroaches, and may not be as important as rotavirus in vector transmission of gastrointestinal agents by cockroaches. The common occurrence of intestinal or enteric microbes including parasites, bacteria and virus (rotavirus) on the cockroaches reflect their role in environmental health with regard to fecal contamination.³³

It can be concluded from this study that cockroaches in the hospital environment have a high

tendency to carry nosocomial agents including highly resistant organisms. Cockroaches also constitute an important reservoir of intestinal pathogens, notably rotavirus. Currently, the extent of cockroach infestation in hospitals throughout Ghana, as well as management of the pest, is not known. This study can serve as a basis for creating awareness about the public health risks of cockroaches in the hospital environment in the country. We recommend that hospitals in Ghana be kept free of cockroaches by using insecticides, as well as also by clearing areas that can serve as a comfortable habitat for cockroaches and other insects.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank staff of the Departments of Microbiology, University of Ghana Medical School, who offered technical assistance in this work.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ESD, PBT-Q, PFA-K. Analyzed the data: EA, ESD, PBT-Q, SKA. Wrote the first draft of the manuscript: ESD, EA, PBT-Q. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: SKA, PFA-K, KOD, IB, MO-T, IA-B. Agree with manuscript results and conclusions: SKA, PFA-K, ESD, PBT-Q, IB, MO-T, IA-B, EA, KOD. Jointly developed the structure and arguments for the paper: ESD, IB, MO-T, PBT-Q, EA, SKA, PFA-K. Made critical revisions and approved final version: PFA-K, ESD, PBT-Q. All authors reviewed and approved of the final manuscript.

Funding

Author(s) disclose no funding sources.

Competing Interests

Author(s) disclose no potential conflicts of interest.

Disclosures and Ethics

As a requirement of publication the authors have provided signed confirmation of their compliance with ethical and legal obligations including but not limited to compliance with ICMJE authorship and competing interests guidelines, that the article is neither under consideration for publication nor published elsewhere, of their compliance with legal and ethical guidelines concerning human and animal research participants (if applicable), and that permission has

been obtained for reproduction of any copyrighted material. This article was subject to blind, independent, expert peer review. The reviewers reported no competing interests.

References

1. Fotedar R, Nayar E, Samantray JC, et al. Cockroaches as vectors of pathogenic bacteria. *J Commun Dis*. 1989;21(4):318–22.
2. Blazar JM, Lienau EK, Allard MW. Insects as vectors of foodborne pathogenic bacteria. *Terrestrial Arthropod Reviews*. 2011;4:5–16.
3. Burgess NR, Chetwyn KN. Association of cockroaches with an outbreak of dysentery. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1981;75(2):332–3.
4. Tachbele E, Erku W, Gebre-Michael T, Ashenafi M. Cockroach-associated food-borne bacterial pathogens from some hospitals and restaurants in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Distribution and antibiograms. *Journal of Rural and Tropical Public Health*. 2006;5:34–41.
5. Cloarec A, Rivault C, Fontaine F, Le Guyader A. Cockroaches as carriers of bacteria in multi-family dwellings. *Epidemiol Infect*. 1992;109(3):483–90.
6. Baker LF. Pests in hospitals. *J Hosp Infect*. 1981;2(1):5–9.
7. Oothuman P, Jeffery J, Aziz AH, Abu Bakar E, Jegathesan M. Bacterial pathogens isolated from cockroaches trapped from paediatric wards in peninsular Malaysia. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1989;83(1):133–5.
8. Le Guyader A, Rivault C, Chaperon J. Microbial organisms carried by brown banded cockroaches in relation to their spatial distribution in a hospital. *Epidemiol Infect*. 1989;102:485–92.
9. Fotedar R, Shriniwas UB, Verma A. Cockroaches (*Blattella germanica*) as carriers of microorganisms of medical importance in hospitals. *Epidemiol Infect*. 1991;107(1):181–7.
10. Rosenthal VD, Maki DG, Jamulitrat S, et al; INICC Members. International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC) report, data summary for 2003–2008, issued Jun 2009. *Am J Infect Control*. 2010;38(2):95–104. e2.
11. Zaidi AK, Huskins WC, Thaver D, Bhutta ZA, Abbas Z, Goldmann DA. Hospital-acquired neonatal infections in developing countries. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9465):1175–88.
12. Elgderi RM, Ghenghesh KS, Berbash N. Carriage by the German cockroach (*Blattella germanica*) of multiple-antibiotic-resistant bacteria that are potentially pathogenic to humans, in hospitals and households in Tripoli, Libya. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*. 2006;100(1):55–62.
13. Agbodaze D, Owusu SB. Cockroaches (*Periplaneta americana*) as carriers of agents of bacterial diarrhoea in Accra, Ghana. *Cent Afr J Med*. 1989;35(9):484–6.
14. Paul S, Khan AM, Baqui MA, Muhibullah M. Evaluation of the common cockroach *Periplaneta americana* (L.) as carrier of medically important bacteria. *J Commun Dis*. 1992;24(4):206–10.
15. Burgess NRH. Cockroaches (Blattaria). In: Lane RP, Crosskey RW, editors. *Medical Insects and Arachnids*. London: Chapman & Hall; 1993:473–82.
16. Melvin DM, Brooke MM. Laboratory Procedures for the Diagnosis of Intestinal Parasites. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Laboratory Improvement Program Office, Laboratory Training and Consultation Division. *Helminths*. 1982; 233.
17. Flournoy DJ, Wongpradit S, Silberg SL. Facilitating Identification of Lactose-Fermenting Enterobacteriaceae on MacConkey Agar. *Proc Okla Acad Sci*. 1990;70:5–8.
18. Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 1966;45(4):493–6.
19. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, 2005. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Fifteenth informational supplement. NCCLS document M100-S15. 2005; Available at <http://www.clsi.org/>. Accessed Nov 6, 2012.
20. Todar K. Todar's Online Textbook of Bacteriology: Streptococcus Pneumonia. 2004; Available at <http://www.textbookofbacteriology.net/S.pneumoniae.html>. Accessed Sep 8, 2013.



21. Ryan KJ, Ray CG, editors. *Sherri's Medical Microbiology*. 4th ed. 2004; New York: McGraw Hill.
22. Newman MJ. Neonatal intensive care unit: reservoirs of nosocomial pathogens. *West Afr J Med*. 2002;21(4):310–2.
23. Newman MJ, Frimpong E, Donkor ES, Opintan JA, Asamoah-Adu A. Resistance to antimicrobial drugs in Ghana. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2011;4: 215–20.
24. Donkor ES, Nartey E. Nasal colonisation of drug resistant bacteria in Ghanaian children less than five years. *Internet J Microbiol*. 2008;5(2).
25. Edoh D, Alomatu B. Comparison of antibiotic resistance patterns between laboratories in Accraeast Ghana. *Niger Ann Nat Sci*. 2008;8:10–8.
26. WHO. 2008 rotavirus deaths, under 5 years of age, as of Jan 31, 2012. Available at: http://www.who.int/entity/immunization_monitoring/burden/ChildRota2008.xls. Accessed Jan 31, 2012.
27. WHO. Global Rotavirus Information and Surveillance Bulletin. 2011; Available at: http://www.who.int/nuvi/surveillance/Final_RV_bulletin_Jan_Dec_2010_Data.pdf. Accessed Apr 3, 2012.
28. Majed HW. Fecal Occult Blood Test and Gastrointestinal Parasitic Infection. *Journal of Parasitology Research*. 2010;4. doi:10.1155/2010/434801.
29. Yelifari L, Bloch P, Magnussen P, et al. Distribution of human Oesophagostomum bifurcum, hookworm and Strongyloides stercoralis infections in northern Ghana. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2005;99(1):32–8.
30. Soares Magalhães RJ, Biritwum NK, Gyapong JO, et al. Mapping helminth co-infection and co-intensity: geostatistical prediction in Ghana. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2011;5(6):e1200.
31. The Center for Food Security and Public Health; Institute for International Cooperation in Animal Biologics. Taenia Infections. 2005; Available at <http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/taenia.pdf>. Accessed Sep 8, 2013.
32. Sadaf HS, Khan SS, Kanwal N, Tasawer BM, Ajmal SM. A review on diarrhoea causing hymenolepis nana-dwarf tapeworm. *International Research Journal of Pharmacy*. 2013;4(2).
33. Nash HD. Intestinal flagellate and ciliate infections. In: Guerrant RL, Walker DH, Weller PF, editors. Tropical infectious diseases: principles, pathogens, and practice. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 1999;1:703–20.