

Superparasitism of Ceratitis capitata and Anastrepha fraterculus (Diptera: Tephritidae) by Diachasmimorpha longicaudata (Hymenoptera: Braconidae)

Authors: Altafini, Deisi Luizelli, Redaelli, Luiza Rodrigues, and Jahnke, Simone Mundstock

Source: Florida Entomologist, 96(2): 391-395

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1653/024.096.0201

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

SUPERPARASITISM OF CERATITIS CAPITATA AND ANASTREPHA FRATERCULUS (DIPTERA: TEPHRITIDAE) BY DIACHASMIMORPHA LONGICAUDATA (HYMENOPTERA: BRACONIDAE)

DEISI LUIZELLI ALTAFINI^{*}, LUIZA RODRIGUES REDAELLI AND SIMONE MUNDSTOCK JAHNKE Programa de Pós-graduação em Fitotecnia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento Gonçalves, 7712, 91540-000 - Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

*Corresponding author; E-mail: deisila@gmail.com

Abstract

Diachasmimorpha longicaudata is the most widely used braconid in biological control programs of fruit flies around the world. The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of different parasitoid-host ratios on *D. longicaudata* parasitism of *Ceratitis capitata* and *Anastrepah fraterculus*. Larvae of these fruit fly species were exposed to 5 different parasitoid-host ratios (4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:4). Subsequently, the numbers of oviposition scars and of parasitoid larvae per host were evaluated in the pupal stage. In both tephritid species, a larger number of scars and parasitoid larvae were observed at the 4:1 and 2:1 ratios. Results suggest that females of *D. longicaudata* can superparasitize both hosts. The differences between the tested parasitoid-host ratios suggest that there is an optimum parasitoid-host ratio for multiplying this natural enemy. These results are important for improving mass rearing programs of *D. longicaudata*.

 $\label{eq:KeyWords:} Key \ Words: An astrepha\ fraterculus, Ceratitis\ capitata, Diachasmimorpha\ longicaudata, superparasitism$

Resumo

Diachasmimorpha longicaudata é o braconídeo mais utilizado em programas de controle biológico de moscas-das-frutas no mundo. O objetivo deste trabalho foi verificar a influência de coespecíficos no parasitismo sobre *C. capitata* e *A. fraterculus*, em diferentes razões de parasitoides e hospedeiros. Avaliamos o número de marcas de punctura e o de larvas do parasitoide no interior de pupários das duas espécies hospedeiras, após as larvas de moscas serem expostas nas razões (4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2, 1:4) de parasitoide/hospedeiro. Para ambas as espécies de tefritídeos houve maior número de marcas e de larvas nos pupários nas razões 4:1 e 2:1, onde havia menor densidade de larvas por parasitoide. Os resultados mostram que fêmeas de *D. longicaudata* podem realizar superparasitismo em ambos hospedeiros. As diferenças entre as razões testadas sugerem uma proporção adequada para otimização dos métodos de multiplicação do inimigo natural. Os resultados tem importância como subsídio para a condução de criações massais em programas de liberação de *D. longicaudata*.

Palavras Chave: Anastrepha frater
culus, Ceratitis capitata, Diachasmimorpha longicaudata, superparasitismo

Understanding parasitoids-hosts interactions is essential for improving the management of pest populations in biological control programs. Most parasitoids are able to recognize hosts parasitized by conspecifics or by themselves (an ability known as "host discrimination") and reject such hosts. However, they often lay a second clutch of eggs in or on them (an act called "superparasitism") (van Dijken & Waage 1987; van Alphen & Visser 1990).

Superparasitism was initially believed to reflect parasitoid error, since there is no apparent benefit to laying eggs in a host already parasitized by the same species. It is now recognized, however, that superparasitism may promote natural selection through intraspecific competition (Bakker et al. 1985).

Diachasmimorpha longicaudata (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) is a larval-prepupal endoparasitoid of tephritid fruit fly pests in tropical and subtropical countries (van Nieuwenhove et al. 2012). It was originally collected in the Indo-Philippine region attacking *Bactrocera* species (Sivinski et al. 2006). This parasitoid species is currently considered to be one of the most significant biological agents for augmentative release programs against fruit fly pests in Latin America (González et al. 2007).

Fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae) are the primary pests of fruit crops in Brazil (Nava et al. 2010). In the Brazilian state of Rio Grande do Sul, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) and *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Wiedemann) are especially important. The latter causes damage to temperate-zone fruit crops (Kovaleski & Ribeiro 2003) and native Myrtaceae (Gattelli et al. 2008).

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of *D. longicaudata* conspecifics on parasitism of *C. capitata* and *A. fraterculus* tephritids, at different parasitoid-host ratios. These 2 host species are used in Brazil's Moscamed Biofactory for the multiplication of *D. longicaudata* for release in biological control programs.

$M {\rm ATERIALS} \ {\rm AND} \ M {\rm ETHODS}$

The study was conducted in the Biology, Ecology and Biological Control of Insects Laboratory (BIOECOLAB), at the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Laboratory conditions were maintained at 25 ± 2 °C, $65 \pm 10\%$ RH and 14:10 L:D.

C. capitata and A. fraterculus were bred using a modified version of the methodology proposed by Terán (1976). Adults were kept in $45 L \times 30$ $W \times 30$ H cm wooden cages with the sides covered with voile mesh, provided distilled water, and fed *ad libitum* with a solid diet that consisted of sugar crystals, wheat germ, corn gluten, and brewer's yeast (3:1:1:1) (adapted from Jaldo et al. 2001). A 250 mL orange plastic tube was used as a substrate for C. capitata oviposition, following the methodology of FAO/IAEA/USDA (2003). The oviposition substrate for A. fraterculus was a 15 $\operatorname{cm} \emptyset$ plastic Petri dish with an 11 $\operatorname{cm} \emptyset$ opening protected with voile mesh covered by a thin layer of black silicone. This dish was filled with water and placed on the upper surface of the cage where A. fraterculus larvae were bred. Newly hatched larvae were placed onto blocks of artificial diet, based on carrot and corn flour, according to the BIOECOLAB protocol (Meirelles 2011).

Parasitoids were bred following Carvalho et al. (1998). Third instar larvae of *C. capitata* and *A. fraterculus* (approximately 12 days old) were used as hosts. Forty-50 fruit fly larvae were exposed to parasitoids for a 1-h period, once a day, in parasitism chambers.

Superparasitism was evaluated using 5 ratios (4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:4) of parasitoid females to host larvae (third-instar larvae of *A. fraterculus* or *C. capitata*, adapted from Montoya et al. 2000). The experiments were conducted in voile mesh cages (30 L × 30 W × 30 H cm). Larvae of both fly species were placed separately on blocks of artificial diet in parasitism chambers and exposed to the parasitoids in the cages. The parasitism chambers were made with 2 rectangular acrylic plates (10 × 8 cm), one of which had an opening (4 × 6 cm) covered with voile mesh where parasitoid females had access to the larvae (maximum contexponent).

mum 40 individuals per chamber). The parasitism chambers were placed on a wall of the cage in front of the light source, and offered individually to 4 to-5–day-old mated *D. longicaudata* females for 3 h. Females were previously (24 h before the experiment) exposed for 3 h to third-instar larvae of the respective host to gain experience in the parasitism chamber.

After exposure the groups of larvae from each replicate were kept in plastic containers with sterilized sand substrate for pupation. Seventy-two hours after exposure to parasitoids the pupae of fruit flies were examined under a stereomicroscope (50X) to count the number of punctures or oviposition scars, and then dissected to count the number of *D. longicaudata* larvae, following Montoya et al. (2000) and González et al. (2007). Superparasitism was recorded when a fruit fly pupa contained more than one parasitoid larva. We analyzed a minimum of 120 pupae for each host and each parasitoid-host ratio.

Differences in the number of oviposition scars and parasitoid larvae per pupa for each fruit fly species were analyzed through the Kruskal-Wallis test (P < 0.05) and Dunn's paired comparisons (Ayres et al. 2007). The number of superparasitized pupae between species and parasitoid-host ratios were compared using the Mann-Whitney test (P = 0.05) (Ayres et al. 2007).

Results

Superparasitism by *D. longicaudata* was observed in both fruit fly hosts. There was a significant difference between the number of oviposition scars recorded at different parasitoid-host ratios in pupae of *C. capitata* (*H* = 170.1525; df = 4; *P* < 0.0001), and *A. fraterculus* (*H* = 123.4763; df = 4; *P* < 0.0001). The same pattern was observed for the number of *D. longicaudata* larvae in pupae of the 2 host species (*C. capitata*: *H* = 131.0551; df = 4; *P* < 0.0001; *A. fraterculus*: *H* = 139.7015; df = 4; *P* < 0.0001) (Table 1).

The number of superparasitized pupae did not differ between the 2 hosts at the 4:1 (U = 6,405.5; P = 0.1143) and 2:1 (U = 6,642.0; P = 0.0983) parasitoid-host ratios. However, *A. fraterculus* showed a higher superparasitism rates at the 1:1 (U = 5,128.0; P < 0.0001), 1:2 (U = 5,564.0; P < 0.0001), and 1:4 (U = 5,550.5; P < 0.0001) parasitoid-host ratios (Table 2).

The number of oviposition scars per pupa ranged from 1 to 66 in *C. capitata*, with a mean (\pm SD) of 6.67 \pm 0.289. In *A. fraterculus*, this number ranged from 1 to 53 and the mean (\pm SD) was 11.72 \pm 0.323. The mean number of *D. longicaudata* oviposition scars was higher in *A. fraterculus* than in *C. capitata* at the 4:1 (*H* = 38.3865; df = 1; *P* < 0.05), 1:1 (*H* = 79.5814; df = 1; *P* < 0.05), 1:2 (*H* = 131.7541; df = 1; *P* < 0.05), and 1:4 ratios (*H* = 48.8724; df = 1; *P* < 0.05). The number

Table 1. Mean number $(\pm \text{SD})$ of oviposition scars in *C. capitata* and *A. fraterculus* pupae, and mean numbers of first-instar larvae of *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* per host, 72 h after exposure, at different ratios of parasitoid females to host larvae (values in parentheses indicate the number of exposed host larvae).

		Number of			
Parasitoid-host ratio		Oviposition scars		D. longicaudata larvae	
C. capitata	A. fraterculus	C. capitata	A. fraterculus	C. capitata	A. fraterculus
4:1 (120)	4:1 (121)	9.43 ± 0.89 b	17.02 ± 0.96 a	6.94 ± 0.78 a	9.35 ± 0.65 a
2:1(123)	2:1(123)	13.20 ± 0.82 a	13.75 ± 0.82 ab	7.56 ± 0.66 a	7.0 ± 0.53 a
1:1(127)	1:1 (120)	5.42 ± 0.33 b	11.38 ± 0.48 b	$1.70 \pm 0.20 \text{ b}$	4.50 ± 0.26 b
1:2 (133)	1:2 (136)	$3.19 \pm 0.18 \text{ c}$	10.63 ± 0.47 b	1.25 ± 0.13 b	4.29 ± 0.23 b
1:4 (143)	1:4 (124)	$3.17 \pm 0.28 \text{ c}$	6.08 ± 0.33 c	$0.87 \pm 0.11 \text{ b}$	1.86 ± 0.13 c

Means with different letters within a column are significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis, $\alpha = 0.05$).

of oviposition scars in the 2 species did not differ significantly at the 2:1 ratio (H = 0.3654; df = 1; P = 0.54) (Table 1).

The mean number of *D. longicaudata* larvae was higher in *A. fraterculus* than in *C. capitata* at the 4:1 (H = 12.3466, df = 1, P < 0.05), 1:1 (H = 71.9833, df = 1, P < 0.05), 1:2 (H = 95.744, df = 1, P < 0.05), and 1:4 parasitoid-host ratios (H = 37.9866, df = 1, P < 0.05). There was no significant difference at the 2:1 ratio (H = 0.0092, df = 1, P = 0.92) (Table 1).

There was a positive correlation between the number of oviposition scars and the number of parasitoid larvae per pupa, in both *C. capitata* (r = 0.8348, $r^2 = 0.6969$, P < 0.0001) and *A. fraterculus* (r = 0.8455, $r^2 = 0.7149$, P < 0.0001).

DISCUSSION

Similar to our results with *C. capitata* and *A. fraterculus*, Montoya et al. (2003) had reported superparasitism of *A. ludens* by *D. longicaudata*. The higher superparasitism rates observed in *A. fraterculus* compared to *C. capitata* may have

TABLE. 2. MEAN NUMBERS (± SD) OF PUPAE CONTAINING MORE THAN ONE LARVA OF THE PARASITOID *DIACHASMI-MORPHA LONGICAUDATA* (SUPERPARASITISM) AFTER 72 H OF EXPOSURE, AT 5 DIFFERENT RATIOS OF PARA-SITOID FEMALES TO HOST LARVAE.

Parasitoid-host	Host pupae with more than one larva			
ratio	C. capitata	A. fraterculus		
4:1	0.76 ± 0.04 a	0.88 ± 0.03 a		
2:1	0.79 ± 0.04 a	0.91 ± 0.02 a		
1:1	$0.61 \pm 0.04 \text{ b}$	0.93 ± 0.02 a		
1:2	$0.56 \pm 0.04 \text{ b}$	0.94 ± 0.02 a		
1:4	0.44 ± 0.04 b	0.81 ± 0.03 a		

Means with different letters within a row are significantly different (Mann-Whitney test, $\alpha = 0.05$).

been influenced by the size of the host. Larger hosts (e.g., *A. fraterculus*) offer more resources to be exploited, allowing the development of larger and more competitive parasitoids with greater reproductive potential (Chau & Mackauer 2001). According to López et al. (2009), *D. longicaudata* superparasitizes more frequently (higher emergence, longevity, and search speed) when reared in larger hosts. Moreover, Ovruski et al. (2011) reported that *D. longicaudata* preferred *A. fraterculus* over *C. capitata* when given a choice.

In solitary parasitoids elimination of competition inside the pupae may occur by lethal combat between larvae or by physiological suppression (Godfray 1994). In our study combat between larvae was observed only once out of a total of 1,270 dissected pupae. Our observations are in accordance with Van Alphen & Visser (1990) and Montoya et al. (2000) who argued that *D. longicaudata* can efficiently remove excess conspecific larvae by physiological mechanisms.

The sex ratio of the offspring in our study was not determined because parasitoid pupae were dissected before emergence. However, González et al. (2007) and Montoya et al. (2011) reported a positive correlation between the number of oviposition scars and the percentage of females that emerged from *A. ludens* exposed to *D. longicaudata*. The positive correlation between the number of scars and the number of larvae in the pupae is similar to reports by Montoya et al. (2000) using *A. ludens* larvae as host.

Superparasitism is recognized as an adaptive feature of parasitoids (van Alphen & Visser 1990) and may be one of the factors that explain the success of *D. longicaudata* as a biological control agent of fruit flies. González et al. (2007) suggested that superparasitism in *D. longicaudata* selects for greater flying ability and a greater proportion of females, thereby increasing the reproductive success of the species. These authors also argued that the presence of more than one parasitoid larva can reduce the severity of the host's immune response and increase the survival rate of the parasitoid. Nevertheless, Van Nieuwenhove et al. (2012) demonstrated that increasing the ratio of *A. fraterculus* larvae to parasitoid above 4:1, and the exposure time of *D. longicaudata* to hosts to more than 30 min, did not significantly enhance overall parasitoid progeny yield.

The results of our study have applications for optimizing the mass rearing of *D. longicaudata* in both host species tested, which occur in Brazil and are used to rear the parasitoid at the Biofactory Moscamed. Specifically, our results indicate that using 1:1, 1:2, and 1:4 parasitoid/host ratios should lead to a more efficient multiplication of the parasitoid. However, other factors that affect parasitoid rearing merit additional study, including the age of females, their previous experience, and the densities in the rearing cages.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for fellowships awarded to the first and second authors (proc. n. 305956/2010-8) and the financial support (proc. n. 475287/2010-0). We would like to thank Dr. Antonio Nascimento at Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura Tropical for providing the first individuals used to rear parasitoids in the laboratory.

References Cited

- Ayres, M., Ayres-JUNIOR, M., Ayres, D. L., AND SANTOS, A. A. S. 2007. Bioestat 5.0 – aplicações estatísticas nas áreas das ciências biológicas e médicas. Tefé: Sociedade Civil Mamirauá. 364 pp.
- BAKKER, K., VAN ALPHEN, J. J. M., VAN BATENBERG, F. H. D. VAN DER HOEVEN, N. NELL, H. W. VAN STRIEN-VAN LIEMPT, W. T. F., AND TURLINGS, T. C. 1985. The function of host discrimination and superparasitism in parasitoids. Oecologia 67: 572-576.
- CARVALHO, R. S., NASCIMENTO, A. S., AND MATRANGOLO, W. J. R. 1998. Metodologia de criação do parasitóide exótico *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), visando estudos em laboratório e em campo. Cruz das Almas: Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura Tropical. 16 pp.
- CHAU, A., AND MACKAUER, M. 2001. Preference of the aphid parasitoid *Monoctomus paulensis* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae, Aphidiinae) for different aphid species: female choice and offspring survival. Biol. Control 20: 30-38.
- FAO/IAEA/USDA. 2003. Manual for Product Quality Control and Shipping Procedures for Sterile Mass-Reared Tephritid Fruit Flies, Version 5.0. Vienna, International Atomic Energy Agency 85 pp.
- GATTELLI, T., SILVA, F. F., MEIRELLES, R. N., REDAELLI, L. R., AND DAL SOGLIO, F. K. 2008. Moscas frugívoras associadas a mirtáceas e laranjeira 'Céu' na região do Vale do Rio Caí, Rio Grande do Sul, Brasil. Ciência Rural 38: 236-239.
- GODFRAY, H. C. G. 1994. Parasitoids: behavioral and evolutionary ecology. Princeton University Press, New Jersey 461pp.

- GONZÁLEZ, P. I., MONTOYA, P., PÉREZ-LACHAUD, G., CANCINO, J., AND LIEDO, P. 2007. Superparasitism in mass reared *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a parasitoid of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). Biol. Control 40: 320-326.
- JALDO, H. E., GRAMAJO, M. C., AND WILLINK, E. 2001. Mass rearing of Anastrepha fraterculus (Diptera: Tephritidae): a preliminary strategy. Florida Entomol. 84: 716-718.
- KOVALESKI, A., AND RIBEIRO, L. G. 2003. Manejo de pragas na produção integrada de maçãs, pp. 61-76 *In* J. F. S. Protas and R. M. V. Sanhueza [eds.], Circular Técnica 34, Produção integrada de frutas: o caso da maçã no Brasil. Embrapa Uva e Vinho, Bento Gonçalves.
- LÓPEZ, O. P., HÉNAUT, Y., CANCINO, J., LAMBIN, M., CRUZ-LÓPEZ, L., AND ROJAS, J. 2009. Is host size an indicator of quality in the mass-reared parasitoid *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae)? Florida Entomol. 92: 441-449.
- MEIRELLES, R. N. 2011. Biologia e exigências térmicas de *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) em *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Wiedemann) e *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Dipetra: Tephritidae). 67 pp. Dissertation, Graduate Program in Plant Science, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre.
- MONTOYA, P., BENREY, B., BARRERA, J. F., ZENIL, M., RUIZ, L., AND LIEDO, P. 2003. Oviposition behavior and conspecific host discrimination in *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a fruit fly parasitoid. Biocontrol Sci. Tech. 13: 683-690.
- MONTOYA, P., LIEDO, P., BENREY, B., BARRERA, J. F., CANCINO, J., AND ALUJA. M. 2000. Functional Response and Superparasitism by *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), a Parasitoid of Fruit Flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). Ann. Entomol. Soc. America 93: 47-54.
- MONTOYA, P., CANCINO, J., PÉREZ-LACHAUD, G., AND LIEDO, P. 2011, Host size, superparasitism and sex ratio in mass reared *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata*, a fruit fly parasitoid. Biocontrol 56: 11-17.
- NAVA, D. E., AND BOTTON, M. 2010. Bioecologia e controle de Anastrepha fraterculus e Ceratitis capitata em pessegueiro. Embrapa Clima Temperado, Pelotas, Document 315. 29 pp.
- OVRUSKI, S. M., BEZDIJIAN, L. P., AND VAN NIEUWENHOVE, G. A. 2011. Host preference by *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) reared on larvae of *Anastrepha fraterculus* and *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Florida Entomol. 94: 195-200.
- SIVINSKI, J., ALUJA, M., AND HOLLER, T. 2006. Food sources for adult *Diachasmimorpha longicauda*ta, a parasitoid of tephritid fruit flies: effects on longevity and fecundity. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 118: 193-202.
- TERÁN, H. R. 1976. Comportamiento alimentario y su correlación a la reproducción en hembras de Ceratitis capitata (Wied.) (Diptera: Tephritidae). Rev. Agronómica del Noroeste Argentino 14: 17-34.
- VAN ALPHEN, J. J. M., AND VISSER, M. E. 1990. Superparasitism as an adaptive strategy for insect parasitoids. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 35: 59-79.
- VAN DIJKEN, M. J., AND WAAGE, J. K. 1987. Self and conspecific superparasitism by the egg parasitoid

Trichogramma evanescens. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 43: 183-192.

VAN NIEUWENHOVE, G. A., BEZDJIAN, L. P., AND OVRUSKI, S. M. 2012. Effect of exposure time and ratio of hosts to female parasitoids on offspring production of *Diachasmimorpha longicaudata* (Hymneoptera: Braconidae) reared on *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera: Tephritidae) larvae. Florida Entomol. 95: 99-104.