

Arge xanthogaster (Hymenoptera: Argidae): A New Threat to Rose Plants in Meghalaya, India

Authors: Flrake, D. M., Behere, G. T., Firake, P. D., Rajkhoa, D. J.,

Thakur, N. S. Azad, et al.

Source: Florida Entomologist, 96(4): 1298-1304

Published By: Florida Entomological Society

URL: https://doi.org/10.1653/024.096.0408

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

ARGE XANTHOGASTER (HYMENOPTERA: ARGIDAE): A NEW THREAT TO ROSE PLANTS IN MEGHALAYA, INDIA

D. M. FIRAKE^{1*}, G. T. BEHERE¹, P. D. FIRAKE², D. J. RAJKHOA², N. S. AZAD THAKUR¹, M. S. SAINI³, Z. RAHMAN¹ AND S. V. NGACHAN¹

¹Division of Crop Improvement, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya, India

²Division of Natural Resource Management, ICAR Research Complex for NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya, India

³Department of Zoology and Environmental Sciences, Punjabi University, Patiala, India

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dfirake@gmail.com

Abstract

The sawfly, $Arge\ xanthogaster\ (Cameron)\ (Hymenoptera: Argidae),$ has recently emerged as a major pest of roses (Rosa spp. L; Rosales: Rosaceae) in Meghalaya and causes around 80% damage to wild and cultivated rose plants. This is a first report of $A.\ xanthogaster$ as a pest of roses in India. Adults cause ovipositional injuries (split shoots) and larval feeding often results in complete defoliation. The species is multivoltine. Studies on its life history in new habitat are given. Since this species belongs to a species complex of Arge in which species are difficult to distinguish, an attempt was made to develop a DNA barcode based on standard barcoding gene cytochrome oxidase I (COI) of the mitochondrial DNA of this species.

Key Words: emerging pest, Arge xanthogaster, rose, gregarious and hymenopteran pest

RESUMEN


El árgido, *Arge xanthogaster* (Cameron) (Hymenoptera: Argidae), ha surgido recientemente como una de las principales plagas de rosas (*Rosa* spp L; Rosales: Rosaceae) en Meghalaya y causa alrededor del 80% de daño a las plantas silvestres y cultivadas de rosa. Esto es el primer informe de *A. xanthogaster* como una plaga de rosas en la India. Los adultos causan lesiones (brotes divididos) al poner los huevos y la alimentación de las larvas a menudo resulta en una defoliación total. La especie es multivoltina. Se presentan estudios sobre su historia de vida en el nuevo hábitat. Puesto que esta especie pertenece a un complejo de especies de *Arge* en que las especies son difíciles de distinguir, se hizo un intento para desarrollar un código de barras de ADN sobre la base de los códigos de barras estándar gen citocromo oxidasa I (COI) del ADN mitocondrial de esta especie.

Palabras Clave: plagas emergentes, Arge xanthogaster, rosa, plaga gregario e himenóptero

Roses (*Rosa* spp. L.; Rosales: Rosaceae) are one of the world's leading and most popular flower crops of commercial importance. In India, it is first in demand and popularity among cultivated flowers. A large number of insects attack rose plants at almost every stage, and sometimes they cause severe damage (Duraimurugan & Jagadish 2011). Recently, a sawfly, *Arge xanthogaster* (Cameron 1876) (Hymenoptera: Argidae) (Figs. 1-6) has emerged as a major defoliator of roses in Meghalaya causing about 80-85% damage to wild and cultivated rose plants. A significant increase in their population was observed during 2011-12.

The family Argidae includes a number of destructive, multivoltine species. Arge Schrank is a

large genus of Argidae with over 300 recognized world species (Taeger et al. 2010). A number of species of Arge are associated with roses e.g., A. ochropus (Gmelin 1790), A. pagana (Panzer, 1798), A. nigrinodosa Motschulsky (Kawasaki et al. 2012), A. simlaensis (Cameron) (Mahmood & Ullah 2011), A. geei Rohwer (Jiansheng et al. 1996), A. przhevalskii Gussakovskij (Ying & Yuan 1992) and A. fumipennis (F. Smith) (Chauhan et al. 2002). Seven species, including A. xanthogaster, are very closely related and are collectively considered as the "xanthogaster group" (Chen et al. 2009) or "ochropa group" (Smith 1989), viz., A. paganiformis Rohwer, A. pagana (Panzer, 1798), A. luteiventris Cameron, A. brevigaster Wei, A.



Figs. 1-6. Arge xanthogaster (Cameron, 1876). 1. Forewing of variant of Meghalaya; 2. Forewing of variant of Sikkim and Manipur. 3r-m = 3rd Cubital vein; A = Anal vein; B1-B2 = Brachial cells 1&2; C1-C4 = Cubital cells 1-4; D1-D3 = Discoidal cells 1-3; M = Medial cell; P = Postellan cell; R = Radial cell; S = Submedian cells; 3. Egg laying posture of female. Adult females possess a strong sawlike ovipositor, which is used to make parallel cuts in the fresh/tender shoots of the host plant; 4a and 4b. Eggs are oval shaped and yellow in color; 5. Eggs of in 2 longitudinal rows in a branch of a rose plant. Sawfly places eggs singly in 2 parallel longitudinal rows in slits in the young shoots; 6. First instar larvae feeding on rose leaf from the leaf edge. After hatching the neonates quickly start feeding and devour tender leaves on rose bushes.

albocaudalica Wei & Yan et He, A. xanthogaster Cameron and A. geei Rohwer.

Arge xanthogaster Cameron has been reported from Manipur, Sikkim and Uttar Pradesh states of India, but information on its hosts and its biology is lacking (Saini & Thind 1995). As per the existing primary literature and to our knowledge, the pest status of A. xanthogaster has not been reported, and therefore, this is the first report of A. xanthogaster as a pest of roses from India. Because of difficulties in identification of species of the *xanthogaster* group, we attempted to develop a DNA barcode based on standard barcoding of cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene of the mitochondrial DNA of this species. Furthermore, proper management strategies of an emerging pest are largely based on better understanding of their life cycle and behavior. Here, we thus report on the biology and damage of A. xanthogaster in Meghalaya and on the development of a DNA barcode that may be helpful in future identification.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Species identification was confirmed by an expert sawfly taxonomist, Dr. M. S. Saini (Punjabi University, Patiala, Punjab state, India). Specimens were thoroughly examined for any kind of morphological variability among present specimens of sawfly of Meghalaya and those specimens previously collected from Manipur and Sikkim states of India. Wing venation was selected to explore variability among the present populations of Meghalaya and previous specimens of Manipur and Sikkim states. The illustrations were prepared with the aid of a zoom stereoscopic binocular (Kyowa Getner DVZ-555 with maximum magnification of 90X) fitted with an ocular grid in 1 eye piece. The inking of the final drawings was done with Rottering black ink. The illustrations were scanned at 600 dpi black and white, and mounted onto plates by Adobe©Photoshop©8.0.

For DNA extraction and PCR amplification, larvae were collected from infected rose plants from 2 different locations and reared to adults in the laboratory. Four adults (2 from each location) were used for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted from whole adults (minus wings and head) using a DNeasy® Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Cat. # 6950) and by following the supplier's instructions for animal tissue. PCR amplifications were performed using standard barcoding primers (LepF1 and LepR1) in a 50 µL reaction volume consisting of 25.0 µL of 2X Fermentas PCR Master Mix (Fermentas, Cat. # K0171); 2.5 μM of each of LepF1 (5'-ATTCAAC-CAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3') and LepR1 (5'-TA-AACTTCTGGATGTCCAAAAAATCA-3') primers and 40-60 ng of template DNA.

PCR conditions had following profile: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min (1 cycle); 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 40 s and 72 °C for 1 min (05 cycles); 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 40 s and 72 °C for 1 min (35 cycles) followed by a final extension cycle at 72 °C for 10 min. The success of PCR amplification was confirmed by running out 10 μL of the post-PCR volume along with 100 bp ladder (Fermentas, Cat. # SM0241) on 1.5% agarose gels at 140 V for 30 min and stained with ethidium bromide and visualized over a UV light illuminator.

The successful PCR amplicons (40 µL post-PCR) were sent for direct sequencing to the Chromous Biotech Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, India. For accuracy purpose, all the samples were sequenced from both the ends (5' and 3'). The DNA sequences obtained were analyzed using Pregap4 and Gap4 programs within the Staden Molecular Biology analysis software (Staden et al. 1998). Nucleotide sequences were aligned using the sequence alignment program Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997) and were checked manually. Sequence identity was determined by BLASTN search (Altschul et al. 1997) against the nr DNA database deposited in GenBank.

Life history studies were undertaken at Umiam (N 25° 41' 01.91" and E 91° 54' 46.24") and adjoining areas of the Ri-Bhoi District (mid hills), Meghalaya State, India. This area receives annual rainfall of about 2,000 mm and its altitude varies from 1,000 to 1,100 m asl. In order to quantify the infestation level, a separate scale was made on the basis of plant damage, 0-25%, 26-50%, 51-75% and 76-100% defoliation/damaged plants were designated scale I, scale II, scale III and scale IV, respectively. A total of 432 rose plants (both wild and garden) were selected randomly in the locality and observations were taken at monthly intervals.

Rearing was carried out in the IPM laboratory, Division of Crop Improvement (Entomology), ICAR Research Complex of NEH Region, Umiam, Meghalaya, India (N 25.6532° E 91.8843°) during 2010-12. About 20 small pots (20 cm

diam × 20 cm height) containing medium sized rose plants (30 cm height) were kept in the natural environment (during Jun-Jul) and female A. xanthogaster were allowed to lay eggs inside the tender twigs. After 3 days, pots with rose plants were brought to the laboratory and kept in specially designed insect rearing cages (size: $45 \times 45 \times 45$ cm, front and upper side covered with glass and the remaining 3 sides covered with fine insect proof nylon net) and photoperiod of 16:8 h L:D was maintained throughout the rearing. Newly emerged larvae (Figs. 7-12) were allowed to grow and develop to the prepupal stage on these rose plants. Morphological characters of each larval instar were recorded by a digital microscope and larval weight was measured by a digital electronic balance (Mettler Toledo® AB analytical balance, Model AB104-S). After pupal formation, cocoons were separated out and kept in large glass jars until adult emergence. Cotton soaked with water and honey solution (30%) was provided to adults for food.

After mating, 5 females were kept separately inside specially developed rearing cages (45 × 45 × 45 cm) and were allowed to lay eggs inside the tender twigs of rose plants. Fresh and medium-sized rose plants were provided regularly at 2-day intervals. The number of eggs laid per day was counted by a magnifying lens, and total life time fecundity was recorded. Rearing conditions during the experimental period were 23-25 °C, 85-90% RH and 16:8 L:D. Observations on incubation, larval period, pupal period, lifetime fecundity and adult longevity were recorded at appropriate stages and times.


RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Identification of the Sawfly

Fresh specimens from Meghalaya were identified by comparing genitalia of sawflies with previously published reports of Saini & Thind (1995), and they were found to be *Arge xanthogaster* (Cameron) (Argidae: Hymenoptera).

Morphological Variation in *A. xanthogaster* of Northeastern India

Arge xanthogaster was previously reported from Manipur and Sikkim states of India by Saini & Thind (1995) without mentioning host plant records. Some external morphological variations were observed in A. xanthogaster. In some individuals, the bluish-black color of the abdominal terga extends to the last segment and usually the color of wings was dark brown or occasionally slightly lighter. In addition, a few differences were also observed in wing venation of A. xanthogaster obtained from Meghalaya (Figs. 1 and



Figs. 7-12. Arge xanthogaster. 7. Larvae of feeding on rose leaves. Early larval instars are gregarious and feed heavily on leaves and flower buds. Slight disturbance of the larvae results in them curling the posterior of their bodies in a snake-like manner; 8a. Dorsal view of mature larva. Mature larvae turn yellow with black spots and feed individually. Full-grown larvae show aposematic body colors as well as pubescence; 8b. Ventral view of mature larva; 9. Cocoon. Mature larvae fall down to the ground and spin cocoons (dirty white in color) located on or in the soil; 10. Pupa of Arge xanthogaster; 11. Cocoons of Arge xanthogaster aggregated in a group; and 12. Dorsal view of an adult of Arge xanthogaster.

2). The nervulus of the forewing meets at the tip of the anal cell, whereas in specimens from Sikkim and Manipur (Fig. 2) the same joins the anal cell much before its tip. In specimens from Meghalaya, the 2nd recurrent vein joins the 3rd cubital cell in the middle, whereas it is joined to the first half of the 3rd cubital cell in case of the Sikkim and Manipur specimens. Furthermore, in specimens of Meghalaya, the basalis was almost straight whereas in specimens of Sikkim and Manipur it was distinctly curved in its basal half. These variations were found in almost all the available (collected) specimens of A. xanthogaster from the 3 above mentioned states. However, wing venation can vary in sawflies, but the populations exhibited no variation in genitalic morphology.

Development of a DNA Barcode

DNA from all individuals tested in this study was amplified successfully. The standard insect DNA barcoding primers (LepF1 and LepF2) used in this study amplified the target 790 bp fragment of COI gene of mtDNA. Direct se-

quencing gave good quality sequences for all the samples. A final 641 bp sequence were used for alignment after trimming the messy ends (5' and 3') of the sequences. Due to the absence of INDELs, alignment of all the sequences was straight forward. The SNPs (Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms) were not observed among all the 4 individual sequenced. BLASTN search of 641 bp sequence as a query in NCBI yielded many possible targets corresponding to the 5' end of COI gene; and Arge nigripes (Accession numbers EF032285 and AF146680) showed the closest homology (88%, E value 0.0) to the query (A. xanthogaster). Beside A. nigripes, other hits did not belong to the genus Arge. A representative sequence of A. xanthogaster has been deposited to the NCBI with accession number JX532103. Due to the absence of sequence information for this gene (COI) in genbanks, the correct identity of this species was not established at the molecular level; however, the taxonomic and molecular information generated and deposited in genbank from this study certainly should be helpful for future molecular identification and confirmation of this species.

Damage and Life History of Arge xanthogaster

During the peak infestation period (i.e., Jul and Aug), out of a total of 432 plant surveyed, 39.67%, 28%, 24% and 9.33% plants were found having 0-25% (Scale I), 26-50% (Scale II), 51-75% (Scale III) and 76- 100% (Scale IV) defoliation, respectively. Furthermore, about 22% of the rose plants were found completely damaged at the end of Nov (by both defoliation and ovipositional injury, i.e., split shoots)

Arge xanthogaster was active from May to Dec, and the maximum damage (80% larval damage) was found to occur from late Jul to Nov. Adult females possessed a strong sawlike ovipositor, which was used to make parallel cuts in the fresh/tender shoots of the host plant (Fig. 3) and in which they deposited yellow-colored oval eggs (Fig. 4). The eggs were placed singly in 2 longitudinal rows in slits (21.7 \pm 2.83 mm long, n=386) within the young shoots (Fig. 5). Each slit contained 27 ± 2.13 eggs (n=278). The color of the eggs changed gradually, turning brown or black as the eggs matured.

Mean incubation period was found to be 7.60 ± 0.16 days (n=178). After hatching the neonates quickly started to feed and began to devour tender leaves on rose bushes (Fig. 6). Early larval instars were gregarious and fed heavily on leaves and flower buds (Fig. 7). The young larvae were dark green and looked similar to lepidopteran caterpillars. Mature larvae (about 25 mm long) turned yellow with black spots and fed individually (Figs. 8a and 8b). In many cases, entire defoliation led to heavy plant damage especially to the flower bearing branches. Larval development took 16.30 ± 0.21 days and passed through about 5 or 6 larval instars (n=430).

Full-grown larvae showed aposematic body colors as well as pubescence, which generally provide protection from other invertebrates and predatory birds (Guilford 1990; Costa 1997). Additionally, larvae curl and uplift their posterior portion in a snakelike manner, especially when threatened. When mature, larvae descended to the ground and spun cocoons (dirty white in color) in or on the soil (Fig. 9) and completion of pupation occurred inside the cocoon. The pupa was yellowish and exarate (Fig. 10). On occasion, full-grown larvae came together and formed grouped cocoons (Fig. 11). The pupal stage lasted for 10.10 \pm 0.23 days (n = 430).

The adults were entirely black, except for the abdomen which was yellowish orange with black lines on the dorsal surface (Fig. 12). However, in the folded-wing condition, the sawfly appeared completely black. Female adults with a longevity of 6.40 ± 0.16 days, laid around 187 ± 3.5 eggs in 6 to 7 slits (n = 382). Overall, *A. xanthogaster* took about 40.40 ± 0.76 days to complete a full life cycle and around 6 to 7 overlapping generations

per yr have been found in the study region (n = 298). Females mate generally once during their life; however, parthenogenetic reproduction was also commonly observed. Pupal diapause has also been observed and overwintering occurred from the end of Dec to early May.

The overall results revealed that A. xanthogaster was mainly observed from May to Dec; and from Jan to Apr it was diapausing in the pupal stage. This schedule may be an adaptation to the severe winter (from mid-Dec to mid-Mar) in the region. The detailed biology of A. pagana was studied by Roller (2006) in central Slovakia (about N 48°), who found that late Jul to early Aug was its main flight period at this northern latitude.

Zenghe et al. (1991) studied the life cycle of a related sawfly, A. pagana and reported the following information on life cycle; incubation period (6-12 days), larval period (8.5-18 days), pupal period (8-13.5 days) and adult longevity (1.5-9.5 days); and this life cycle was more or less similar to that of A. xanthogaster. Early instar larvae of A. xanthogaster were gregarious and fed together. Subsequently during last mature stage, they became solitary. Bright coloration and gregariousness are generally linked traits in insects (Guilford 1990; Ruxton & Sherratt 2006), and A. xanthogaster had these traits. Similar characteristics have also been reported in several other sawfly species (Liston et al. 2010), and other common pest species, especially Lepidoptera (Fitzgerald 1995; Tullberg & Hunter 1996: Reader & Hochuli 2003: Lytan & Firake 2012). These characteristics could aid in avoiding increased risk of predation and parasitism, which, occurs comparatively more frequently under solitary conditions (Costa 1997; Firake et al. 2012). In addition, gregariousness also improves resource localization and assimilation (Bryant et al. 2000; Fordyce 2003), better survivorship and improved growth rate (Allen 2010). In addition to this, a slight disturbance to *A. xan*thogaster larvae results in them curling their posterior body (in a snake-like manner), which may reinforce the effectiveness of the pubescence by producing a 'hedgehog effect'. Similar behaviors have been reported in A. pagana, and they are believed to be anti-predator defense activities (Petre et al. 2007).

Arge pagana produced 2 to 3 generations from May to Oct, whereas A. ochropus had 1 or 2 generations per yr (http://uknature.co.uk/A.paganainfo.html). However, in our study, A. xanthogaster produced 6 to 7 overlapping generations in a yr, which were facilitated by the prolonged favorable climate (mainly warm temperatures and ample rainfall) of the region. Parthenogenesis is quite widespread in sawflies (Ying 1992; Zhenghe et al. 1991; Sahragard & Heydari 2001; Mahmood & Ullah 2011), and was observed in our study. Unlike other rose sawflies, for example A. rosae

(Sahragard & Heydari 2001), female A. xanthogaster were found to be highly fecund and to lay about 3 times more eggs during their similar life span. Higher fecundity in A. xanthogaster may be caused by the favorable temperatures in their microhabitat. As the temperature of the microhabitat increases towards optimum, insects become more fecund (Sanders et al. 1978), develop faster (Lysyk 1989), and also have greater early instar survival (Lucuik 1984).

This study reports that *A. xanthogaster* has emerged as an additional pest of roses in the state of Meghalaya, India. Given the commercial importance of rose plants to the floriculture industry, timely management of this pest should be undertaken before it spreads to other parts of the country. This species lays eggs in groups inside the stem and early instar larvae are also gregarious; hence mechanical destruction of egg masses and larvae could be useful to control them effectively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. David R. Smith, Research Entomologist (retired), Systematic Entomology Laboratory, USDA, Washington, D.C., for his valuable help on improvement of initial draft of this manuscript. Authors also would like to thank 4 anonymous reviewers for their critical review in improving the manuscript.

REFERENCES CITED

- ALLEN, P. E. 2010. Group size effects on survivorship and adult development in the gregarious larvae of *Euselasia chrysippe* (Lepidoptera, Riodinidae). Insect Sociaux 57: 199-204.
- ALTSCHUL, S. F., MADDEN, T. L., SHAFER, A. A., ZHANG, J., ZHANG, Z., MILLER, W., LIPMAN, D. J. AND ET AL. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSIBLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25: 3389-3402.
- BRYANT, S. R., THOMAS, C. D., AND BALE, J. S. 2000. Thermal ecology of gregarious and solitary nettle-feeding nymphalid butterfly larvae. Oecologia 122:
- CAMERON, P. 1876. Descriptions of new genera and species of Tenthredinidae and Siricidae, chiefly from the East Indies, in the collection of the British Museum. Trans. Entomol. Soc. London 459 -471.
- CHAUHAN, U., KIRAN, D., AND SHARMA, K. C. 2002. Quantitative intake and utilization of rose leaves by the sawfly, *Arge fumipennis* Smith (Hymenoptera: Argidae). Pest Mgt. Hort. Ecosyst. 8: 1-4.
- CHEN, Y.Y., KAI, W. M., AND KE, H. Y. 2009. A New species of the genus *Arge* Schrank from China (Hymenoptera, Argidae) with a key to species of *Arge xanthogaster* group from east Asia. Acta Zootaxon. Sinica 34: 58-61.
- COSTA, J. T. 1997. Caterpillars as social insects. American Sci. 85: 150-159.
- DURAIMURUGAN, P., AND JAGADISH, A. 2011. Preliminary studies on the biology of *Scirtothrips dorsalis*

- Hood (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) as a pest of rose in India. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot. 44: 37-45.
- FIRAKE, D. M. LYTAN, D., BEHERE, G. T., AND AZAD THAKUR, N. S. 2012. Host Plants Alter the Reproductive behavior of *Pieris brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) and its solitary larval endo-parasitoid, *Hyposoter ebeninus* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) in a cruciferous ecosystem. Florida Entomol. 95(4): 905-913.
- FITZGERALD, T. D. 1995. The Tent Caterpillars. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York.
- FORDYCE, J. A. 2003. Aggregative feeding of pipe vine swallowtail larvae enhances host plant suitability. Oecologia 135: 250-257.
- GMELIN, J. F. 1790. *Tenthredo ochropus* in Linnaeus, Systema Naturae, Edn. 13, Vol. 1: 2657.
- GUILFORD, T. 1990. The evolution of aposematism, pp. 23-61 In D. L. Evans and J. O. Schmidt [eds.], Insect Defenses: Adaptive Mechanisms and Strategies of Prey and Predators. State University of New York Press, Albany, New York.
- ZHENGHE, H, WU, J., AND ZHANG, Z. 1991. Biology and control of the sawfly, *Arge pagana* Panzer. J. South China Agric. Univ. 02-011.
- JIANSHENG, W., JUYONG, S., AND HONGBIN, Z. 1996. Studies on biology of Arge geei Rohwer. Entomol. Knowledge 33: 87-90.
- KAWASAKI, M., FUJITA, M., SAKURAI, A., AND MAETO, K. 2012. Trimodal adult emergence in summer generations of the rose sawfly Arge nigrinodosa (Hymenoptera: Argidae). J. Hymenoptera Res. 25: 1-14.
- LISTON, A. D., SAVINA, H., AND SHAW, M. R. 2010. Bilateral asymmetry in larvae of some Argidae (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinoidea), Antenna 34: 58-63.
- LUCUIK, G. S. 1984. Effect of climatic factors on post diapause emergence and survival of spruce budworm (*Choristoneura fumiferana*) larvae (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), Canadian Entomol. 116: 1077-1084.
- LYSYK, T. J. (1989). Stochastic model of eastern spruce budworm (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) phenology on white spruce and balsam fir. J. Econ. Entomol. 82: 1161-1168.
- Lytan, D., and Firake, D. M. 2012. Effects of host plants and rearing atmosphere on biology of large white cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* (L.). Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot. DOI:10.1080/0323 5408.2012.711682.
- MAHMOOD, K., AND ULLAH, M. 2011. Additions to the knowledge of taxonomy and biology of *Arge simlaensis* (Cameron) (Argidae: Hymenoptera) in rain fed conditions of Punjab (Pakistan). Pakistan J. Zool. 43: 821-823.
- PANZER, G. W. F. 1798. Faunae Insectorum Germanicae initia oder Deutschlands Insecten. Felssecker, Nurnberg 5 (49-60): each with tab. 1-24.
- Petre, C. A., Detrain, C., and Boeve, J. L. 2007. Anti-predator defence mechanisms in sawfly larvae of *Arge* (Hymenoptera, Argidae). J. Insect Physiol. 53: 668-675.
- READER, T., AND HOCHULI, D. F. 2003. Understanding gregariousness in a larval lepidopteran: the roles of host plant, predation, and microclimate. Ecol. Entomol. 28: 729-737.
- ROLLER, L. 2006. Seasonal flight activity of sawflies (Hymenoptera, Symphyta) in a submontane region

- of the Western Carpathians, Central Slovakia. Biol. Bratislava, 61: 193-205.
- Ruxton, G. D., and Sherratt, T. N. 2006. Aggregation, defence and warning signals: the evolutionary relationship. Proc. R. Soc. London 273: 2417-2424.
- SAHRAGARD, A., AND HEYDARI, R. 2001. Biology and spatial distribution of rose sawfly, *Arge rosae* (Hymenoptera.: Argidae) in Guitan. J. Entomol. Soc. Iran, 21: 25-37.
- SAINI, M. S., AND THIND, A. S. 1995. Revision of genus *Arge* Schrank from India (Hymenoptera, Symphyta, Argidae). Deutsche Entomol. Zeitschrift 42: 71-111.
- SANDERS, C. J., WALLACE, D. R., AND LUCUIK, G. S. 1978. Flight activity of female eastern spruce budworm (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) at constant temperatures in the laboratory, Canadian Entomol. 107: 1289-1299.

- SMITH, D. R. 1989. The sawfly genus Arge (Hymenoptera: Argidae) in the Western Hemisphere. Trans. American Entomol. Soc. 115: 83-205.
- STADEN, R., BEAL, K. F., AND BONFIELD, J. K. 1998. The Staden Package. Computer Methods Mol. Biol. 132: 115-130
- TAEGER, A. S. M., BLANK, B., AND LISTON, A. D. 2010. World Catalog of Symphyta (Hymenoptera). Zootaxa 2580: 1-1064.
- TULLBERG, B. S., AND HUNTER, A. F. 1996. Evolution of larval gregariousness in relation to repellent defences and warning coloration in tree-feeding Macrolepidoptera: a phylogenic analysis based on independent contrasts. Biol. J. Linnaean Soc. 57: 253-276.
- YING, Q., AND YUAN, F. C. 1992. Biology and control of the sawfly *Arge przhevalskii* Guss. Acta Entomol. Sinica, 35: 200-207. Web sources: http://uknature. co.uk/A.pagana-info.html