



Effects of Ultraviolet (254nm) Irradiation on Egg Hatching and Adult Emergence of the Flour Beetles, *Tribolium castaneum*, *T. confusum* and the Almond Moth, *Cadra cautella*

Authors: Faruki, S. I., Das, D. R., Khan, A. R., and Khatun, M.

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 7(36) : 1-6

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.007.3601>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Effects of ultraviolet (254nm) irradiation on egg hatching and adult emergence of the flour beetles, *Tribolium castaneum*, *T. confusum* and the almond moth, *Cadra cautella*

S. I. Faruki*, D. R. Das, A. R. Khan and M. Khatun

Department of Zoology, Rajshahi University Rajshahi, 6205, Bangladesh

Abstract

The eggs of the stored grain pests, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst), *T. confusum* (Duval) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *Cadra cautella* (Walker) (Lepidoptera; Pyralidae) belonging to three age groups, 1, 2, and 3 days-old, were exposed to ultraviolet (UV) radiation with 254nm wavelength (UV-C) for different durations to determine irradiation effects on egg-hatching and adult emergence. An increase in time of exposure to UV-rays caused a gradual decrease in the percentage of hatching of eggs in all age groups of eggs. No hatching occurred after 24 minutes of exposure in 2 and 3 day-old eggs of *T. confusum*. *C. cautella* eggs were less sensitive to UV-rays than were *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum* eggs. All the exposure periods significantly reduced the eclosion of adults in all the experimental insects. No adults emerged when 3 day-old eggs of *T. castaneum* were irradiated for 16 or 24 minutes, or from 2 and 3 day-old eggs *T. confusum* irradiated for 16 or 24 minutes.

Keywords: physical control, red flour beetle, confused flour beetle, almond moth, tropical warehouse moth

*Correspondence: faruki64@yahoo.com, dipalird@yahoo.com

Received: 2 July 2006 | **Accepted:** 17 December 2006 | **Published:** 29 May 2007

Copyright: This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.

ISSN: 1536-2442 | Volume 7, Number 36

Cite this paper as:

Faruki SI, Das DR, Khan AR, Khatun MM. 2007. Effects of ultraviolet (254nm) irradiation on egg hatching and adult emergence of the flour beetles, *Tribolium castaneum*, *T. confusum* and the almond moth, *Cadra cautella*. 6pp. *Journal of Insect Science* 7:36, available online: insectscience.org/7.36

Introduction

The presence of insects in stored products results in both contamination and substantial economic damage due to the loss of the products and a decrease of nutritional value (Wilbur and Mills 1985; Burkholder and Faustini 1991). Fumigants and other chemical insecticides are widely used to protect stored commodities from insect infestations and contamination but their use leads to problem of undesirable residues (Anon. 1974, 1976; Mensah et al. 1979) and development of resistance in certain insect species (Champ and Dyte 1976, 1977; Zettler 1982; Saleem and Shakoori 1989, 1990). Moreover, the injudicious use of synthetic pesticides and its concomitant impact on environment has necessitated exploration for alternative non-toxic pest control methods. Irradiation has become an established technique for controlling stored grain insects because of residue free advantages over chemical fumigation (Tunchilek 1995). Pszczola (1997) demonstrated the acceptability of irradiation technology as an alternative treatment for food protection because irradiation can extend the shelf life of various fruits and vegetables, and maintain the quality of the product over a longer period of time. Irradiated foods may be more acceptable to those sensitive to chemical treatments (Pszczola 1997). Hasan and Khan (1998) mentioned that irradiation does not significantly change the quality of the food material or stored seeds. Generally, ionizing radiation such as gamma rays and X-rays are used for the disinfestations of bulk grains under storage conditions. Treatment with UV-radiation has obviously less penetrating effect than ionizing radiations and therefore has limited use for bulk grains. However, the results have theoretical value and UV-radiation can be used for the treatment of small amounts of grains intended for household use.

The Ultraviolet (UV) portion of the spectrum is widely used as germicide and as an attractant for insects (Bruce 1975), in embryological-physiological studies (Bodenstein 1953) and for the surface disinfection of insect eggs (Guerra et al. 1968). A number of investigators have considered the possibility of using UV-rays to control, or at least to suppress development of various species of stored products insects (Calderon and Navarro 1971; Calderon et al. 1985; Faruki and Khan 1993; Sharma and Dwivedi 1997; Faruki 2005; Faruki et al. 2005).

Typically, the embryonic stage of an animal is a period of higher radio sensitivity and insects are no exception (Tilton and Brower 1983). The rust-red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst), the confused flour beetle, *T. confusum* duVal, and the almond moth, *Cadra cautella* (Walker), also known as the tropical warehouse moth, are major storage pests. The present investigation was, therefore, aimed at determining the effects of UV-rays (UV-C) on hatching and adult emergence in *T. castaneum*, *T. confusum* and *C. cautella* eggs of various ages.

Materials and Methods

Sources and rearing of test insects

T. castaneum was originally received from the Pest Infestation Control Laboratory, Slough, England, and *T. confusum* was obtained from the Food Entomology Laboratory, National Food Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan. They were cultured for a few years in the Insect Research Laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of Rajshahi, on a rearing medium containing whole-wheat flour and Brewer's yeast (19:1). *C. cautella* was collected from a Government Warehouse at Rajshahi as larvae and reared on groundnut kernels (*Arachis hypogaea* L.).

Egg production, collection and sorting of eggs

The eggs of *Tribolium* spp. were collected by placing large numbers of beetles on the rearing medium. On the following day the culture medium was sieved to separate the adults and eggs that were 1 day-old. The eggs were kept in Petri dishes to obtain the eggs of 2 and 3 day-old eggs.

For collecting the eggs of *C. cautella*, a number of healthy pupae were sexed and kept in beakers until adult eclosion. Freshly emerged moths were allowed to mate and placed in beakers for oviposition. A piece of hard white paper was inserted inside the beaker for resting of the adults and the top of the beaker was covered with a netted cloth. The beakers with moths were inverted over Petri dishes for an easy collection of the eggs. On the following day 1 day-old eggs were collected. Some of these eggs were kept in Petri dishes to obtain 2 and 3 day-old eggs.

The incubation period of the eggs of *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum* varies from 6–7 days and of *C. cautella* from 5–6 days at 30° C.

Table 1. Hatching (%) of UV-irradiated eggs of three stored products insects

Pest species	Exposure period (min)	Ages of eggs (days)					
		1		2 day		3 day	
		Mean ± SEM	reduction*	Mean ± SEM	reduction*	Mean ± SEM	reduction*
<i>T. castaneum</i>	o (Control)	94.00 ± 2.61 a	—	91.33 ± 1.52 a	—	94.00 ± 0.67 a	—
	2	77.67 ± 1.54 b	17.37	62.67 ± 3.67 b	31.38	82.67 ± 2.50 a	12.05
	4	73.67 ± 0.08 b	21.63	38.00 ± 3.04 c	58.39	37.00 ± 3.47 b	60.64
	8	70.00 ± 3.68 b	25.53	21.00 ± 2.50 d	77.01	6.33 ± 3.30 c	93.27
	16	51.00 ± 1.54 c	45.74	10.33 ± 1.61 de	88.69	3.33 ± 1.04 c	96.46
	24	18.87 ± 1.84 d	79.93	5.66 ± 1.45 e	93.80	0.67 ± 0.41 c	99.29
<i>T. confusum</i>	o (Control)	85.33 ± 1.11 a	—	86.00 ± 2.00 a	—	89.33 ± 1.33 a	—
	2	53.33 ± 1.77 b	37.50	74.00 ± 5.30 ab	13.95	72.00 ± 3.06 b	19.40
	4	33.33 ± 2.91 c	60.94	61.33 ± 5.82 b	28.69	59.33 ± 4.81 c	33.58
	8	27.33 ± 5.21 c	67.97	34.67 ± 4.06 c	59.69	26.67 ± 2.41 d	70.14
	16	13.33 ± 0.67 d	84.38	0.67 ± 0.67 d	99.22	0.67 ± 0.67 e	99.25
	24	2.67 ± 0.67 d	96.87	0.00 d	—	0.00 e	—
<i>C. cautella</i>	o (Control)	85.67 ± 8.09 a	—	93.00 ± 3.05 a	—	91.67 ± 2.40 a	—
	2	71.66 ± 3.53 ab	16.35	81.67 ± 3.28 a	12.18	83.67 ± 3.93 ab	8.73
	4	56.33 ± 4.41 bc	34.25	63.66 ± 4.41 b	31.55	78.33 ± 4.18 b	14.55
	8	52.67 ± 4.33 bc	38.52	44.00 ± 6.67 c	52.69	73.33 ± 2.03 b	20.00
	16	41.00 ± 2.08 c	52.14	53.33 ± 7.27 bc	42.66	52.00 ± 2.89 c	43.27
	24	46.33 ± 7.25 c	45.92	43.33 ± 6.13 c	53.41	52.07 ± 1.33 c	43.20

* (%) reduction over the controls.

Means followed by the same letter in each column of each species are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$ by Tukey's (1953) multiple comparison test.

SEM, Standard error of the mean

UV-irradiation technique of eggs

The aim of these experiments was to determine age-specific resistance of the developing eggs to UV-irradiation. The experiments were conducted at a mean room temperature of $30 \pm 2^\circ \text{C}$ under an illumination of two 40W fluorescent bulbs with a photoperiod of 10:14 L:D without any humidity control.

A 15W UV germicidal lamp (GE15T8)(F.G. Bode & Co. Gmbh, www.optiker-bode.de) measuring 20 cm x 4 cm, emitting at a wavelength of 254nm (UV-C) was only the source of irradiation. During irradiation the room was illuminated with two 40W fluorescent bulbs. For irradiation of *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum*, eggs in a Petri dish (9cm) were placed on a surface (20.5 cm^2) 12 cm from the lamp. Eggs were irradiated for 2, 4, 8, 16 or 24 minutes. Exposure period was determined using a stopwatch. At the end of the exposure period the UV-lamp was turned off and the Petri dishes were removed immediately. After exposure, the irradiated and non-irradiated control eggs of different age groups were kept separately at $30 \pm 2^\circ \text{C}$ until hatching. Neonate larvae from both irradiated and non-irradiated eggs were transferred to plastic containers with rearing medium to observe adult eclosion. The experiment was replicated 3 times with 50 eggs for each exposure period and an equal number of non-irradiated eggs were raised as controls for each age group.

C. cautella eggs of desired ages were irradiated similar to the procedure of *Tribolium* eggs. Eggs

of different ages and exposure periods, either irradiated and/or non-irradiated, were kept in separate pieces of black adhesive tape to observe hatching. The black tape was used to prevent the hatched larvae from crawling away. To observe the emergence of adults, eggs were kept in separate Petri dishes with groundnut kernels until adult eclosion. All the experiments including controls for each age group were replicated 3 times with 100 eggs each.

Data and statistical analyses

Data were analyzed by Factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Minitab Inc. and a comparison test was done between means of control and exposure periods by Tukey's test of multiple comparisons (1953). The percent reduction in hatching of eggs in comparison to control was calculated using the formula: Percent reduction = $(X_1^- - X_2^-)/X_1^- \times 100$, where, X_1^- = mean hatching of control eggs and X_2^- = mean hatching of irradiated eggs. The adult recovery (%) was also analyzed by computing standardized normal deviate values (d, the difference between the mean and the value of interest divided by the standard deviation) for determining the differences in parameters having binomial distribution.

Results

Effect on hatching

It was found that UV-irradiation reduced hatching of eggs of all age groups; the effect

Table 2. Adult emergence (%) from UV-irradiated eggs of three stored products insects

Pest species	Exposure period (min)	Ages of eggs (days)					
		1		2 day		3 day	
		Mean ± SEM	d-value*	Mean ± SEM	d-value*	Mean ± SEM	d-value*
<i>T. castaneum</i>	0 (Control)	83.00 ± 0.97 a	—	88.00 ± 2.19 a	—	86.00 ± 1.54 a	—
	2	42.67 ± 4.03 b	5.76	38.00 ± 4.16 b	7.14	42.67 ± 4.67 b	6.19
	4	32.33 ± 2.66 bc	6.33	22.67 ± 4.60 bc	8.17	21.67 ± 3.29 c	8.04
	8	29.00 ± 3.35 bc	6.75	10.33 ± 2.80 cd	9.71	21.00 ± 19.72 c	8.13
	16	21.33 ± 1.78 cd c	7.71	3.67 ± 0.81 d	10.54	0.00 d	—
	24	10.33 ± 0.97 d	8.07	0.67 ± 0.66 d	9.70	0.00 d	—
<i>T. confusum</i>	0 (Control)	81.33 ± 1.77 a	—	83.33 ± 0.67 a	—	84.67 ± 2.41 a	—
	2	44.00 ± 2.31 b	5.33	64.67 ± 8.68 ab	3.11	62.00 ± 5.04 b	3.78
	4	26.67 ± 1.33 c	6.83	37.33 ± 11.41 b	6.57	32.00 ± 5.04 c	7.52
	8	22.00 ± 6.44 cd	7.42	6.67 ± 2.91c	8.52	6.00 ± 1.16 d	8.74
	16	8.00 ± 1.16 de	8.15	0.00 c	—	0.00 d	—
	24	1.33 ± 0.67 e	8.89	0.00 c	—	0.00 d	—
<i>C. cautella</i>	0 (Control)	80.33 ± 2.03 a	—	86.00 ± 4.93 a	—	85.67 ± 3.48 a	—
	2	50.33 ± 6.57 b	6.00	47.67 ± 2.19 b	7.67	20.33 ± 5.17 b	10.89
	4	42.00 ± 7.21 b	7.67	33.00 ± 3.79 bc	10.60	18.33 ± 3.48 b	11.22
	8	30.67 ± 0.67 bc	9.93	12.67 ± 3.93 c	12.22	11.33 ± 5.33 b	12.39
	16	19.67 ± 3.84 c	10.11	15.00 ± 6.00 c	11.83	7.00 ± 3.21 b	13.11
	24	12.33 ± 4.18 c	11.33	15.67 ± 3.18 c	11.72	9.00 ± 4.16 b	12.78

Means followed by the same letter in each column of each species are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$ by Tukey's (1953) multiple comparison test.
SEM, Standard error of the mean
d* = standardized normal deviate

gradually increased with increasing exposure periods (Table 1). Factorial ANOVA on hatching of eggs showed that UV-rays had significant effects on all species and their interactions (Table 3). All exposure periods of UV-radiation reduced the hatching of eggs in comparison to controls. It was observed that older eggs (2 and 3 day-old) of *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum* were more sensitive to UV-rays than younger eggs (1 day-old). Table 1 shows that in *T. castaneum* hatching of 1, 2 and 3 day-old eggs were reduced to 80, 94, and 99% respectively, after 24 min of exposure to UV-radiation. Hatching was inhibited up to 97, 100 and 100% respectively by 24 min exposure of 1, 2 and 3 day-old eggs of *T. confusum* to UV-irradiation. On the other hand, in *C. cautella* the younger eggs (1 and 2 day-old) were more sensitive to UV-rays than older eggs (3 day-old) (Table 1). The 1 day-old eggs of *T. castaneum* were less sensitive to irradiation than 3 day-old eggs, but the 1 day-old eggs of *T. confusum* and *C. cautella* were more sensitive. At all ages and exposure periods, *C. cautella* eggs were less sensitive to UV-rays than were *T. castaneum* and *T. confusum* eggs (Table 1).

Effect on adult emergence

Adult eclosion from UV-irradiated eggs of *T. castaneum*, *T. confusum* and *C. cautella* gradually decreased as the duration of exposure to radiation increased (Table 2). Very few adults emerged from 2 day-old eggs of *T. castaneum* and no adults developed from 3 day-old eggs of *T. castaneum* and 2 and 3 day-old eggs of *T. confusum* after 16 and 24 minutes of exposure to UV. In *C. cautella*, 3 day-old eggs were most

affected by exposure to UV for 24 minutes. Statistical analyses showed that exposure periods to UV-irradiation significantly reduced adult emergence, but there were no significant differences between the species in adult emergence following irradiation (Table 3).

$$d = \frac{P_1 - P_2}{\sqrt{p_1q_1/n_1 + p_2q_2/n_2}}$$

Discussion

A significant reduction in egg hatching as well as in adult emergence of three experimental storage insects was observed when eggs of different ages were exposed to UV irradiation. The results also showed that the younger eggs of *Tribolium* spp. and *C. cautella* were more sensitive to UV-rays than older ones that contrast with the findings of Calderon and Navarro (1971) who observed that older eggs of *Ephesia (=Cadra) cautella* were highly sensitive to UV-rays than younger eggs. Guerra et al. 1968 reported that when eggs of *Heliothis virescens* and *H. zea* were exposed to UV-rays of a short wavelength (2537Å) the percentage of egg-hatch was gradually decreased with increasing time of exposure, and no hatch occurred after an exposure of 20 minutes. Faruki et al. 2005 recorded that the fecundity and fertility of *Alphitobius diaperinus* eggs resulting from UV-irradiated 2nd and 3rd instar larvae were reduced significantly. Hasan et al. 1998 observed reduced fertility in eggs of the Uzi fly, *Exorista sorbillans* developing from UV-irradiated pupae. The present results support the finding of Beard (1972) who noted that eggs of the Indianmeal

Table 3. Factorial ANOVA on hatching of eggs and adult emergence from UV-irradiated eggs of three stored products insects

Source	DF	F-values for	
		hatching of eggs	adult emergence
Exposure periods (EP)	5	65.41 ^{***}	225.00 ^{***}
Species	2	27.50 ^{***}	0.87 ns
Ages	2	1.05 ns	6.62 ^{**}
EP x Species	10	2.73	3.15
EP x Ages	10	1.00 ns	1.95 ns
Species x Ages	4	7.63 ^{***}	3.18 [*]
Error	20		
Total	53		

Significant at

* P < 0.05,

** P = 0.01,

*** P = 0.001

ns = non-significant

moth, *Plodia interpunctella*, the wax-moth, *Galleria mellonella*, the large milkweed bug, *Oncopeltus fasciatus*, and worker termites were remarkably sensitive to UV-irradiation.

Yang and Sacher (1969) irradiated *T. castaneum* eggs of various ages with X-rays to determine the effect of doses and age on hatching. They recorded a delay in development that was proportional to the irradiation doses. The relation of the delay in development with respect to the dose was linear at all ages. Quraishi and Matin (1963) suggested that the sensitivity of eggs of the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis*, to radioisotopes differed at different stages of their development. The work of Seidel et al. 1940 may give a possible explanation of higher sensitivity of the older eggs to UV-rays than the younger eggs. They found that during early embryonic organization injury to the peripheral parts of the eggs by UV-exposure did not impede the viability of the activation centre. As development proceeds the embryonic regions became more specialized, and different organ fields can no longer replace each other. Thus, damaging of the surface tissue of the eggs can be fatal at the advanced stages of development by non-penetrating radiations like UV-rays.

Similar reduction in adult eclosion was reported by Hasan et al. 1998 working with UV-irradiated pupae of *E. sorbillans*. The present findings are also similar to the findings of Beard (1972), who reported that adult emergence was progressively decreased by higher doses when late stage larvae of *P. interpunctella* were irradiated with UV-rays. Adult emergence was significantly decreased when larvae of *T. castaneum* (Faruki 2005) and *A. diaperinus* (Faruki et al. 2005) were exposed to UV irradiation. When adult *C. chinensis* (Sharma

and Dwivedi 1997) and pupae of *A. diaperinus* (Parween et al. 2004) were exposed to UV-irradiation, the production of adult progeny was gradually reduced.

The significantly reduced hatching and adult emergence caused by UV-irradiation in the experimental pests is promising from pest management point of view. It may be concluded that irradiation is a very safe and clean method for food preservation and pest control. However, much more comprehensive research is needed.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Pest Infestation Control Laboratory, Slough, England and Food Entomology Laboratory, National Food Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan for supplying the test insects. The authors thank Professor M. Mahtab Ali, Chairman, Department of Zoology, Rajshahi University, Bangladesh, for providing the necessary laboratory facilities.

References

- Anonymous. 1974. Evaluations of some pesticide residues in food. *FAO, AGP: 1974/M/11; WHO pesticide Residue Ser. No. 4.* 545pp.
- Anonymous. 1976. Pesticide residues in food. Report of the 1975 Joint Meeting of the FAO Working Party of Experts on Pesticide Residues and WHO Expert Committee on Pesticide Residues. *FAO Plant Prod. Protect. Ser. No. 1; WHO Tech. Rep. Ser. No. 592.* 45pp.
- Beard RL. 1972. Lethal action of UV-irradiation on insects. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 65: 650-654.
- Bodenstein D. 1953. Embryonic development. In: Roder KD, editor. *Insect Physiology*. pp. 780-822. John Wiley.

- Bruce WA. 1975. Effect of UV-radiation on egg hatch of *Plodia interpunctella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research* 11: 243-244.
- Burkholder WE, Faustini DL. 1991. Biological methods of survey and control. In: Gorham JR, editor. *Ecology and Management of Food Industry Pests*. pp. 361–372. AOAC press.
- Calderon M, Navarro S. 1971. Effects of ultra-violet irradiation on the eggs of *Ephestia cautella* (Wlk) (Lepidoptera: Phycitidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research* 7: 309-311.
- Calderon M, Bruce WA, Leesch LG. 1985. Effect of UV-radiation on eggs of *Tribolium castaneum*. *Phytoparasitica* 13: 179-244.
- Champ BR, Dyte CE. 1976. Report of the FAO global survey of pesticides susceptibility of stored grain pests. In: *FAO Plant Production and Protection Series No. 5*. p. 297. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Champ BR, Dyte CE. 1977. FAO global survey of pesticide susceptibility of stored grain pests. *FAO Plant Protection Bulletin* 25: 49-67.
- Faruki SI. 2005. Effects of UV-radiation on the growth and development of malathion-susceptible and multi-resistant strains of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Bangladesh Journal of Entomology* 25: 55-63.
- Faruki SI, Khan AR. 1993. Potency of UV-radiation on *Carda cautella* (Walker) (Lepidoptera : Phycitidae) larvae treated with *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki*. *University Journal of Zoology, Rajshahi University* 12: 73-79.
- Faruki SI, Das DR, Khatun S. 2005. Effects of UV-radiation on the larvae of the lesser mealworm, *Alphitobius diaperinus* (Panzer)(Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and their progeny. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 5: 444-448.
- Guerra AA, Ouye MT, Bullock HR. 1968. Effect of ultraviolet irradiation on egg hatch, subsequent larval development, and adult longevity of the tobacco budworm and the bollworm. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 61: 541-542.
- Hasan M, Khan AR. 1998. Control of stored-product pests by irradiation. *Integrated Pest Management Review* 3: 15-29.
- Hasan M, Jahan MS, Khan AR. 1998. Effect of UV-radiation on the Uzi-fly, *Exorista sorbillans* Widemann, an endoparasitoid of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Insect Science & its Application* 18: 87-91.
- Mensah GWK, Watters FL, Webster GRB. 1979. Insecticide residues in milled fractions of dry or tough wheat treated with malathion, bromophos, iodofenphos, and pirimiphos-methyl. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 72: 728-731.
- Parween S, Faruki SI, Akther R. 2004. Growth and development of *Alphitobius diaperinus* (Panzer)(Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) developing from pupae irradiated with ultra-violet rays. *University Journal of Zoology, Rajshahi University* 23: 23-26.
- Pszczola DE. 1997. 20 ways to market the concept of food irradiation. *Food Technology* 51: 46-48.
- Quraishi MS, Matin M. 1963. Radiosensitivity of various stages of *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. In: *Radiation and radioisotopes applied to insects of agricultural importance*. pp. 479–484. IAEA.
- Saleem MA, Shakoori AR. 1989. Toxicity of malathion, permethrin, and cypermethrin against resistant and susceptible strains of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst.). *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* 20: 347-360.
- Saleem MA, Shakoori AR. 1990. The toxicity of eight insecticides to sixth instar larvae and adult beetles of *T. castaneum* (Herbst.). *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* 22: 207-216.
- Seidel F, Bock E, Krause G. 1940. Die organisation des insekteneis. *Naturwissenschaften* 28: 433-446.
- Sharma MK, Dwivedi SC. 1997. Investigation on the effects of ultraviolet and infrared light on the life cycle of *Callosobruchus chinensis* Linn. *Journal of Advanced Zoology* 18: 27-31.
- Tilton EW, Brower JH. 1983. Radiation effects on arthropods. In: Josephson ES, Peterson MS, editors. *Preservation of food by ionizing radiation*, Vol. 2. pp. 269–316. CRC Press Inc.
- Tukey JW. 1953. Multiple Comparisons., In: Zar JH, editor. *Biostatistical Analysis*, 4th edition. Chapter 11. Prentice Hall International, Inc.
- Tuncbilek AS. 1995. Effect of ^{60}Co gamma radiation on the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *Anzeiger fur Schadlingskunde Pflanzenschutz Umweltschutz* 68: 37-38.
- Wilbur DA, Mills RB. 1985. Stored Grain Insects. In: Pfadt RE, editor. *Fundamentals of Applied Entomology*, 4th edition. pp. 552–576. Macmillan.
- Yang TCH, Sacher GA. 1969. Effects of X-irradiation on some physiological properties of a developing *Tribolium*. In: *Argonne National Laboratory Annual Report*. pp. 49–50
- Zettler JL. 1982. Insecticide resistance in selected stored product insects infesting peanuts in the southern United States. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 75: 359-362.