

## **Anthropogenic Impacts on Aquatic Insects in Six Streams of South Western Ghats**

Authors: Dinakaran, S., and Anbalagan, S.

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 7(37) : 1-9

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.007.3701>

---

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](http://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



# Anthropogenic impacts on aquatic insects in six streams of south Western Ghats

**S. Dinakaran and S. Anbalagan**

Centre for Research in Aquatic Entomology, The Madura College, Madurai – 625 011. Tamil Nadu, India

## Abstract

Diversity patterns of aquatic insects among sampling sites lying within the unprotected and protected areas of Western Ghats were studied. This study primarily emphasizes whether anthropogenic influence is the prime cause for the presence of aquatic insects especially of pollution-sensitive organisms belonging to the orders Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera and Trichoptera, or to factors such as the physico-chemical features of the water, or sampling methods. Six streams were sampled quantitatively, of which three streams (Abbifalls, Monkey falls and Silver Cascade) were within protected areas and the remaining three streams (Kumbakkarai, Shenbagadevi and Manimutharu falls) were in unprotected areas. A total of 3,209 individual aquatic insects belonging to 25 genera, 18 families and 7 orders were collected. The highest species richness and abundance was observed in Monkey falls followed by Kumbakkarai falls. Large numbers of more habitat-sensitive organisms such as *Ecdyonurus* sp., *Epeorus* sp., *Thalerosphyrus* sp., *Euthraulus* sp., and *Nathanella* sp., were found in Monkey falls. Though the species assemblage was somewhat different, pollution-sensitive taxa were also observed in Kumbakkarai falls. Shenbagadevi and Manimutharu falls had a lower diversity of aquatic insects. The likely causes of these differences are discussed.

**Keywords:** Human impacts, stream insects, water quality

**Abbreviations:** BMWP biological monitoring working party index

**Correspondence:** dinkarji@gmail.com, anbumdu@gmail.com


**Received:** 7 June 2006 | **Accepted:** 9 November 2006 | **Published:** 4 June 2007

**Copyright:** This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 2.5 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.

**ISSN:** 1536-2442 | Volume 7, Number 37

### Cite this paper as:

Dinakaran S, Anbalagan S. 2007. Anthropogenic impacts on aquatic insects in six streams of south Western Ghats. 9pp. *Journal of Insect Science* 7:37, available online: [insectscience.org/7.37](http://insectscience.org/7.37)



**Figure 1.** Map showing location of sampling sites.

## Introduction

The biological approach for assessing streams and rivers is the use of benthic macroinvertebrates, especially aquatic insects, as indicators of pollution (Dudgeon 1999). There is a high probability that human-induced change will also result in a change in the composition of the benthic community. The use of benthic macroinvertebrates is widespread and constitutes the basis for most aquatic biomonitoring programs currently in use (Metcalf 1989; Rosenberg & Resh 1993). In particular, the influence of humans on streams and rivers used for recreational purposes, such as community bathing for personal hygiene, has caused habitat impairment in several areas lying within the state of Tamil Nadu. The objectives of the present study were to establish the faunal changes that have been occurred in popular tourist places such as Abbifalls, Monkey falls, Silver Cascade, Kumbakarai falls, Shenbagadevi falls and

Manimutharu falls. Abbifalls, Monkey falls and Silver Cascade are within protected areas, while Kumbakarai falls, Shenbagadevi falls and Manimutharu falls are in unprotected areas. This is an effort to highlight seasonal, hydrobiological and physico-chemical changes that have been recorded against the existence of aquatic insects, and to analyze the diversity patterns existing among the sampling sites lying within the unprotected and protected areas. We hoped to determine whether anthropogenic influence is the prime cause for the presence of certain aquatic insects, or factors such as physico-chemical profiles or sampling methods are more likely causative factors.

## Materials and Methods

During a pilot field trip survey of the six streams, several different habitat types were identified while walking along the streamside along the entire stretch. The ranges of microhabitats were

**Table 1.** Mean value of physico - chemical characteristics of six different streams during Pre – monsoon, Northeast monsoon and Post monsoon 2004.

Stations	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude (m)	Stream order	Stream width (m)	Stream flow (sec/m)	Water temperature (°C)	pH	Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	Riparian cover (%)
Abbi falls	12° 08'	75° 40'	900	2	10.3	0.3	21	6.8	6.5	70
Monkey falls	10° 25'	76° 52'	275	2	9	0.5	20	7.5	10.5	80
Silver cascade	10° 12'	77° 28'	1700	2	7	0.3	17	7.3	9.4	60
Kumbakarai falls	10° 16'	77° 27'	420	2	5	0.6	20	7.2	8.4	50
Shenbagadevi falls	09° 00'	77° 00'	500	3	6	0.4	21	7.2	6.8	40
Manimutharu falls	08° 37'	77° 31'	400	4	10	0.5	29	6.9	7.9	60

noted. Inside the stream aquatic insects were surveyed on cobbles, rock, large woody debris, decaying leaves and dislodgable boulders. Habitat heterogeneity was expressed in terms of percentage of boulders and cobbles, canopy cover and shade. The taxa of riparian vegetation, and stream bank stability and channelisation details were noted. The percentages of total tree cover, and tree shade (overhanging trees) were determined using densiometer readings. The rationale of selection of specific sampling sites in the present survey is that they should reflect the reference conditions, as opposed to impacted conditions enabling collection of baseline data for future biomonitoring studies. For sampling, streams from four different basins viz, Moyar basin, Azhiyaru basin, Vaigai and Tamarabharani basin were selected. Six sampling stations that are important tourist spots were chosen for the present investigation. Among these, Abbi falls lies within Moyar basin, Monkey falls lies in Azhiyaru basin, Kumbakarai and Silvercascade lies in Vaigai basin, and the Manimutharu and Shenbagadevi falls lie within Tamarabharani basin (Figure 1). Streams were sampled in 2004 in three different monsoons: Pre - monsoon (October), Northeast monsoon (November), and Post monsoon (December).

### Aquatic insects sampling

A total of six sampling stations were selected and three replicates in each station were sampled for this investigation. Physico-chemical variables were measured based on the procedures suggested in APHA (1995). Individual site description and physico-chemical features are


given in Table 1. Aquatic insects were collected in a kick-net, running from the edge to the middle of each sampling station, with a 5 min kick sampling time. The kick-net was plastic and had a mesh size of 0.5 mm. Each habitat (e.g., riffle, pool, edge) was sampled proportionally to its representation at the site (Burton and Sivaramakrishnan 1993). The organisms were then removed from the net surface and were preserved immediately in 70% ethyl alcohol. All specimens from each of the six sampling stations were sorted and identified

### Data analysis


In each sampling station the Shannon-Wiener diversity Index, Simpson's diversity index, Pielou evenness index and Margalef richness index were estimated. Calculations were done using package PAST version 1.42. The correspondence analysis (CA) was calculated to compare the faunal structure (CA=  $\ln(x+1)$  - transformed abundance distribution of taxa) of sampling sites and relay plots were ordered according to CA column scores. It was used to show the taxa ordered according to their positions along the gradients, and for each taxon the corresponding plot should ideally show a unimodal peak, partly overlapping with the peak of the next taxon along the gradient. Each data point is plotted with CA first axis row scores on the horizontal axis (Hennebert and Lees 1991). Principal component analysis was applied to relate the relationship between faunal changes and physico-chemical variables, and the biological monitoring working party index (BMWP) was used to evaluate the biotic integrity of communities. BMWP analysis was based on Armitage et al. 1983.

**Table 2.** Diversity indices of aquatic insects in the six sampling stations during Pre – monsoon (PM), Northeast monsoon (NE) and Post monsoon (PM).


	Abbi falls			Monkey falls			Silver cascade			Kumbakarai falls			Shenbagadevi falls			Manimutharu falls		
	PM	NE	PO	PM	NE	PO	PM	NE	PO	PM	NE	PO	PM	NE	PO	PM	NE	PO
Taxa S	7	7	7	14	14	14	7	7	7	3	3	3	5	5	5	12	12	12
Individuals	193	104	167	248	328	221	260	291	291	39	51	50	43	69	55	253	320	22
Shannon	1.25	1.78	1.35	2.26	2.28	2.31	1.54	1.65	1.5	0.9	0.9	0.7	1.4	1.4	1.5	2.3	2.3	2.4
Simpson	0.56	0.8	0.61	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.72	0.76	0.73	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
Evenness	0.49	0.84	0.55	0.69	0.7	0.72	0.66	0.75	0.64	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9
Margalef	1.14	1.29	1.17	2.35	2.24	2.4	1.07	1.05	1.05	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.9	0.9	1.9	1.9	2
BMWP			54			118			30			92			33			36



**Figure 2.** Relay plot of sampling locations by correspondence analysis. An illustration of the within-station variability of the faunal structure.



**Figure 3.** Ordination of sampling locations by correspondence analysis.



**Figure 4.** Biplot showed the abundance of taxa with physico-chemical parameters in six sampling sites by principal component analysis.


## Results

Physico-chemical parameters of sampling sites are listed in Table 1. A total of 3209 individuals of aquatic insects belonging to 25 genera, 18 families and 7 orders were collected. Diversity indices (Shannon-Weiner index, Simpson's index), richness (Margalef index) and evenness (Pielou index) for three different seasons were calculated (Table 2). Shannon-Weiner and Simpson's index were higher in Monkey falls and lower in Kumbakkarai falls. Species richness (Margalef) was higher in Monkey falls and lower in Shenbagadevi falls. Values on evenness index showed little contrast; it was highest in monsoon and lowest in pre - monsoon. The Margalef index was highest during post monsoon and lowest during monsoon (Table 2).

Correspondence analysis clearly illustrated the change of community structure along with longitudinal gradient. Samples were clustered with the relay index from Abbi falls to Kumbakkarai falls and there was a gradual shift from Silver cascade to Shenbagadevi falls (Figure 3). This gradient might be as a result of a gradual shift between Trichoptera and Ephemeroptera (*Macronema* sp. in Manimutharu falls; *Ecdyonurus* sp. in Kumbakkarai falls) to

Coleoptera and Odonata in Monkey falls (Figure 3). Faunal variations along F1 axis seemed to be essentially related to stream flowing characteristics (i.e. more or less water) of sites. Assemblage of *Wormaldia*, *Stenopsyche*, *Hydropsyche*, *Agapetus*, *Epeorus*, *Goerodes*, *Thalerosphyrus*, *Tenagogonus* and *Parapsyche* in the sampling sites of Shenbagadevi falls, Silver cascade and Abbi falls on the negative F1 axis revealed that they are the representatives of high stream flowing characteristics rather than with the sites of Manimutharu falls, Kumbakkarai falls and Monkey falls. Assemblages of aquatic insects on these three sites were the representatives of low flow stream characteristics. Principal component analysis on stream flow, stream width and water temperature showed significant relationships with species abundance (Figure 4).

BMWP score and taxa richness were displayed for the six sampling sites (Figure 4) so as to highlight the relationship existing among them. Higher taxonomic richness and BMWP score in Monkey falls followed by Kumbakkarai falls suggested the pristine nature of the stream since they were least exposed to anthropogenic impact when compared to Manimutharu falls, Silver cascade and Shenbagadevi falls (Table 2). Moderate BMWP




**Figure 5.** Biological Monitoring Working Party (BMWP) index scores and taxonomic richness of six streams (ABF- Abbi falls; MKF- Monkey falls; SDF- Shenbagadevi falls; SBF- Silver cascade; MMF- Manimutharu falls and KKF- Kumbakkarai falls).

values and taxonomic richness in Abbi falls might be due to other physico-chemical features in particular, stream characteristics rather than with anthropogenic impact. Similarity of the faunal composition of the six streams was very distinct (Figure 6).

## Discussion

Species diversity patterns in selected streams of Western Ghats have been well studied (Anbalagan and Dinakaran 2006; Dinakaran and Anbalagan 2006; Subramanian and Sivaramakrishnan 2005; Anbalagan et al. 2004). In the present study

aquatic habitats in six different streams were examined. Existing concepts that have been developed to predict biodiversity along a river (Chown and Gaston 2000; Willig 2001) were supported by these data. Each stream exhibited a distinct latitudinal pattern sequence in species diversity, which emphasizes the uniqueness of these streams. The major aquatic insect taxa of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera complexes are consequently absent in the Tampirapran river (Martin et al. 2000). In contrast, the present study found abundance and species richness of Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera complexes in the Tampirapran



**Figure 6.** Dendrogram showing similarity of faunal composition between six streams (ABF- Abbi falls; MKF- Monkey falls; SDF- Shenbagadevi falls; SBF- Silver cascade; MMF- Manimutharu falls and KKF- Kumbakkarai falls).

river basin of Shenbagadevi falls. Hynes (1975) already proposed that ‘every stream is likely to be individual’, moreover, each substrate type exhibits a very distinct community, and faunal similarity. The “individuality” of streams as well as of substrate types, however, has been challenged by anthropogenic impacts. It not only eliminates the lateral habitats but also leads to a homogenization of aquatic communities. Along the unprotected areas, for example, main stem habitats were species-poor. This might be due to inadequate sampling strategies adopted for this study as species diversity would be higher if the studies were conducted across the substrate types found in tributaries. Tributaries are the least affected segment by human beings.

Tributaries, on the other hand, not only differ from the main channel with respect to environmental properties, but also are assumed to enhance the local heterogeneity at the confluence with the main channel (Benda et al. 2004). Brown & Coon (1994) reported higher fish density and different community composition in tributaries when compared to the channel (lower Missouri river, USA). They found a gradient in the faunal

assemblage from small tributaries to large river sections, which corresponded to an environmental gradient from shallow streams with coarse substrate to deep rivers with finer sediments. The potential importance of tributaries for main stem communities is virtually unexplored. Tributaries may serve as important refugia for recolonising the main channel after disturbances (floods, droughts, pollution), and they are important habitats for early life stages of fish and invertebrates (Bruns et al. 1984; Rice et al. 2001).

Even if the concept of ‘river health’ (often seen as being analogous with ‘human health’ when applied to the evaluation of river condition - Resh et al. 1995) remains subject to considerable debate (for example, Steedman 1994; Meyer 1997; Bunn et al. 1999; Karr 1999; Norris and Thoms 1999), it is clear that the assessment of river health involves comparisons (Norris and Thoms 1999). In this way, appropriate metrics of river health, including measures of structure and functions of biotic and physico-chemical components, may be compared between sites keeping in mind that conditions affecting the



ecological health of rivers (i.e. biogeographic processes in the regional climatic and geological context) vary geographically. Applying this approach to the sampling sites suggested that the protected areas along the Tamil Nadu area might be considered to be a healthy river, exhibiting both high biodiversity and 'reasonably good' water quality. The region between the Abbi and Kumbakkarai falls and the Silver cascade and Shebagadevi falls showed the influence of a high degree of human impact on stream integrity. This sector was influenced by discharge of domestic effluents and by community bathing for personal hygiene. Indeed, channelization might have changed the characteristics of invertebrate habitats through channel straightening and eradication of pool-riffle sequences, together with a reduction of the substrate mosaic heterogeneity (Boon 1988). In addition, embankment construction has led to an artificial channel reducing the availability of littoral refugia that lessen the impact of both natural (e.g. unpredictable patterns of discharge) and anthropogenic (bathing) disturbances on the biota (Townsend 1989; Townsend and Riley 1999). This combination of factors contributed to a drastic decrease in biotic indices corresponding to a dramatic reduction of pollution intolerant taxa in macrobenthic assemblages. Thus, taxonomic richness was lower in the extensively straightened, deepened and embanked sampling sector, but increased in the non-channelized stream section (Monkey falls) situated in a protected area, where large numbers of more habitat-sensitive organisms (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera complexes.) were found. The reason for the rich biodiversity of Monkey falls would be due to the pristine condition of the stream, since the sampling site lies within the protected area. In this way, although there was a drastic, sequential unprotected area of benthic assemblages in the southern Western Ghats, rare specimens of habitat-sensitive organisms such as Ephemeroptera Plecoptera and Trichoptera still occurred in the unprotected areas and total resurgence of pollution sensitive taxa in unprotected or polluted sites would be possible if the riparian corridor is protected.

## References

- Anbalagan S, Dinakaran S. 2006. Seasonal variation of diversity and habitat preferences of aquatic insects along the longitudinal gradient of the Gadana river basin, South-West Ghats, (India). *Acta zoologica bulgarica* 58: 253-264.
- Anbalagan S, Kaleeswaran B, Balasubramanian C. 2004. Diversity and Trophic categorization of aquatic insects of Courtallam hills of Western Ghats. *Entomon* 29: 1-6.
- APHA. 1995. *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*, 16th edition. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Armitage PD, Moss D, Wright JF, Furse MT. 1983. The performance of a new biological water quality score system based on macroinvertebrates over a wide range of unpolluted running-water sites. *Water Research* 17: 333-347.
- Benda L, Poff NL, Miller D, Dunne T, Reeves G, Pess G, Pollock M. 2004. The network dynamics hypothesis: how channel networks structure riverine habitats. *BioScience* 54: 413-427.
- Boon PJ. 1988. The impact of river regulation on invertebrate communities in the UK. *Regulated Rivers. Research and Management* 2: 389-409.
- Brown DJ, Coon TG. 1994. Abundance and assemblage structure of fish larvae in the lower Missouri River and its tributaries. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 123: 718-732.
- Bruns DA, Minshall GW, Cushing CE, Cummins KW, Brock JT, Vannote RL. 1984. Tributaries as modifiers of the river continuum concept: analysis by polar ordination and regression models. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* 99: 208-220.
- Bunn SE, Davies PM, Mosisch TD. 1999. Ecosystem measures of river health and their response to riparian and catchment degradation. *Freshwater Biology* 41: 333-345.
- Burton TM, Sivaramakrishnan KG. 1993. Composition of the insect community in the streams of the silent valley national park in Southern India. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 34: 1-16.
- Chown SL, Gaston KJ. 2000. Areas, cradles and museums: the latitudinal gradient in species richness. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 15: 311-315.
- Dinakaran S, Anbalagan S. 2006. Seasonal variation and substrate selection of aquatic insects in a small stream Sirumalai hills of southern Western Ghats. *Journal of Aquatic Biology* 21: 37-42.
- Dudgeon D. 1999. *Tropical Asian Streams: Zoobenthos, Ecology and Conservation*, Hong Kong University Press.
- Hennebert M, Lees A. 1991. Environmental gradients in carbonate sediments and rocks detected by correspondence analysis: examples from the Recent of Norway and the Dinantian of southwest England. *Sedimentology* 38: 623-642.
- Hynes HBN. 1975. The stream and its valley. *Verhandlungen der Internationalen Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie* 19: 1-15.
- Karr JR. 1999. Defining and measuring river health. *Freshwater Biology* 41: 221-234.

- Martin P, Haniffa MA, Arunachalam M. 2000. Abundance and diversity of Macroinvertebrates and fish in the Tamiraparani river, South India. *Hydrobiologia* 430: 59-75.
- Metcalf JL. 1989. Biological water quality assessment of running waters based on macroinvertebrate communities: history and present status in Europe. *Environment and Pollution* 60: 101-139.
- Meyer JL. 1997. Stream health: incorporating the human dimension to advance stream ecology. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 16: 439-447.
- Norris RH, Thoms MC. 1999. What is river health?. *Freshwater Biology* 41: 197-209.
- Resh VH, Norris RH, Barbour MT. 1995. Design and implementation of rapid assessment approaches for water resource monitoring using benthic macroinvertebrates. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 20: 108-121.
- Rice SP, Greenwood MT, Joyce CB. 2001. Tributaries, sediment sources, and the longitudinal organisation of macroinvertebrate fauna along river systems. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 58: 824-840.
- Rosenberg DM, Resh V.H. 1993. *Freshwater Biomonitoring and Benthic Macroinvertebrates*. 488 pp. Chapman and Hall.
- Steedman RJ. 1994. Ecosystem health as a management goal. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 13: 605-610.
- Subramanian KA, Sivaramakrishnan KG. 2005. Habitat and microhabitat distribution of stream insect communities of the Western Ghats. *Current Science* 89: 976-987.
- Townsend CR, Riley RH. 1999. Assessment of river health: accounting for perturbation pathways in physical and ecological space. *Freshwater Biology* 41: 393-405.
- Townsend CR. 1989. The patch dynamics concept of stream community ecology. *Journal of the North American Benthological Society* 8: 36-50.
- Willig MR. 2001. Common trends within latitude. In: *Encyclopedia of biodiversity*. S.A. Levin, editor. 3:701-714. Academic Press.