

Seasonal Changes in the Genetic Structure of an Aphid-Ant Mutualism as Revealed Using Microsatellite Analysis of the Aphid Tuberculatus quercicola and the Ant Formica yessensis

Authors: Yao, Izumi, and Akimoto, Shin-Ichi

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 9(9): 1-18

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.009.0901

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Seasonal changes in the genetic structure of an aphid-ant mutualism as revealed using microsatellite analysis of the aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola* and the ant *Formica* yessensis

Izumi Yao^{I,a} and Shin-Ichi Akimoto^{2,b}

¹21st COE Program (Neo Science of Natural History), Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

²Department of Ecology and Systematics, Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

Abstract

The present study examined whether the mutualistic relationship between the aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola* (Matsumura) (Homoptera: Aphididae) and the attending ant Formica yessensis Forel (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) has had any mutual effects on the microgeographical genetic population structure of both partner species. The aphids and the attending ants were collected in June, August, and October 2004 from six trees of the Daimyo oak Quercus dentata Thunberg (Fagales: Fagaceae) and were genotyped using microsatellite loci. Significant genetic differentiation was detected among \mathcal{T} . *quercicola* populations on the respective trees across seasons (an average of pairwise $F_{\rm ST} = 0.183$). Similarly, significant genetic differentiation was found among populations of F. yessensis that attended aphid colonies on the respective host trees, though the averages of pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ were lower (an average of pairwise $F_{\rm ST} = 0.070$). An analysis of molecular variance and two-way ANOVA detected a significantly large genetic difference between spring and summer samples in F. yessensis but not in T. quercicola, indicating that changes in genetic composition occurred in the F. yessensis colony. In spite of a drastic seasonal change in the genetic difference in F yessensis, principle coordinate analysis showed that the relative position among the six populations was maintained from spring to summer, suggesting that the tree where honeydew was available for a long time was occupied by F. yessensis over the same period and that the honeydew sources were inherited at the level of the ant colony. It is hypothesized that the suitability of host trees for the aphid T. quercicola may have an affect on the genetic structure of the attending ant F. yessensis. Within a colony of aphids, clonal diversity decreased significantly as the season progressed. The reduction in clonal diversity may be due to an increase in identical genotypes by parthenogenesis or selective pressure from host plant deterioration.

Keywords: microgeographic, genetic differentiation, tree-dwelling aphid, clonal mixing, supercolony, *Quercus dentata* Correspondence: ^aiyao@res.agr.hokudai.ac.jp, ^bakimoto@res.agr.hokudai.ac.jp Received: 2 October 2007 | Accepted: 19 January 2008 | Published: 2009 Copyright: This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed. ISSN: 1536-2442 | Volume 9, Number 9

Cite this paper as:

Yao I, Akimoto S-I. 2009. Seasonal changes in the genetic structure of an aphid-ant mutualism as revealed using microsatellite analysis of the aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola* and the ant *Formica yessensis*. 18pp. Journal of Insect Science 9:9, available online: insectscience.org/9.09

Introduction

Mutualisms are reciprocal interactions in which one partner performs some beneficial services to its associate and receives some reward from the associate (Bronstein 1994). When mutualistic associations are found in a community, the foundation of populations of one partner species can be highly influenced by those of the associated species. However, the initiation and maintenance of mutualism are not only affected by the interactions between the partners, such as distribution or genetic variation among species, but also by the physical environments. These factors acting on both partners determine the distribution of mutualism in a community and whether the mutualism is constant or ceases to exist in the course of time. Recently, attempts to understand the genetic interaction between organisms in association with their genetic structure have provided a framework for 'community genetics', which integrates population genetics and community ecology (Antonovics 1992; Neuhauser et al. 2003; Whitham et al. 2003).

Mutualism between aphids and ants has been widely studied in evolutionary ecology (Way 1963; Stadler and Dixon 2005) and may be a good model for understanding community genetics. Aphids feed on phloem sap circulating in the vascular system of a plant and excrete honeydew, a liquid waste-product rich in sugar, but poor in amino acids. Honeydew plays a critical role in the mutualistic interactions between ants and aphids. Attending ants collect honeydew directly from aphids. The aphids, in return, benefit from the protection offered by the ants against natural enemies and the fungal pathogens that grow on their excretion.

The Daimyo oak, Quercus dentata Thunberg (Fagales: Fagaceae), was a dominant tree species in the sampling location, which was a grassy open site running parallel to the seashore and acting as the transition zone from sandy coast to oak forest. In late spring, larval fundatrices of the aphid T. quercicola hatch on the trunk from over-wintered eggs and move to the underside of developing leaves. T. quercicola does not alternate host plants during its life history. During the summer, all nymphs of the aphid develop into alate viviparous females, which produce offspring parthenogenetically. In autumn, alate males and apterous oviparous females appear. After mating, oviparous females move from the leaves to the branches to deposit eggs. The ant F. yessensis is known to have super colonies comprising thousands of nests containing about 360 million workers and over a million queens along the Ishikari coast, Hokkaido, northern Japan (43°N, 141°E) (Ito 1973). The annual cycle of colony activities and development of nest structure with some environmental phenology were reported by Ito (1973). Extra-nest activities by post-hibernating workers begin in mid-April just after thaw. Full-scale activity starts in late May when honeydew becomes available. Budding of new ant colonies occurs generally from May to July. New sexuals, produced in a limited number of nests, emerge in late July to early August and leave the nest for nuptial flights in early August. New workers emerge slightly after the sexuals in late July to mid-September. Extra-nest activities drop in mid-September to early October and virtually cease in November. In accordance with the observation by Ito (1973), the number of ants attending aphid colonies increase until late June, followed by a decline by late July. Thereafter, the number of ants in aphid colonies sharply increases in abundance again (Yao unpublished observations). Experimentally excluding ants from aphid colonies always resulted in extinction within a month, indicating that the aphids strongly depend on the ants for protection against natural enemies (Yao et al. 2000).

Such a mutualism, however, does not always occur since not all Q. dentata trees are suitable for aphids and not all aphid colonies last until autumn. These are mainly due to the sensitivity and specificity of aphids for host plants. Thus, it can be hypothesized that the formation and maintenance of this mutualism is affected by the difference in susceptibility of host plants for aphids, differences in predation pressures among host plants, and the seasonal changes in host plant quality (Yao 2004). Q. dentata individuals that can support many aphid colonies throughout seasons would be important resources, not only for aphids, but also for the attending ants. If honeydew produced by T. quercicola residing on certain trees is an available resource across all seasons, locating a colony near the tree would be advantageous for F. yessensis. Therefore, the interaction with aphids is inherited at the ant colony level. If a honeydew-foraging area is inherited by an ant colony from season to season, genetic similarity should be detected between old and new F. yessensis workers across seasons on a single host tree. To test this hypothesis, it is most appropriate to genotype individuals using high resolution microsatellite markers because it is a co-dominant marker and can detect a large number of alleles along with a simple pattern of Mendelian inheritance.

To understand the broader significance of community evolution, it is necessary to show that, under natural conditions, selection acts on genetic differences at a community level (Whitham et al. 2003). Since viviparous aphid females propagate parthenogenetically over the summer, most aphids within a colony are assumed to belong to a clonal group. Recently, several studies using genetic markers have revealed that a number of different clones were contained within a single aphid colony (Setzer 1980; Abbot et al. 2001; Johnson et al. 2002), which points to the possibility that uneven fitness occurs through altruistic behavior within an aphid colony. Therefore, estimating the clonal diversity within a single aphid colony will provide helpful information in understanding the evolution of multi-level selection. In order to

determine the genetic structure of aphid-ant mutualism, especially the degree of clonal mixing within a single aphid colony, a single sampling is insufficient because seasonal deterioration in host plant quality also affects the maintenance of the mutualism. As almost all aphid species are entirely dependent on the phloem sap, seasonal deterioration in host plant quality is a crucial factor affecting their survival and reproduction (Awmack and Leather 2002). The concentrations of nitrogen and carbohydrates in phloem sap are high in spring and autumn when leaves are growing or senescent and low in summer when leaves are mature (Dixon 1970). Through seasonal deterioration in phloem sap, plants may reallocate nutrition to a limited number of parts, resulting in a resource variation within the same plant. This within-tree variation may be responsible for dispersal of aphids and changes in the genotypic diversity within aphid colonies.

In the present study, we examined the genetic structure of both partners in the aphid-ant mutualism, focusing on the following three specific questions: (1) what is the extent of genetic differentiation among host trees colonized by aphids for both species, (2) whether genetic similarity on a single host tree for both species is maintained between two consecutive seasons, and (3) whether the level of clonal mixing within *T. quercicola* colonies change seasonally.

Materials and Methods

Study area and studied species

The aphids and ants were collected on dunes on the Ishikari Coast. The dunes were covered by the dominant species Miscanthus sinensis (Hitchc.) Ohwi, Rosa rugosa Thunberg, Celastrus orbiculatus Thunberg, and Carex kobomugi Ohwi. Although many Q. dentata were distributed widely throughout the study area, a few oak trees on which the mutualism between T. quercicola and F. yessensis lasts until autumn have been observed over nine consecutive years from 1995 to 2003. Such trees are never found in the adjacent oak forest, perhaps because F. yessensis needs an open site for nest development. The nests near an oak tree are recognizable because their surface has mounds consisting of decayed stalks, blades and hulls of M. sinensis. Six trees (on average 3.1m tall), on which the mutualism occurred in every year were selected for the monitoring of the seasonal changes in the genetic structures of aphids and ants on each tree. With this monitoring, it is possible to determine whether the initial differences in the population structures between host trees are maintained across seasons. The location of each tree is shown in Figure 1.

Collection of aphids and ants

A preliminary study showed that the aphid population grew exponentially until August, followed by a steep decline by late September. Thereafter, the population increased in density again (Ito and Higashi 1991; Yao 2004). Aggregations of T. quercicola along the midrib of the upper or lower surface of a single leaf of Q. dentata were defined as a colony. The number of aphids and attending ants per aphid colony were counted before collection (N in Table 1). Collection was conducted three times: (1) 1st to 4th June 2004, hereafter referred to as spring samples and corresponding to population foundation by the first generation, (2) 31st July 2004, hereafter referred to as summer samples, and (3) 12th October 2004, hereafter referred to as autumn samples, which consisted of the oviparae and winged males. Four to eight aphids and two to five attending ants were collected from a single aphid colony. Two to eight colonies of each of the six trees were sampled three times through the seasons in 2004. The sample sizes of the three subsets were as follows: for the spring sample, a total of 33 colonies averaging 5.4 \pm 0.7 SD aphids and 3.8 \pm 1.0 SD ants (corresponding to 69% and 78% of the total number of aphids and ants per aphid colony, respectively); for the summer sample, a total of 43 colonies averaging 7.1 \pm 1.0 SD aphids and 4.3 \pm 0.6 SD ants (corresponding to 33.3% and 56.4% of the total number of aphids and ants per aphid colony, respectively); for the autumn sample, a total of 32 colonies averaging 7.7 \pm 0.8 SD aphids and 2.6 ± 0.8 SD ants (corresponding to 43.9% and 78.7% of the total number of aphids and ants per aphid colony, respectively). No colonies were found in two trees (trees 5 and 6) in the autumn, probably due to the deterioration in host plant quality. All aphid colonies collected are listed in Table 1. The aphids and attending ants collected were stored in acetone (Fukatsu 1999) and held at -20 °C prior to the experiment.

Microsatellite analysis

To extract DNA, the whole aphid or hind femur of an ant was used. Genomic DNA was extracted following the Chelex procedure (Walsh et al. 1991), and resuspended in 15 µ"l of TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8). Five microsatellite loci were used to examine the genotypes of individual T. quercicola (Tq15, Tq17, Tq18, Tq23, and Tq26; Yao et al. 2003) and F. yessensis (Fy3, Fy4, Fy7, and Fy15; Hasegawa and Imai 2004, and FL12; Chapuisat 1996). Because many stutter bands emerged in some T. quercicola individuals amplified by Tq23, the design of the forward primer was changed to 5'-TCACACGCGCATACGATATT-3'. Forward primers were labeled with Beckman Dye fluorescence (Proligo). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplifications and determination of allele sizes for both species were the same as described in Yao et al. (2003) and Hasegawa and Imai (2004).

Analysis of genetic data

Individuals with a failure in PCR amplifications, four for T. quercicola and 10 for F. yessensis, were excluded from all analyses. Because clonal reproduction in aphid populations probably leads to deviations from the Hardy-

Weinberg equilibrium and linkage disequilibrium, all analyses except for clonal mixing analysis were carried out using only one aphid per genotype (Sunnucks et al. 1997). Only a few individuals with an identical genotype were detected in the ant populations; this allowed us to use the complete dataset of ants for genetic analyses.

The number of alleles, allele frequencies, and heterozygosity for the populations of the aphids and ants were calculated using the Microsatellite analyzer (MSA) 4.00 (Dieringer and Schötterer 2002). The gene diversity (h) of each microsatellite locus was calculated using the equation,

$$h = 2n(1 - \sum x_i^2)/(2n - 1)$$

where *n* is the number of individuals examined and x_i is the frequency of the *i*th allele across all genotypes (Nei and Roychoudhury 1974).

Linkage disequilibrium between loci and departure from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium at each locus were tested using the Fisher's exact probability test with a sequential Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (Rice 1989) in Genepop (http://genepop.curtin.edu.au/) (Raymond and Rousset 1996).

Differences in genetic structure between trees and between seasons

An analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA; Excoffier et al. 1992) was conducted using Arlequin version 2.0 (Schneider et al. 2000) to partition the genetic variation into components attributable to differences among the specified hierarchical groups (FCT), among populations within hierarchical groups (FSC), and within populations (FST). Three criteria were used to calculate the genetic variation. First, the genetic variation was calculated for among trees, among colonies within trees, and within aphid colonies. Second, the genetic variation was calculated among seasons, among trees within seasons, and within trees. Third, the genetic variation was calculated among seasons, among aphid colonies with seasons, and within aphid colonies. In addition to AMOVA, pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ with a sequential Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was calculated using MSA 4.00 to examine the genetic differences among trees divided by seasons. Relationships between genetic differentiation and geographical distance separating trees (isolation by distance) were examined in each season using Isolde in Genepop. Isolation by distance was tested with a Mantel procedure (10 000 permutations) by correlating pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$ with the natural logarithm of the straight-line distance (m) between pairs of trees.

In order to examine whether the genetic differences among host-associated populations, if any, vary seasonally for both the aphids and ants, $F_{\rm ST}$ values were analyzed with two-way ANOVA model in which $F_{\rm ST}$ between two consecutive seasons on each tree was treated as the dependent variable, while seasons (springsummer and summer-autumn) and trees were treated as independent variables. The interaction between trees and seasons failed to be included in the ANOVA because of the lack of data from Trees 5 and 6 in the autumn. The $F_{\rm ST}$ value was transformed to arcsine square-root in order to satisfy the requirement of normality. Furthermore, to examine the microgeographical and seasonal genetic similarities among populations on the six trees, principal coordinate analysis (PCO) (Gower 1966) with PCO 2.0 (Iwata 2005) was used.

Although the information that samples of both species was collected from six different trees and their genotypes were distinguished individually, which gives a measure of genetic diversity per tree, the actual extent of assemblage of individuals characterized by a set of allele frequencies at each locus across all trees or seasons is not clear. Thus, dividing the total sample into clusters of individuals, each of which fits some genetic criterion that defines it as a group, would provide an estimate of the number of biologically realistic subpopulations (Pearse and Crandall 2004). The potential existence of K subpopulations across the study area was addressed using the Bayesian clustering approach implemented in the software Structure 2.0 (http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/software/struc-

ture2 beta.html) (Pritchard et al. 2000; Falush et al. 2003). We conducted two analyses to determine the effect of seasons on the subpopulations. First, the entire data set with the information on seasons not considered in the calculation was analyzed with assumed values of Kranging from one to 11 for T. quercicola and from one to six for F. yessensis. Second, the data set was analyzed each season separately with assumed values of K ranging from one to 10 for T. quercicola and from one to five for F. yessensis. As recommended in the manual for the software, an admixture model was chosen with the assumption that allele frequencies were independent in each population. For the selected model, the Bayesian posterior probabilities were calculated as an estimated Pr(X|K), where individual genotypes are assigned to a predefined number of clusters (K) in a given genotype (X). The program was run setting the length of the burn-in period and the number of MCMC replications after burn-in at 30,000 and 10° . respectively, and a maximum value of $\ln P(X|K)$ was obtained.

Seasonal changes in clonal mixing in *T. quer-cicola* colonies

The numbers of genotypes of aphids per season per tree per colony and per tree were calculated using the Groups/Summary command in the statistical software package JMP 5.0.1 J (SAS 2002). The clonal diversity of *T. quercicola* in an aphid colony and within a tree were calculated using s = G/n (Llewellyn et al. 2003), where *G* is the number of different genotypes in an aphid colony and *n* is the number of individuals examined. Additionally, the Shannon-Wiener (S-W) diversity index



(H) with the binary logarithm was used to determine clonal diversity as follows:

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{G} pi \ln pi$$

where *G* is the number of clones and pi the proportion of individuals in the sample that belong to clone *i*. The effects of seasons and host trees on the clonal diversity in a colony (*s* and *H*) were tested using a two-way ANOVA

with JMP 5.0.1 J. The G/n ratio was transformed to arcsine square-root in order to satisfy the requirement of normality. Welch statistics was used in testing ANOVA because of its robustness against violations of assumptions.

				culatus qu		nd the ant Form	lica yessens		out thee	Quereus	cintata.				
		I-4/	June/04				31/	July/04				12/Oc	tober/0	4	
Tree #	N	n	G	s	s-w	N	n	G	s	s-w	N	n	G	s	s-w
I	8 colonies	46/31	41/31	0.9/1	5.3/ 5.0	8 colonies	57/33	35/33	0.6/1	4.8/ 5.0	8 colonies	64/16	42/16	0.7/1	5.3/ 4.0
	16/12	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	26/7	8/5	5/5	0.6/1	2.2/2.3	15/2	8/2	8/2	1/1	3/1
	8/4	5/4	5/4	1/1	2.3/2	39/11	6/4	5/4	0.8/1	2.3/2	27/2	8/2	8/2	1/1	3/1
	22/6	7/4	7/4	1/1	2.8/2	57/13	8/3	6/3	0.8/1	2.4/1.6	15/2	8/2	7/2	0.9/1	2.8/1
	17/7	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	56/11	8/4	6/4	0.8/1	2.5/2	22/2	8/2	8/2	1/1	3/1
	13/9	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	36/13	8/5	5/5	0.6/1	2/2.3	25/2	8/2	8/2	1/1	2.8/1
	20/10	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	10/9	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	28/3	8/2	6/2	0.8/1	2.5/1
	8/4	5/4	5/4	1/1	2.3/2	42/14	8/4	7/4	0.9/1	2.8/2	30/4	8/2	2/2	0.3/1	1/1
	6/4	5/3	5/3	1/1	2.3/1.6	15/9	5/4	4/4	0.8/1	1.9/2	17/2	8/2	3/2	0.4/1	1.1/1
2	8 colonies	44/28	35/29	0.8/I	5/4.9	6 colonies	36/24	28/24	0.8/1	4.7/ 4.7	8 colonies	64/23	30/23	0.5/1	4.5/ 4.5
	6/3	5/2	5/2	1/1	2.3/1.0	18/5	5/4	4/4	0.8/1	1.9/2	12/4	8/2	2/2	0.3/1	0.5/1
	6/3	5/3	5/3	1/1	2.3/1.6	18/6	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	18/3	8/3	7/3	0.9/1	2.8/1.6
	7/3	5/3	5/3	1/1	2.31.6	19/9	7/4	5/4	0.7/1	2.2/2	12/3	8/3	6/3	0.8/1	2.4/1.6
	7/3	6/3	6/3	1/1	2.6/1.6	25/6	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	25/4	8/2	6/2	0.8/1	2.5/1
	6/4	5/3	5/3	1/1	2.3/1.6	54/10	7/3	4/3	0.6/1	1.7/1.4	13/4	8/4	3/4	0.4/1	1.3/2
	14/6	7/4	6/4	0.9/1	2.5/2	40/8	5/5	5/5	1/1	2.3/2.3	22/2	8/2	3/2	0.4/1	1.3/1
	11/6	5/5	5/5	1/1	2.3/2.3						15/5	8/3	4/3	0.5/1	1.8/1.6
	10/4	6/5	6/5	1/1	2.6/2.3						28/8	8/4	5/4	0.6/1	2/2
3	5 colonies	28/18	23/18	0.8/1	4.4/ 4.2	8 colonies	64/37	32/37	0.5/ 0.9	4.6/ 5.1	8 colonies	52/20	18/20	0.4/1	4.0/ 4.5
	8/5	5/3	3/3	0.6/1	1.5/1.6	24/6	8/4	4/4	0.5/1	1.8/2	7/3	3/0	2/0	0.7/0	0.9/0
	20/11	7/5	7/5	1/1	2.8/2.3	33/10	8/5	7/5	0.9/1	2.8/2.3	16/3	8/3	2/3	0.3/1	0.5/1.6
	5/4	5/4	4/4	1/1	1.9/2.3	34/10	8/5	8/5	1/1	3/2.3	19/5	8/2	4/2	0.5/1	1.5/1
	9/4	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	43/10	8/5	7/5	0.9/1	2.8/2.3	8/4	6/2	3/2	0.5/1	1.3/1
	5/2	5/2	5/2	1/1	2.3/1	59/19	8/5	3/5	0.4/1	1.4/2.3	16/7	8/5	3/5	0.4/1	1.5/2.3
						23/9	8/4	5/4	0.6/1	2.2/2	5/4	5/3	4/3	0.8/1	1.9/1.6
						34/9	8/4	3/4	0.4/1	1.4/1.9	10/6	6/2	5/2	0.8/1	2.3/1.1
						47/6	8/5	6/5	0.8/1	2.4/2.3	26/6	8/3	2/3	0.3/1	1/1.6
4	2 colonies	9/8	7/8	0.8/1	2.6/ 3.3	8 colonies	55/31	34/31	0.6/1	4.8/ 5.0	8 colonies	64/20	26/20	0.4/1	4.0/ 4.2
	8/5	5/5	3/5	0.6/1	1.4/2.3	45/13	8/4	6/4	0.8/1	2.5/2	27/3	8/3	6/2	0.8/1	2.4/1.6
	6/5	4/3	4/3	1/1	2/1.6	44/15	8/4	7/4	0.9/1	2.8/2	30/5	8/2	4/2	0.5/1	1.5/1
						28/18	6/4	4/4	0.7/1	1.8/2	18/3	8/3	3/3	0.4/1	1.3/1.6
						15/9	7/3	5/3	0.7/1	2.2/1.6	43/4	8/2	7/2	0.9/1	2.8/1
						13/7	6/4	6/4	1/1	2.6/2	40/4	8/4	7/4	0.9/1	2.8/2
						13/11	6/4	4/4	0.7/1	1.8/2	28/2	8/2	4/2	0.5/1	1.5/1
						23/7	7/4	5/4	0.7/1	2.2/2	28/2	8/2	4/2	0.5/1	1.8/1
						35/10	7/4	2/4	0.3/1	0.6/2	48/2	8/2	6/2	0.8/1	2.4/1
5	8 colonies	40/28	28/28	0.7/ 0.9	4.6/ 4.7	8 colonies	58/36	21/36	0.4/1	4.0/ 5.1					
	6/10	5/4	5/4	1/1	2.3/1.6	82/9	7/5	3/5	0.4/1	1.4/2.3					
	7/10	6/2	4/2	0.7/1	2.6/1	19/6	8/4	2/4	0.3/1	0.8/2					
	6/5	5/2	4/2	0.8/1	1.9/1	34/7	8/5	7/5	0.9/1	2.8/2.3					
	5/5	5/5	5/5	1/1	2.3/2.3	28/5	6/5	6/5	1/1	2.6/2.3					

Table I (cont.)

			(1				21/	july/04		12/October/04						
		1-4/	/June/04				31/		12/00	tober/0)4					
Tree #	N	n	G	S	s-w	N	n	G	s	s-w	N	n	G	s	s-w	
	9/5	5/3	4/3	0.8/1	1.9/2.3	25/8	8/4	6/4	0.8/1	2.5/2						
	6/5	4/5	4/5	1/1	2/2.3	12/5	7/5	1/5	0.1/1	0/2.3						
	5/5	5/4	4/4	0.8/1	1.9/2.0	10/5	8/4	1/4	0.1/1	0/2						
	7/3	5/3	4/3	0.8/1	1.9/1.6	39/6	6/4	2/4	0.3/1	0.9/2						
6	2 colonies	10/9	8/8	0.8/ 0.9	2.9/ 2.9	5 colonies	35/21	8/21	0.2/1	2.4/ 4.4						
	7/10	5/5	4/5	0.8/1	1.9/2.3	10/5	8/5	1/5	0.1/1	0/2.3						
	5/5	5/4	4/3	0.8/0.8	1.9/1.5	14/8	7/4	3/4	0.4/1	1.4/2						
						9/5	8/4	3/4	0.4/1	1.3/2						
						9/5	6/4	2/4	0.3/1	0.7/2						
						8/4	6/4	2/4	0.3/1	0.7/2						
	33 colonies	177/ 122	130/ 115	0.7/1	6.8/ 6.8	43 colonies	305/ 182	146/ 169	0.5/1	6.7/ 7.4	32 colonies	244/ 79	110/ 78	0.5/1	6.3/ 6.3	

The two numbers divided by '/' indicate the number for T. quercicola and for F. yessensis, respectively.

N indicates the size of a T. quercicola colony

 \boldsymbol{n} indicates the number of individuals used for genetic analysis.

G indicates the number of genotypes detected in n.

s indicates G/n ratio

S-W indicates the Shannon-Wiener diversity index

The first column on each tree shows the total numbers of colonies, n, G, s, and S-W calculated per tree per season.

The bottom line indicates grand total of the total numbers of colonies, n, G, s, and S-W calculated per season.

Results

Allelic variation and genotyping

The average number of allelic variants detected per locus for tree-associated populations of T. quercicola and F. yessensis ranged from two (Tq17 and Tq18) to 15 (Tq15), with a mean of 5.8 ± 4.79 SD and ranged from two (Fy4) to nine (Fy3 and Fy7), with a mean of 6.2 ± 2.79 SD, respectively. The gene diversity estimates for populations of T. quercicola and F. yessensis ranged from 0.40 (Tq18) to 0.73 (Tq23), with an average value of 0.55 \pm 0.13 SD and ranged from 0.43 (Fy4) to 0.74 (Fy7), with an average value of 0.61 \pm 0.12 SD, respectively. Only two loci (Tq15 and Tq23) had private alleles (an allele found in only one subpoplation) (Hartl and Clark 1997) with a frequency of more than 1% in each locus (Supplemental Data 1). Heterozygosity deviations from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium to heterozygosity excess for each locus across populations were significant at two loci of T. quercicola (Tq15 and Tq23) and F. yessensis (Fy7 and Fy15) (Fisher's exact probability test; P < 0.0083 for both T. quercicola and F. yessensis) (Supplemental Data 2). Linkage disequilibrium was not detected for any pair of loci across all T. quercicola populations and F. yessensis populations with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

The combined five microsatellite loci of *T. quercicola* and *F. yessensis* had a high resolution for discriminating

different genotypes within a colony (Table 1). All but six colonies (94%) contained multiple clones of *T. quercicola* across seasons, and almost all *F. yessensis* workers visiting *T. quercicola* colonies had different genotypes (Table 1). 317 genotypes within 726 aphids and 356 genotypes within 383 ants were detected across seasons. Although common genotypes across the six trees were not found in populations of both species, a single genotype of *T. quercicola* and 19 genotypes of *F. yessensis* were found to be common in four trees and in several pairs of trees, respectively.

Differences in genetic structure between trees and between seasons

For both of the populations of *T. quercicola* and *F. yessensis*, AMOVA indicated that significant levels of genetic partitioning was explained by trees (Table 2). Percentage of variation in analysis with partitioning the data among trees was higher in *T. quercicola* than in *F. yessensis*, which explained 10.5% and 4.1% of total genetic variation, respectively. Irrespective of setting the hierarchical subpopulation to trees or aphid colonies, partitioning the data among seasons provided a significant effect for *F. yessensis* but *T. quercicola*, indicating that season is the best predictor of genetic discontinuity for *F. yessensis*.

Pairwise comparisons of $F_{\rm ST}$ values between the tree-associated population in each season indicated that genetic

Source of variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Variance components	Percentage of variation	Fixation indices
(a) T. quercicola					
Among trees	5	136.524	0.15537 Va***	10.50	FCT = 0.10504
Among aphid colonies within trees	102	187.371	0.06081 Vb***	4.11	FSC = 0.04593
Within aphid colonies	914	1154.450	1.26307 Vc***	85.39	FST = 0.14615
Total	1021	1478.345	1.47926		
Among seasons	2	5.724	-0.03044 Va	-2.06	FCT = -0.02059
Among trees within seasons	13	122.15	0.16137 Vb***	10.91	FSC = 0.10694
Within trees	816	1099.656	1.34762 Vc***	91.14	FST = 0.08855
Total	831	1227.531	1.47855		
Among seasons	2	9.504	0.00450 Va	0.31	FCT = 0.00310
Among aphid colonies within seasons	105	314.392	0.18362 Vb***	12.65	FSC = 0.12693
Within aphid colonies	914	1154.45	I.26307 Vc***	87.04	FST = 0.12693
Total	1021	1478.345			
(b) F. yessensis					
Among trees	5	53.326	0.06569 Va***	4.05	FCT = 0.04049
Among aphid colonies within trees	101	235.161	0.12649 Vb***	7.80	FSC = 0.08125
Within aphid colonies	657	939.683	1.43026 Vc***	88.16	FST = 0.11845
Total	763	1228.170	1.62244		
Among seasons	2	70.716	0.12256 Va***	7.38	FCT = 0.07378
Among trees within seasons	13	73.709	0.08972 Vb***	5.40	FSC = 0.05831
Within trees	748	1083.745	I.44886 Vc***	87.22	FST = 0.12779
Total	763	1228.170	1.66114		
Among seasons	2	70.716	0.13824 Va***	8.32	FCT = 0.08320
Among aphid colonies within seasons	104	217.771	0.09303 Vb***	5.60	FSC = 0.06107
Within aphid colonies	657	939.683	1.43026 Vc***	86.08	FST = 0.13919
Total	763	1228.170			

differentiation among populations was greater in *T. quercicola* than in *F. yessensis* (the averages were as follows: for *T. quercicola*, for spring, 0.219 ± 0.127 SD, range 0.023–0.514, for summer, 0.159 ± 0.081 SD, range 0.020–0.329, for autumn, 0.151 ± 0.062 SD, range 0.067–0.201; for *F. yessensis*, for spring, 0.096 ± 0.067 SD, range 0.018–0.233, for summer, 0.053 ± 0.040 SD, range 0.002–0.108) (Table 3). Isolation by distance analysis showed that a significant positive relationship between $F_{\rm ST}$ and geographical distance (In-transformed) was found for *F. yessensis* but not for *T. quercicola* (Figure 2).

A significant difference in the level of genetic differentiation between two consecutive seasons was found in *F. yessensis* but not in *T. quercicola* (Table 4). For *F. yessensis*, pairwise $F_{\rm sT}$ between spring and summer samples was greater than between summer and autumn samples (averaging 0.135 ± 0.006 SE between spring and summer, averaging 0.021 \pm 0.008 SE between summer and autumn).

PCO analysis showed that in spite of a drastic change of genetic difference in *F. yessensis* between spring and summer, the relative position among the six populations was maintained from spring to summer (Figure 3b). In contrast, the pattern of genetic differentiation in *T. quercicola* changed greatly between spring and summer (Figure 3a).

The results of Structure analysis for the entire data set including the label of seasons revealed that the number of cryptic populations (K) were 10 for T. quercicola and three for F. yessensis (Figure 4). Clear segmentation between the spring and summer populations was found in F. yessensis, but not in T. quercicola (Figure 4). Analyses for the sample belonging to each season showed that five to eight clustering and one to two clustering existed in the population of T. quercicola and F. yessensis, respectively.

		Tree			Tree			Tree			Tree			Tree		Tree	
					2			3			4			5		6	
Tree #	Month	June	July	October	June	July	October	June	July	October	June	July	October	June	July	June	July
Tree I	June	-	0.002**	0.010**	0.067**	0.056***	0.067**	0.111**	0.134**	0.127**	0.117**	0.124**	0.175**	0.112**	0.087**	0.308**	0.166**
	July	0.107**	-	0.001***	0.094**	0.087***	0.083**	0.145**	0.168**	0.158**	0.157***	0.150**	0.191**	0.119**	0.097***	0.329***	0.184**
	October	0.199**	0.045	-	0.138**	0.125**	0.107**	0.185**	0.211**	0.199***	0.149**	0.139**	0.201**	0.137**	0.105**	0.376***	0.218**
Tree 2	June	0.058***	0.087***	0.158**	-	0.001**	0.052**	0.023	0.028	0.035***	0.221**	0.167**	0.225**	0.184**	0.130**	0.273**	0.182**
	July	0.187**	0.021**	0.005	0.123**	-	0.028**	0.016	0.020	0.026	0.180***	0.135**	0.183**	0.139**	0.097***	0.231**	0.147**
	October	0.196**	0.064***	0.002	0.140**	0.015	-	0.067***	0.071***	0.067***	0.183**	0.112**	0.119***	0.123**	0.074**	0.169**	0.122**
Tree 3	June	0.200***	0.109**	0.152**	0.063**	0.086***	0.133**	-	-0.002	0.002	0.254**	0.148**	0.218**	0.171**	0.090**	0.279**	0.209**
	July	0.198**	0.056***	0.026	0.129**	0.009	0.004	0.105**	-	-0.003	0.287**	0.197**	0.234**	0.189**	0.120**	0.234**	0.197**
	October	0.195**	0.069***	0.037	0.153**	0.019	0.013	0.127*	0.012	-	0.284**	0.193**	0.215***	0.172**	0.104**	0.217**	0.179**
Tree 4	June	0.081**	0.057	0.108**	0.032	0.083**	0.111**	0.036	0.105**	0.125***	-	0.080	0.146**	0.305**	0.240***	0.514**	0.309**
	July	0.208**	0.034*	0.003	0.158**	0.004	0.040**	0.130***	0.040***	0.045	0.112**	-	0.140**	0.207***	0.116***	0.398**	0.295***
	October	0.208**	0.037	0.000	0.186**	0.031	0.041	0.176***	0.058**	0.070***	0.106***	0.011	-	0.195**	0.159**	0.293***	0.234**
Tree 5	June	0.018	0.105**	0.182**	0.059**	0.169**	0.182**	0.160**	0.173**	0.169**	0.032	0.180**	0.181**	-	0.038**	0.347**	0.246**
	July	0.206**	0.032**	0.051	0.153**	0.033	0.082**	0.123**	0.060***	0.080**	0.093**	0.009	0.027	0.159**	-	0.311***	0.229**
Tree 6	June	0.233**	0.202**	0.260**	0.152**	0.209**	0.231**	0.120**	0.200**	0.221**	0.054	0.223**	0.253**	0.138**	0.189**	-	0.113
	July	0.230**	0.129***	0.108**	0.209**	0.119***	0.125***	0.212***	0.106***	0.086***	0.159**	0.076 ^{**}	0.093**	0.159**	0.066**	0.206**	-

and ^{**}indicate significant values adjusted with a sequential Bonferroni multiple comparisons (*P < 0.024, **P < 0.012).

 F_{sT} above and below the diagonal shows the aphid T. quercicola and the ant F. yessensis, respectively.

Seasonal changes in clonal mixing in T. quercicola colonies

Two-way ANOVA indicated that both the G/n ratio and S-W index of T. quercicola in each colony decreased with the advance in season (G/n ratio, $F_{2, 100} = 26.92$, P <0.0001; S-W index, $F_{2,100} = 4.97$, P = 0.0087). Both the G/n ratio and S-W index were high in spring colonies (G/n ratio averaging 0.89 \pm 0.04 SE; S-W index averaging 2.17 \pm 0.11 SE) and low in autumn colonies (G/n ratio averaging 0.55 ± 0.04 SE; S-W index averaging 1.68 ± 0.12 SE) (Figures 5a and 5b). Significant effect on both the G/n ratio and S-W index was found in trees $(G/n \text{ ratio}, F_{5,100} = 6.34, P < 0.0001; \text{ S-W index}, F_{5,100} =$ 6.23, P < 0.0001). The colony in which all *T. quercicola* individuals were assigned to different clones (i.e. a G/n ratio = 1) accounted for 67% (22 out of 33 colonies) in spring, 21% (seven of 43 colonies) in summer, and 13% (four of 32 colonies) in autumn (Table 1). Common genotypes that were found through all three seasons were detected only in T. quercicola and accounted for 4% (13 of the 317 genotypes).

Table 4. Two-way ANOVA for the effects between seasons on the genetic differentiation in T. quercicola and F. yessensis. **P < 0.01

	d.f.	SS	F	Р
T. quercicola				
Tree	5	0.02	0.81	0.612
Between seasons	I	0.16	0.16	0.714
Error	3	0.01		
F. yessensis				
Tree	5	0.01	7.71	0.062
Between seasons	I	0.02	78.68	0.003**
Error	3	81.99		

Discussion

Differences in genetic structure between trees and between seasons

Analysis of microsatellite loci revealed that a significant genetic difference was maintained on the microgeographic scale in both T. quercicola and F. yessensis populations, and most of the genotypes were found only once on respective host trees throughout the seasons.



Microgeographic genetic differences have been reported in a number of studies (De Barro et al. 1995; Sunnucks et al. 1997; Haack et al. 2000; Vorburger 2006), where the authors postulate that stochastic genetic drift or founder effects were mainly responsible for changes in the frequency of genotypes. In the present example, a marked genetic differentiation was evident among the host-associated populations separated by an average of 240m, despite the fact that all *T. quercicola* adults have wings. No isolation by distance, however, was found in *T. quercicola* populations (Figure 2). Moreover, the Structure analysis for *T. quercicola* in each season revealed a close match between genetic partitions and actual trees (Figure 4). Private alleles with a frequency of more than 1% at each locus were found in two loci (Tq15 and Tq23) (Supplemental Data 1). These results suggest that T. quercicola has such a low migratory ability that they cannot disperse among the host trees. Attending ants may also inhibit the dispersal of aphids because the aphids are always surrounded by the ants. Besides the limitation of gene flow, selective pressures under heterogeneous host environments would serve to maintain a high level of genetic differences. A number of studies have documented that the performance of herbivores with low migratory ability, such as gall-formers, leafminers, and scale insects, are particularly susceptible to the heterogeneity of their host plants, which sometimes leads to a large amount of genetic variance in insect hatch dates in relation to synchrony with host budburst (Feeny 1970; Akimoto and Yamaguchi 1994; Karban 1989; Komatsu and Akimoto



1995). In the present system, significant differences in honeydew excretion behavior were reported between T. *quercicola* populations on the respective host trees (Yao 2004). This fact supports that T. *quercicola* is affected by heterogeneous environmental conditions.

In contrast to *T. quercicola*, the average of pairwise F_{ST} for *F. yessensis* was much lower (Table 3). These results suggest that a weak genetic differentiation exists between trees, and populations of *F. yessensis* have a high relatedness to neighbors, as seen in *Formica paralugubris* type B, which is highly polygynous and has supercolonies

(Chapuisat et al. 1997). In *F. paralugubris* B, the number of migrants entering a population per generation was 2.5, which implies that about 99.5 % of the queens are recruited from within the same nest. Chapuisat et al. (1997) suggests that the continuous isolation by distance in the supercolony may be due to nest budding or dispersal of sexual individuals to nearby nests. In the present study, all Structure analyses for *F. yessensis* indicated a partition with the most likely *K* below the actual value of trees (Figure 4). The discrepancy between the numbers of clusters and sampled trees is attributed to differentiation between populations corresponding to the two-



Figure 4. Summary plots of estimates of Q for T. quercicola and F. yessensis calculated with the entire data set and with the data divided into each season. Q indicates the estimated membership coefficients for each individual in each cluster. Each individual is represented by a single vertical line broken into K colored segments with lengths proportional to each of the K inferred clusters. The graph on the right indicates Bayesian posterior probabilities estimated for K. Higher values represent a higher probability of the assumed partition.

dimensional model. Genetic differentiation between F. yessensis populations occurred at distance smaller than half of the width of the habitat (see Table 3 and Figure 1), indicating that isolation by distance follows the twodimensional model (Rousset 1997). Isolation by distance refers to the idea that individuals with slow dispersal may be spatially distributed across a region. In this situation, allele frequencies vary gradually across the region. The underlying Structure model is not well suited for data from this kind of scenario, except for one-dimensional isolation by distance (Pritchard et al. 2000). The inseminated new F. yessensis queens have been observed returning to the natal nests after mating (Higashi 1983), supporting that genetic differentiation occurred within close nests and can be maintained by limited gene flow. Thus, newly founded nests will therefore tend to be genetically similar to the nest from which they originated.

A striking, significant genetic difference was found between spring and summer samples in F. yessensis but not in T. quercicola (Tables 2 and 4, Figures 3 and 4), indicating that changes of genetic composition occurred in F. yessensis nests. The demographic pattern of F. yessensis was characterized by a sharp increase from late July to late August. Ito (1973) also observed many pupae and new workers in F. yessensis nests from mid-June to late September. A large genetic difference in attending workers over summer may therefore be due to eclosion of the first workers and addition of newly-eclosed workers to the foraging force.

It should be noted that even after an alternation of antattended workers, a significant microgeographic genetic difference and isolation by distance were consistently detected among F. yessensis populations on the host trees through the seasons (the averages of pairwise $F_{\rm ST}$: 0.096 for spring, 0.053 for summer, 0.045 for autumn). Moreover, PCO analysis of F. yessensis populations showed that after the addition of newly-eclosed workers, the pattern of genetic similarity between ant populations on the host trees was kept almost constant (Figure 3b). These findings imply that new nests are founded close to natal nests near trees. A number of studies have documented that ant nests often move in response to seasonal shifts in weather (Gordon et al. 2001; Heller and Gordon 2006), disturbances, and changes in food availability (Holway and Case 2000). Furthermore, polydomous colonies that live in more than one nest, bud into many new nests, which may enable colonies to maintain advantageous nutritive, reproductive, or micro-environmental conditions (Banschbach and Herbers 1996, 1999). Cherix (1987) examined the diet composition of F. yessensis in our study region and found that the ants depend mainly on aphid honeydew as a food resource. In ant nests experiencing difficulty in foraging new protein resources, inheritance of honeydew resources in the same nest would be advantageous and favored by natural selection. Given that some of the mounds near the study

trees where F. yessensis workers are actively entering and exiting the nest have been observed not to dislocate their position for nine consecutive years, new nests appear to be founded close to natal nests near trees where honeydew could be available during all seasons. Experimental studies have shown that in F. paralugubris B, new queens can mate and stay in their natal nest or seek adoption in a foreign nest after a mating flight (Cherix et al. 1991). If F. yessensis queens have a similar philopatric behavior, genetic similarities may be kept in a nest that exploits an aphid population on a host tree as a honeydew resource. It is suggested that the limited distribution of susceptible Q. dentata trees enables aphid colonies to persist on a limited number of trees for a long time, resulting in longlasting mutualistic interactions between T. quercicola aphids and the attending ant F. yessensis on such trees.

Seasonal changes in clonal mixing in T. quercicola

The present study also showed that a high level of clonal mixing occurred in T. quercicola colonies during summer. Both the G/n ratio and S-W index of T. quercicola within a colony were high in the spring and slowly decreased during summer and into autumn, indicating that aphids of the same genotype gradually increased within a single colony. This is due to an increase by parthenogenesis or selection among clones. Several phenomena, such as high temperatures during summer, a decline in nutritional quality of leaves, and an increase in natural enemies, have been considered as seasonal factors affecting the dynamics of the aphid population (Hales et al. 1997; Karley et at. 2004). In our previous studies, T. quercicola populations increased exponentially until early August (Yao 2004), and a following significant reduction was found in both total free amino acid concentration in phloem sap of Q. dentata (Yao and Akimoto 2002) and fecundity of aphids (Yao et al. 2000; Yao 2004). If there is interclonal variation, selection may favor some types of clones equipped with a tolerance to high temperatures or high fecundity through interclonal competition. A number of studies demonstrated that under the seasonal selective pressures, a few clone types predominantly increased as the season progressed (Carvalho 1987; Carvalho and Crisp 1987; De Barro et al. 1995; Fuller et al. 1999; Vorburger 2006). In the present study, 13 common clones were found throughout the seasons, but their frequency in autumn (12 %) was lower than reported for other aphid species (Fuller et al. 1999; Vorburger 2006). This may be because of the differences in selective pressures acting on maintenance of polymorphisms between monocultural host plants and heterogeneous long-lived trees.



This study showed that a substantial microgeographic genetic differentiation was found in the aphid T. quercicola, while the attending symbiotic ant, F. yessensis had a lower genetic variation among the studied trees. As a consequence of limited suitable sites for T. quercicola, trees where honeydew was available all year round were occupied by F. yessensis over the same period, and the tree could be heritable at the ant colony level. Tracking the seasonal movement of F. yessensis workers with a mark-recapture experiment would contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the evolutionary ecology of aphid-ant mutualisms.

Acknowledgments

We thank T. Kanbe for help with sampling and laboratory work. Thanks also to E. Hasegawa and N. Isomura for their very helpful suggestions. This study was supported in part by JSPS Research Fellowships (for I.Yao), in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 15570009 and 19570010 for I.Yao, and No. 10640604 for S. Akimoto) and by a 21st Century Center of Excellence Program on 'Neo-Science of Natural History' at Hokkaido University (Leader, H. Okada), financed by the Japan Society of the Promotion of Science.

References

- Abbot P, Withgott JH, Moran NA. 2001. Genetic conflict and conditional altruism in social aphid colonies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98: 12068-12071.
- Akimoto S, Yamaguchi Y. 1994. Phenotypic selection on the process of gall formation of a *Tetraneura* aphid (Pemphigidae). *Journal of Animal Ecology* 63: 727-738.
- Antonovics J. 1992. Toward community genetics. In: Fritz RS, Simms EL, editors. *Plant resistance to herbivores and pathogens*, pp. 426-449. University of Chicago Press.
- Awmack CS, Leather SR. 2002. Host plant quality and fecundity in herbivorous insects. *Annual review of Entomology* 47: 817-844.
- Banschbach VS, Herbers JM. 1996. Complex colony structure in social insects: I. Ecological determinants and genetic consequences. *Evolution* 50: 285-297.
- Banschbach VS, Herbers JM. 1999. Nest movements and population spatial structure of the forest ant Myrmica punctiventris (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 92: 414-423.
- Bronstein JL. 1994. Conditional outcomes in mutualistic interactions. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 9: 214-217.
- Carvalho GR. 1987. The clonal ecology of *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea: Cladocera): II. Thermal differentiation among seasonal clones. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 56: 469-478.
- Carvalho GR, Crisp DJ. 1987. The clonal ecology of *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea: Cladocera): I. Temporal changes in the clonal structure of a natural population. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 56: 453-468.
- Chapuisat M. 1996. Characterization of microsatellite loci in *Formica lugubris* B and their variability in other ant species. *Molecular Ecology* 5: 599-601.
- Chapuisat M, Goudet J, Keller L. 1997. Microsatellites reveal high population viscosity and limited dispersal in the ant *Formica paralugubris*. *Evolution* 51: 475-482.
- Cherix D. 1987. Relation between diet and polyethism in *Formica* colonies. *Experientia supplementum* 54: 93-115.

- Cherix D, Chautems D, Fletcher DJC, Fortelius W, Gris G, Keller L, Passera L, Rosengren R, Vargo EL, Walter F. 1991. Alternative reproductive strategies in *Formica lugubris* Zett. (Hymenoptera Formicidae). *Ethology, Ecology and Evolution, Special Issue* 1: 61-66.
- De Barro PJ, Sherratt TN, Brooeks CP, David O, Maclean N. 1995. Spatial and temporal genetic variation in British field populations of the grain aphid Silobion avenae (F.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) studied using RAPD-PCR. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological Science 262: 321-327.
- Dieringer D, Schötterer C. 2002. Microsatellite analyzer (MSA): a platform independent analysis tool for large microsatellite data sets. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 3: 167-169.
- Dixon AFG. 1970. Quality and availability of food for a sycamore aphid population. In: Watson A, editor. Animal Population in Relation to their Food Resources, pp. 271-287. Blackwell.
- Excoffier L, Smouse PE, Quattro JM. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics* 131: 479-491.
- Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. 2003. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. *Genetics* 164: 1567-1587.
- Feeny P. 1970. Seasonal changes in oak leaf tannins and nutrients as a cause of spring feeding by winter moth caterpillars. *Ecology* 51: 565-581.
- Fukatsu T. 1999. Acetone preservation: a practical technique for molecular analysis. *Molecular Ecology* 8: 1935-1945.
- Fuller SJ, Chavigny P, Lapchin L, Vanlerberghe-Masutti F. 1999. Variation in clonal diversity in glasshouse infestations of the aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover in southern France. *Molecular Ecology* 8: 1867-1877.
- Gordon DM, Moses L, Falkovitz-Halpern M, Wong EH. 2001. Effect of weather on infestation of buildings by the invasive Argentine ant, *Linepithema humile* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *American Midland Naturalist* 146: 321-328.
- Gower JC. 1966. Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods used in multivariate analysis. *Biometrika* 53: 325-338.
- Haack L, Simon JC, Gauthier JP, Plantegenest M, Dedryver CA. 2000. Evidence for predominant clones in a cyclically parthenogenetic organism provided by combined demographic and genetic analyses. *Molecular Ecology* 9: 2055-2066.
- Hales DF, Tomiuk J, Wöhrmann K, Sunnucks P. 1997. Evolutionary and genetic aspects of aphid biology: a review. *European Journal of Entomology* 94: 1-55.
- Hartl DL, Clark AG. 1997. Principles of Population Genetics, 3rd edition. Sinauer.
- Hasegawa E, Imai S. 2004. Characterization of microsatellite loci in red wood ants *Formica* (s. str.) spp. and the related genus *Polyergus*. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 200-203.
- Heller NE, Gordon DM. 2006. Seasonal spatial dynamics and causes of nest movement in colonies of the invasive Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*). *Ecological Entomology* 31: 499-510.

- Higashi S. 1983. Polygyny and nuptial flight of Formica (Formica) yessensis Forel at Ishikari coast, Hokkaido, Japan. Insectes Sociaux 30: 287-297.
- Holway DA, Case TJ. 2000. Mechanisms of dispersed central-place foraging in polydomous colonies of the Argentine ant. *Animal Beha*viour 59: 433-441.
- Ito M. 1973. Seasonal population trends and nest structure in a polydomous ant Formica (Formica) yessensis Forel. Journal of Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University. Ser. 6, Zoology 19: 270-293.
- Ito F, Higashi S. 1991. An indirect mutualism between oaks and wood ants via aphids. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 60: 463-470.
- Iwata H. 2005. PCO ver.2.0: MS-DOS program for principal coordinate analysis. Code available at: http://cse.naro.affrc.go.jp/iwatah/others/ pco/index.html
- Johnson PCD, Whitefield JA, Foster WA, Amos W. 2002. Clonal mixing in the soldier-producing aphid *Pemphigus spyrothecae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Molecular Ecology* 11: 1525-1531.
- Karban R. 1989. Fine-scale adaptation of herbivorous thrips to individual host plants. *Nature* 340: 60-61.
- Karley AJ, Parker WE, Pitchford JW, Douglas AE. 2004. The mid-season crash in aphid populations: why and how does it occur? *Ecological Entomology* 29: 383-388.
- Komatsu T, Akimoto S. 1995. Genetic differentiation as a result of adaptation to the phenologies of individual host trees in the galling aphid *Kaltenbachiella japonica*. *Ecological Entomology* 20: 33-42.
- Llewellyn KS, Loxdale HD, Harrington R, Brookes CP, Clark SJ, Sunnucks P. 2003. Migration and genetic structure of the grain aphid (*Sitobion avenae*) in Britain related to climate and clonal fluctuation as revealed using microsatellites. *Molecular Ecology* 12: 21-34.
- Nei M, Roychoudhury AK. 1974. Sampling variance of heterozygosity and genetic distance. *Genetics* 76: 379-390.
- Neuhauser C, Andow DA, Heimpel GE, May G, Shaw RG, Wagenius S. 2003. Community genetics: expanding the synthesis of ecology and genetics. *Ecology* 84: 545-558.
- Pearse DE, Crandall KA. 2004. Beyond Fst: analysis of population genetic data for conservation. *Conservation Genetics* 5: 585-602.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155: 945-959.
- Raymond M, Rousset F. 1996. *GENEPOP on the Web* Code available at: http://wbiomed.curtin.edu.au/genepop/
- Rice WR. 1989. Analyzing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43: 223-225.
- Rousset F. 1997. Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from *F*-statistics under isolation by distance. *Genetics* 145: 1219-1228.

SAS 2002. JMP, 5.0.1J. SAS Institute.

Schneider S, Roessli D, Excoffier L. 2000. Arlequin. Ver. 2.000. Software for population genetics data analysis. Genetics and Biometry Laboratory, University of Geneva, Switzerland.

- Setzer RW. 1980. Intergall migration in the aphid genus Pemphigus. Annals Entomological Society of America 73: 327-331.
- Stadler B, Dixon AFG. 2005. Ecology and Evolution of aphid-ant interactions. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 36: 345-372.
- Sunnucks P, De Barro PJ, Lushai G, Maclean N, Hales D. 1997. Genetic structure of an aphid studied using microsatellites: cyclic parthenogenesis, differentiated lineages and host specialization. *Molecular Ecology* 6: 1059-1073.
- Vorburger C. 2006. Temporal dynamics of genotypic diversity reveal strong clonal selection in the aphid Myzus persicae. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 19: 97-107.
- Walsh PS, Metzger DA, Higuchi R. 1991. Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. *Biotechniques* 10: 506-513.
- Way MJ. 1963. Mutualism between ants and honeydew-producing Homoptera. Annual Review of Entomology 8: 307-344.
- Whitham TG, Young WP, Martinsen GD, Gehring CA, Schweitzer JA, Shuster SM, Wimp GM, Fischer DG, Bailey JK, Lindroth RL, Woolbright S, Kuske C. 2003. Community and ecosystem genetics: a consequence of the extended phenotype. *Ecology* 84: 559-573.
- Yao I. 2004. Effect of summer flush leaves of the Daimyo oak, *Quercus dentata*, on density, fecundity and honeydew excretion by the drepanosiphid aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola* (Sternorrhyncha: Aphididae). *European Journal of Entomology* 101: 531-538.
- Yao I, Akimoto S. 2002. Flexibility in the composition and concentration of amino acids in honeydew of the drepanosiphid aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola. Ecological Entomology* 27: 745-752.
- Yao I, Akimoto S, Hasegawa E. 2003. Isolation of microsatellite markers from the drepanosiphid aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola* (Homoptera, Aphididae). *Molecular Ecology Notes* 3: 542-543.
- Yao I, Shibao H, Akimoto S. 2000. Costs and benefits of ant attendance to the drepanosiphid aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola*. Oikos 89: 3-10.

Supplemental Data 1. Frequencies of alleles of microsatellite loci for (a) the aphid *Tuberculatus quercicola* and (b) the ant *Formica yessensis*.

							(a	l)							
Tq15	212	214	222	224	226	236	238	240	242	244	248	282	284	286	288
Tree I			0.258		0.026		0.036	0.232	0.129	0.062		0.021	0.222	0.010	0.00
Tree 2	2		0.599			0.006		0.056	0.031			0.037	0.056		
Tree 3	;		0.685	0.008	0.115	0.015	0.062	0.062	0.015	0.008				0.031	
Tree 4	l I		0.008		0.032	0.008	0.032	0.339	0.347		0.040		0.048	0.113	0.03
Tree 5	;	0.144	0.156				0.011	0.156	0.122	0.022		0.211	0.089	0.044	0.04
Tree 6	0.071		0.929												
Total	0.003	0.018	0.380	0.001	0.081	0.005	0.027	0.162	0.118	0.021	0.007	0.040	0.091	0.033	0.01
Tq17	228	230	Та	18	113	115	Тс	23	226	232	234	238	240	242	244
Tree I	0.184	0.816		-	0.485	0.515		_	0.449	0.332		0.153	0.066		
Tree 2						0.636				0.321			0.179	0.031	
Tree 3	-	0.579				0.795				0.280		0.182	0.061		
Tree 4						0.581				0.242	0.008				0.01
Tree 5						0.922				0.300			0.144		0.01
Tree 6	+					0.929			0.286				0.107		
Total		0.665			0.331	0.669				0.292	0.001		0.098		0.00
Tq26	+	172	174	176	178										
	1														
Tree I			0.699		0.031										
Tree 2			0.623												
Tree 3		0.069		0.438	0.046										
Tree 4			0.597												
Tree 5	_		0.489												
Tree 6	-			0.438											
Total	0.003	0.110	0.589	0.267	0.032										
				1			(b	1		1					
	Fy3	190	192	196	198	200	202	204	206	208	Fy	/4	276	284	
	Tree I		0.006	0.281		0.025	0.563		0.125				0.444	0.556	
	Tree 2		0.006	0.370		0.019	0.383		0.221				0.513	0.487	
	Tree 3			0.297		0.007	0.446		0.243	0.007			0.642	0.358	
	Tree 4	0.025		0.325		0.017	0.517		0.100	0.017			0.500	0.500	
	Tree 5	0.008											0.565	0.435	
	Tree 6			0.274	0.008	0.065	0.573	0.008	0.065				0.931	0.069	
	Total	0.005	0.003	0.308	0.003	0.029	0.499	0.001	0.145	0.008			0.561	0.439	
	Fy7	228	230	232	234	236	240	242	244	246					
		0.138	0.213		0.163	0.144	0.081	0.263							
	Tree I					0.199	0.128	0.167	0.019						
	Tree I Tree 2	0.154	0.199	0.006	0.128			I	0.007						
				0.006	0.128 0.068		0.082	0.199	0.007						
	Tree 2	0.151	0.274	0.006		0.219	0.082 0.034		0.007						
	Tree 2 Tree 3	0.151 0.093	0.274 0.449	0.006	0.068	0.219 0.178	0.034		0.008						
	Tree 2 Tree 3 Tree 4	0.151 0.093 0.287	0.274 0.449	0.006	0.068 0.017	0.219 0.178 0.049	0.034	0.212 0.098	0.008						
	Tree 2 Tree 3 Tree 4 Tree 5	0.151 0.093 0.287	0.274 0.449 0.434		0.068 0.017	0.219 0.178 0.049	0.034 0.049	0.212 0.098 0.069	0.008 0.025	0.008					
	Tree 2 Tree 3 Tree 4 Tree 5 Tree 6	0.151 0.093 0.287 0.310	0.274 0.449 0.434 0.569		0.068 0.017 0.057	0.219 0.178 0.049 0.017	0.034 0.049 0.034	0.212 0.098 0.069	0.008 0.025 0.011	0.008	100	106	108	110	

Supplemental Data. (cont.)

	(b)														
Tree 2			0.032	0.279	0.299	0.312	0.078				0.654	0.115	0.231		
Tree 3			0.076	0.125	0.368	0.375	0.056				0.691	0.013	0.296		
Tree 4		0.008	0.034	0.144	0.441	0.347	0.025			0.017	0.450	0.333	0.200		
Tree 5			0.065	0.427	0.403	0.105					0.540	0.258	0.202		
Tree 6			0.069	0.310	0.345	0.259	0.017				0.534	0.276	0.190		
Total	0.001	0.001	0.051	0.307	0.347	0.260	0.032			0.003	0.579	0.192	0.226		

									Tr	ee								
	I			2			3			4			5			6		
Locus	n	nall/h	He⁄ Ho	n	nall/h	He⁄ Ho	n	nall/h	He⁄ Ho	n	nall/h	He⁄ Ho	n	nall/h	He⁄ Ho	n	nall/h	He⁄ Ho
Tq15	97	10/ 0.81	78.8/ 33 [*]	81	7/0.59	47.8/ 29 [*]	63	9/0.51	32.5/ 23	62	10/ 0.75	46.6/ 	45	10/ 0.59	39.1/ 11	14	2/0.13	1.9/0
Tq17	97	2/0.30	28.8/ 25	81	2/0.49	39.9/ 37	63	2/0.49	31.0/ 27	62	2/0.50	31.2/ 32	45	2/0.49	4.6/ 4	14	2/0.38	5.4/7
Tq18	97	2/0.50	48.7/ 51	81	2/0.46	37.7/ 37	63	2/0.33	20.8/ 26	62	2/0.49	30.4/ 26	45	2/0.46	6.5/3	14	2/0.13	1.9/0
Tq23	97	4/0.66	65.0/ 86 [*]	81	5/0.73	59.7/ 71	63	5/0.73	46.5/ 60 [*]	62	7/0.75	46.5/ 60	45	5/0.73	33.6/ 38	14	5/0.75	10.9/ 10
Tq26	97	4/0.47	46.0/ 43	81	4/0.52	42.0/ 44	63	4/0.60	38.4/ 37	62	3/0.51	31.6/ 32	45	4/0.52	28.6/ 28	14	3/0.52	7.5/8
Fy3	80	5/0.59	47.4/ 47	75	5/0.67	50.5/ 56	72	5/0.66	47.6/ 54	58	6/0.62	36.2/ 35	61	7/0.58	35.9/ 32	29	6/0.59	17.5/ 19
Fy4	80	2/0.49	39.7/ 43	75	2/0.50	37.7/ 41	72	2/0.47	33.7/ 39	58	2/0.50	29.2/ 23	61	2/0.49	30.3/ 34	29	2/0.13	3.8/2
Fy7	80	6/0.81	65.5/ 60	75	8/0.84	63.2/ 55	72	7/0.80	58.3/ 53	58	8/0.70	41.2/ 41	61	7/0.71	43.7/ 26 [*]	29	5/0.57	16.9/6
Fy15	80	5/0.63	50.5/ 28 [*]	75	5/0.74	55.1/ 36 [*]	72	5/0.70	50.7/ 43	58	6/0.67	39.0/ 32	61	4/0.64	39.5/ 18 [*]	29	5/0.71	21.0/ 24
FL12	80	3/0.60	48.2/ 50	75	3/0.50	37.6/ 39	72	3/0.44	31.8/ 35	58	4/0.69	37.8/ 35	61	3/0.61	37.2/ 36	29	3/0.60	17.8/ 21

 \boldsymbol{n} indicates the number of allelic genotypes found across the seasons.

 $n_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm all}$ and h show the number of alleles across the seasons and gene diversity.

He and Ho mean expected frequency of heterozygotes and observed frequency of heterozygotes.

^{*}indicates significance of deviation in expected genotype frequencies from Hardy-Weinberg expectation calculated by Fisher's exact test with a sequential Bonferroni multiple comparisons.