

# Effects of Passages Through a Suitable Host of the Fungus, Metarhizium anisopliae, on the Virulence of Acaricide-Susceptible and Resistant Strains of the Tick, Rhipicephalus microplus

Authors: Adames, Markis, Fernández-Ruvalcaba, Manuel, Peña-Chora, Guadalupe, and Hernández-Velázquez, Victor M.

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 11(21): 1-13

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.011.0121

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



# Effects of passages through a suitable host of the fungus, Metarhizium anisopliae, on the virulence of acaricidesusceptible and resistant strains of the tick, Rhipicephalus microplus

Markis Adames<sup>1a</sup>, Manuel Fernández-Ruvalcaba<sup>2b</sup>, Guadalupe Peña-Chora<sup>3c</sup>, and Victor M. Hernández-Velázquez<sup>1d\*</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>CelB, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos. Av. Universidad 1001, Colonia Chamilpa, Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico, C.P. 62209, Mexico
 <sup>2</sup>CENID-Parasitología Veterinaria. INIFAP-SAGARPA, Mexico

<sup>3</sup>CIB, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Av. Universidad 101, Colonia Chamilpa-Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico, C.P. 62209, Mexico

# Abstract

The aim of this work was to assess the virulence of strain M379 of the fungus, Metarhizium anisopliae (Metchnikoff) Sorokin (Hypocreales: Clavicipitaceae) after different passages through a suitable host and at different concentrations for the control of both acaricide-susceptible and resistant strains of the tick, Rhipicephalus (formerly Boophilus) microplus Canestrini (Ixodida: Ixodidae) in vitro. The highest value of  $LC_{50}$  for the susceptible strain corresponded to zero passage with 7.68  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> conidia/ml followed by the fourth passage with 2.68  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup>, which reduced 2.87-fold the lethal concentration. When comparing LC50 values of the fourth vs. the seventh passage  $(2.59 \times 10^5 \text{ conidia/ml})$ , the lethal concentration was reduced 103.47-fold by the seventh passage. In addition, in the resistant strain the  $LC_{50}$  highest value corresponded to zero passage with 4.95  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> conidia/ml followed by the fourth passage with 7.86  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup>, which reduced 6.30-fold the lethal concentration. When comparing LC50 values of the fourth vs. the seventh passage (1.04  $\times$  10<sup>5</sup> conidia/ml) in the resistant strain, the lethal concentration was reduced 75.58-fold by the seventh passage. These results suggest that the number of passages on M. anisopliae through a suitable host increased its virulence on both R. microplus strains. When comparing LC<sub>50</sub> of the zero passage through a suitable host of both acaricide-susceptible and resistant strains, the highest LC<sub>50</sub> values corresponded to the susceptible strain with 7.68  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> conidia/ml followed by the resistant one with  $4.95 \times 10^7$ , showing that on the resistant strain the lethal concentration is reduced by 1.55-fold. When comparing the fourth passage, the highest values of  $LC_{50}$  corresponded to the susceptible strain with 2.68 x 10<sup>7</sup> conidia/ml followed by the resistant one with  $7.86 \times 10^6$  conidia/ml, showing for the resistant strain a 3.41-fold reduced lethal concentration. Moreover, when comparing the seventh passages, the highest values of  $LC_{50}$ corresponded to the susceptible strain with  $2.59 \times 10^5$  followed by the resistant with  $1.04 \times 10^5$ conidia/ml, revealing for the resistant strain a 2.49-fold reduced lethal concentration. These results suggest that the resistant strain needs a lower concentration of conidia than the susceptible

strain. In this case, the acaricide-resistant strain is more susceptible to *M. anisopliae* of zero- and seven-passage strains.

ISSN: 1536-2442   Vol. 11, Number 21 Cite this paper as: Adames M. Fernández M. Peña G. Hernández VM. 2011. Effects of passages through a suitable host of the fungus.	Keywords: biocontrol, ectoparasite, pathogen, oviposition inhibition Correspondence: a markisadames@hotmail.com; b rfdez51@yahoo.com; c penacg@cib.uaem.mx; d*ymanuelh@uaem.mx, *Corresponding author Received: 9 December 2009, Accepted: 30 March 2010 Copyright : This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.								
Metarhizium anisopliae, on the virulence of acaricide-susceptible and resistant strains of the tick, Rhipicephalus microplus.		<b>Cite this paper as:</b> Adames M, Fernández M, Peña G, Hernández VM. 2011. Effects of passages through a suitable host of the fungus,							

Journal of Insect Science 11:21 available online: insectscience.org/11.21

#### Introduction

One of the most important cattle pests in tropical regions is the tick, *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) microplus (Canestrini) (Acari: Ixodidae), which is a strict ectoparasite of bovines. To control this parasite, around 7 billion dollars are spent each year worldwide (Bull et al. 1996). *R. microplus* causes several cattle diseases such as anemia, flesh wounds, and abortive events (Yeruham et al. 1998). The effects of these diseases cost up to 100 million dollars a year in North America alone, but in Central and South America the costs rise to 1 billion dollars per year (Acosta-Rodriguez et al. 2005).

Rhipicephalus microplus is a vector for infectious cattle diseases. Parasitic protozoa, like Babesia bovis and B. bigemina, are transmitted to both domestic and wild animals (Alonso et al. 1992). In Mexico, the control of R. microplus is based on the use of chemical acaricides applied to animals. The most commonly used chemicals are organophosphorics (OP), synthetic pyretroids (SP), amidines, and macrocyclic lactones (Taylor 2001). This kind of control was effective in the past, but it has become too expensive and the rise of resistant strains together with the intrinsic pollution of this practice has rendered this strategy unviable (Norval et al. 1992; Kaaya and Hassan 2000).

In Mexico, some populations of *R. microplus* have developed resistance to different acaricides, such as pyretroids (Miller et al. carbamates. 2007), formamidines. and organophosphates (Li 2004). et al. Entomopathogenic fungal species are being evaluated for use as an alternative control for R. microplus; among these, some strains of Metarhizium anisopliae promising are

(Fernandez-Ruvalcaba et al. 2005; Leemon and Jonsson 2008).

It has been reported that cultures of entomopathogenic fungus in artificial media cause phenotypic alterations associated with degeneration of entomopathogenic the cultures (Nagaich 1973; Ibrahim et al. 2002; Ryan et al. 2002). These alterations include changes in color, growth, and morphology. Another important issue is that culture degeneration leads to a strong decay in sporulation, metabolites, and virulence (Butt al. 2006). The degeneration et of entomopathogenic fungi has been identified by the biological insecticide manufacturers as a cause for decay in the quality of stocks, thereby rendering the product commercially unviable (Butt et al. 2006).

Strains are different in their virulence decay rates; some strains lose their virulence in a single subculture (Butt et al. 2006). Nagaich (1973) reports the loss of virulence of Verticillium lecanii (Zimm.) after two or three Some strains need to subcultures. be successively subcultured 10 to 12 times before a significant decline in virulence is observed (Morrow et al. 1989; Hajek et al. 1990). Brownbridge et al. (2001) reported that one strain of B. bassiana did not lose its virulence after 15 successive subcultures in artificial media. and the ectoparasitic fungi Paecilomyces fumosoroseus did not lose its virulence after 30 successive subcultures (Vandenberg and Cantone 2004).

Very few studies have been carried out to determine the capabilities of the host in restoring or increasing fungal virulence of attenuated fungal cultures in artificial media (Wasti and Hartman 1975; Butt and Goettel 2000). Guedes–Frazzon et al. (2000) found that after single-step re-isolation of M. anisopliae strains (M5 and E6S1) previously employed on engorged R. microplus females strongly elevates their virulence. However, this work was not conclusive in determining the effects of different passages through a suitable host in increasing fungal virulence because the overall effect was only measured in the zero and first passages through a suitable host.

*Rhipicephalus microplus* strains have been reported to have oscillating susceptibility to a specific kind of acaricide, highlighting the importance of determining the optimal concentration of *M. anisopliae* needed to control different strains of *R. microplus*. Establishing the best concentration for the control of *R. microplus* is of critical interest in dealing with this ectoparasite because overconcentration would increase the cost of the formulation.

Another important point is that the number of passages through a suitable host increases fungal virulence, and this strategy reduces the total time needed to control *R. microplus*. This specific strategy would result in an improved method for dealing with this ectoparasite in Mexican cattle.

The objective of this work is to compare the virulence of strain M379 of *M. anisopliae* with different passages through a suitable host on both susceptible and resistant strains of *R. microplus*.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Study Location**

The present work was carried out at the Centro de Investigación en Biotecnología of the Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Cuernavaca, Morelos, Mexico. *M*.

anisopliae M379 strain was isolated from cadavers of *Aeneolamia postica* (Hemiptera: Cercopidae). Engorged female individuals of acaricide-susceptible *R. microplus* strain Media Joya and an OP- and SP-resistant amidines San Alfonso strain were employed in this work (Soberanes-Céspedes et al. 2000). Both strains were cultured on bovines and stored at the Instituto de Investigaciones Forestales, Agrícolas and Pecuarias in Progreso, Morelos, Mexico.

#### **Culture Medium**

*M. anisopliae* strain M379 was cultured in a Sabouraud-dextrose-agar (SDA) that included 5 g/l of mild peptone, 5 g/l casein peptone, 40 g/l dextrose, and 1.5 g/l agar. The culture was adjusted to pH 5.6  $\pm$  0.2 and incubated in a dark room at 27  $\pm$  1° C for 15 days to induce sporulation and then preserved at 4  $\pm$  2° C.

#### **Conidia Collection**

Conidia were recovered from Petri dishes using distilled water with 1% adhesive Tween 20 in a laminar flux chamber (CFLV-80; Aparatos de Laboratorio BG). Conidia were counted in a Newbauer chamber.

#### **Immersion Bioassay**

An immersion assay was employed for engorged ticks (Drummond and Whestone 1970). Increasing concentrations of conidia of strain were used  $(10^4 - 10^8)$ the M379 conidia/ml), and distilled water was used as a negative control. Immediately after treatment, ticks were allowed to dry on paper towels and placed in clean snap-cap vials with 15 ticks per vial (the caps had a hole covered with screening). Vials were incubated for 15 days in a humid chamber at 90% RH and  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The high relative humidity is required for optimal survival of ticks (Drummond and Whestone 1970).

Multiple Passages through a Suitable Host

After inoculation of *M. anisopliae* into susceptible ticks, conidia were isolated from the cadavers and cultured in an SDA medium using successive tick-SDA-tick-SDA cycles. The successive isolation of conidia from the cadavers of ticks determined the number of consecutive subcultures of *M. anisopliae*.

#### **Experimental Design and Analysis**

Fifteen individuals from *R. microplus* for each treatment were used as an experimental group. To standardize data, each individual was weighed using an analytical balance (OHAUS AS 120; OHAUS Corporation, <u>www.ohousscale.com</u>) and randomly distributed. Three replications were used for each treatment.

A totally randomized experimental design was employed for the concentration  $(10^4 - 10^8)$ conidia/ml and distilled water) and passage (0, 4<sup>th</sup>, and 7<sup>th</sup>) factors through a suitable host Each combination was tested on Media Joya and San Antonio tick strains (Soberanes-Céspedes et al. 2002). The assay was replicated three times and the mortality percentages were calculated at 3, 5, 7, and 9 days after treatment. The concentration, lethal to 50% of tick populations (LC<sub>50</sub> value), was computed on the basis of the mortality percentage recorded at each concentration through Probit analysis within 95% confidence limits. Tukey's multiple range tests was used to separate passage means and Student's t test was used for the pairwise comparisons of means given in the text. SAS<sup>®</sup> software (Version 9.0) was employed for statistical analyses.

# Pathogenicity

To determine the values of this dependent variable, a stereoscopic microscope (DM143; Motic Digital Microscope, <u>www.motic.com</u>) was used to measure the susceptibility of ticks to *M. anisopliae* strains in each treatment. A binomial measurement (death vs. survival) was employed over 24 h of observation.

# Virulence

The virulence of the *M. anisopliae* strain on *R. microplus* was measured using the following parameters:

Mortality Percentage of Rh. (Bo.) microplus. Dead and live ticks were counted at 3, 5, 7, and 9 days after inoculation. Ticks stereoscopic analyzed using a were microscope and were considered dead when they remained motionless, were moribund, and had fungal mycelia emerging through the cuticle.

**Inhibition Percentage of Oviposition.** To measure this variable, after 15 days the total mass of oviposited tick eggs was weighed using an analytical balance (OHAUS AS 120; OHAUS Corporation, Florham Park, NJ). The formula employed (Drummond and Whestone 1970) was:

% I. O. = ( PQLt/PQLT – PHLt/PHLT ) \* 100

where PQLt = weight of female ticks in the experimental stock, PQLT = weight of female ticks in the control stock, PHLt = weight of oviposited eggs in the experimental stock, and PHLT = weight of oviposited eggs in the control stock.

#### Results

# **Susceptible Ticks**

**Virulence.** The effects of the different *M. anisopliae* passages through a suitable host and of the conidia concentration on the virulence of susceptible Media Joya female ticks are shown in Table 1. A higher virulence

value was obtained using a concentration of  $1 \times 10^8$  conidia/ml, with 71.11% mortality in the seventh passage, followed by a 66.67% in the fourth passage at the same dose. Zero passage was less effective at the same dose with a mortality value of 53.33%.

When comparing the  $LC_{50}$  values of the different passages through a suitable host (Table 2), the highest value of  $LC_{50}$  for the susceptible strain corresponded to the zero passage with  $7.68 \times 10^7$  conidia/ml followed by the fourth passage with  $2.68 \times 10^7$ , which 2.87-fold the lethal concentration reduced with statistical differences between the two (df = 2, 6 p < 0.05). When comparing  $LC_{50}$ values of the fourth vs. the seventh passage  $10^{5}$ conidia/ml), (2.59)Х the lethal concentration was reduced 103.47-fold by the seventh passage showing a significant statistical difference (df = 2, 6 p < 0.05) with respect to the other passages.

**Oviposition Inhibition.** The effects of the different *M. anisopliae* passages through a suitable host and of the conidia concentration on the inhibition of oviposition of susceptible Media Joya female ticks are shown in Table 3. The results show an inhibition of oviposition proportionally related to the conidia concentration, which correlated positively with the different passages through a suitable host.

In the seventh passage through a suitable host, the percentage of inhibition of oviposition

for conidia increased the lower concentrations; at a  $1 \times 10^4$  conidia/ml concentration a 17.65% mortality was obtained versus 1.28% and 5.68% mortality rates at the fourth and zero passages, respectively. An identical behavior was observed using a concentration of  $1 \times 10^5$ conidia/ml. However, when zero and fourth passage factors were used with increased concentrations of conidia per milliter, a different behavior for oviposition inhibition was observed: a 77.09% oviposition inhibition for a concentration of  $1 \times 10^7$  conidia/ml with the zero passage factor versus a 62.69% inhibition for the fourth passage through a suitable host, and a 64.47% rate for the seventh passage. However, when using the highest concentration  $(1 \times 10^8 \text{ conidia/ml})$  the proportional inhibition of oviposition revealed an identical response for each passage through a suitable host.

#### **Resistant Ticks**

**Virulence.** The effects of the different *M. anisopliae* passages through a suitable host, and of the conidia concentration on the virulence of acaricide-resistant *R. microplus* strain, are shown in Table 1. A higher virulence value was obtained using a concentration of  $1 \times 10^8$  conidia/ml with a 66.67% mortality in the seventh passage, followed by a 55.56% in the fourth passage at the same dose. However, in the zero passage of acaricide-resistant *R. microplus* strain, a concentration of  $1 \times 10^8$  conidia/ml yielded a 37.38% mortality, i.e. it was less effective

 Table I. Mortality percentage of different passages through a suitable host of M. anisopliae on female susceptible and acaricide 

 resistant
 ticks at the 7th day of incubation.

		Susceptible Ticks			Resistant Ticks	
Concentrations	Zero Passage	Fourth Passage	Seventh Passage	Zero Passage	Fourth Passage	Seventh Passage
(conidia/ml)	Mortality (%)	Mortality (%)	Mortality (%)	Mortality (%)	Mortality (%)	Mortality (%)
1×10 <sup>8</sup>	53.33 ± 6.67*	66.67 ± 7.46	71.11 ± 7.26	37.78 ± 7.84	55.56 ± 6.42	66.67 ± 3.67
1×10 <sup>7</sup>	37.78 ± 6.67	35.56 ± 7.46	66.67 ± 7.26	67.78 ± 7.84	55.56 ± 6.42	56.67 ± 3.67
1×10 <sup>6</sup>	15.56 ± 6.67	26.67 ± 7.46	55.56 ± 7.26	25.00 ± 6.79	50.00 ± 7.86	55.00 ± 3.67
1×10 <sup>5</sup>	13.33 ± 6.67	6.67 ± 7.46	44.44 ± 7.26	23.33 ± 6.79	26.67 ± 6.42	42.22 ± 4.24
1×10 <sup>4</sup>	6.67 ± 6.67	11.11 ± 7.46	37.78 ± 7.26	20.00 ± 7.84	26.67 ± 7.86	50.00 ± 3.67
H <sub>2</sub> O	.   ± 6.67	6.67 ± 9.13	10.00 ± 8.89	12.77 ± 7.84	13.33 ± 6.42	13.00 ± 4.24

Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org

 Table 2. Mortality by concentration of M. anisopliae conidia concentration in susceptible and acaricide-resistant female B.

 microplus at the 7th day of incubation, and the negative control (H2O).

Ticks Passages		LC₅₀ (Conidia/ml)	X <sup>2</sup>	CI (95%)***	Slope		
	Zero	7.68 x 10 <sup>7</sup> A*	0.3969	2.11 × 10 <sup>7</sup> -7.20 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	0.411 ± 0.074**		
Susceptible	Fourth	2.68 x 107 B	0.0135	5.69 × 10 <sup>6</sup> -5.94 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	0.457 ± 0.104		
	Seventh	2.59 x 10 <sup>5</sup> C	0.5700	1.93 x 10 <sup>4</sup> -1.39 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	0.231 ± 0.061		
	Zero	4.95 x 10 <sup>7</sup> A	0.0010	3.14 × 10 <sup>6</sup> -1.79 × 10 <sup>18</sup>	0.241 ± 0.097		
Resistans	Fourth	7.86 x 10 <sup>6</sup> B	0.4584	1.29 × 10 <sup>6</sup> -2.02 × 10 <sup>8</sup>	0.231 ± 0.067		
	Seventh	1.04 x 10 <sup>5</sup> C	0.9793	1.33 x 10 <sup>4</sup> -2.24 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	0.118 ± 0.052		

\*\*Standard Error \*\*\*Confidents Intervals

than  $1 \times 10^7$  conidia/ml with a 37.38% mortality.

When comparing the  $LC_{50}$  values of the different passages through a suitable host (Table 2), the highest value of  $LC_{50}$ corresponded to the zero passage with 4.95  $\times$  $10^7$  conidia/ml followed by the fourth passage with  $7.86 \times 10^6$ , which reduced 6.30-fold the concentration, with statistically lethal significant differences between the two (df =2, 6 p < 0.05). When comparing  $LC_{50}$  values of the fourth vs. the seventh passage (1.04  $\times$  $10^5$  conidia/ml) in the resistant strain, the lethal concentration was reduced 75.58-fold by the seventh passage; showing statistically significant differences (df = 2, 6 p < 0.05) with respect to the other passages.

When comparing  $LC_{50}$  values of the zero passage through a suitable host for Media Joya vs. San Alfonso strains, the lowest  $LC_{50}$ values corresponded to San Alfonso with 4.95  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> conidia/ml and 7.68  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> for Media Joya showing significant differences between both strains (df = 16 p<0.05), reducing 1.55fold the lethal concentration for the San Alfonso resistant strain. When comparing the fourth passage, the lowest LC<sub>50</sub> values corresponded to San Alfonso with  $7.86 \times 10^6$ conidia/ml and 2.68 x  $10^7$  to the Media Jova strain, reducing the San Alfonso strain the lethal concentration 3.41-fold and showing statistically significant both differences. Moreover, when comparing the seventh passage, the lowest values of  $LC_{50}$  corresponded to the San Alfonso strain with  $1.04 \times 10^5$  followed by Media Joya with 2.59  $\times 10^5$ , reducing the San Alfonso strain the lethal concentration 2.49-fold and showing significant differences for both passages.

Percentage of inhibition of oviposition. The effects of the different passages through a suitable host and the concentration of M. conidia on oviposition anisopliae the inhibition of the resistant R. microplus are shown in Table 3. In the seventh passage through a suitable host, the percentage of inhibition of oviposition increased with the lower conidia concentrations; at a  $1 \times 10^4$ conidia/ml concentration 25.27% mortality was obtained versus 10.10% and 1.08% mortality rates at the fourth and zero passages, respectively. An identical behavior was observed using a concentration of  $1 \times 10^5$ conidia/ml. However, when zero, fourth, and seventh passage factors were used with increased concentrations of conidia per milliliter the proportional inhibition of oviposition revealed an identical response for each passage through a suitable host. The results for the zero and fourth passages through a suitable host show an increment in the oviposition inhibition, which is highly dependent on the conidia concentrations employed; however, this dependence was less evident for the seventh passage through a suitable host. Similar trends were observed when comparing the highest value of conidia

										-
01	ıg	h	a s	sui	ital	ole	e ho	S	st.	
_	0									
									a suitable host of <i>M. anisoplia</i> e on	
			%	7.58	5.94 2.97	3.77	2.12	1		

<b>able 3.</b> Oviposition (g) and inhibition of oviposition (O.I.) of di	fferent	tρ	ass			thre	Sug	igh a suitable host of M. anisopliae c
emale susceptible (ST) and acaricide-resistant (RT) ticks.			0.1%	25.27 ± 7.58	± 3.94	± 2.97	± 2.12	717 1
	ss	age	-	77 25.27	25 33.45	1.070 ± 0.333 46.06 ± 2.97 0.801 ± 0.077 57 14 ± 3.77	04 73.74	7 22.74
	Resistant Ticks	Seventh Passage	Oviposition	1.270 ± 0.277	56 ± 0.1	70 ± 0.3	71 ± 0.1	1.101 I 101
	Resist	Sevent		075 1.2	249 1.3	131 1.0 224 0.8	109 0.5	c.0 [8]
			Ticks (g)	5.690 ± 0.075	478 ± 0.	312 ± 0. 138 ± 0.	409 ± 0.	6.015 ± 0.1127
				: 2.27 5.	: 9.23 6.	3.21 6	1.36 6.	
	ks		0.1%	17.65 ±	0.591 ± 0.112 46.42 ± 9.23 6.478 ± 0.249 1.366 ± 0.125 33.45 ± 3.94	0.530 ± 0.100 55.18 ± 2.55 6.312 ± 0.131 0.428 ± 0.102 64.47 ± 3.21 6.138 ± 0.224	0.120 ± 0.017 86.49 ± 1.36 6.409 ± 0.109 0.571 ± 0.104 73.74 ± 2.12	
	ble Tic	Passag	Oviposition	0.860 ± 0.185	± 0.112	± 0.100	± 0.017	1.032±0.069
	Susceptible Ticks	Seventh Passage	Ovip			7 0.53(	7 0.120	1.03
	Š		Ticks (g)	5.027 ± 0.110	5.171 ± 0.046	5.327 ± 0.257 5.246 ± 0.157	4.880 ± 0.157	1011 - 1004 1911 - 1191 1911 - 11911 - 11911 - 1191 1911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 11911 - 119
	_		Tich			5.3 5.2	6 4.8	
			0.1%	10.10 ± 6.53	17.61 ± 3.29	43.52 ± 2.63 60.02 ± 1.54	1.61 ± 3.	
	: Ticks	assage	ition		0.172	0.055 4	0.213 6	8.27 ± 1.04-10 (12.1 ± 7.04) 5.27 ± 0.304 (1.005 ± 0.263) (5.27 ± 0.266 (1.913 ± 0.029)
	Resistant Ticks	Fourth Passage	Oviposition	1.562 ± 0.298	1.142 ± 0.172	$0.729 \pm 0.055$ $0.729 \pm 0.055$	0.679 ±	1.913 ±
	Re					5.121 ± 0.129 6.162 ± 0.078	± 0.146	0.140
				5.761	3 4.853	9 5.121 5 6 162	6.282	6.27 ±
			0.1%	I.28 ± I.09	44 ± I.58	80 ± 2.79 69 ± 1.85	72 ± 1.36	SC-1 E 7/
	Ticks	ssage		202 1.2	4.646 ± 0.636 0.639 ± 0.205 23.44 ± 1.58	055 49.	027 87.	263
	Susceptible Ticks	Fourth Passage	Ticks (g) Oviposition	.920 ± 0	639 ± 0	.489 ± 0 318 ± 0	158 ± 0	
	Susc	Fot	(g) C	0.133 0	0.636 0	0.1310	0.125 0	
				4.956 ±	4.646 ±	5.257 ±	5.522 ±	5.339 ± 52.5.5
			0.1%	1.08 ± 1.52	1.693 ± 0.213 12.09 ± 0.96	<del>15 ± 2.19</del> 53 ± 1.66	± 0.194 72.48 ± 2.01 5	1077 H
	Ticks		on	341 1.0	213 12.0	204 60.	194 72.4	0.030
	Resistant 1	Zero Pass	Oviposition	841 ± 0.	693 ± 0.	1.356 ± 0. 0.786 ± 0.	0.523 ± 0.	0 T T 57C 0
	Resi			98			0.186 0.	0.001
			Ticks (g)	5.868 ± 0.098	6.060 ± 0.257	$6.156 \pm 0.202$ $6.099 \pm 0.169$	5.985 ± 0.186	5982 ± 0.207 5982 ± 0.207
				笠	_			
			0.1%	—	21.30 ± 4.58	53.39 ± 1.17 77.09 ± 2.72	83.94 ± 1.78	- +- C
	: Ticks	sage	Oviposition (g)**	.157	071	045	102	103*
	Susceptible Ticks	Zero Passage	positio	1.091 ± 0.157	0.934 ± 0.071	0.474 ± 0.045 0.272 ± 0.164	0.206 ± 0.102	1.113 ± 01.03 1.113 ± 0.193
	Susc			5*				<u>+-</u>
			Ticks (g)*	5.552 ± 0.395*	5.380 ± 0.395	4.907 ± 0.238 5.191 ± 0.142	5.238 ± 0.168	11 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1
				5.55	5.3	5.15	5.2	2'C 2'I
		Concentrations	(conidia/ml)	I × 10⁴	× 10 <sup>5</sup>	× 10°	× 10 <sup>8</sup>	2 0 2 ≺ ∓
		once	(conic	-	-	- -	-	-

Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org

#### Discussion

Several reports have suggested that M. anisopliae is susceptible to attenuation when continuously sub-cultured in artificial media (Guedes-Frazzon et al. 2000; Shah et al. 2005; Butt et al. 2006). Some authors consider that passaging enhances virulence, but it is not clear if they are simply restoring the pathogen's original insecticidal activity. Virulence is restored, or enhanced, usually after a single passage through a suitable host, but some authors report that virulence is increased after two or more successive (Brownbridge al. 2001; passages et Vandenberg and Cantone 2004). Taking into consideration both hypotheses, M. anisopliae continuously sub-cultured was on *R*. microplus to elucidate the effect on its virulence.

One important finding is that as the number of passages increased on a suitable host of M. anisopliae, the mortality percentage proportionally incremented for both susceptible and resistant R. microplus strains. Morrow et al. (1989) found differences in the adhesion of virulent and attenuated conidia of Nomuraea rilevi on the surface of the host cuticle. The attenuation of fungal strains could be affecting the germination capability and conidia adhesion to the cuticle. A faster rate of germination is correlated with high virulence in M. anisopliae and P. fumosoroseus (Inglis et al. 2001). The last finding indicates that continuous passage through a suitable host may be correlated with better conidia adhesion on the host's cuticle and a faster germination rate, leading to a faster invasion of the host's hemocele. One possible explanation for these phenomena is a higher level of the membranal protease Pr1, which is responsible for the degradation of the insect

cuticle, found in spores obtained from insect cadavers in *in vitro* experiments (Shah et al. 2005).

Guedes-Frazzon et al. (2000) reported that the different passages through a suitable host of M. anisopliae on R. microplus are correlated increased with virulence and reduced attenuation. A proportional influence on the virulence of different passages through a suitable host was shown in M5 and E6S1 cultivated over engorged female ticks of R. microplus. M5 strain increased its mortality from 1.8% to 84% in a single subculture. The E6S1 strain showed a similar trend, increasing its mortality by 53%, but these results were not conclusive because the experiment was performed with only a single subculture.

In the present study, when comparing the different concentrations (conidia/ml) of M. anisopliae on the R. microplus-susceptible strain (Media Joya) through a suitable host, the fourth passage reduced the lethal concentration 2.87-fold in respect to the zero passage, and the seventh passage reduced it by 103.47-fold in respect to the fourth passage. A low LC<sub>50</sub>value indicates a high virulence of the fungus, requiring lower amounts of infective conidia to eliminate half of the plague's population (Bittencourt et al. 1997). In addition, the zero passage strain showed the highest LC<sub>50</sub>value, the fourth passage reduced the lethal concentration 6.30-fold in respect to the zero passage, and the seventh passage reduced it 75.58-fold in respect to the fourth passage. These results suggest that the number of passages through a suitable host increase the mortality of both R. microplus strains.

Previously, some researchers reported that the entomopathogenic fungus acts on invertebrates through a mechanism involving invasion of the hemocele (Kaaya and Tanada

1993), and suggested that the hemolymph is employed as substrate, causing nutritional deficiency and integrity damage. In the present study, it was found that the surviving female tick's oviposition was diminished in proportion to the concentration. This phenomenon was attributed to the effect of the fungal colonization of the tick causing a severe reduction in the nutritional reserves available to the tick. These data are in accordance with the results of Fernandez-Ruvalcaba et al. (2005), who showed that conidia concentrations reduced the oviposition of female ticks by a factor of five. Guedes-Frazzon et al. (2000) found a similar trend in the E6S1 M. anisopliae strain, in which oviposition was reduced by 17% and by 38% in the CG423 M. anisopliae strain.

In this study the oviposition of female *R*. *microplus* was significantly reduced and maximal inhibition values of 87.72% were obtained when combining conidia concentrations and different passages through a suitable host. However, these results contrast with the results of Barcelos-Correia et al. (1998), who did not find any inhibition of oviposition in female ticks in an *in vivo* experiment on cattle.

In this study, when comparing LC<sub>50</sub> values of the zero passage through a suitable host for Media Joya vs. San Alfonso strains, the San Alfonso resistant strain was reduced to 1.55fold the lethal concentration. In addition, when comparing the fourth passage, the San Alfonso strain reduced the lethal concentration 3.41-fold. Moreover, when comparing the seventh passage, San Alfonso strain reduced the lethal concentration 2.49fold. These results indicate that resistant ticks require a lower concentration to be controlled than the susceptible strain. In this case, the

San Alfonso acaricide-resistant strains showed more susceptibility to *M. anisopliae*.

The molecular strategies employed by resistant ticks to hydrolyze xenobiotics are exerted through sterases or by abducting cytochrome P 450 (Dary et al. 1990; Devonshire and Field 1991). In the present study, the acaricide-resistant tick strain showed an increased susceptibility to *M. anisopliae* probably because the contact strategies of acaricide-resistance strains decrease the expression level of enzymes (such as protease inhibitors and chitinases), or because they present a lower mechanical resistance to cuticle penetration by the fungus.

On the other hand, acaricide-susceptible ticks had a higher *M. anisopliae* resistance possibly because the principal natural control for tick are biological entities, such as fungi and bacteria present in the environment and their own adaptation mechanisms, are better prepared to avoid biological attacks. This mechanism could include the expression of protease inhibitors, chitinase inhibitors, or increased mechanical protection.

From the results of this study, we conclude that the use of the seventh passage strain through a suitable host of *M. anisopliae* may be a promising method for the control of acaricide-resistant *R. microplus*.

# Acknowledgments

We thank the Laboratory of Parasitology, Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales Agrarias y Pecuarias (INIFAP), Progreso, Morelos, for kindly providing the ticks. This study was completed as part of the doctoral dissertation of M. Adames Mancebo, supported by a scholarship from the Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores de México. We also thank Mrs. Ingrid Masher for editorial assistance.

#### References

Acosta-Rodríguez R, Alonso-Morales R, Balladares S, Flores-Aguilar H, García-Vázquez Z, Gorodezky C. 2005. Analysis of BoLA class II microsatellites in cattle infested with *Boophilus microplus* ticks: class II is probably associated with susceptibility. *Veterinary Parasitology* 127 (3-4): 313-321.

Alonso M, Arellano-Sota C, Cereser VH, Cordoves CO, Guglielmone AA, Kessler R, Mangold AJ, Nari A, Patarroyo JH, Solari MA, Veja CA, Vizcaino O, Camus CA. 1992. Epidemiology of bovine anaplasmosis and babesiosis in Latin America and the Caribbean. *Revue Scientifique et Techinique* 11: 713-733.

Barcelos- Correia AC, Fiorin AC, Monteiro AC, Veríssimo CJ. 1998. Effects of *Metarhizium anisopliae* on the tick *Boophilus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in stabled cattle. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 71: 189-191.

Bittencourt, V R, Souza EJ, Perlaba SLFS, Mascarenhas AG, Alves SB. 1997. Acão in vitro de dois isolados do fungo entomopatogênico *Beauveria bassiana* sobre algumas características biológicas de fêmeas ingurgitadas de *Boophilus microplus* em laboratòrio. *Revista da Universidade Rural Serie da Ciência Vida* 19: 65-71.

Brownbridge M, Costa S, Jaronski ST. 2001. Effects of *in vitro* passage of *Beauveria bassiana* on virulence of *Bemisia argentifolii*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 77: 280-283. Butt TM, Goettel M. 2000. Bioassays of entomopathogenic fungi. In: Bioassays of entomopathogenic microbes and nematodes. Navon A, Ascher KRS, editors. pp. 141-195. CAB International.

Butt TM, Wang C, Shah FA, Hall R. 2006. Degeneration of entomogenous fungi. In: Eilenberg J, Hokkanen HMT, editors *An Ecological and Societal Approach to Biological Control*, pp. 213–226. Springer.

Dary O, Georghiou GP, Parsons E, Pasteur N. 1990. Microplate adaptation of Gomori's assay for quantitative determination of general esterase activity in single insects. *Journal of Economy Entomology* 83: 2187-2192.

Devonshire AL, Field LM. 1991. Gene amplification and insecticide resistance. *Annual Review of Entomology* 36:1-23.

Drummond RO, Whestone MT. 1970. Oviposition of the gulf coast tick. *Journal of Economy Entomology* 63: 1547-1551.

Fernández-Ruvalcaba M, Zhioua E, García-Vázquez Z. 2005. Infectivity of *Metarhizium anisopliae* to susceptible and organophosphate-resistant *Boophilus microplus* strains. *RevistaTécnica Pecuaria en México* 43(3): 433-440.

Guedes-Frazzon AP, Vaz Junior I, Masuda A, Schrank A, Henning M. 2000. In vitro assessment of *Metarhizium anisopliae* isolates to control the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus*. *Veterinary Parasitology* 94: 117-125. Hajek AE, Humber RA, Griggs MH. 1990. Decline in virulence of *Entomophaga maimaiga* (Zygomycetes: Entomophthorales) with repeated *in vitro* subculture. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 56: 91-97.

Ibrahim L, Butt TM, Jenkinson P. 2002. Effect of artificial culture media on germination, growth, virulence and surface properties of the entomopathogenic hyphomycete *Metarhizium anisopiae*. *Mycological Research* 106: 705-715.

Inglis DG, Goettel MS, Butt TM, Strasser H. 2001. Use of hyphomycetous fungi for managing insect pests. In: Butt TM, Jackson CW, Magan N, editors. *Fungi as biocontrol agents: Progress, problems and potential*, pp. 23-69. CAB International.

Kaaya GP, Hassan S. 2000. Entomogenous fungi as promising biopesticide for tick control. *Experimental and Applied Acarology* 24: 913-926.

Kaaya HK, Tanada Y. 1993. Insect Pathology. pp. 56-68. Academic Press.

Leemon DM, Jonsson NN. 2008. Laboratory studies on Australian isolates of *Metarhizium anisopliae* as a biopesticide for the cattle tick *Boophilus microplus*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 97: 40-49.

Li AY, Davey RB, Miller RJ, George JE. 2004. Detection and characterization of amitraz resistance in the southern cattle tick, *Boophilus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* 41(2): 193-200.

Miller RJ, Esparza-Renteria JA, Quiroz-Martinez H, George JE. 2007. Characterization of permethrin-resistant Boophilus microplus (Acari: Ixodidae) collected from the state of Coahuila, Mexico. Journal of Medical Entomology 44 (5): 895-897.

Morrow BJ, Boucias DG, Heath MA. 1989. Loss of virulence in an isolate of an entomopathogenic fungus, *Nomuraea rileyi* after serial *in vitro* passage. *Journal of Economy Entomology* 82: 404–407.

Nagaich BB. 1973. *Verticillium* species pathogenic on aphids. *Indian Journal of Pathology and Microbiology* 26: 163-165.

Norval R, Perry BD, Young AS. 1992. The Epidemiology of *Theileriosis* in Africa. pp. 301-342. Academic Press.

Ryan MJ, Bridge PD, Smith D, Jeffries P. 2002. Phenotypic degeneration occurs during sector formation in *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 93: 163-168.

SAS. 2002-2008. SAS Statistics User's Manual. Version 9.0, SAS Institute Inc.

Shah FA, Wang CS, Butt TM. 2005. Nutrition influences growth and virulence of the insect-pathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *FEMS Microbiology. Letters* 251: 259-266.

Soberanes-Céspedes N, Santamaría-Vargas M, Fragoso-Sánchez H, García-Vásquez Z. 2002. Primer caso de resistencia al amitraz en la garrapata del ganado *Boopilus microplus* en México. Técnica. Pecuaria. *Mexico* 40(1): 81-92

Taylor MA, 2001. Recent developments in ectoparasiticides. *Veterinary Parasitology* 161: 253-268.

Vandenberg JD, Cantone FA. 2004. Effect of serial transfer of three strains of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* on growth *in vitro*, virulence and host specificity. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 85: 40-45.

Wasti SS, Hartman GC. 1975. Experimental parasitization of larvae of the gypsy moth, *Porthetria dispar* (L) with the entomogenous fungus, *Beauveria bassiana (*Balsamo) Vuill. *Parasitology* 70: 341-346.

Yeruham I, Hadani A, Galker F.1998. Some epizootiological and clinical aspects of ovine babesiosis caused by *Babesia ovis*–a review. *Veterinary Parasitology* 74: 153-163.