

Detection and Phylogenetic Analysis of Wolbachia in the Asiatic Rice Leafroller, Cnaphalocrocis medinalis, in Chinese Populations

Authors: Chai, Huan-Na, Du, Yu-Zhou, Qiu, Bao-Li, and Zhai, Bao-Ping

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 11(123): 1-13

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.011.12301

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



Detection and phylogenetic analysis of Wolbachia in the Asiatic rice leafroller, Cnaphalocrocis medinalis, in Chinese populations

Huan-Na Chai^{1a}, Yu-Zhou Du^{1b*}, Bao-Li Qiu^{2c}, Bao-Ping Zhai^{3d}

Institute of Applied Entomology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, China

² Department of Entomology, South China Agricultural University
 ³ Department of Entomology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China

Abstract

Wolbachia are a group of intracellular inherited endosymbiontic bacteria infecting a wide range of insects. In this study the infection status of Wolbachia (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) was measured in the Asiatic rice leafroller, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenée) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), from twenty locations in China by sequencing wsp, ftsZ and 16S rDNA genes. The results showed high infection rates of Wolbachia in C. medinalis populations. Wolbachia was detected in all geographically separate populations; the average infection rate was $\sim 62.5\%$, and the highest rates were 90% in Wenzhou and Yangzhou populations. The Wolbachia detected in different C. medinalis populations were 100% identical to each other when wsp, ftsZ, and 16S rDNA sequences were compared, with all sequences belonging to the Wolbachia B supergroup. Based on wsp, ftsZ and 16S rDNA sequences of Wolbachia, three phylogenetic trees of similar pattern emerged. This analysis indicated the possibility of inter-species and intra-species horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in different arthropods in related geographical regions. The migration route of C. medinalis in mainland China was also discussed since large differentiation had been found between the wsp sequences of Chinese and Thai populations.

Keywords: infection rate, phylogenetic relationship Correspondence: a chaihuanna@126.com, b yzdu@yzu.edu.cn, c baoleygiu@scau.edu.cn, d bpzhai@njau.edu.cn, *Corresponding author Editor: John Vandenberg was editor of this paper. Received: 8 January 2011, Accepted: 1 June 2011 Copyright : This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed. ISSN: 1536-2442 | Vol. 11, Number 123 Cite this paper as: Chai H-N, Du Y-Z, Qiu B-L, Zhai B-P. 2011. Detection and phylogenetic analysis of Wolbachia in the Asiatic rice leafroller, Cnaphalocrocis medinalis, in Chinese populations. Journal of Insect Science 11:123 available online:

Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org

insectscience.org/11.123

Т

Introduction

The genus Wolbachia (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) is a group of intracellular vertically gram-negative and inherited endosymbiontic bacteria that belong to the order Rickettsiales in the α -subdivision of the class Proteobacteria (Werren 1997). Numerous surveys indicate that Wolbachia can infect a wide range of arthropods and filarial nematodes. Recent surveys indicate that 20% to 76% of examined insects harbor Wolbachia (Hilgenboecker et al. 2008), as terrestrial well as many arachnids, crustaceans, and mites, making this group one of the most widespread obligate bacterial endosymbionts ever described (Cordaux et al. 2001; Gotoh et al. 2003; Rowley et al. 2004).

Wolbachia play important roles in ecology, evolution, and reproductive regulation in their hosts (Werren 1997), and have been considered as a potent evolutionary force. In nematodes, Wolbachia appear to play a mutualistic role in development and reproduction (Bandi et al. 1999; Langworthy et al. 2000; Bandi et al. 2001; Casiraghi et al. 2002). In arthropods, Wolbachia often obligatorily live inside the cytoplasm in reproductive tissues and are associated with a number of different reproductive phenotypes in its hosts, such cytoplasmic as incompatibility (Shoemaker et al. 1999; Hurst and Werren 2001: Bordenstein and Wernegreen 2004: Jaenike et al. 2006). feminization, parthenogenesis inducing, male killing, and modifying fecundity (Hurst et al. 1999; Stouthamer et al. 1999; Baldo et al. 2005). These reproductive symptoms are regarded as selfish strategies of the symbionts whereby the frequency of female offspring increased (O'Neill et al. 1997; Werren 1997;

Stouthamer et al. 1999; Haine 2008; Werren et al. 2008). Some *Wolbachia* strains also reduce host fitness by reducing fecundity or modifying growth rates (Chu et al. 2005), although the mechanism is not well understood.

Wolbachia cannot be cultured outside their hosts, so detection of infection has been based largely on amplification of Wolbachia DNA using allele-specific polymerase chain reactions (PCR). To date, wsp, 16S rDNA, ftsZ, groEL, coxA, fbpA, hcpA, gatB, dnaA and gltA genes have all been characterized and used for phylogenetic studies. Wolbachia strains are usually clustered into eight divergent clades based on these genes, which are described as supergroups A-H (O'Neill et al. 1992; Bandi et al. 1998; Zhou et al. 1998; Schulenburg et al. 2000; Werren and Windsor 2000; Lo et al. 2002; Bordenstein and Rosengaus 2005; Casiraghi et al. 2005) and I-K (Gorham et al. 2003; Casiraghi et al. 2005; Ros et al. 2009), which were added later.

The Asiatic rice leafroller, Cnaphalocrocis medinalis (Guenée) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), is a migratory rice pest with 1-11 generations depending on its geographical distribution in rice-planting regions worldwide. C. medinalis also distributes widely in rice production areas from north to south in China, from Heilongjiang province and Inner Mongolia autonomous region to Taiwan and Hainan, Xinjiang excluding the and Ningxia autonomous region. In recent decades, C. *medinalis* has caused serious decreases in rice yields in China most notably due its outbreak from 2003-2005 (Liu et al. 2008).

In this study, the infection status of the endosymbiont *Wolbachia* in *C. medinalis* from twenty different regions of China was determined, and the genetic differentiation between the *Wolbachia* strains from Thailand and China were also analyzed. Study of the infection status and transmission mechanism of *Wolbachia* has been considered to be very helpful in utilization of insect natural enemies for pest control.

Materials and Methods

Insect sample collection

In July and October 2009, the samples of *C. medinalis* larvae were collected from 20 paddy fields in 15 provinces of China (Table 2). When sampling, *C. medinalis* 1st-4th instar larvae were collected, placed in 95% ethanol, marked with the location and time, and taken back to the laboratory for further analysis.

DNA extraction

To extract DNA, the entire body was used if the larva was < 1 mm in length, while only the abdomen was used if larva size was ~ 1-5 mm. DNA was extracted according to the description of Ahmed et al. (2009). Briefly, samples were washed several times by double distilled water and put into 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes with DNA extraction buffer (100 mmol/L Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mmol/L NaCl, 50 mmol/L EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.15 mmol/L Spermine, 0.5 mmol/L Spermidine) and proteinase K (20 mg/mL). Samples were homogenated and digested at 56° C for three hours. The homogenate was mixed afterwards with an equal volume of phenol for 10 minutes and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for four minutes. The centrifugation was repeated twice, and chloroform (isoamyl alcohol 24:1) was used instead of phenol for the last repetition. The supernatant was precipitated overnight at -20° C and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 minutes to sediment the DNA pellet. The pellet was then allowed to dry at room temperature. The dried DNA pellet was re-suspended in 30 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and kept at 4° C until use for PCR.

Twenty larvae from each *C. medinalis* population were selected randomly for DNA extraction and further detection.

PCR amplification

Three pairs of primers were used to amplify the wsp, ftsZ and 16S rDNA fragments of different C. medinalis samples by PCR, according to the methods of Braig et al. (1998), Werren et al. (1995), and West et al. (1998). Polymerase chain reactions were done in 20 μ l reaction volumes containing: 2 μ l 10× PCR buffer (TakKara Bio, www.takarabio.com), 2 µl 25 mM MgCl₂, 1.5 µl dNTPs (10 mM each), 1.0 µl forward primer, 20 µM reverse primer, and 1.2 units of Tag DNA polymerase (Takara). To achieve the final volume of 20 µl, double distilled H2O was added. For Wolbachia wsp gene amplification, the primers were wsp-F: 5' TGGTCCAATAAGTGAGAGAAAC 3' and wsp-R: 5'AAAAATTAAACGCTACTCCA 3'. The Wolbachia ftsZ PCR primers were ftsZ-F: 5'

TACTGACTGTTGGAGTTGTAACTAAGC CGT 3' and *ftsZ*-R: 5'-TGCCAG TTGAAGAAACTCTAACTC 3'; both the *wsp* and *ftsZ* primers can amplify a 0.6 kb DNA fragment (Zhou et al. 1998; Jeyaprakash and Hoy 2000). The primers for *Wolbachia* 16S rDNA PCR amplification were 16S-F: 5' TTGTAGCCTGCTATGGTATAACT 3' and 16S-R: 5' GAATAGGAGTTTTCATGT 3',

amplifying a 0.9 kb DNA fragment (O'Neill et al. 1992).

The PCR amplification program of wsp and 16S rDNA primers included an initial denaturation at 94° C for three minutes followed by 35 cycles with a denaturation step at 94° C for one minute, annealing at 55° C for one minute, extension at 72° C for two minutes, and final extension at 72° C for 10 minutes. The *ftsZ* PCR amplification program was done according to three linked profiles. First, one cycle of denaturation at 94° C for three minutes followed by 10 cycles of denaturation at 94° C for 10 seconds, annealing at 65° C for 30 seconds, and extension at 68° C for one minute. This was followed by 25 cycles, each cycle with a denaturation step at 94° C for 10 seconds, annealing at 65° C for 30 seconds, extension at 68° C for one minute, plus an additional 20 seconds for each consecutive cycle. All PCR amplifications were done in a Thermal Cycler 48 (Bioer Technology, www.bioer.com.cn).

PCR Product Detection, Cloning, Sequencing and Analysis.

The amplified PCR products were electrophoresized on 1.0% agarose gel with water as a negative control, then cloned, screened, and two-way sequenced on an ABI PRISMTM 3730XL Automated DNA (Applied Biosystems, Sequencer www.appliedbiosystems.com). Six positive clones were sequenced per insect.

The wsp, ftsZ, and 16S rDNA sequences of *Wolbachia* from different *C*. medinalis populations were first blasted in NCBI, then analyzed and aligned with DNAStar (www.dnastar.com) and Clustal X1.83 (www.clustal.org). Some related Wolbachia wsp, ftsZ, and 16S rDNA sequences in other insects such as whitefly Bemisia tabaci and

 Table I. Reference sequences of Wolbachia used in the phylogenetic analyses.

				Associan No.	
Host species	Location	Supergroup	wsn	fisZ	16S rDNA
Cadra cautella	Japan	B	AB469186	-	-
Cnaphalocrosis medinalis	Thailand	B	AF481195	-	-
Colias erate poliographus	Japan	В	AB094379	•	-
Culex murrelli	Taiwan	B	AY462859	-	-
Eysarcoris annamita	Japan	В	AB109599	-	-
Leptalina unicolor	Japan	В	AB094387	-	-
Lycaena phlaeas	Japan	В	AB094377	-	-
Neriene limbatinella	China	B	EU916191	÷.	-
Nilaparvata muiri	China	В	GU289811	-	-
Ostrinia furnacalis	China	A	EU294311	•	-
Paederus fuscipes	China	B	EU916190	-	-
Panonychus mori	Japan	B	AB096233	-	-
Pieris rapae	Japan	B	AB094374	-	-
Scirpophaga incertuas	Inaliand	B	AF481197	-	-
Suopniius zeamais	Japan	B	AB409302	-	-
Smaragaina semiauranilaca	Korea	B	GU236976	•	-
Stagohium paniagum	Ianan	D D	A B460018	-	-
Tetramychus cinnabarinus	China	B	AV585708	-	-
Tetramychus kanzawai	China	B	AF348452		[
Tetranychus nueraricola	Japan	B	AB096229	-	-
Tetranychus urticae	China	B	GU014541	-	-
Acraea encedon	Tanzania	B	-	AJ271199	-
Adalia bipunctata	China	B	-	EU627753	-
Aedes albopictus	USA	В	-	WSU28206	-
Agriphila tristella	UK	В	•	AJ005882	-
Bemisia tabaci	China	B	-	EU627760	-
Cadra cautella	Japan	B	-	AB478515	-
Culex pipiens	Argentina	В	-	GU901160	-
Cyclophora punctaria	UK	В	- X	AJ005881	-
Dactylopius sp.	Germany	B	•	AM180552	-
Drosophila simulans	USA	В	•	AY508999	-
Ephestia cautella	USA	B	•	WSU28207	-
Eretmocerus mundus	Egypt	В	-	EU627765	-
Eurema hecabe	Japan	B	-	AB107224	-
Leptopilina australis	USA	B	-	WSU28210	-
Hishimonoides sellatiformis	Japan	B	-	AB073733	-
Harmonia axyridis	China	B	•	EU627750	-
Gronotoma micromorpha	Japan	B	-	AB037894	-
Hypolimnas bolina	Japan	B	*	AB16/399	-
Lissornopirus oryzophius	Dalaium	B	-	DQ250472	-
Macrotophus pygmaeus	China	B	-	FJ574285	-
Nasonia giraulti	USA	B	-	WSI 128203	
Naunactus cervinus	Argentina	B	-	GO402144	-
Ostrinia scanulalis	Janan	B	-	AB077202	2
Parornix devoniella	UK	B	-	AJ005888	-
Phyllonorvcter froelichiella	UK	B	-	AJ005883	-
Phyllonorycter auinnata	UK	B	-	AJ005887	-
Protocalliphora sp.	America	В	-	WSU28202	-
Serangium japonicum	China	В	-	EU627754	-
Thecodiplosis japonensis	Korea	A	•	AF220605	-
Tribolium confusum	USK	B	*	WSU97352	-
Aphytis melinus	USA	В	-	-	EU981291
Bactrocera dorsalis	China	В	-	-	DQ098949
Bemisia tabaci	China	B	-	-	AY850932
Bryobia praetiosa	Netherlands	B	-	-	EU499317
Culex quinquefasciatus	UK	B	-	-	AM999887
Dactylopius sp.	Germany	B	-	-	AM180549
Diaphorencyrtus aligarhensis	USA	B	-	-	EF433794
Diaphorina citri	USA	B	•	-	EF433793
Diaphorina citri	China	B	-	-	GU563892
Drosophila pseudoananassae	USA	В	-	-	DQ412081
Drosophila simulans	Australia	A	-	-	AY 22//42
Metasenulus occidentalis	USA	B	-	-	AY /54820
Nasonia viiripennis	USA	B	-	-	M84086
Procomalue pue	China	B	-	-	EU827690
Preromatus puparum	China	B	7		EU827600
Sogatella fuzzifara	China	P	-	-	60206310
Tetramehina harti	China	B			G0162484
Tetranychus urticae	USA	B			AY753174
Zvginidia nullula	Italy	B	-	-	DO203854
-78 mile Pana					200004

butterfly *Pieris rapae* were downloaded as references (Table 1). ANOVA was used to identify and compare differences among populations and all data was analyzed using DPS software (Tang and Feng 2002). Phylogenetic trees were constructed using

Table	2.	Cnaphalocrocis	medinalis	samples	collected	in	China	for
Wolbach	nia d	detection.						

Population Code	Collecting	Collecting	Test	Infection	
Coue	Changsha	uate	amount	Tate/ /0	
1	Hunan	9/24/09	20	40	
2	Danzhou			70	
	Hainan	8/22/09	20		
	Traman		- 200	80	
3	Ji'an, Jiangxi	8/27/09	20		
4	Jinan,	7/10/00	20	60	
	Shandong	//10/09	20		
E	Lishui,	9/21/00	20	80	
5	Zhejiang	8/31/09	20		
1	Nanchang,	0/20/00	20	(0	
0	Jiangxi	8/28/09	20	60	
-	Nanjing,	10/12/00	20	00	
7	Jiangsu	10/12/09	20	80	
0	Nanning,	10/0/00	20	(0	
8	Guangxi	10/9/09	20	00	
0	Ningbo,	8/21/00	20	80	
9	Zhejiang	8/21/09			
10	Ningde,	0/10/00	20	60	
	Fujian	8/19/09	20		
	Ninghe.		20	40	
11	Tianjin	//15/09	20		
10	Sandu,	0/0/00	20	60	
12	Guizhou	9/9/09	20		
10	Shaoguan,	0/06/00	20	50	
13	Guangdong	9/26/09	20	50	
14	Wenzhou,	0/4/00	20	00	
14	Zhejiang	9/4/09	20	90	
15	Wuhan,	0/7/00	20	50	
	Hubei	8/7/09	20	50	
16	Wuyishan,	0/22/00	20	60	
	Fujian	8/22/09	20	60	
17	Xinxiang,	8/10/00	20	50	
	Henan	8/10/09	20	50	
18	Ya'an,	10/1/00	20	40	
	Sichuan	10/1/09	20	40	
10	Yangzhou,	10/10/00	20	00	
19	Jiangsu	10/10/09	20	90	
20	Zhaotong,	0/25/00	20	50	
20	Yunnan	9/23/09	20	50	

Mega 4.0 software (MEGA, www.megasoftware.net) with maximum parsimony and neighbor joining methods (maximum likelihood model). Bootstrap analysis was done with 1000 replications, and bootstrap values were calculated using a 50% majority rule.

Some reference sequences of *Wolbachia* from different insects as well as mites were downloaded from GenBank (Table 1) for *Wolbachia* phylogenetic analysis. Three reference sequences belonging to the *Wolbachia* A supergroup were used as the outgroup in the phylogenetic trees of *wsp*, Chai et al.

ftsZ, and 16S rDNA; Ostrinia furnacalis (EU294311), Thecodiplosis japonensis (AF220605), and Drosophila simulans (AY227742).

Results

Wolbachia detection in C. medinalis by PCR

The infection of *Wolbachia* in different *C. medinalis* populations was detected by PCR with *wsp*, *ftsZ*, and 16S rDNA genes. Results showed that in total, 250 of 400 individual larvae from 20 populations were detected to be positive. The *wsp* and *ftsZ* PCR products were ~ 600 bp (Figures 1 and 2) while the 16S rDNA PCR products of *Wolbachia* from *C. medinalis* were ~ 900 bp (Figure 3). The align results with DNAStar software indicated that all the *wsp* sequences of *Wolbachia* from 250 *C. medinalis* individuals were 100% identical to each other. Similar results were obtained from the *ftsZ* sequences and 16S rDNA sequences.

The infection rate of *Wolbachia* in different *C. medinalis* populations

The infection rates of *Wolbachia* in the twenty *C. medinalis* populations collected from different geographical regions varied significantly (F = 2.3750, p < 0.01, df = 19), ranging from 40-90% with an average of 62.5% (Table 2). The highest infection rates were found in the *C. medinalis* Yangzhou and Wenzhou populations (90%), while the lowest rates were recorded in Ya' an, Changsha, and Tianjin populations (40%).

Phylogenetic analysis of the *Wolbachia wsp*, *ftsZ*, and 16S rDNA sequences

The phylogenetic analysis results of the *Wolbachia wsp* sequences from *C. medinalis*

and other 21 reference sequences are shown in Figure 4a. There were two major branches in the phylogenetic tree based on Wolbachia wsp sequences (HQ336507). The first branch clustered Wolbachia sequences from the C. Chinese population and medialis the Scirpophaga incertulas (AF481197) Thailand population, containing 11 Wolbachia wsp genes of insects and mites from Japan, China, Korea, and Thailand, into a single clade. The second branch included six Wolbachia populations from five species of insects including Sitophilus zeamais (AB469362), Cadra cautella (AB469186), Neriene limbatinella (EU916191), Lycaena phlaeas (AB094377), and Smaragdina semiaurantiaca (GU236976-7). This second branch, while comprised of insects from different taxonomic families and orders, served as a sister branch with Paederus fuscipes (EU916190) and the first branch. However, one reference sequence of Wolbachia wsp gene from C. medialis Thai population has been found to be clustered in the periphery except near outgroup in the tree; the homology of the Wolbachia wsp sequences from C. medialis Chinese and Thai populations was only 83.2%.

Figure 4b showed the phylogenetic analysis results based on the ftsZ gene sequnces of Wolabchia in C. medinalis and other hosts. Result revealed that the ftsZ sequence (HQ336508) in C. medinalis samples shared 100% identity with those sequences from Acraea encedon (AJ271199), Hypolimnas bolina (AB167399), Phyllonorycter quinnata (AJ005887) and Parornix devoniella (AJ005888). Four reference sequences from four species of lady beetles (EU627750, EU27753-5) clustered into one clade, showing 100% identity to each other and the lowest homology to the sequence from C. medinalis. The homology of all sequences of the *Wolbachia ftsZ* gene from the rice leaf roller and lady beetles was close to identical at 98.9%.

The phylogenetic tree of 16S rDNA sequences of *Wolbachia* was shown in Figure 4c. Similar to the *wsp* and *ftsZ* tree, no regular pattern was found in this tree. The 16S rDNA sequences (HQ336509) of *Wolbachia* from *C. medinalis* was first clustered into a subclade with *Bactrocera dorsalis* (DQ098949), sharing 99% identity, then subsequently was clustered into higher clades with other sequences from different hosts in various families and orders.

Discussion

Since first discovered in *Culex pipiens* (Hertig 1936), Wolbachia have been described as a widespread and common insect bacteria all over Neotropical (Borm et al. 2003), Palaearctic (West et al. 1998) and Nearctic regions (Werren and Windsor 2000). Samples of insect species from these three regions have almost the same Wolbachia infection rate (20%). Over 50% of a set of Southeast Asian ant species tested positive for Wolbachia (Wenseleers et al. 1998), Jeyaprakash and Hoy (2000) found over 76% of the samples of insect species were infected with Wolbachia, and in the current study, the average infection rate of Wolbachia in the Asiatic leafroller in China was 62.5%, ranging from 40 to 90% depending on their geographical distributions. Such findings support that Wolbachia is wide spread in numerous arthropods.

Wolbachia are mostly transmitted through egg cytoplasm of the hosts from parents to offspring, but several studies revealed that they did not have a consistent relationship between *Wolbachia* and hosts in phylogenetic

trees (Schilthuizen and Stouthamer 1998; Zhou et al. 1998). It is supposed that there may be horizontal transmission between different hosts, including intra-species and inter-species transmission (Heath et al. 1999). This phenomenon has proven very common in spiders (Rowley et al. 2004) as well as between some arthropods and their parasitoids (Jeyaprakash and Hoy 2000), though the mechanism of this horizontal transmission is still not clear. For example, the horizontal transmission of Wolbachia has been found in a parastic wasp, Nasonia giraulti, and their blowfly hosts Protocalliphora sp., in some drosophilid parasitoids and their hosts, as well as in the parasitoid Leptopilina boulardi and their fly host D. simulans (Werren et al. 1995; Heath et al. 1999; Vavre et al. 1999). In our study, no regular pattern was found in any of the three phylogenetic trees for the genetic relationship between C. medinalis and other insects or mites; the Wolbachia sequences from C. medinalis clustered into one clade with different insects or mites in different trees. This suggests two conclusions. First, while there was no direct evidence to verify the horizontal transmission of Wolbachia their hosts, pattern between the of transmission of Wolbachia was not limited within host species and by geographical locations. Second, as the Wolbachia from C. medinalis Chinese population was clustered into one clade with different insects or mites in different trees, it was not possible to determine the transmission among arthropods in the field by comparing one phylogenetic tree using single genes.

In the current study, all the *Wolbachia* sequences from the different geographical populations were 100% identical to each other, which suggested that no evolutionary differentiation had occurred in China. This may have been due to the migration of *C. medinalis* since it is a migratory pest. For

example, during population expansion, the Wolbachia-infected males and females could have spread widely in China, thus increasing of Wolbachia being the opportunities transmitted through a broader range by mating between or among individuals from the same or different regions. For example, Huigens et al. (2000 and 2004) found frequent horizontal transmission from infected to uninfected wasp larvae that shared a common food source. Frequent horizontal transmission occurred between infected and uninfected Trichogramma kaykai, Trichogramma deion, Trichogramma pretiosurm, Trichogramma atopovirilia when eggs were laid in their common host Apodemia mormodeserti. The transferred Wolbachia were then vertically transmitted to the new host's offspring. However, this aspect needs further research to reveal this complex mechanism.

Kittayapong et al. (2003) used Long PCR and long *wsp* primers to investigate the infection status of Wolbachia in C. medinalis collected from rice fields in 29 provinces of Thailand. They showed that the average infection rate in the C. medinalis Thai populations was 48.8%, which was much lower than the infection rate in our study. This difference is possibly related to sample size. Similar to those found in China, all the detected Wolbachia sequences using wsp gene in 29 provinces of Thailand were 100% identical and belong to the Wolbachia B supergroup. However, large differentiation between the Wolbachia wsp sequences from C. medinalis Chinese and Thai populaions was found; sequence homology was 83.2%. It is thought that the C. *medinalis* population in China may have come from Southeast Asia and entered into China by the first northward mass migration during early March to April. Additionally, C. *medinalis* populations from different regions

of China may be those migrants from Thailand or their offspring. Thus, Wolbachia in *C. medinalis* Chinese and Thai populations should be the same strain or quite close to each other (Chang et al. 1980; Gao et al. 2008). However, the results in our study showed large differences between the Wolbachia wsp sequences in the C. medinalis Chinese and Thai populations. We speculate that either the first northward mass migration of C. medinalis was not from the direction of Thailand, or that Wolbachia in Chinese populations may have displaced the strain of Wolbachia in Thailand populations when C. medinalis migrated to China from Thailand. Further investigation in this area is needed.

In summary, the infection status of Wolbachia in C. medinalis Chinese populations was investigated and the average infection rate of Wolbachia was found to be 62.5%. The phylogenetic trees based on the wsp, fstZ, and 16S rDNA revealed the possibility of interand intra-species horizontal transmission of Wolbachia in different arthropods. In view of the biological roles of Wolbachia in their hosts, especially for host reproduction such as cytoplasmic incompatibility and male killing, further studies on how to make good use of the transmission patterns of Wolbachia to enhance the biological control of pests deserves more emphasis (Gong and Shen 2002; Tsai et al. 2002; Miao et al. 2004; Zabalou et al. 2004; Pfarr and Hoerauf, 2005; Ruan and Liu 2005).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Special Fund for Agro-Scientific Research in the Public Interest of China (200903051) and National Basic Research and Development Program (973) of China (2006CB1020002).

References

Ahmed MZ, Shatters RG, Ren SX, Jin GH, Mandour NS, Qiu BL. 2009. Genetic distinctions among the Mediterranean and Chinese populations of *Bemisia tabaci* Q biotype and their endosymbiont *Wolbachia* populations. *Journal of Applied Entomology* 133: 733-741.

Baldo L, Lo N, Werren JH. 2005. Mosaic nature of the *Wolbachia* surface protein. *Journal of Bacteriology* 187: 5406-5418.

Bandi C, Anderson TJ, Genchi C, Blaxter ML. 1998. Phylogeny of *Wolbachia* in filarial nematodes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 265: 2407-2413.

Bandi C, McCall JW, Genchi C, Corona S, Venco L, Sacchi L. 1999. Effects of tetracycline on the filarial worms *Brugia pahangi* and *Dirofilaria immitis* and their bacterial endosymbionts *Wolbachia*. *International Journal of Parasitology* 29: 357-364.

Bandi C, Trees AJ, Brattig NW. 2001. *Wolbachia* in Filarial nematodes: evolutionary aspects and implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of filarial diseases. *Veterinary* Parasitology 98: 215-238.

Bordenstein SR, Rosengaus RB. 2005. Discovery of a novel *Wolbachia* supergroup in Isoptera. *Current Microbiology* 51: 393-398.

Bordenstein SR, Wernegreen JJ. 2004. Bacteriophage Xux in endosymbionts

(*Wolbachia*): infection frequency, lateral transfer, and recombination rates. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 21: 1981-1991.

Borm SV, Wenseleers T, Billen J, Boomsma JJ. 2003. Clone and sequencing of *wsp* encoding gene fragments reveals a diversity of coinfecting *Wolbachia* strains in Acromyrmex leafcutter ants. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 26: 102-109.

Braig HR, Zhou W, Dobson SL, O'Neill SL. 1998. Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia. Journal of Bacteriology* 180: 2373-2378.

Casiraghi M, Bordenstein SR, Baldo L, Lo N, Beninati T, Wernegreen JJ, Werren JH, Bandi C. 2005. Phylogeny of *Wolbachia pipientis* based on *gltA*, *groEL* and *ftsZ* gene sequences: clustering of arthropod and nematode symbionts in the F supergroup, and evidence for further diversity in the *Wolbachia* tree. *Microbiology* 151: 4015-4022.

Casiraghi M, McCall JW, Simoncini L, Kramer LH, Sacchi L, Genchi C, Werren JH, Bandi C. 2002. Tetracycline treatment and sex-ratio distortion: a role for *Wolbachia* in the moulting of filarial nematodes? *International Journal of Parasitology* 32: 1457-1468.

Chang, SS, Lo, ZC, Keng, CG, Li, GZ, Chen, XI, W 1980. Studies on the migration of rice leaf roller *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* Guenee. *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 23: 130-140.

Chu D, Zhang YJ, Bi YP, Fu HB. 2005. *Wolbachia* endosymbionts and their effects on the fitness of the arthropod hosts. *Acta Microbiol Sinica* 45: 817-820. Cordaux R, Michel-Salzat A, Bouchon D. 2001. *Wolbachia* infection in crustaceans: novel hosts and potential routes for horizontal transmission. *Journal of Evolutionary* Biology 14: 237-243.

Gao YB, Chen X, Bao YX, Yang RM, Liu TL, Zhai BP 2008. Dynamic analysis on the migration of the rice leaf roller *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) by Doppler Insect Monitoring Radar and numerical simulation. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 28: 5238-5237.

Gong P, Shen ZR. 2002. *Wolbachia* endosymbionts and their manipulation of reproduction of arthropod hosts. *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 45: 241-252.

Gorham CH, Fang QQ, Durden LA. 2003. *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in fleas (Siphonaptera). *Journal of Parasitology* 89: 283-289.

Gotoh T, Noda H, Hong XY. 2003. *Wolbachia* distribution and cytoplasmic incompatibility based on a survey of 42 spider mite species (Acari: Tetranychidae) in Japan. *Heredity* 91: 208-216.

Haine ER. 2008. Symbiont-mediated protection. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 275: 353-361.

Heath BD, Butcher RD, Whitfield WG, Hubbard SF. 1999. Horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* between phylogenetically distant insect species by a naturally occurring mechanism. *Current Biol*ogy 9: 313-316.

Hertig M. 1936. The rickettsia, *Wolbachia* pipientis (gen.et sp.n.) and associated

inclusions of the mosquite *Culex pipiens*. *Parasitology* 28: 453-486.

Hilgenboecker K, Hammerstein P, Schlattmann P, Telschow A, Werren JH. 2008. How many species are infected with *Wolbachia?* – a statistical analysis of current data. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 281: 215-222.

Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM, Von der Schulenburg JHG, Bertrand D, West SA, Goriachev II, Zakharov IA, Werren JH, Stouthamer R, Majerus MEN. 1999. Male killing *Wolbachia* in two species of insects. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 266: 735-740.

Hurst GDD, Werren JH. 2001. The role of selWsh genetic elements in eukaryotic evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2: 597-606.

Huigens ME, Almeida RP, Boons PAH, Luck RF, Stouthamer R. 2004. Natural interspeciecific and intraspecific horizontal transfer of parthenogenesis-inducing *Wolbachia* in *Trichogramma wsaps*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 271: 509-515.

Huigens ME, Luck RF, Klaassen RHG, Maas MFPM, Timmermans MJTN, Stouthamer R. 2000. Infectious parthenogenesis. *Nature* 405: 178-189.

Jaenike J, Dyer KA, Cornish C, Minhas MS. 2006. Asymmetrical reinforcement and *Wolbachia* infection in Drosophila. *PLoS Biology* 4(10): e325.

Jeyaprakash A, Hoy MA. 2000. Long PCR improves *Wolbachia* DNA amplification: *wsp* sequences found in 76% of sixty-three arthropod species. *Insect Molecular Biology* 9: 393-405.

Kittayapong P, Jamnongluk W, Thipaksorn A, Milne JR, Sindhusake C. 2003. *Wolbachia* infection complexity among insects in the tropical rice-field community. Molecular Ecology 12: 1049-1060.

Langworthy NG, Renz A, Mackenstedt U, Henkleduhrsen K, De Bronsvoort MB, Tanya VN, Donnelly MJ, Trees AJ. 2000. Macrofilaricidal activity of tetracycline against the filarial nematode *Onchocerca ochengi*: elimination of *Wolbachia* precedes worm death and suggests a dependent relationship. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 267: 1063-1069.

Liu Y, Wang JQ, Feng XD, Jiang XH. 2008. Analysis on the occurring of *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* in 2007 and forecasting its occuring trends in 2008. *China Plant Protection* 28: 33-35.

Lo N, Casiraghi M, Salati E, Bazzocchi C, Bandi C. 2002. How many *Wolbachia* supergroups exist? *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 19: 341-346.

Miao H, Hong XY, Xie L, Xue XF. 2004. Sequencing and sequence analysis of the *wsp* gene of *Wolbachia* in *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 47: 738-743.

O'Neill SL, Giordano R, Colbert AM, Karr TL, Robertson HM. 1992. 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. *Proceedings of the* National *Academy of* Science USA 89: 2699-2702.

O'Neill SL, Hoffmann AA, Werren JH. 1997. Influential Passengers: inherited microorganisms and arthropod reproduction. Oxford University Press.

Pfarr KM, Hoerauf AM. 2005. The annotated genome of *Wolbachia* from the filarial nematode *Brugia malayi*: what it means for progress in antifilarial medicine. *PLoS Medicine* 2(4): e110.

Ros VI, Fleming VM, Feil EJ, Breeuwer JA. 2009. How Diverse is the Genus *Wolbachia*? Multiple-Gene Sequencing Reveals a Putatively New *Wolbachia* Supergroup Recovered from Spider Mites (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 4: 1036-1043.

Rowley SM, Raven RJ, McGraw EA. 2004. *Wolbachia pipientis* in Australian spiders. *Current Microbiology* 49: 208-214.

Ruan YM, Liu SS. 2005. Detection and phylogenetic analysis of prokaryotic endosymbionts in *Bemisia tabaci*. *Acta Entomologica Sinica* 48: 859-865.

Schilthuizen M, Stouthamer R. 1998. Distribution of *Wolbachia* among the guild associated with the parthenogenetic gall wasp *Diplolepis rosae*. *Heredity* 81: 270-274.

Schulenburg JH, Hurst GD, Huigens TM, Van Meer MM, Jiggins FM, Majerus ME. 2000. Molecular evolution and phylogenetic utility of *Wolbachia ftsZ* and *wsp* gene sequences with special reference to the origin of malekilling. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 17: 584-600.

Shoemaker DD, Katju V, Jaenike J. 1999. *Wolbachia* and the evolution of reproductive isolation between *Drosophila recens* and *Drosophila subquinaria. Evolution* 53: 1157-1164.

Stouthamer R, Breeuwer JA, Hurst GDD. 1999. *Wolbachia* pipientis: Microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 53: 71-102.

Tang QY, Feng MG. 2002. DPS data processing system for practical statistics. Science Press.

Tsai KH, Wu WJ, Chen WJ. 2002. Biological Characteristics of the Endosymbiont *Wolbachia. Formosan. Entomology* 22: 1-18.

Vavre F, Fleury F, Lepetit D, Fouillet P, Bouletreau M. 1999. Phylogenetic evidence for horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in host-parasitoid associations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16: 1711-1723.

Wenseleers T, Ito F, Van Borm S, Huybrechts R, Volckaert F, Billen J. 1998. Widespread occurrence of the microorganism *Wolbachia* in ants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 265: 1447-1452.

Werren JH. 1997. Biology of Wolbachia. Annual Review of Entomology 42: 587-609.

Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark ME. 2008. *Wolbachia*: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 6: 741-751.

Werren JH, Windsor DM. 2000. *Wolbachia* infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 267: 1277-1285.

Werren JH, Zhang W, Guo LR. 1995. Evolution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia*: reproductive parasites of arthropods.

West SA, Cook JM, Werren JH, Godfray HC. 1998. *Wolbachia* in two insect host parasitoid communities. Molecular Ecology 7: 1457-1465.

Zabalou S, Riegler M, Theodorakopoulou M, Stauffer C, Savakis C, Bourtzis K. 2004. *Wolbachia-* induced cytoplasmic incompatibility as a means for insect pest population control. *Proceedings of the* National *Academy of* Science USA 101: 15042-15045.

Zhou W, Rousset F, O'Neill SL. 1998. Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia* strains using *wsp* gene sequences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* Series B 265: 509-515.



Chai et al.

Figure 1. Electrophoresis of PCR products of *Wolbachia wsp* gene from *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* by general primers. M: Molecular size standards, lane 7: negative control, lanes 1-6: different regions of *C. medinalis* (corresponding population number 1 to 6 in Table 2). High quality figures are available online.



Figure 2. Electrophoresis of PCR products of *Wolbachia ftsZ* gene from *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* by special primers. M: Molecular size standards, lane 7: negative control, lanes I-6: different populations of *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (corresponding population code I to 6 in Table 2). High quality figures are available online.







Figure 4. The phylogenetic trees of Wolbachia detected in Cnaphalocrocis medinalis and other insects and mites based on their wsp, ftsZ, 16S rDNA sequences. Trees inferred from maximum parsimony and neighbor joining methods (maximum likelihood model) using MEGA 4.0 program were similar though less resolved (data not shown.) The sequences of Ostrinia furnacalis (EU294311) and Thecodiplosis japonensis (AF220605), were used as outgroups in Fig. 4A and B, respectively. Additionally, the sequence of Drosophila simulans (AY227742) was used in Fig.4C. High quality figures are available online.