

# The Use of Polliniferous Resources by Melipona capixaba, an Endangered Stingless Bee Species

Authors: Serra, Bruna Danielle Vieira, Luz, Cynthia Fernandes Pinto da, and Campos, Lucio Antonio de Oliveira

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 12(148): 1-14

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.012.14801

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



## The use of polliniferous resources by Melipona capixaba, an endangered stingless bee species

Bruna Danielle Vieira Serra, <sup>Ia\*</sup> Cynthia Fernandes Pinto da Luz,<sup>2b</sup> and Lucio Antonio de Oliveira Campos<sup>3c</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Biologia Animal, Programa de Pós-graduação em Entomologia, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Av. P.H. Rolfs, s/n, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, 36570-000, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Botânica, Núcleo de Pesquisa em Palinologia, Caixa Postal 3005, São Paulo, São Paulo, 01061-970, Brazil

<sup>3</sup>Departamento de Biologia Geral, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Av. P.H. Rolfs, s/n, Viçosa, Minas Gerais, 36570-000, Brazil

### Abstract

Pollen types present in samples from corbiculae of *Melipona capixaba* (Moure and Camargo) (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponina) worker bees were analyzed, as well as pollen samples from food pots inside the hives in three sites located at the bees' original habitat. The aim was to find out the sources used as a trophic resource by this species. The dominant pollen grains in the spectrum of the samples belonged to the families Myrtaceae and Melastomataceae. *Eucalyptus* was the most frequent pollen type in the corbiculae in Conceição do Castelo municipality; *Eucalyptus, Myrcia*, and Melastomatacea/Combretaceae in the Fazenda do Estado district; and *Eucalyptus* and *Myrcia* in the São Paulo de Aracê district, both in the Domingos Martins municipality. *Eucalyptus* and Melastomataceae/Combretaceae were the predominant pollen types in the food pots. *Eucalyptus* was the most prevalent type all year round or most of the year. The most common pollen types in the months that *Eucalyptus* was not present or dominant in the samples were of remaining native forest species, "ruderal" (field) plants, fruit-bearing plants, and introduced ornamental plants.

Abbreviations: CC, Conceição do Castelo municipality; FE, Fazenda do Estado; SP, São Paulo de Aracê Keywords: bee-plant relationship, Eucalyptus, foraging, pollen analysis, pollen sources Correspondence: a bruna.serra@yahoo.com.br, b cyluz@yahoo.com.br, c lcampos@ufv.br, \*Corresponding author Editor: Robert Jeanne was editor of this paper. Received: 13 September 2011 Accepted: 15 October 2012 Copyright : This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed. ISSN: 1536-2442 | Vol. 12, Number 148 Cite this paper as: Serra BDV, da Luz CFP, Campos LAO. The use of polliniferous resources by *Melipona capixaba*, an endangered

stingless bee species. Journal of Insect Science 12:148. Available online: http://www.insectscience.org/12.148

#### Introduction

Melipona capixaba (Moure and Camargo) (Hymenoptera: Apidae: Meliponina), locally known as urucu-preta, is an endemic bee to mountainous areas (between 900 and 1000 m.a.s.l.) of the Atlantic Rainforest in southwest Espírito Santo, Brazil (Moure and Camargo 1994; Melo 1996). The biome where this bee occurs is considered one of the twenty five hotspots existing in the world, being the fourth one in endemism rate and among the eight with the highest biological diversity (Myers et al. 2000). The decrease in areas of original habitat and changes in its quality, associated with limited and fragmented distribution of M. capixaba, led to its inclusion as vulnerable in the list of Brazilian Endangered Species in 2003 (IPEMA 2007), being the only Brazilian stingless bee with this status. Its reduced occurrence may be evolutionarily linked to local biological characteristics, such as the native flora of the region. The scarcity of data about its biology, hinders ecology. and ethology its conservation. In order to mitigate the risk and vulnerability of the species, it is fundamental to know the botanic taxa important as a trophic resource to the colonies of M. capixaba.

Pollen is an energy source for bees and supplies vitamins, minerals, lipids, sugars, and starch and nitrogen compounds, being essential for the growth of the tissues of young individuals (Goodman 2003). Its harvest and storage must be constant because eusocial bee colonies are perennial, populous, and characterized by continuous offspring production. Thus, the maintenance of the hives should be assured in periods of low food availability in the field (Michener 2007). The identification of plant species used as food by bees is made through melissopalinology, which allows the identification of pollen grains based on their morphology. The quantitative and qualitative result constitutes the pollen spectrum, which corresponds to the plants visited by the bees in a certain region (Barth 1989). Pollen analyses are useful for indirect determination of the trophic resources used by bees, helping in the elucidation of their roles as pollinators. The wide spectrum presented by eusocial bees allows their classification as polythetic, because they harvest pollen from several plant species (Ramalho et al. 1989, 1990; Ramalho 2004; Luz et al. 2007, 2010).

The only existing papers in literature about visitation of plant species by *M. capixaba* are assigned to Resende et al. (2008) and Luz et al. (2011). Resende et al. (2008) reported the presence of pollinarium of orchids from tribe Cymbidiae, subtribe Maxillariinae, in the scutellum of this bee. Luz et al. (2011) cited the sources used by *M. capixaba* through the palynological analysis of pollen stored in food pots of eleven colonies in meliponaries from municipalities of Alfredo Chaves, the Domingos Martins, and Venda Nova do Imigrante, indicating Eucalyptus and Tibouchina as the main pollen sources. Because Luz et al. 2011 sampled only three non-consecutive months in three different years, their results do not provide a detailed picture of the seasonal pattern of pollen collection by M. capixaba throughout the vear.

The present study addresses this shortcoming. Pollen samples were taken monthly over a full year, both from the corbiculae and from food pots, from different sampling locations, in an effort to more broadly understand the trophic resources utilized by *M. capixaba*. The results will facilitate efforts to preserve the species.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Habitat

The vegetation in the region includes many endemic species and is part of the Atlantic Rainforest domains (Rizzini 1992). It was classified by Veloso et al. (1991) as a dense, ombrophilous forest. The three sites sampled had remaining native vegetation nearby, were surrounded by Eucalyptus plantations, varied agricultural crops (coffee, garlic, carrot, maize, sweet pepper, tomato, etc.), pastures and domestic vards with fruit-bearing plants (avocado, guava, jabuticaba, orange, lemon, etc.), and ornamental plants. A listing of the native flora preserved in the State Park of Pedra Azul, in Domingos Martins, is available at CEPEMAR (2004). The municipalities are included in Serra Capixaba relief unit, which contains huge massifs with elevations exceeding 1200 m.a.s.l. This unit is inserted in the natural zone of cold, rough, and rainy lands, with a minimum average temperature of the coldest month ranging from 7.3 to 9.4° C and a maximum average temperature of the hottest month ranging from 25.3 to 27.8° C (INCAPER 1999). The rainy period occurs between October and April, and the annual average rainfall ranges from 943 to 1906 mm. The average relative humidity is 86.3% (CEPEMAR 2004).

#### Study sites

Monthly collections were made for one year in meliponaries in three sites in the state of Espírito Santo: Conceição do Castelo municipality (CC) (June to September 2009) (S 20° 21' 48.4"; W 41° 14' 44.4"; 627 m.a.s.l.), Fazenda do Estado (FE) (October 2009 to May 2010) (S 20° 22' 12.4"; W 41° 03' 50.3"; 900 m.a.s.l.), and São Paulo de Aracê (SP) (June 2009 to May 2010) (S 20° 25' 58.8"; W 41° 02' 05.3"; 1021 m.a.s.l.), the last two sites being districts of Domingos Martins municipality (Figure 1). A meliponary in CC was replaced by one in FE in October 2009 due to the location of its colonies in an altitude lower than the one where wild hives were found. The difficulty in finding beekeepers that allowed the use of their colonies in research and the rarity of the species in nature resulted in the use of colonies in CC at the beginning.

#### Pollen sampling from corbiculae

Three colonies were sampled at each site except SP, at which only two colonies were sampled because one died in September 2009. The reduced number of sampled colonies was due to the rarity of the species and the restriction on the use of hives for data collection by beekeepers. The collections were made on three consecutive days every month, and samples were taken every two hours, from 06:00 to 16:00. To this purpose, the entrance of the hives were closed for ten minutes and pollen loads were removed from the corbiculae of bees that were returning from the field to the hive. 5321 pollen samples from corbiculae of M. capixaba were collected. 749 samples were collected in CC, 2213 in FE, and 2359 in SP. Each collected sample corresponded to the pollen load of a single bee. Microscope slides were prepared with content of each sample according to Maurizio and Louveaux (1965). Each pollen sample was dissolved in 20 mL of distilled water. The sediment was re-suspended in a 1:1 glycerol: H<sub>2</sub>O mixture and subsequently mounted with glycerine-jelly on microscope slides sealed with paraffin. For each meliponary, corbiculae samples obtained each month were pooled and used to calculate the mean percentage of each pollen type collected during that month.

#### Pollen sampling stored in food pots

The collection of pollen stored in food pots was done to sample the pollen types used by M. capixaba during periods between the sampling from corbiculae. This sampling was only taken from the three colonies in FE (from July 2009 and June 2010) because they were the only ones authorized by the beekeepers to be handled. In this procedure, the hives were open once each month, in the same days of pollen collection from corbiculae. The food pots were sampled through the insertion of a plastic straw. which collected pollen vertical accumulated throughout the extension. A sample was taken from each food pot of the three hives. 270 pollen samples were collected from food pots inside the hives in FE. Microscope slides were prepared with the content of each sampled food pot according to Maurizio and Louveaux (1965) without the use of acetolysis. For the analyses of pollen types found in food pots, the samples were grouped per month.

#### Melissopalynological analysis

The qualitative analysis took into consideration the pollen types identified because it is often not possible to specify genus or species from the pollen morphology (Barth 1989). The samples were analyzed quantitatively by counting 500 pollen grains per sample from all microscopical field, which were randomized in order to calculate the relative frequencies of dominant pollen type (PD: > 45% of the total pollen grains), accessory pollen (PA: 15-45%), and isolated pollen (PIi: 3-15% and PIo: < 3%) (Barth 1989). The identification of pollen types was based on comparisons with reference pollen types from the Botanic Institute of São Paulo and palynological catalogues (Barth 1970a, 1970b, 1970c, 1970d; Melhem et al. 1984; Barth 1989; Roubik and Moreno 1991). Comparisons with floristic inventories of Espírito Santo were made for determining the plant species visited by the bees (CEPEMAR 2004; Jesus and Rolim 2005; Rolim et al. 2006; SEMA 2008). Pollen grains were photographed using a Zeiss Primo Star photomicroscope, which was linked to a video camera and microcomputer with the software Axiovision.

#### Results

#### Pollen from corbiculae

From the total, 5249 (98.65%) were loads of monofloral pollen (pollen type count larger than 90%) and 72 (1.35%) were loads of heterofloral pollen (with several pollen types). A total of 56 pollen types were observed in the samples, of which 52 genera and 25 families were recognized, as well as a type identified as a Monocotyledon. 26 pollen types were observed in the samples of CC, 38 in the samples of FE, and 42 in the samples of SP. Some pollen types only occurred in CC (Calliandra, Eugenia, Eupatorium, Persea, and Typha), others only in FE (Acnistus, Anadenanthera, Cordia, Faramea, Mimosa caesalpiniaefolia, Myrsine, Phthirusa, and Trema micrantha), and others only in SP (Aparisthmium, Commelina virginica, Coussarea, Elephantopus, Hyptis, Monocotyledon, Schizolobium parahybum, Stylosanthes, Thunbergia, Sida, and Zanthoxvlum) (Tables 1 and 2). The families that presented the highest number of pollen types were Fabaceae (13), Asteraceae (5), Euphorbiaceae (4), Rubiaceae (4), Myrtaceae (3), Sapindaceae (3), and Solanaceae (3).

The dominant pollen type in CC was *Eucalyptus*. The types *Myrcia*, *Cupania*, and *Baccharis* had high percentages. Other pollen types with low percentages characterized the native vegetation (*Alchornea*, *Eugenia*, *Euterpe/Syagrus*, *Guapira*, *Machaerium*,

Melastomataceae/Combretaceae, Mimosa verrucosa, Paullinia, Senna, Serjania, and Tapirira), ruderal plants (Crotalaria, Eupatorium, Typha, and Vernonia), cultivated fruit bearing plants (Citrus, Coffea, and cultivated ornamental Persea), plants (Calliandra and Montanoa), and plants that can present different habitats (Solanum) (Tables 1 and 3).

The dominant pollen types in FE were Eucalyptus, Melastomataceae/Combretaceae, and Myrcia. The types Alchornea, Coffea, Heisteria, Mimosa caesalpiniaefolia, and Solanum were classified as high percentage. Low percentages were observed for pollen types of native vegetation (Acacia, Anadenanthera, Bauhinia forficata, Cupania, Euterpe/Svagrus, Faramea, Inga, Parabignonia, Machaerium, Mimosa verrucosa, Myrsine, Paullinia, Phthirusa, Piptadenia communis, Psychotria, Senna, Serjania, Tapirira, and Trema micrantha). ruderal plants (Crotalaria, Croton, and Vernonia), cultivated fruit-bearing plants (Citrus), cultivated ornamental plants (Acalvpha, Acnistus, Cordia, and Lantana) and plants of varied habitats (Solanaceae) (Tables 1-3).

The dominant pollen types in SP were Eucalyptus and Myrcia. The types Crotalaria, Melastomataceae/Combretaceae, Senna, and Solanum were classified as high percentage. Other pollen types with lower percentages characterized the native vegetation (Acacia, Alchornea, Aparisthmium, Bauhinia forficata, Coussarea, Cupania, Euterpe/Syagrus, Guapira, Heisteria, Inga, Parabignonia, Machaerium, Paullinia, Piptadenia Psychotria, Schizolobium communis. parahybum, Serjania, Struthanthus, Tapirira, and Zanthoxylum), ruderal plants (Baccharis, Croton, Elephantopus, Hyptis, Sida, and *Vernonia*), cultivated fruit bearing plants (*Citrus* and *Coffea*), cultivated ornamental plants (*Acalypha*, *Commelina virginica*, *Lantana*, *Montanoa*, and *Thunbergia*), cultivated plants in pastures (*Stylosanthes*), and plants that can occur in several habitats (Monocotyledon and Solanaceae) (Tables 1–3).

#### Pollen stored in food pots

A total of 42 pollen types were observed in the samples, of which 39 genera and 21 families were recognized, as well as a type identified as a Monocoliledon. The pollen types identified in the samples from food pots that did not occur in the samples from corbiculae were Caesalpinia (Fabaceae) and Piper (Piperaceae). Monofloral samples were not identified. The dominant pollen types Eucalyptus and were Melastomataceae/Combretaceae. The types Euterpe/Syagrus, Heisteria, Myrcia, Senna, and Solanum were classified as high percentage. Low percentages were observed for pollen types of native vegetation (Acacia, Alchornea, Aparisthmium, Bauhinia forficata, Caesalpinia, Cupania, Faramea, Guapira, Heisteria, Inga, Parabignonia, Machaerium, Mimosa caesalpiniaefolia, Mimosa verrucosa, Piptadenia Paullinia, Phthirusa, Piper, communis. Schizolobium parahybum. Serjania, Tapirira, and Zanthoxylum), ruderal plants (Baccharis, Crotalaria, Eupatorium, Hyptis, Sida, and Vernonia), cultivated fruit bearing plants (Coffea and Persea), cultivated ornamental plants (Acalypha, Montanoa and Thunbergia), and plants that may occur in several habitats (Monocotyledon and Solanaceae) (Tables 1–3).

#### Discussion

The dominant pollen types in the spectrum of the samples of *M. capixaba* belonged to the

families Myrtaceae and Melastomataceae. The same result was found by Luz et al. (2011) in the analysis of pollen stored in hives of M. capixaba in four different meliponaries in the region. These families are among the most important pollen sources for the genus Melipona (Ramalho et al. 1989, 1990; Carvalho et al. 2001; Alves et al. 2006; Costa and Martins 2006; Oliveira et al. 2009). Myrtaceae and Melastomataceae have their center of dispersion coincident with the distribution of this bee genus, exclusively neotropical (Silveira et al. 2002), which may be related to the intensive use of these families by Melipona spp. (Ramalho et al. 1989, 1990). Additionally, flowers of several species of Myrtaceae and Melastomataceae present poricidal anthers, which require specialized behavior of their visitors to release pollen grains (Ramalho et al. 1989; Proença and Gibbs 1994). The pollen of these anthers is extracted only by vibration of flight muscles pollination"), ("buzz behavioral а specialization present in Melipona spp. and absent in several other bee species (Michener 2007).

The predominance of these families is also related to their mass blooming with a duration of several days (Proenca and Gibbs 1994; Meyer 1998; Danner et al. 2010), favoring the visitation of Melipona spp., which forage primarily in dense flower resources rich in pollen and/or nectar in order to maintain their populous colonies (Roubik 1993; Ramalho 2004). Mass blooming stimulates fidelity and floral constancy, favoring cross-pollination, since bees tend to forage a specific flower type instead of several types. Foraging a single plant species saves energy, since it will not be necessary to use different harvest and flower handling mechanisms in successive trips (Ramalho et al. 1994; Michener 2007).

*Eucalyptus*, the dominant pollen type in the samples of *M. capixaba* from the three sites sampled all year round or for most of the year, owes its prevalence to the presence of reforesting areas of *Eucalyptus* spp. next to the sites where the colonies were located. These plantations made by rural producers in small properties in the region are destined to be utilized for their wood, especially in pallets production (wooden bases used to move loads), house construction, and charcoal production.

The use of Eucalyptus floral resources has already been observed in several species of Melipona. The presence of Eucalyptus nectar and pollen was reported as dominant or important in the pollen spectrum of honeys and pollen loads, being considered a good option for bee pasture (Kleinert-Giovannini and Imperatriz-Fonseca 1987; Ramalho et al. 1990, 1994; Carvalho et al. 2001; Costa and Martins 2006; Oliveira et al. 2009). However, the nutritional quality of their grains should be evaluated since some species of Eucalyptus can present pollen with low concentrations of lipids and essential amino acids such as isoleucine and tryptophan, negatively affecting the longevity of bees and leading to a reduction of their populations (Bell et al. 1983; Somerville 2001; Manning et al. 2007). As *M. capixaba* is a vulnerable species in the list of Brazilian Endangered Fauna, the heavy dependence on Eucalyptus should be treated carefully.

Although dominant in the samples as a whole, *Eucalyptus* was not collected in some months, or was collected but not dominant. When *Eucalyptus* was not collected or was not dominant, pollen types of wild plant species were preponderant, assuring the visitation of *M. capixaba* to several plants of the native forest, as well as to ruderal, fruit-bearing, and

ornamental plants. Luz et al. (2011) confirmed *M. capixaba* preferred pollen of *Tibouchina*, a native tree in this forest, when distant from the areas of Eucalyptus plantations. Analyzing the three sites, it can be concluded that Eucalyptus presented flowering all year round. Thus, the dominance of pollen types of wild, ruderal, fruit-bearing, and ornamental plants in some months seems to be due to the higher attraction that these species exerted on M. capixaba in certain periods of the year. The use of wild plants by the bees factors into importance of preserving the Atlantic Rainforest native flora components for the survival of colonies in certain periods of the year.

Among pollen samples from food pots, only Caesalpinia and Piper were not found in the samples from corbiculae. The presence of Piper was observed at a low percentage in pollen samples collected from hives of M. capixaba in Venda Nova do Imigrante and Domingos Martins municipalities (Luz et al. 2011). The samples from the corbiculae presented a higher number of pollen types used by *M. capixaba* than the samples from the food pots. This was possibly caused by the smaller number of analyzed pollen samples in the food pots, as the number of observed floral interactions decreased just by retrieving of pollen of corbiculae from some bees returning from the field. As the pollen from the food pots is analyzed, it is observed that pollen grains from new plant species are identified as the storage period is much longer compared to sporadic collection of bees (during three consecutive days, e.g.). However, the integration of methods enlarged the richness of the spectrum correspondent to the sources used by *M. capixaba*.

The main trophic resources used by M. *capixaba* belong to the families Myrtaceae

and Melastomataceae, and Eucalvptus features as an important plant for this bee's diet throughout the year. The abundance of Eucalyptus bee pasture should be considered carefully because it is not known what effects the components of its pollen may have on the development of *M. capixaba* individuals and thus on the maintenance of their populations in natural environments. Pollen analysis showed the primary autochthonous plants in which *M. capixaba* searched for its pollen resources, indicating that in the presence of native floral resources this bee visits and collects efficiently these original pollen sources. The results of this work could provide important information for future research on pollination ecology and biology of these plants, with the intention to preserve M. capixaba.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Mr Antonio Bellon, Mr José Valin, and Mr Ozenio Zorzal, the owners of the colonies used in this study; INCAPER for the logistic support; CNPq for the doctoral fellowship granted to the first author; and the Post Graduate Program in Entomology at Universidade Federal de Viçosa.

#### References

Alves RMO, Carvalho CAL, Souza BAS. 2006. Espectro polínico de amostras de mel de *Melipona mandacaia* Smith, 1863 (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Acta Scientiarum Biological Sciences* 28: 65-70.

Barth OM. 1970a. Análise microscópica de algumas amostras de mel. 1. Pólen dominante. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 42: 351-366.

Barth OM. 1970b. Análise microscópica de algumas amostras de mel. 2. Pólen acessório. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 42: 571-590.

Barth OM. 1970c. Análise microscópica de algumas amostras de mel. 3. Pólen isolado. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 42: 747-772.

Barth OM. 1970d. Análise microscópica de algumas amostras de mel. 4. Espectro polínico de algumas amostras de mel do Estado do Rio de Janeiro. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* 30: 575-582.

Barth OM. 1989. *O pólen no mel brasileiro*. Editora Luxor.

Barth OM, Dutra VML, Justo RL. 1999. Análise polínica de algumas amostras de própolis do Brasil Meridional. *Ciência Rural* 29: 663-667.

Bell R, Thornber EJ, Seet JLL, Groves MT, Ho NP, Bell DT. 1983. Composition and protein quality of honeybee-collected pollen of *Eucalyptus marginata* and *Eucalyptus calophylla. Journal of Nutrition* 113: 2479-2484.

Carvalho CAL, Moreti ACCC, Marchini LC, Alves RMO, Oliveira PCF. 2001. Pollen spectrum of honey of "uruçu" bee (*Melipona scutellaris* Latreille, 1811). *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* 61: 63-67.

CEPEMAR. 2004. Plano de manejo do Parque Estadual da Pedra Azul. Serviços de Consultoria em Meio Ambiente. Relatório 199/04. Available online: http://www.idaf.es.gov.br/Download/Parques/ Plano de Manejo PA RT199-04.pdf Costa AY, Martins RP. 2006. Floral preferences of a neotropical stingless bee, *Melipona quadrifasciata* Lepeletier (Apidae: Meliponina) in an urban forestfragment. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 66: 463-471.

Danner MA, Citadin I, Sasso SAZ, Sachet MR, Ambrósio R. 2010. Fenologia da floração e frutificação de mirtáceas nativas da floresta com araucária. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura* 32: 291-295.

Goodman LJ. 2003. *Form and function in the honey bee*. Cardiff: International Bee Research Association.

INCAPER. 1999. Caracterização climática dos municípios: mapa de unidades naturais EMCAPA/NEPUT. Instituto Capixaba de Pesquisa, Assistência Técnica e Extensão Rural. Available online: http://hidrometeorologia.incaper.es.gov.br/ind ex.php?pagina=carac

IPEMA. 2007. *Espécies da Fauna Ameaçadas de Extinção no Estado do Espírito Santo.* Instituto de Pesquisa da Mata Atlântica, Vitória, Espírito Santo.

Jesus RM, Rolim SG. 2005. *Fitossociologia da mata atlântica de tabuleiro*. Boletim Técnico da Sociedade de Investigacoes Florestais 19: 1-149.

Kleinert-Giovannini A, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL. 1987. Aspects of the trophic niche of *Melipona marginata marginata* Lepeletier (Apidae, Meliponinae). *Apidologie* 18: 69-100.

Luz CFP, Thome ML, Barth OM. 2007. Recursos tróficos de *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera, Apidae) na região de Morro

Azul do Tinguá, Estado do Rio de Janeiro. *Revista Brasileira de Botânica* 30: 29-36.

Luz CFP, Junior GLB, Fonseca RLSE, Sousa PR. 2010. Comparative pollen preferences by africanized honeybees *Apis mellifera* L. of two colonies in Pará de Minas, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências* 82: 293-304.

Luz CFP, Fernandes-Salomão TM, Lage LGA, Resende HC, Tavares MG, Campos LAO. 2011. Pollen sources for *Melipona capixaba* Moure & Camargo: an endangered Brazilian stingless bee. *Psyche* 2011: 107303. Available online:

http://www.hindawi.com/journals/psyche/201 1/107303/

Manning R, Rutkay A, Eaton L, Dell B. 2007. Lipid-enhanced pollen and lipid-reduced flour diets and their effect on the longevity of honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Australian Journal of Entomology* 46: 251-257.

Maurizio A, Louveaux J. 1965. *Pollen de plantes melliferes d'Europe*. Union des Groupments Apicoles Français.

Melhem TS, Makino H, Silvestre MSF, Cruz MAV, Jung-Mendaçolli S. 1984. Planejamento para elaboração da "Flora Polínica da Reserva do Parque Estadual das Fontes do Ipiranga (São Paulo, Brasil). *Hoehnea* 11: 1-7.

Melo GAR. 1996. Notes on the nesting biology of *Melipona capixaba* (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 11: 289-296.

Meyer JY. 1998. Observations on the reproductive biology of *Miconia calvescens* DC (Melastomataceae), an alien invasive tree

on the island of Tahiti (South Pacific Ocean). *Biotropica* 30: 609-624.

Michener CD. 2007. *The bees of the world*, second edition. The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Moure JS, Camargo JMF. 1994. *Melipona* (*Michmelia*) capixaba, uma nova espécie de Meliponinae (Hymenoptera, Apidae) do sudeste do Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 11: 289-296.

Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, Fonseca GAB, Kent J. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403: 853-858.

Oliveira FPM, Absy ML, Miranda IS. 2009. Recursos polínico coletado por abelhas sem ferrão (Apidae, Meliponinae) em um fragmento de floresta na região de Manaus -Amazonas. *Acta Amazonica* 39: 505-518.

Secretaria de Estado da Agricultura, Abastecimento, Aquicultura e Pesca. 2008. *Plano Estratégico de Desenvolvimento da Agricultura Capixaba: novo PEDEAG 2007-2025*. Governo do Espirito Santo. Available online:

http://www.seag.es.gov.br/pedeag/livro.htm

Proença CEB, Gibbs PE. 1994. Reproductive biology of eight sympatric Myrtaceae from Central Brazil. *New Phytologist*. 126: 343-354.

Ramalho M, Kleinert-Giovannini A, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL. 1989. Utilization of floral resources by species of *Melipona* (Apidae, Meliponinae): floral preferences. *Apidologie* 20: 185-195.

Ramalho M, Kleinert-Giovannini A, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL. 1990. Important bee plants for stingless bees (*Melipona* and Trigonini) and Africanized honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) in neotropical habitats: a review. *Apidologie* 21: 469-488.

Ramalho M, Giannini TC, Malagodi-Braga KS, Imperatriz-Fonseca VL. 1994. Pollen harvest by stingless bee forragers (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponinae). *Grana* 33: 239-244.

Ramalho M. 2004. Stingless bees and mass flowering trees in the canopy of Atlantic Forest: a tight relationship. *Acta Botanica Brasilica* 18: 37-47.

Resende HC, Barros F, Campos LAO, Fernandes-Salomão T. 2008. Visitação de orquídea por *Melipona capixaba* Moure & Camargo (Hymenoptera: Apidae), abelha ameaçada de extinção. *Neotropical Entomology* 37: 609-611.

Rizzini CT. 1992. *Tratado de fitogeografia do Brasil: aspectos ecológicos, sociológicos e florísticos*. Âmbito Cultural.

Rolim SG, Ivanauska NM, Rodrigues RR, Nascimento MT. 2006. Composição florística do estrato arbóreo da floresta estacional semidecidual na planície aluvial do rio Doce, Linhares, ES, Brasil. *Acta Botanica Brasilica* 20: 549-561.

Roubik DW, Moreno JEP. 1991. *Pollen and spores of Barro Colorado Islands*. Missouri Botanical Garden Press.

Roubik DW. 1993. Tropical pollinators in the canopy and understory: field data and theory

for stratum "preferences". *Journal of Insect Behavior* 6: 659-673.

SEMA. 2008. *Atlas de ecossistemas do Espírito Santo*. Secretaria do Estado do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Hídricos.

Silveira FA, Melo GAR, Almeida EAB. 2002. *Abelhas brasileiras: sistemática e identificação*, first edition. Belo Horizonte.

Somerville DC. 2001. Nutritional value of bee collected pollens: A report for the Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation. Rural Industries Research & Development Corporation. Available online: https://rirdc.infoservices.com.au/downloads/0 1-047

Veloso HP, Rangel-Filho ALR, Lima JCA. 1991. *Classificação da vegetação brasileira, adaptada a um sistema universal*. Fundação Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística.

Pollen types			ine		ıly	Au		Septe				Nove	mD
-		SP	CC	SP	CC	SP	CC	SP	CC	SP	FE	SP	F
Acanthaceae	Thunbergia												
Anacardiaceae	Tapirira	PIo				Plo		Plo	Plo				P
Arecaceae	Euterpe/Syagrus		Pli		Plo		Plo	Plo	Pli				
	Baccharis							Plo	PA				
	Elephantopus					Plo		Plo					
Asteraceae	Eupatorium		Plo				Plo						
	Montanoa	Plo			Plo								
	Vernonia		Plo			Pli	Pli	Plo	Pli	Plo	Plo		
Bignoniaceae	Parabignonia												P
Boraginaceae	Cordia												P
Cannabaceae	Trema micrantha										Í		P
Commelinaceae	Commelina virginica			1				Plo				-	
	Acalypha	Pli		Pli		Pli		Pli					
F 1 1'	Alchornea	Plo	Pli										
Euphorbiaceae	Aparisthmium				-				-				
	Croton												
	Acacia												
	Anadenanthera							-				2	
	Bauhinia forficata												
	Calliandra								Plo			-	
	Crotalaria							Plo	Plo				
	Inga							110		Plo	Plo		
Fabaceae	Machaerium	Pli	Plo		Plo					Plo			P
	Mimosa caesalpiniaefolia						-						
	Mimosa verrucosa								Plo		Plo		
	Piptadenia communis												
	Schizolobium parahybum							Plo		Plo			
	Senna	Plo	Plo				Plo	Plo	Pli	110	Pli	PA	P
	Stylosanthes	Plo	1 10				110	1 10				***	-
Lamiaceae	Hyptis	110							-				
Lauraceae	Persea							2	Plo				
	Phthirusa								110				
Loranthaceae	Struthanthus	-										Pli	
Malvaceae	Sida	Plo		-	-			<u></u>					
	taceae/Combretaceae				Plo			Plo				Plo	P
100-10010-00000000000000000000000000000	onocotyledon				110	Plo		110				110	-
111	Eucalyptus	PD	PA	PD	PD	PD	Pli	PA		PD	PA	Pli	P
Myrtaceae	Eugenia		Plo								~ * *	~ 11	-
mjiaceae	Myrcia	Pli	PA	Plo	PA	Pli	PA	Pli	PA	PA	PA	PD	P
Myrsinaceae	Myrsine	-				-							
Nyctaginaceae	Guapira	Plo	Plo										
Olacaceae	Heisteria												
Rubiaceae	Coffea							Plo	Pli	Plo	PA		P
	Coussarea							2.10		- 10			-
	Faramea												
	Psychotria									Plo	Pli	Plo	P
Rutaceae	Citrus					Plo		Plo	Pli		Plo	110	
	Zanthoxylum					10		10	1.11	10	10		
Sapindaceae	Cupania			Plo		Pli	PA	1		Plo		-	
	Paullinia		Plo	Plo	Plo	Pli	Plo			110			
Supindaceae	Serjania		Plo	110	110	Plo	110						
	Acnistus		110			110						-	P
Solanaceae	Solanaceae	Plo	Pli	Plo	Pli		Pli	Plo	Pli		Plo		P
Solanaceae	Solanum	Plo		Pli	Pli	Pli	Pli	PA	Pli	Pla	Plo	Plo	P
Turchagana		110	ru	rn	ru	ru	Plo	TA	ru	10	110	110	P
Typhaceae	Thypha						F10					DI	P
Verbenaceae	Lantana											Pli	P

Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org

Serra et al.

**Table 2.** Pollen types observed in pollen loads from corbiculae of *Melipona capixaba* in the districts of Fazenda do Estado (FE) and São Paulo de Aracê (SP), in Domingos Martins municipality, Espírito Santo, Brazil, from December (Dec) 2009 to May (May) 2010. PD = dominant pollen (> 45%); PA = accessory pollen (15–45%); PIi = important isolated pollen (3–14%); PIo = occasional isolated pollen (< 3%).

Pollen types Acanthaceae Thunbergia		SP	FE	SP	FE	SP	uary			Ap SP			ay
		Sr	FL	SP	rE	PIo	FE	SP	FE	sr	FE	SP	FI
Anacardiaceae	Thunbergia Tapirira			-		PIO					-		
Arecaceae	Euterpe/Syagrus					110	-		PIo		PIo		PI
Alcoaccac	Baccharis								110		110		11
Asteraceae	Elephantopus												
	Eupatorium			-					-		-		
Asteraceae	Montanoa												
	Vernonia			-									
Bignoniaceae	Parabignonia					Plo							P
Boraginaceae	Cordia					110							1
Cannabaceae	Trema micrantha												
Commelinaceae	Commelina virginica			-									
commennaceae	Acalypha	Plo		0	Plo			Plo					
	Alchornea	110			PA			110					PI
Euphorbiaceae	Aparisthmium	Plo			111								11
	Croton	Plo	Plo	Plo		-			-	-	-		
	Acacia	110	110	110		Plo	PIo						
Fabaceae	Anadenanthera		Pli			1 10	110						
	Bauhinia forficata		III	Plo		Plo			Plo				
	Calliandra			110		1 10			1 10		-		
	Crotalaria	Pli		PA	Pli	Pli		Plo		Pli	Pli		P
		Plo	Pli	IA	rn	ГП		110		гп	rn		1
	Inga Machaerium	FIU	гп										Pl
	Mimosa caesalpiniaefolia				PA				PIo				11
	Mimosa verrucosa				IA				110				
	Piptadenia communis	-		Plo	Pli	Plo	Pli	Plo	-				-
	Schizolobium parahybum			FIO	rn	110	r II	110					-
	Senna Senna	PA	Pli	PA	Plo	PA	Plo	DA	Plo	DA	Dlo	DA	DI
	Stylosanthes	IA	гп	IA	FIO	FA	FIO	FA	FIO	FA	FIO	IA	r1
Lamiaceae	Hyptis			-	-			Plo				Plo	
Lauraceae	Persea							110	-			110	
Lauraceae	Phthirusa				Plo					11			-
Loranthaceae	Struthanthus				FIO						Plo		-
Malvaceae	Sida										F 10		
	taceae/Combretaceae		Pli	Plo	Plo	PA	Pli	D1;	Plo	Dla		Plo	D
	onocotyledon		rn	F 10	110	IA	rn	rn	10	F 10		F 10	11
IVIC	Eucalyptus	PA		PA	Pli	PA	PD	PA	PA	PD	PD	PD	DI
Murtagene	Eucalyptus Eugenia	IA		IA	T.II	IA	TD	IA	IA	rD	TD.	TD	r
Myrtaceae	Myrcia	PA	PD		PA	Plo		DA	Plo	D1;	Pli		Pl
Myrsinaceae		rA	PD		Plo			FA	F10	rii	rll		r
Nyctaginaceae	Myrsine				P10	-							
Olacaceae	Guapira Heisteria			-			Plo	D1a	PA	Dia	Dia		Pl
Olacaceae	Coffea			0		Plo	FIO	FIO	FA	FIO	Plo		Pl
Rubiaceae	Coussarea	Plo				P10					P10		rı
	Faramea	FIO		-		-	Plo		Plo				
		Plo		-	-	-	P10	_	P10		-		-
	Psychotria	PIO											
Rutaceae	Citrus	D1;											
Sapindaceae	Zanthoxylum	Pli Plo			Dla		Dla					_	
	Cupania	P10			Plo		Plo		Dla	Dla	Dla		DI
	Paullinia					-	Plo		P10	Plo	P10	D1-	Pl
	Serjania											Plo	PI
Solanaceae	Acnistus					D1-							
	Solanaceae	DI	DA	DI	DI	Plo	DI	DI	DI	DI		D1'	DI
	Solanum Thypha	Pli	PA	Plo	Pli	Plo	Plo	Plo	Plo	Plo		Pli	Pl
Typhaceae				1	1								

Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org

**Table 3.** Grand compilation of pollen types identified in pollen loads from corbiculae and food pots, with data on habit and habitat. Data collected from hives of *Melipona capixaba* in Conceição do Castelo municipality and in the districts of Fazenda do Estado and São Paulo de Aracê, in Domingos Martins municipality, Espírito Santo, Brazil. (Habit: *Cre* = creeper; *Her* = herbaceous; *Sub* = subshrub; *Bus* = bush; *Tre* = tree, *Und* = undetermined. Habitat: *CF* = cultivated fruit-bearing; *CW* = cultivated in pastures; *R* = ruderal; *W* = wild; *UND* = undetermined).

	Pollen types	Habit	Habitat		
Acanthaceae	Thunbergia <sup>a,b</sup>	cre/her/bus	CO/R		
Anacardiaceae	Tapirira <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	S		
Arecaceae	Euterpe/Syagrus a,b	tre	S		
	Baccharis a,b	her/bus	R		
	Elephantopus <sup>a</sup>	her	R		
Asteraceae	Eupatorium <sup>a,b</sup>	her/bus	R		
Tisteraceae	Montanoa a,b	bus	CO		
	Vernonia <sup>a,b</sup>	sub/bus	R		
Bignoniaceae	Parabignonia <sup>a,b</sup>	cre/bus	S		
	Cordia *	sub/bus	CO/R		
Boraginaceae			S		
Cannabaceae	Trema micrantha ª	bus	CO/R		
Commelinaceae	Commelina virginica <sup>a</sup>	her			
	Acalypha <sup>a,b</sup>	her/sub/bus	CO/R		
Euphorbiaceae	Alchornea <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	S		
	Aparisthmium <sup>a,b</sup>	bus/tre	S		
	Croton <sup>a</sup>	tre	R		
	Acacia <sup>a,b</sup>	bus/tre	S		
	Anadenanthera <sup>a</sup>	tre	S		
	Bauhinia forficata a,b	tre	S		
	Caesalpinia <sup>b</sup>	bus/tre	CO/S		
	Calliandra ª	bus	CO		
	Crotalaria <sup>a,b</sup>	her/sub/bus	R		
	Inga <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	S		
Fabaceae	Machaerium <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	S		
	Mimosa caesalpiniaefolia <sup>a,b</sup>	her/sub/bus/tre	S		
	Mimosa verrucosa <sup>a,b</sup>	her/sub/bus/tre	S		
	Mimosa verrucosa				
	Piptadenia communis <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	S		
	Schizolobium parahybum a,b	tre	S		
	Senna <sup>a,b</sup>	her/sub/bus/tre	S		
	Stylosanthes *	her/sub	CP		
Lamiaceae	Hyptis <sup>a,b</sup>	her/sub/bus	R		
Lauraceae	Persea <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	CF		
Loranthaceae	Phthirusa <sup>a,b</sup>	cre	S		
Lorantilaceae	Struthanthus <sup>a</sup>	cre	S		
Malvaceae	Sida <sup>a,b</sup>	her/sub	R		
Melastoma	taceae/Combretaceae <sup>a,b</sup>	und	S		
M	onocotyledon <sup>a,b</sup>	her	UND		
	Eucalyptus a,b	tre	CM		
Myrtaceae	Eugenia <sup>a</sup>	bus/tre	S		
	Myrcia <sup>a,b</sup>	bus/tre	CF/S		
Myrsinaceae	Myrsine <sup>a,b</sup>	bus/tre	S		
Nyctaginaceae	Guapira <sup>a,b</sup>	cre/her/bus/tre	S		
Olacaceae	Heisteria <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	S		
Piperaceae	Piper <sup>b</sup>	sub/bus	R		
riperaceae	Coffea <sup>a,b</sup>	bus	CF		
Rubiaceae	Coussarea a	bus/tre	S		
	Faramea <sup>a,b</sup>	bus/tre	S		
	Psychotria <sup>a</sup>	her/bus/tre	S		
Rutaceae	Citrus <sup>a</sup>	tre	CF		
	Zanthoxylum <sup>a,b</sup>	bus/tre	S		
	Cupania <sup>a,b</sup>	tre	S		
Sapindaceae	Paullinia <sup>a,b</sup>	cre	S		
	Serjania <sup>a,b</sup>	cre	S		
	Acnistus <sup>a</sup>	bus/tre	CO		
Solanaceae	Solanaceae <sup>a,b</sup>	und	UND		
	Solanum <sup>a,b</sup>	cre/her/sub/bus/tre	CF/CO/		
Typhaceae	Thypha <sup>a</sup>	her	R		

<sup>a</sup> pollen from corbiculae; <sup>b</sup> pollen from food pots.

Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org

