

The Euro+Med treatment of Boraginaceae in Willdenowia 34 — a response

Authors: Hilger, Hartmut H., Gottschling, Marc, Selvi, Federico, Bigazzi, Massimo, Långström, Elisabeth, et al.

Source: Willdenowia, 35(1): 43-48

Published By: Botanic Garden and Botanical Museum Berlin (BGBM)

URL: https://doi.org/10.3372/wi.35.35101

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Notulae ad floram euro-mediterranean pertinentes No. 14

HARTMUT H. HILGER, MARC GOTTSCHLING, FEDERICO SELVI, MASSIMO BIGAZZI, ELISABETH LÅNGSTRÖM, ELKE ZIPPEL, NADJA DIANE & MAXIMILIAN WEIGEND

The Euro+Med treatment of *Boraginaceae* in Willdenowia 34 – a response

Abstracts

Hilger, H. H., Gottschling, M., Selvi, F., Bigazzi, M., Långström, E., Zippel, E., Diane, N. & Weigend, M.: The Euro+Med treatment of *Boraginaceae* in Willdenowia 34 – a response. – Willdenowia 35: 43-48. – ISSN 0511-9618; © 2005 BGBM Berlin-Dahlem. doi:10.3372/wi.35.35101 (available via http://dx.doi.org/)

The classification of B. Valdés proposed in Willdenowia 34 in 2004 is shown to be for practical purposes incomplete, incorrect, inconsistent and out of date. A revised list of genera and tribes of *Boraginales (Boraginaceae* s.l.) occurring in the region based on recent data is presented.

"The Euro+Med PlantBase provides an on-line database and information system for the vascular plants of Europe and the Mediterranean region, against an up-to-date and critically evaluated consensus taxonomic core of the species concerned." (<u>http://www.euromed.org.uk</u>, accessed 22 October 2004).

In a Euro+Med Notula (No. 10) in Willdenowia 34: 59-61. 2004, B. Valdés presented his view of *Boraginaceae* classification. The most recent literature citation in his article dates from 1992, thus ignoring completely the morpho-anatomical and molecular work published during the last decade. This is unfortunate, because this research has brought a massive improvement of our understanding of taxon limits and phylogenies in *Boraginales* (e.g. Al-Shebaz 1991, Böhle & al. 1996, Diane & al. 2002, Ferguson 1998, Gottschling & al. 2001, Gottschling & Hilger 2001, Hilger & Böhle 2000, Hilger & Diane 2003, Hilger & al. 2004, Långström & Chase 2002, Långström & Oxelman 2003, Lönn 1999, Smith & al. 2000). A "consensus taxonomic core" should try to evaluate all serious attempts at clarifying relationships and should certainly include recent views on the taxonomy and phylogeny as well as a discussion of the traditional publications. While molecular data represent only one window on reality, they do provide deep new insights Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Willdenowia on 20 Apr 2024

into traditional groupings based on morphology, some of which have to be called tentative at best. Ignoring recent molecular data in morphologically ambiguous cases is likely not a helpful approach. Monophyly is much debated as the sole guideline for taxon delimitation, but provided the evidence for it is sound it is generally the most objective basis for taxonomic grouping (although in some cases a judgement may be made that paraphyletic taxa are in practical terms more acceptable).

On the basis of recent studies on *Boraginales*, we here want to challenge various judgements and taxonomic decisions provided by Valdés (2004).

1. Delimitation of the family. – Boraginaceae s.l. in his sense are paraphyletic. They either have to include Hydrophyllaceae and Lennoaceae at subfamily rank or the subfamilies (Cordioideae, Ehretioideae, Heliotropioideae, Boraginoideae) have to be elevated to family rank. Irrespective of this subjective decision on rank, the arbitrary exclusion of taxa belonging to the monophyletic Boraginales (= Boraginaceae s.l.) is not justified. Hydrophyllaceae (Nemophila and Phacelia as introduced taxa in Europe!) and Lennoaceae are clearly nested in Boraginales, and have to be accommodated in some way, most probably in or near Ehretiaceae (Ferguson 1998, Smith & Pamphelis 1998, Smith & al. 2000, Gottschling & al. 2001).

Valdés (2004) accepts the segregation of *Cordioideae* and *Ehretioideae*, allegedly following I. M. Johnston, but this was never proposed by this outstanding expert of the taxonomy of *Boraginaceae* in the traditional sense. In the two publications cited in this respect (Johnston 1953, 1954), neither the name *Cordioideae* nor *Ehretioideae* are even mentioned. Valdés unites both taxa into one family (which is open to discussion) and uses *Ehretiaceae* as the proper name. This is, furthermore, formally incorrect, since the name *Cordiaceae* R. Br. ex Dumort. (1829) has priority over *Ehretiaceae* Mart. ex Lindl. (1830, see Taxon 49: 292. 2000).

Considering *Heliotropioideae* (= *Heliotropiaceae*) as the more "primitive" sister of *Boraginaceae* s.str. is very heterodoxical, since recent molecular data clearly place it as sister to *Cordiaceae* and *Ehretiaceae* (Gottschling & al. 2001), with *Boraginaceae* as sister group to these three woody clades plus *Hydrophyllaceae*. It is particularly difficult to understand how Valdés's interpretation and his taxonomic conclusions contribute towards a more comprehensible taxonomic consensus. The term "primitive" as such is also more than ambiguous or confusing, since the floral morphology in *Heliotropiaceae* is probably the most derived morphology anywhere in *Boraginales* (style stigma complex, Gürke 1894, Al-Shebaz 1991), so the statement is both taxonomically irrelevant and morphologically incorrect. *Heliotropiaceae* may retain some plesiomorphic character states in vegetative morphology, but to consider them as some boraginalean "Ursuppe" is grossly wrong.

2. Delimitation of the tribes. – The statement that the tribes Boragineae, Lithospermeae, Echieae and Eritrichieae can be sorted into "primitive" and "derived" groups is bold, and the implicit statement that they represent natural groups as here defined is in our view incorrect. The judgement that the long, yellow, tubular corollas of, e.g., Onosma (Lithospermeae) are less derived than the zygomorphic corollas of Echium, justifying tribal rank of the latter, is very subjective, and the statement as such is unsubstantiated. Molecular data also strongly indicate that the traditionally defined tribes need some serious re-adjustments, and Table 1 summarizes the current consensus on tribal classification. Salient points are that Echieae is firmly nested in Lithospermeae (Böhle & al. 1996, Hilger & Böhle 2000, Långström & Chase 2002) and has to be reduced under that tribe. Furthermore, Ogastemma does not belong to Lithospermeae, both instead form part of the Echiochileae (Lönn 1999, Långström & Chase 2002, Långström & Oxelmann 2003).

3. Delimitation of the genera. – We are not sure what to make of the ambiguous statement "Boragineae, Eritrichieae and Echieae include well characterised genera" (Valdés 2004: 60). Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Willdenowia on 20 Apr 2024 Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use Table 1. Accepted genera and tribes of Euro+Med area *Boraginales* (compiled from Flora Europaea, Med-Checklist, Flora of Turkey, Flora Palaestina plus additional sources) based on: (1) Hilger & al. 2004: DNA investigation of tribe, (2) Hilger & Diane 2003: DNA investigation of family, (3) Ferguson 1998, 2003: DNA investigation of family, (4) Gottschling & al. in press: position of *Coldenia*, (5) Seibert 1978, (6) Långström & Chase 2002.

Cordiaceae ⁽⁴⁾	Symphytum	Sclerocaryopsis
Coldenia	Trachystemon	Trigonotis
Cordia	Cynoglosseae	Echiochileae ⁽⁶⁾
Heliotropiaceae ⁽²⁾	Cynoglossum	Echiochilon
Euploca	Gyrocaryum	Ogastemma
Heliotropium	Mattiastrum Microparacaryum	incertae sedis
Hydrophyllaceae ⁽³⁾	Omphalodes	Mertensia
	Paracaryum	Trigonocaryum
Nemophila Phacelia	Paracynoglossum	$Lithospermeae^{(5)}$
Wigandia	Pardoglossum	Alkanna
	Rindera	Arnebia
Boraginaceae s.str.	Solenanthus	Buglossoides
Boragineae ⁽¹⁾	Suchtelenia	Cerinthe
Anchusa	Trachelanthus	Echium
Anchusella	"Trichodesmeae"	Halacsya
Borago	Caccinia	Huynhia
Brunnera	Trichodesma	Lithodora
Cynoglottis		Lithospermum
Elizaldia	Eritrichieae	Macrotomia
Gastrocotyle	Amsinckia	Mairetis
Hormuzakia	Asperugo	Moltkia
Lycopsis	Eritrichium	Moltkiopsis
Nonea	Hackelia	Neatostema
Paraskevia	Heterocaryum	Onosma
Pentaglottis	Lappula	Paramoltkia
Phyllocara	Myosotis	Podonosma
Pulmonaria	Rochelia	Pontechium

Strictly speaking, the statement is true since there are some well characterised genera in each of these groups. If the sentence is, however, supposed to mean that all genera of these tribes are well characterized, then we have to disagree (see, e.g., *Anchusa*, Hilger & al. 2004).

3.1. *Heliotropioideae.* – Neither *Ceballosia* nor *Argusia* can be retained as genera, since both are firmly nested in Old World *Heliotropium*, a finding that is supported by both molecular and morphological data (Hilger & Diane 2003), and *Argusia* itself even might be polyphyletic. *Heliotropium* is thus the only genus of the subfamily that deserves recognition in Europe (and *Euploca* in northern Africa). The affinity between *Tournefortia* and *Argusia* is not borne out by closer study, and *Tournefortia* is a largely tropical genus that is found nowhere near Europe (Hilger & Diane 2003, Verdcourt 1991).

3.2. *Lithospermeae*. – The genera as listed are ill-defined and the list of names is incomplete or taxonomically inconsistent. *Podonosma* is completely omitted, *Halacsya* is erroneously placed Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Willdenowia on 20 Apr 2024 Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use

in *Cynoglosseae* instead of *Lithospermeae* (compare Seibert 1978 for nutlet morphology and anatomy), *Pontechium* as segregate of *Echium* is not mentioned at all, neither as valid name nor as synonym (Hilger & Böhle 2000). *Aegonychon* S. F. Gray (1821) is a later synonym of *Buglossoides* Moench (1794). If *Aegonychon* (type: *A. purpureocaeruleum*, Holub 1973) was to be kept separate from *Buglossoides* (type: *B. tenuiflorum*, Johnston 1954) and *Lithospermum*, then it would have to be shown that *A. goulandriorum* is actually more closely related to *B. purpureocaeruleum* than either is to *Buglossoides* arvensis, but we are not aware of such a study. For the justification of a genus *Buglossoides* see Clermont & al. (2003). *Echiochilon* belongs to tribe *Echiochileae* (as does *Ogastemma*, see above, Lönn 1999, Långström & Chase 2002).

3.3. Boragineae. – Boragineae is at present the best investigated tribe of Boraginaceae s.str. (contributions of the Selvi group, Florence). The list of genera by Valdés is incomplete and omits several established generic names, such as Hormuzakia, Anchusella, Paraskevia, Gastrocotyle and Phyllocara (Hilger & al. 2004). If such names are not accepted, then they should at least be cited as synonyms and with an indication where they supposedly belong. Genus delimitation in Boragineae is indeed anything but straightforward and the recent literature is full of taxonomic re-evaluations and transfers of individual species from one genus to the other, especially in paraphyletic Anchusa s.l. (see the comprehensive treatment by Hilger & al. 2004 and references therein). There is no consensus at all about the affinities of Mertensia, and it would be more honest to leave this genus with "incertae sedis", than arbitrarily "tidying up" and placing it into Boragineae (Hilger & al. 2004). Neither Caccinia nor Trichodesma are generally accepted as belonging to Boragineae (see Hilger & al. 2004) and may best be placed in a separate tribe Trichodesmeae (Riedl 1967).

3.4. *Eritrichieae and Cynoglosseae.* – The taxon delimitations in these two tribes are very wide and *Cynoglossum* and *Lappula* are defined by Valdés in an extremely broad sense. This is a perfectly legitimate approach, but is highly inconsistent with the recognition of tiny segregate genera in *Boragineae* (e.g., *Elizaldia, Cynoglottis*) and *Lithospermeae* (e.g., *Huynhia, Aegonychon, Macrotomia*) and thus represents a distinct disadvantage for the aim of a "consensus classification".

In summary, the classification proposed by B. Valdés (2004) is incomplete, incorrect, inconsistent and out of date. Making new formal combinations, as he does, on such a weak scientific basis runs counter to all attempts at providing a stable consensus classification and stable names with a minimum of synonyms and is bound to generate longer and longer lists of useless names.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank P.H. Raven and especially V. H. Heywood for very valuable comments on the manuscript.

References

- Al-Shebaz, I. A. 1991: The genera of *Boraginaceae* in the Southeastern United States. J. Arnold Arbor. Suppl. Ser. 1: 1-169.
- Böhle, U.-R., Hilger, H. H. & Martin, W. F. 1996: Island colonization and evolution of the insular woody habit in *Echium L. (Boraginaceae)*. <u>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 11740-11745. [CrossRef]</u>
- Clermont, A., Hilger, H. H. & Zippel, E. 2003: Verbreitung und Differenzierung der mitteleuropäischen Unterarten von *Buglossoides arvensis* (L.) I. M. Johnst. (*Boraginaceae*). – Feddes Repert. **114:** 58-70. [CrossRef]

- Davis, P. H. (ed.) 1978: Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands 6. Edinburgh.
- Diane, N., Förther, H. & Hilger, H. H. 2002: A systematic analysis of *Heliotropium*, *Tournefortia*, and allied taxa of the *Heliotropiaceae* (*Boraginales*) based on ITS1 sequences and morphological data. – <u>Amer. J. Bot. 89: 287-295.</u>
- Feinbrun-Dothan, N. 1978: Flora palaestina 3. Jerusalem.
- Ferguson, D. M. 1998: Phylogenetic analysis and relationships in *Hydrophyllaceae* based on *ndh*F sequence data. – Syst. Bot. 23: 253-268. [CrossRef]
- Gottschling, M., Hilger, H. H., Wolf, M. & Diane, N. 2001: Secondary structure of the ITS1 transcript and its application in a reconstruction of the phylogeny of *Boraginales*. Pl. Biol. 3: 629-636. [CrossRef]
- & 2001: Phylogenetic analysis and character evolution of *Ehretia* and *Bourreria (Ehretiaceae, Boraginales)* and their allies based on ITS1 sequences. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 123: 249-268.
- , Miller, J. S., Weigend, M. & Hilger, H. H. (in press): Congruence of a phylogeny of *Cordiaceae (Boraginales)* inferred from ITS1 sequence data with morphology, ecology, and biogeography. – Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.
- Greuter, W., Burdet, M. & Long, G. (ed.) 1984: Med-Checklist 1. Genève & Berlin.
- Gürke, M. 1893-95: *Borraginaceae (Asperifoliaceae).* Pp. 71-131 in: Engler, A. & Prantl, K. (ed.), Die natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien **4(3a).** Leipzig.
- Hilger, H. H. & Böhle, U.-R. 2000: Pontechium: a new genus distinct from Echium and Lobostemon (Boraginaceae). – <u>Taxon 49: 737-746. [CrossRef]</u>
- & Diane, N. 2003: A systematic analysis of *Heliotropiaceae (Boraginales)* based on *trnL* and ITS1 sequence data. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 125: 19-51. [CrossRef]
- , Selvi, F., Papini, A. & Bigazzi, M. 2004: Molecular systematics of *Boraginaceae* tribe *Boragineae* based on ITS1 and *trn*L sequences, with special reference to *Anchusa* s.l. – <u>Ann.</u> Bot. 94: 201-212. [CrossRef]
- Holub, J. 1973: New names in Phanerogamae 2. Folia Geobot. Phytotax. 8: 155-179.
- Johnston, I. M., 1953: Studies in the *Boraginaceae* XXV. A revaluation of some genera of the *Lithospermeae*. J. Arnold Arb. **34:** 258-299.
- , 1954: Studies in the *Boraginaceae* XXVI. Further revaluations of the genera of the *Lithospermeae*. J. Arnold Arb. 35: 1-81.
- Långström, E. & Chase, M. W. 2002: Tribes of *Boraginoideae (Boraginaceae)* and placement of *Antiphytum, Echiochilon, Ogastemma* and *Sericostoma:* a phylogenetic analysis based on *atpB* plastid DNA sequence data. – Pl. Syst. Evol. 234: 137-153. [CrossRef]
- & Oxelman, B. 2003: Phylogeny of *Echiochilon (Echiochileae, Boraginaceae)* based on ITS sequences and morphology. Taxon 52: 725-735. [CrossRef]
- Lönn, E. 1999: Revision of the three Boraginaceae genera Echiochilon, Ogastemma and Sericostoma. – Bot. J. Linn. Soc. <u>130</u>: 185-259. [CrossRef]
- Riedl, H. 1967: Boraginaceae. In: Rechinger, K.-H. (ed.), Flora iranica 48. Graz.
- Seibert, J. 1978: Fruchtanatomische Untersuchungen an *Lithospermeae (Boraginaceae).* Diss. Bot. **44.**
- Smith, A. R. & Pamphilis, C. W. de 1998: Phylogenetic placement of the holoparasitic family *Lennoaceae:* preliminary molecular evidence. – Amer J. Bot. 85 (6, Suppl.: Abstracts BSA Meeting 1998): 157.
- Ferguson, D. M., Barkman, T. J. & Pamphelis, C. W. de 2000: Molecular phylogenetic evidence for the origin of *Lennoaceae:* a case of adelphoparasitism in the angiosperms? Amer. J. Bot. 87 (6, Suppl.: Abstracts BSA Meeting 2000): 158.
- Tutin, T. G., Heywood, V. H., Burges, N. A., Moore, D. M., Valentine, D. H., Walters, S. M. & Webb, D. A. (ed.) 1972: Flora europaea **3.** Cambridge, etc.
- Valdés, B. 2004: Notulae ad floram euro-mediterranean pertinentes 10. The Euro+Med treatment of *Boraginaceae*. Willdenowia 34: 59-61.
 Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Willdenowia on 20 Apr 2024

Downloaded From: https://complete.bioone.org/journals/Willdenowia on 20 Apr 2024 Terms of Use: https://complete.bioone.org/terms-of-use Verdcourt, B. 1991: *Boraginaceae* – In: Polhill, R. M. (ed.), Flora of tropical East Africa. – Rotterdam & Brookfield.

Addresses of the authors:

Hartmut H. Hilger, Elke Zippel, Nadja Diane & Maximilian Weigend, Systematische Botanik und Pflanzengeographie, Institut für Biologie, Freie Universität Berlin, Altensteinstr. 6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany; e-mails: hahilger@zedat.fu-berlin.de, ezippel@zedat.fu-berlin.de, diane@zedat.fu-berlin.de, weigend@zedat.fu-berlin.de

Federico Selvi & Massimo Bigazzi, Dipartimento di Biologia Vegetale dell'Universitá, Sezione Botanica Sistematica, Via G. la Pira 4, I-50121 Firenze, Italy; e-mails: selvi@unifi.it, bigazzi@unifi-it

Elisabeth Långström, Department of Systematic Botany, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 18D, SE-752 36 Uppsala, Sweden; e-mail: elisabeth.langstrom@ebc.uu.se

Marc Gottschling, Systematische Botanik und Pflanzengeographie, Institut für Biologie, Freie Universität Berlin, Altensteinstr. 6, D-14195 Berlin, Germany & Missouri Botanical Garden, PO Box 299, St Louis, MO 63166-0299, USA; e-mail: caix@zedat.fu-berlin.de