



Simple Sequence Repeat Markers for the Endangered Species *Clianthus puniceus* and *C. maximus* (Fabaceae)

Authors: Houliston, Gary J., Ramón-Laca, Ana, Jain, Reema, Mitchell, Caroline M., and Goeke, Dagmar F.

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 3(1)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1400102>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

PRIMER NOTE

SIMPLE SEQUENCE REPEAT MARKERS FOR THE ENDANGERED SPECIES *CLIANTHUS PUNICEUS* AND *C. MAXIMUS* (FABACEAE)¹

GARY J. HOULISTON^{2,3}, ANA RAMÓN-LACA², REEMA JAIN², CAROLINE M. MITCHELL²,
AND DAGMAR F. GOEKE²

²Landcare Research, Gerald Street, Lincoln 7640, New Zealand

- **Premise of the study:** Microsatellite markers were developed for *Clianthus puniceus* using a shotgun sequencing library and tested for cross amplification in the closely related *C. maximus* to inform population management of these two endangered species.
- **Methods and Results:** We constructed a shotgun sequencing library using a Roche 454 sequencer and searched the resulting data set for putative microsatellite regions. We optimized 12 of these regions to produce polymorphic markers for *Clianthus*. We tested these markers on four populations of *C. maximus* and on four *C. puniceus* individuals of known provenance. Alleles per locus ranged from two to nine, while observed and expected heterozygosities per locus ranged from 0.000 to 1.000 and 0.178 to 0.600, respectively.
- **Conclusions:** These markers will be valuable for ongoing monitoring of the genetic variation in naturally occurring populations of *Clianthus* and for the selection of individuals for revegetation projects in the species' former range.

Key words: *Clianthus maximus*; *Clianthus puniceus*; Fabaceae; simple sequence repeats (SSRs).

Clianthus Sol. ex Lindl. (Fabaceae) is a genus found only in Australia and New Zealand, where it is represented by two highly endangered species, *C. puniceus* (G. Don) Banks & Sol. ex Lindl. (Heenan, 2000) and *C. maximus* Colenso. *Clianthus puniceus* is all but extinct in the wild (de Lange et al., 2010) and is found only as a cultivated plant; *C. maximus* is represented in the wild by around 200 individuals (de Lange et al., 2010) but is also commonly cultivated as an amenity species, and was formerly cultivated by Maori (Song et al., 2008). *Clianthus maximus* is found only on the east coast of the North Island of New Zealand, and is usually restricted to high-disturbance sites such as slips. These plants are known by the common name kaka beak, or ngutu-kaka, referring to the long scarlet flowers resembling the beak of the native parrot (kākā, *Nestor meridionalis* (Gmelin, 1788)). The seed pods were used as a food source by early Maori, and the flowers for ornamentation. The flowers also provide nectar for New Zealand endemic passerine birds (Anderson, 2003).

The management of *Clianthus* in New Zealand is a collaborative effort between a government department (the Department of Conservation), local iwi (i.e., Maori tribes—the Lake Waikaremoana Hapu Restoration Trust), and other nongovernmental organizations.

¹Manuscript received 21 October 2014; revision accepted 24 November 2014.

The authors thank D. Park for carrying out the library preparation and sequencing and the Department of Conservation and the Lake Waikaremoana Hapu Restoration Trust for providing plant specimens. Funding was provided by the New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment to Landcare Research via core funding to Crown Research Institutes.

³Author for correspondence: houlisong@landcareresearch.co.nz

doi:10.3732/apps.1400102

These groups manage complementary ongoing programs and frequently discover new plants in the wild. Microsatellite markers are useful to genotype these new discoveries, to identify the plants as naturally occurring or simply garden discards or escapes, and therefore of less use for restoration activities. The rapidity of next-generation discovery of microsatellite markers (Abdelkrim et al., 2009; Zalapa et al., 2012) lends itself to applications such as this.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Leaf material was sourced from a cultivated plant of *C. puniceus* (Landcare Research Allan Herbarium accession no. CHR559142), and total genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The resulting extraction was adjusted to a concentration of 20 ng/μL in dH₂O, as determined via Spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), and used to create a shotgun Multiplex Identifier (MID) library on a Roche 454 Jr. Genome Sequencer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using Roche Titanium chemistry (Margulies et al., 2005). The sequencing run resulted in 83,643 reads (average read length 461 bp) for a total yield of 34.6 Mb of sequence.

The library was searched using MSATCOMMANDER (Faircloth, 2008) for di- to hexanucleotide repeat regions with at least five repeat units, and flanked by appropriate regions for primer design. Primers were designed via the default settings of Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000) as implemented in MSATCOMMANDER with the following user specifications: amplification regions of 100–500 bp, an optimal oligonucleotide melting temperature range of 57–62°C, GC content range of 20–80% with an optimum rate of 50%, low levels of self- or pair-complementarity, and a maximum end-stability (ΔG) of 8.0 (Faircloth, 2008). We chose 48 primer pairs for screening, using an M13 tag (CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC) on the 5' end of the forward primer for subsequent fluorescent labeling.

The 48 primer pairs were tested on a single representative of *C. puniceus* and for cross amplification in seven individuals of *C. maximus* (Table 1). DNA was extracted using either a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN) or iNtRON Plant DNA extraction kits (iNtRON Biotechnology, Seongnam, South Korea)

Applications in Plant Sciences 2015 3(1): 1400102; <http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps> © 2015 Houliston et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA).

TABLE 1. Characteristics of 12 polymorphic microsatellite loci developed in *Clianthus puniceus* and *C. maximus* (CLIAN1–12), and the other eight loci screened that were either monomorphic or produced fixed heterozygous genotypes for all individuals (CLIAN13–20).

Locus	Primer sequences (5′–3′) ^a	Repeat motif	Allele size range (bp)	T _a (°C)	Fluorescent dye	GenBank accession no.
CLIAN1	F: CCTAAGTAAGGCAAGAGACAAATG R: GCAGACAATGTAGGCACAGC	(AGAT) ₉	119–144	58	PET	KM588656
CLIAN2	F: AACGCTTTGGTGTGAACG R: ACTTGGGTGTAGTTGATACGC	(AGAT) ₅	202–226	58	6-FAM	KM588657
CLIAN3	F: CTCTGTGAAAGGTACCCC R: GCTTCAAGGAATTGGAAGAAGAAC	(CTT) ₇	226–229	58	PET	KM588658
CLIAN4	F: TTAGGTTAACAAGACACCCG R: TCCATAAAGCTGACTCCACC	(AAC) ₇	184–189	58	NED	KM588659
CLIAN5	F: TGTGGTTGAGATTGGAAGACG R: AACTTCAGTTGCCTTGCCG	(ACAT) ₈	172–208	58	PET	KM588660
CLIAN6	F: CTCTCCACCACCACTCC R: GATGAAAGGGAGAGCAAGCC	(CT) ₁₀	145–161	58	VIC	KM588661
CLIAN7	F: CAAGAAGAGACAGTATGGGTGG R: GATGTGAAGCAAGGTGAGG	(AAT) ₉	174–192	58	NED	KM588662
CLIAN8	F: TCACCCCTTACAGCTCCAG R: GCATTGCGAGGTAAAGAGTGG	(CTT) ₆	154–160	58	6-FAM	KM588663
CLIAN9	F: CAGAGAGTGTGTGCGATGTG R: TCGTCGTACGCCTAGAAG	(AAT) ₆	201–240	63	PET	KM588664
CLIAN10	F: GGCAGTGGCCATCACAATC R: TGATCGCACCTCATCTCC	(AAG) ₈	185–209	63	VIC	KM588665
CLIAN11	F: TCATGGTACGGTCGAAGGC R: TGGGGAACGGAATCGAGG	(AAAC) ₆	162–170	63	NED	KM588666
CLIAN12	F: TGGAAAACGTACCTCGTTGC R: TTGTTCTATTTTACCCTCACGC	(AAG) ₇	167–173	63	6-FAM	KM588667
CLIAN13	F: ACTCATTGCCGAAAAGTACGC R: CCAACATGTCCGTTGAATTGTC	(ATGC) ₄	174	58	N/A	KP100530
CLIAN14	F: ACTCCATCAGCAGTCAAGG R: AGGTGTAGGAAGTGAAGGCTG	(CT) ₁₀	261, 269	58	N/A	KP100531
CLIAN15	F: TGCTGGCTTTCTTCAACGC R: GTCACTATACTCAGCTGACAC	(AT) ₈	246	58	N/A	KP100532
CLIAN16	F: CATTCTCCACCACCAACAC R: ATGGCCACAATCACACTCC	(GTT) ₇	239	58	N/A	KP100533
CLIAN17	F: CCTTCATGTGTCGTGTCTGTC R: TGCAAGTCCGTTTCATAGTGTTC	(AG) ₆	246, 249	58	N/A	KP100534
CLIAN18	F: GATAAGACAACACGCCGCC R: TGTGGATGAAGCGTTCTTGG	(ATC) ₇	238	58	N/A	KP100535
CLIAN19	F: CCCTCATTCGAAGCAAGGAAG R: AGGAGAAGGAGGTGAAGTCG	(AG) ₈	174	58	N/A	KP100536
CLIAN20	F: ACTTAGATGTAACCACTTGTCAAAC R: GTAGAGATCGTTGCGCGTG	(AGCG) ₅	179	58	N/A	KP100537

Note: T_a = annealing temperature used in PCR.

^aM13 tail (TGTAACACGACGGCCAGT) added to the 5′ end of each forward primer during initial screening.

following the manufacturers' instructions. PCR was performed in 10-μL reactions (1 μL of template DNA at 5–50 ng added to final concentrations of 0.1 μM forward primer, 0.4 μM reverse primer, 0.4 μM M13 6-FAM-labeled primer, 1× iNtRON buffer, 250 μM of dNTP mix, 40 μg/mL of bovine serum albumin [BSA; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA], 0.08 unit iNtRON i-Taq DNA Polymerase [iNtRON Biotechnology], volume adjusted with filtered [0.22 μm] and autoclaved Millipore [Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany] H₂O). PCR conditions for M13-tagged primer testing were as follows: initial denaturation of 95°C for 4 min followed first by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s, then by eight cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. One-microliter samples of the resulting amplified DNAs were prepared by adding 9 μL of Hi-Di formamide (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, California, USA) and 1 μL of LIZ-labeled size standard (Applied Biosystems), before being separated on an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) at the Landcare Research sequencing laboratory (Auckland, New Zealand). Fragments were sized and scored using GeneMapper version 3.7 (Applied Biosystems), and polymorphism and repeatability of each loci were assessed. Twelve of the 48 loci tested produced polymorphic fragments and no more than two alleles per individual. A further eight loci amplified reliably but were either monomorphic or produced fixed heterozygote genotypes for all screened individuals (Table 1). The primers for the 12 polymorphic loci were then ordered labeled with different fluorescent dyes (6-FAM, NED, VIC, or

PET) to allow coload of PCR products when genotyping, and omitting the M13 tail. PCR conditions were optimized as follows: initial denaturation of 95°C for 4 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C, or 63°C (see Table 1) for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. These primers were then tested on a total of 49 individuals from four populations of *C. maximus*, representing the majority of wild extant plants, and four individuals of *C. puniceus* (Table 2, Appendix 1). Due to the size and critically endangered status of these plants, voucher specimens were not prepared as this would have been overly destructive.

The numbers of alleles and observed (H_o) and expected (H_e) heterozygosities for each population of *C. maximus* were estimated using GenAlEx (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). H_o and H_e ranged from 0.000 to 1.000 and 0.178 to 0.600, respectively, while mean H_o and H_e across all loci and populations were 0.364 and 0.403. Alleles per locus ranged from two to nine, with an average of 5.5 (Table 2). We did not estimate population parameters separately for *C. puniceus* due to the uncertain number of extant wild-collected individuals as most material is from cultivated sources and likely not representative of the former wild distribution (Song et al., 2008).

All of the 12 loci tested produced polymorphic bands in *C. maximus*, and six of the 12 were polymorphic in *C. puniceus*. Of the four populations of *C. maximus* that were tested, all four had private alleles. No alleles were found in *C. puniceus* that were not present in at least one of the populations of *C. maximus*.

TABLE 2. Results of primer screening in four populations of *Clianthus maximus* and one population of *C. puniceus*.^a

Locus	<i>C. maximus</i>												<i>C. puniceus</i>					
	Waikaremoana (n = 12)			Ruakituri (n = 13)			Mohaka River (n = 14)			Tolaga Bay (n = 10)			Kaipara harbor (n = 4)			Total (n = 53)		
	A	H _o	H _e	A	H _o	H _e	A	H _o	H _e	A	H _o	H _e	A	H _o	H _e	A	H _o	H _e
CLIAN1	3	0.083	0.156	4	0.077	0.541	3	0.000	0.255	5	0.300	0.540	1	0.000	0.000	6	0.092	0.299
CLIAN2	4	0.917	0.663	4	0.692	0.683	3	1.000	0.622	2	1.000	0.500	3	0.750	0.531	4	0.872	0.600
CLIAN3	2	0.083	0.080	2	0.077	0.074	2	0.214	0.191	3	0.300	0.405	2	0.250	0.219	4	0.185	0.194
CLIAN4	1	0.000	0.000	1	0.000	0.000	2	0.000	0.408	2	0.000	0.480	1	0.000	0.000	2	0.000	0.178
CLIAN5	4	0.500	0.538	5	0.308	0.538	5	0.143	0.661	2	0.111	0.105	1	0.000	0.000	8	0.212	0.368
CLIAN6	6	0.500	0.753	7	0.231	0.683	2	0.000	0.459	4	0.900	0.655	2	0.250	0.219	9	0.376	0.554
CLIAN7	2	0.083	0.497	3	0.308	0.592	3	0.000	0.292	4	0.429	0.531	1	0.000	0.000	7	0.164	0.382
CLIAN8	2	1.000	0.500	3	1.000	0.536	2	1.000	0.500	3	1.000	0.545	3	1.000	0.594	4	1.000	0.535
CLIAN9	4	0.083	0.601	3	0.333	0.486	3	0.071	0.309	2	0.100	0.495	1	0.000	0.000	6	0.118	0.378
CLIAN10	3	0.583	0.497	6	0.077	0.707	4	0.000	0.367	5	0.300	0.600	2	0.000	0.375	8	0.192	0.509
CLIAN11	4	0.917	0.740	2	0.923	0.497	4	0.714	0.594	2	0.200	0.320	2	1.000	0.500	4	0.751	0.530
CLIAN12	3	0.667	0.469	3	0.308	0.269	4	0.643	0.474	2	0.400	0.320	1	0.000	0.000	4	0.403	0.306

Note: A = number of alleles; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_o = observed heterozygosity; n = number of individuals sampled.
^a See Appendix 1 for population location data.

CONCLUSIONS

We developed 12 microsatellite markers for the critically endangered *Clianthus* spp. in New Zealand based on 454 sequencing of total genomic DNA. While polymorphic markers were readily found, departures between observed and expected heterozygosities and low number of alleles per locus indicate that these species have possibly had severe reductions in population size. This strongly correlates with the known decrease in the wild, including one of the species becoming extinct outside of cultivation. These markers will have good utility for management of existing populations, particularly the selection of plants for revegetation plantings to ensure minimal loss of the remaining genetic variation in these species.

LITERATURE CITED

ABDELKRIM, J., B. C. ROBERTSON, J. A. L. STANTON, AND N. J. GEMMELL. 2009. Fast, cost-effective development of species-specific microsatellite markers by genomic sequencing. *BioTechniques* 46: 185–191.
ANDERSON, S. 2003. The relative importance of birds and insects as pollinators of the New Zealand flora. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 27: 83–94.
DE LANGE, P. J., P. B. HEENAN, D. A. NORTON, J. R. ROLFE, AND J. SAWYER. 2010. Threatened plants of New Zealand. Canterbury University Press, Christchurch, New Zealand.

FAIRCLOTH, B. C. 2008. MSATCOMMANDER: Detection of microsatellite repeat arrays and automated, locus-specific primer design. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 92–94.
HEENAN, P. B. 2000. *Clianthus* (Fabaceae) in New Zealand: A reappraisal of Colenso’s taxonomy. *New Zealand Journal of Botany* 38: 361–371.
MARGULIES, M., M. EGHOLM, W. ALTMAN, S. ATTITYA, J. BADER, L. BEMBEN, J. BERKA, ET AL. 2005. Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. *Nature* 437: 376–380.
PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
ROZEN, S., AND H. J. SKALETSKY. 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.], *Methods in molecular biology*, vol. 132: Bioinformatics methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
SONG, J., J. MURDOCH, S. E. GARDINER, A. YOUNG, P. E. JAMESON, AND J. CLEMENS. 2008. Molecular markers and a sequence deletion in intron 2 of the putative partial homologue of *LEAFY* reveal geographical structure to genetic diversity in the acutely threatened legume genus *Clianthus*. *Biological Conservation* 141: 2041–2053.
ZALAPA, J. E., H. CUEVAS, H. ZHU, S. STEFFAN, D. SENALIK, E. ZELDIN, B. MCCOWN, ET AL. 2012. Using next-generation sequencing approaches to isolate simple sequence repeat (SSR) loci in the plant sciences. *American Journal of Botany* 99: 193–208.

APPENDIX 1. Location data for the *Clianthus* populations included in this study.

Species	Location	Collector	Geographic coordinates
<i>Clianthus maximus</i>	Waikaremoana	Sandra Elia and Riki Winitana	38°44.64'S, 177°10.58'E
<i>Clianthus maximus</i>	Ruakituri	Helen Jonas	38°46.10'S, 177°24.53'E
<i>Clianthus maximus</i>	Mohaka River	Pete Shaw	38°59.89'S, 177°1.62'E
<i>Clianthus maximus</i>	Tolaga Bay	Graeme Atkins	38°17.13'S, 178°16.95'E
<i>Clianthus puniceus</i>	Kaipara harbor	Peter Heenan	36°25.34'S, 174°23.57'E