BioOne DIGITAL LIBRARY

The Ultrastructure and Building of Graptolite Dissepiments

Authors: Urbanek, Adam, and Mierzejewski, Piotr

Source: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, 54(2) : 243-252

Published By: Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences

URL: https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2006.0020

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<u>https://bioone.org/subscribe</u>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<u>https://bioone.org/archive</u>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks</u>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<u>https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks</u>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at <u>www.bioone.org/terms-of-use</u>.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

The ultrastructure and building of graptolite dissepiments

ADAM URBANEK and PIOTR MIERZEJEWSKI



Urbanek, A. and Mierzejewski, P. 2009. The ultrastructure and building of graptolite dissepiments. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 54 (2): 243–252. DOI: 10.4202/app.2006.0020

Dissepiments or connecting bars between adjacent stipes in rhabdosomes of dendroid graptolites were studied by means of electron microscopy (SEM and TEM). The material, chemically isolated from rock matrix, originating from the Ordovician of Estonia and glacial boulders of Baltic origin found in Poland, is assigned provisionally to the genus "*Dictyonema*" sensu lato. Early growth stages of dissepiments are made only of the fusellar component. Older dissepiments are composed of the central core and the outer envelope: the central core is made of rather irregularly arranged growth units made of the fusellar tissue, whereas the outer envelope has a distinct cortical appearance. TEM observations indicate that the fusellar component is made of both typical fusellar and microfusellar tissues (the latter with complete and reduced microfuselli). The cortical component of dissepiments is made both of dependent and independent cortex. The opinion is advanced that the dissepiments were constructed externally by the mortaring activities of zooids, similar to that of Recent *Cephalodiscus*. Our observations indicate that bizooids were most probable dissepiment constructors. These results, in general, does not support earlier opinions that dissepiments are made of cortical tissue acquiring a fusellar aspect in some cases, and that dissepiments were produced by the extrathecal membrane surrounding the rhabdosome.

Key words: Hemichordata, Graptolithoidea, Dendroidea, *Dictyonema*, dissepiments, skeletal tissues, ultrastructure, Ordovician.

Adam Urbanek [urbanek@twarda.pan.pl], Instytut Paleobiologii PAN, ul. Twarda 51/55, PL-00-818 Warszawa, Poland;

Piotr Mierzejewski [mierzejewski@post.pl], Instytut Paleobiologii PAN, ul. Twarda 51/55, PL-00-818 Warszawa, Poland. Present address: ul. Filtrowa 83/49, PL-02-032 Warszawa, Poland.

Introduction

In some sessile, as well as planktic dendroid graptolite colonies, the adjacent branches are connected by transverse or oblique skeletal structures called the dissepiments. Undoubtedly, they served to maintain the branches at a certain distance from each other and to strengthen the densely branched colony. These peculiar skeletal structures are especially abundant in two well-known genera, namely Dictyonema Hall, 1851 (Dendrograptidae) and Rhabdinopora Eichwald, 1855 (Anisograptidae). Sporadically, they occur in other graptolites, for example, Ptiograptus Ruedemann, 1908, Callograptus Hall, 1865, Desmograptus Hopkinson, 1875, or Dendrograptus Hall, 1858. Moreover, there are some dissepiment-bearing gerontic morphs of Staurograptus Emmons, 1855 and Anisograptus Ruedemann, 1937. It seems possible that the structures under discussion are present in rhabdosomes of some tuboid graptolites (Tuboidea): according to Bouček (1957), a few species of Reticulograptus Wiman, 1901 have their stipes connected by crossbars interpreted as dissepiments. On the other hand, Bulman and Rickards (1966) were of the opinion that this tuboid is devoid of true dissepiments. The skeletal meshwork created by dissepiments resulted in a "fenestrate" morphology of the colony (Starcher and McGhee 2003), implying important influence on water flow and filtering by the zooids. These functional aspects are, however, beyond the scope of the present paper.

The only known example of strands connecting the adjacent stipes in graptoloid colonies are apertural spines described in turbo-spiral rhabdosome of *Monograptus turriculatus* (Elles and Wood 1913). According to the recent re-examination by Zalasiewicz (1993) each such dissepiment is a single apertural spine spanning from a theca of the lower whorl and attaching to the dorsal wall of the succeeding whorl. Their resemblance to dissepiments of dendroid graptolites is very remote and there is no doubt that they developed secondarily as a homoplastic feature.

This paper presents our results of SEM and TEM investigations of the dissepiments of Ordovician *Dictyonema*-like dendroid graptolites, as well as some deliberation on the mode of their formation. Moreover, we compare the fine structure of dissepiments and the mode of their secretion with some other hemichordate skeletal structures (for example a virgella or other apertural spines). Until now, the dissepiments have not been studied ultrastructurally, though the fine structure of periderm and its derivatives in *Dictyonema*-like forms were studied by a few authors (e.g., Towe and Urbanek 1972; Urbanek and Towe 1974; Urbanek et al. 1980; Crowther 1981; Chapman and Rickards 1982).

Institutional abbreviation.—ZPAL, Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland.

The material used in this investigation comes from: (1) the Ordovician erratic calcareous boulders of Baltic origin, numbered O.62, O.129, and O.331 (the lithological characteristics and the assemblages of fossils in Urbanek and Towe 1974, Kozłowski 1959, and Mierzejewski 1986, respectively), collected by the late Professor Roman Kozłowski and his students on Pomerania's beaches and from the Quaternary moraines in Central Poland, and (2) the Caradoc limestone from the core of deep boring at Chudovo, depth 33 m (Estonia), collected by the late Dr. Ralph Männil.

The material was extracted by dissolving the rock matrix in 10–15% hydrochloric or/and acetic acids, using standard techniques for graptolite work. Stipes of graptolites were cleaned of mineral impurities in hydrofluoric and nitric acids, dehydrated in graded ethanol solutions and propylene oxide. Specimens selected for scanning electron microscopy were mounted on SEM stubs and coated with gold or platinum, then investigated with Cambridge Stereoscan 180 at 30 kV (at Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute, Radzików, Poland) and Philips XL 20 (at ZPAL). The graptolites intended for study with TEM were embedded in Epon 812 and sectioned on a L.K.B. ultramicrotome with a diamond knife. The sections were studied with a Tesla BS 500 electron microscope at the Institute of Botany, Warsaw University, Poland.

As a result of the fragmentary nature of our material (with the exception for *Dictyonema* cf. *cervicorne* Holm, 1890) makes that the general rhabdosomal characters are not known and this does not permit determination of the systematic position of graptolites under study. According to present knowledge, the taxonomy of *Dictyonema-* and *Rhabdinopora-*like forms is very difficult and unclear, because numerous taxa have been treated as "form-species", intraspecific variants or astogenetic morphs (e.g., Erdtmann 1986a, b). In this situation, to avoid any taxonomic implications, we have decided to assign all of the "dictyonemid" material under study to *Dictyonema* sensu lato (cf. Bulman 1955, 1970).

For terminology see Urbanek and Towe (1974) and Urbanek and Mierzejewski (1984).

Historical background

As a rule, the dissepiments are usually in form of partially hollow (in light microscopy), more-or-less regular threads, connecting neighboring rhabdosome branches or stipes. Sometimes, they are completely irregular, plate-like or even provided with spines and processes. In general, the dissepiments are 0.5–3.0 mm long and their thickness is about 0.1 mm. They seem to be extraordinarily regular in certain species, as well as erratic in spacing and direction in others. Sometimes they are very closely-set, in other cases only widely spaced. In the past, many authors regarded the shape, spacing and arrangement of dissepiments as a very important



Fig. 1. Dendroid graptolite "*Dictyonema*" sp. 1. Fragments of stipes connected with dissepiments, SEM micrographs. Caradoc limestone, borehole Chudovo, depth 33 m, Estonia. A. ZPAL G.39/1. B. ZPAL G.39/2. C. ZPAL G.39/3. D. ZPAL G.39/4.

diagnostic taxonomic feature. Bouček (1957) was of opinion that in the same species the dissepiments even from different localities and from different material (limestone, shale) are built conformably and there is no great difference in character. However, it was suggested by Erdtmann (1986a: 77), that: "the secretion of dissepiments is not phylogenetically controlled and thus not a taxonomically relevant factor, but is



Fig. 2. Morphology and variability of dissepiments of dendroid graptolites, SEM micrographs. A, B. "*Dictyonema*" sp. 1. Caradoc limestone, borehole Chudovo, depth 33 m, Estonia. A. Broken bifurcated dissepiment showing central core. ZPAL G.39/5. B. Dissepiment with broad, plate-like base. ZPAL G.39/2. C. *Dictyonema* cf. *cervicorne* Holm, 1890. ZPAL G.39/6. Ordovician boulder O.331. C_1 , dissepiments with distinct protuberances; C_2 , abnormally developed dissepiments and abandoned attempts at their formation.

rather caused by the requirement of a frequently branching rhabdosome to prevent adjacent stipes from coming into direct contact with one another".

The formation and microstructure of the dissepiments have previously been discussed only by a few authors. The first studies were done by Gerhard Holm about 1894, but his results were never published. Bulman (1933) illustrated a few Holm's slides showing a finely laminated microstructure of dissepiments with rather irregular translucent core in *Dictyonema flabelliforme* (Eichwald, 1840). According to Bulman (1938), the formation of dissepiments was in some way connected in the certain manner to the bithecae. He noted that there is a distinct relation between so-called mesh structure (i.e., density of branches and dissepiments) and the arrangement of the bithecae along adjacent branches.

The most important observations on the microstructure of the dissepiments were made by Kozłowski (1949) on the Dictyonema-like material isolated from upper Tremadoc cherts. His specimens were either bleached and studied on transparencies, or embedded in parafine and sectioned by microtome. According to Kozłowski (1949), these structures are entirely extrathecal in origin, secreted by the hypothetical extrathecal living tissue and are composed of the cortical tissue. His observations on the skeletal microstructure of Dictyonema wysoczkianum (Kozłowski 1949: 42, pl. 4: 6) are especially interesting: a core of its dissepiment is made primarily of the cortical tissue of a pseudofusellar aspect and later becoming a normally laminated cortical tissue, producing a sort of outer sleeve. His bleached specimens of Dictyonema (Kozłowski 1949: text-figs. 30, 33) display numerous irregularly, placed fusellar growth bands within the dissepiment. Bulman (1970) defined the dissepiments in Dictyonema as cortical derivatives.

Results

The specimens of "*Dictyonema*" sp. 1 are in form of small isolated fragments of rhabdosomes from which it is difficult to judge the characters of the mesh (Fig. 1). However, it seems delicate but irregular; stipes are slender (0.3–0.35 mm wide), rather sinuous and connected by dissepiments. The autothecae are slightly isolate at their distal ends, with oval apertures and blunt processes from the ventral apertural margin. The bithecae are not usually visible: possibly their apertures are situated inside cavities of the autothecae.

The dissepiments are usually delicate, ca. 0.1 mm wide and 0.5–0.8 mm long, mostly straight, and distinctly expanded at their bases (Figs. 2, 3). The dissepiment bases pass gradually into the stipe walls. However, one can observe in some cases more-or-less visible fissures suggesting the presence of sutures between dissepiments and the stipe proper. The dissepiments are irregularly spaced, perpendicular or oblique to the axis of stipes. Two specimens are provided additionally with broad, plate-like dissepiments.

In general, the condition of the periderm, including that of dissepiments, is excellent (Fig. 4A, B). Its surface appears remarkably smooth or showing lineations that reflect a regular arrangement and parallel orientation of the underlying cortical fibrils. At some spots of dissepiments minute subcircular pits, 0.2 μ m in diameter cover the surface. They are arranged in a close-packed fashion and are usually interpreted as intrasheet vesicles of cortical tissue (e.g., Crowther and Rickards 1977; Urbanek and Mierzejewski 1984).

SEM micrographs of transversally fractured dissepiments taken near their base (Fig. 4A) and in their middle portion (Fig. 4B) reveal that they are composed of an outer, solid en-



Fig. 3. Dendroid graptolite *Dictyonema* cf. *cervicorne* Holm, 1890. Ordovician, boulder No. O.331, SEM micrograph stereopairs, ZPAL G.39/6. A. General view of specimen. **B**. Details of morphology.

velope and a wide, central core. The envelope has a distinct cortical appearance, being layered with fibrils arranged uniformly within a given layer (Fig. 4C, D). The core is made of irregular fusellar component and contains irregularly distributed holes.

However, only the application of TEM technique based on ultrathin sections, permitted the identification of even the smallest portions of skeletal material in dissepiments, thus revealing their true structure (Fig. 5, diagram). Ultrathin longitudinal sections through the dissepiments of "*Dictyonema*" sp. (specimen 2 and specimen 3) provided a clear picture of their ultrastuctural components (Fig. 6) and support the SEM observations. One can observe a typical ultrastructure of fusellar, microfusellar and cortical tissues as recognized by Towe and Urbanek (1972), Urbanek and Towe (1974). The central fusellar core consists of superimposed fusellar and microfusellar bands. The fusellar bands are also the main component of the expanded dissepiment base (Fig. 7A).



Fig. 4. Dendroid graptolite "*Dictyonema*" sp. 1. Fine structure of the dissepiment, Caradoc limestone, borehole Chudovo, depth 33 m, Estonia, SEM micrographs, ZPAL G.39/2. **A**. Broken expanded base of the dissepiment revealing fusellar core and cortical envelope. **B**. Broken dissepiment in the middle part showing central core. **C**. Layering of the cortical envelope. **D**. Ultrastructural details of fusellar and cortical fabrics in the dissepiment.

They are made of a subtle network of loosely dispersed fusellar fibrils. The more distal portion of the dissepiment core is composed of series of subconical fuselli with strongly overlapping lateral limbs (Fig. 6, core). Each fusellus body is made of a delicate meshwork produced by the fusellar fabric and of an outer lamella made of fibrils oriented normally to the outer pellicle enveloping the entire structure (Fig. 8A, C). The share of the mentioned structural components varies in particular growth bands: some have fusellar meshwork reduced (Fig. 8B), and thus resemble the microfuselli, while exceptionally growth bands may be even reduced to the outer lamella alone (Fig. 9A). Each dissepiment is composed of two twigs (Fig. 5) growing out from adjacent branches of the rhabdosome so as to meet and fuse in the center. This is indicated by heads of fuselli situated on each twig and oriented in the opposite direction (Figs. 5, 6). In the middle they usually produce a protuberance due to the small overlap of their tips. Lamellar growth bands with little or none fusellar content are spread between the two twigs, producing in this way a sort of cortical envelope surrounding the entire structure. The origin of this envelope is complex: it appears partly by merging of outer lamellae in adjacent growth bands (Fig. 9A) and pro-



Fig. 5. A generalized ultrastructural pattern of a dissepiment indendroid graptolite *Dictyonema* sensu lato as seen with TEM on a longitudinal section. Fusellar core made of superimposed fuselli and microfuselli—white, cortical envelope—shaded. Not to scale.



Fig. 6. Longitudinal section through disseptiment mounted from a number of TEM micrographs of dendroid graptolite "*Dictyonema*" sp. 2. Ordovician boulder No. O.62. **A**. TEM micrographs. **B**. Drawing of the same structure.

ducing so-called dependent cortex (as defined in Urbanek and Mierzejewski 1984), and partly by the independent deposition of cortical material in form of cortical bandages (Fig. 8D). Presence of both the subconical and the lamellar fusellar growth bands (Fig. 9B) is a distinctive feature of dissepiment ultrastructure. At places numerous intersheet vesicles (pits), being a standard ultrastructural trait of graptolite skeletal structures, are present (Fig. 9B, C). Therefore early growth stages of dissepiments are made only of the fusellar component. Older dissepiments are composed of the central core and the outer envelope: the central core is made of rather irregularly arranged growth units made of the fusellar tissue, whereas the outer envelope has a distinct cortical appearance.

Discussion and conlusions

Our SEM and TEM observations support, in general, the first microstructural studies on the dissepiments in Dictyonema made by earlier authors. Gerhard Holm in 1890s made longitudinal serial sections through dissepiments of D. flabelliforme, which were published many years later by Bulman (1933, pl. 1: 8; pl. 2), showing that their central parts and expanded bases contain distinct portions made of a very loose substance. Later, similar observations were made by Kozłowski (1949) in D. wysoczkianum; he interpreted the loose substance partially infilling the dissepiments as a special pseudofusellar form of cortical tissue which was secreted by a hypothetical extrathecal tissue membrane covering the outer surface of the rhabdosome (analogy with ctenostome bryozoan secretion). Moreover, Kozlowski (1949: 42-43) was inclined to think that both cortical and fusellar tissue are made of very much the same skeletal material, and differ only by the course of growth lines which are parallel in the first case or strongly convex in the second. According to our TEM observations the loose material is nothing but true fusellar fabric. Therefore, the dissepiments are constructed of the same fabrics (cortical, fusellar, and sheet fabrics, according to Urbanek and Towe's [1974] classification) as the periderm of typical graptolite thecae. The alleged canals and hollows observed under SEM in some portions of dissepiment are in fact areas of extremely loose fusellar fabric.

Historically, there were two conflicting concepts concerning the mechanism for the construction of the dissepiments, i.e., the thecal construction hypothesis of Bulman (1933, 1938) connecting dissepiment formation with the zooid activity, and extrathecal hypothesis of Kozłowski (1949), suggesting their origin trough secretion by the hypothetical extrathecal tissue membrane, generally involved in the production of the elaborate secondary thickening of graptolite skeletal constructions.. Later his views were accepted by Bulman (1970: 32) who stated that "dissepiments have been shown to be extrathecal in origin and composed of cortical tissue secreted by the extrathecal living tissue". However, Kozłowski's (1949) concept of the extrathecal tissue is no longer tena-

URBANEK AND MIERZEJEWSKI-GRAPTOLITE DISSEPIMENTS



Fig. 7. Dendroid graptolite "Dictyonema" sp. 2, TEM micrographs. A. Ordovician boulder No. O.62. Longitudinal section through the expanded base of a dissepiment showing delicate fusellar fabric filling the first conical growth bands and producing the fusellar core enveloped by a heavy cortical deposit. B. Laminar growth bands with cortical content.

ble because of a radical change of general opinion on the origin of secondary thickening of graptolite skeletal structures following the arguments raised by Beklemishev (1951) and other authors (for discussion see also Andres 1980; Crowther 1981; Urbanek 1986; Mitchell 1995).

As was observed by some authors (e.g., Bulman 1933; Kozłowski 1949), the dissepiments are situated as a rule near bithecae apertures. Thus, when accepting the dissepiment formation by the mortaring zooid activities according to the pterobranch model of secretion, one may regard bizooids as the dissepiment constructors. This conclusion seems especially convincing when disepiment is closely related to the aperture of a bitheca and constitutes a sort of its apertural spine (as is the case of Dictyonema longilinguae and D. wysoczkianum, described by Kozłowski 1949: figs. 30, 33). In the instance of Dictyonema cf. cervicorne, studied in the present paper, the relations between bithecae and dissepiments are different but also very close each other. The bithecae reveal a strong elongation, turn above the autothecal aperture to open on the opposite side of the branch (Figs. $2C_2$, 3B). The dissepiments, when present, connect two bithecae placed on adjacent branches just below the point of the bending of their thecal tubes. Therefore, dissepiments are produced between bithecae situated on adjacent branches with apertures oriented in opposite directions ("back to back", Fig. 10). Because of alternating position of bithecae every second triad may be involved in dissepiment formation. The astogeny of species in question follows essentially this rule (Figs. 3A, 10). The growth of a multiramous rhabdosome was probably concomitant, triad by triad being added on the tips of their branches. Hence, the bithecae on adjacent branches were in unison position, oriented either "back to back" or "face to face".

249



Fig. 8. Dendroid graptolite "*Dictyonema*" sp. 2. Ordovician boulder No. O.62, TEM micrographs of fuselli as components of a dissepiment. A. Fusellus with head and trunk normally developed, note that lateral limbs of adjacent fuselli merge to produce condensed layers of dependent cortex (arrow). B. Fuselli with reduced trunk resembling microfuselli. C. Ultrastructural details of fusellar and cortical fabric within a fusellus. D. Cortical and fusellar material within a dissepiment.

Erdtmann (1982) in his imaginative reconstruction of the life habit of *Rhabdinopora* ascribed dissepiment building to autozooids. Nevertheless, our observations discussed above suggest that bizooids were most probable dissepiment constructors. Whether the same is true in respect of dissepiments situated between autothecae and on other places of the rhabdosome is a matter of further considerations.

In general the structural pattern exhibited by the *Dictyonema* dissepiments is remarkably similar to that recognized in thecal and apertural spines in many graptoloids. From a comparative—anatomical point of view the dissepiment may be seen as a composite structure made of two spines growing from adjacent branches with their tips fused in the middle. Coordination of secretion and behavior of two zooids, needed for

URBANEK AND MIERZEJEWSKI-GRAPTOLITE DISSEPIMENTS



Fig. 9. Dendroid graptolite "*Dictyonema*" sp. 2. TEM micrographs of ultrastructural components of a dissepiment. Ordovician boulder No. O.62. A. Superimposed fuselli made mainly of outer lamellae merging laterally into multilayered cortical envelope. B. Laminar growth bands with fusellar fabric and intrasheet vesicles. C. Details of intrasheet vesicles.

the building of the composite structure such as a dissepiment, might perhaps be best explained by an assumption that each zooid would be attracted by the other being a source of some signals (physical or chemical, like a pheromone compare Hammer 2000). So long the counterpart was present on a proper place and time the cooperative activity continued. Nevertheless, the co-ordination of the process was not perfect: numerous protuberances visible on the surface of the branches may be probably interpreted as abandoned attempts at dissepiment formation (Fig. 2D, base of dissepiment) because the counterpart zooid was not available, while exceptionally the dissepiment may be produced in "face-to-back" position (Fig. 2D). Thus the building of complete dissepiments resulted from lateral interactions between zooids situated on adjacent branches of the rhabdosome.

Generally, there is a striking resemblance between the fine structure of the "dictyonemid" dissepiments and of some other trabecular skeletal elements in the Hemichordata as cephalodiscid or graptoloid spines (see for example Andres 1980; Dilly 1993): they are all made of a loose core and a solid, cortical envelope. This similarity may suggest that the mode of construction and growth should be similar or even identical in all these hemichordate structures.



Fig. 10. Diagram showing relation between bithecae (shaded) and dissepiments (black) within a fragment of dendroid graptolite *Dictyonema* cf. *cervicorne* Holm, 1890 rhabdosome. Note that dissepiments are formed between adjacent bithecae in "back to back" position (e.g., A1–B1). Abbreviations: A, B, C fragments of adjacent branches; 1–4 successive triads.

ACTA PALAEONTOLOGICA POLONICA 54 (2), 2009

Acknowledgements

Authors are indebted to the late Ralph Männil for the material of "*Dictyonema*" sp. 1. Institute of Botany (Biology Department, Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland) is acknowledged for the TEM micrographs. Cyprian Kulicki provided invaluable assistance in SEM studies, while Aleksandra Hołda-Michalska (both ZPAL) improved the quality of our TEM prints. We thank Anna Kozłowska (ZPAL) for inking the diagrams. We thank also Denis E.B. Bates (University of Wales, Aberystwyth, UK) and Mike Melchin (St. Francis Xavier University, Antigonish, Canada) as well as an anonymous reviewer for their critical reading of the manuscript and for the many useful suggestions they offered. The studies were supported by the Research Project 3 PO4C 035 22 of the (Polish) Committee on Scientific Research.

References

- Andres, D. 1980. Feinstrukturen und Verwantschaftsbeziehungen der Graptolithen. Palaontologische Zeitschrift 54: 129–170.
- Beklemishev, V.N. 1951. On the systematic structure of animals [in Russian]. Uspehi sovremennoj biologii 32: 256–270.
- Bouček, B. 1957. The dendroid graptolites of the Silurian of Bohemia. *Rozpravy Ustředního ustavu geologického* 23: 1–294.
- Bulman, O.M.B. 1933. On the graptolites prepared by Holm. VI. Structural characters of some *Dictyonema* and *Desmograptus* species from the Ordovician and Silurian rocks of Sweden and the East Baltic Region. *Arkiv för Zoologi* 26A: 1–52.
- Bulman, O.M.B. 1938. Graptolithina. In: O.H. Schindewolf (ed.), Handbuch für Paläozoologie 2D. 92 pp. Borntraeger, Berlin.
- Bulman, O.M.B. 1955. Graptolithina with sections on Enteropneusta and Pterobranchia. In: R.C. Moore (ed.), Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, Part V. 101 pp. Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, Lawrence, Kansas.
- Bulman, O.M.B. 1970. Graptolithina with sections on Enteropneusta and Pterobranchia. In: C. Teichert (ed.), Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, Part V (revised and enlarged). 163 pp. Geological Society of America and University of Kansas Press, Lawrence, Kansas.
- Bulman, O.M.B. and Rickards, R.B. 1966. A revision of Wiman's dendroid and tuboid graptolites. *The Bulletin of the Geological Institutions of the University of Uppsala* 43: 1–72.
- Chapman, A.J. and Rickards, R.B. 1982. Peridermal (cortical) ultrastructure in *Dictyonema* cf. *rhinantiforme* Bulman, and the significance of its bithecae. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* 56: 217–227.
- Crowther, P. and Rickards, B. 1977. Cortical bandages and the graptolite zooid. *Geologica et Palaeontologica* 11: 9–46.
- Crowther, P.R. 1981. The fine structure of graptolite periderm. *Special Papers in Palaeontology* 26: 5–119.

- Dilly, P.N. 1993. Cephalodiscus graptolitoides sp. nov. a probable extant graptolite. Journal of Zoology, London 229: 69–78.
- Elles, G.L. and Wood, E.M.R. 1913. A monograph of British Graptolites. *Palaeontographical Society, Monograph* 8 (for 1912): 415–486.
- Erdtmann, B.-D. 1982. *Rhabdinopora* and the planktonic dictyonemids. *Graptolite Working Group of the International Palaeontological Association Newsletter* 3: 14–16.
- Erdtmann, B.-E. 1986a. Comments on some earliest Ordovician (Salmian) graptolites from Solwaster, Massif de Stavelot, Belgian Ardennes. *Ardkundige Mededelingen* 3: 75–88.
- Erdtmann, B.-E. 1986b. On the anisograptid affiliation of 'Dictyonema' flabelliforme (Eichwald 1840) and its nomenclatorial consequences. In: C.P. Hughes and R.B. Rickards (eds.), Palaeoecology and Biostratigraphy of Graptolites. Geological Society Special Publication 20: 21–25.
- Hammer, Ø. 2000. Lateral inhibition in the astogeny of conical multistiped graptolites. *Lethaia* 33: 39–45.
- Kozłowski, R. 1949. Les Graptolithes et quelques nouveaux groupes d'animaux du Tremadoc de la Pologne. *Palaeontologia Polonica* 3: I–viii, 1–71.
- Kozłowski, R. 1959. Les Hydroides Ordoviciens a squelette chitineux. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 4: 209–271.
- Mierzejewski, P. 1986. Ultrastructure, taxonomy and affinities of some Ordovician and Silurian organic microfossils. *Palaeontologia Polonica* 47: 129–220.
- Mitchell, C.E. 1995. An alternative pterobranch model for secretion of the nema and associated structures in graptolites. *Graptolite News* 8: 54–56.
- Rickards, R.B. 1996. The graptolite nema: problem to all our solutions. *Geological Magazine* 133: 343–346.
- Starcher, R.W. and Mc Ghee, G. Jr. 2003. Fenestrate graptolite morphology: geometric constraints on lophophore shape and arrangement in extinct hemichordates. *Journal of Paleontology* 77: 360–367.
- Towe, K.M. and Urbanek, A. 1972. Collagen-like structures in Ordovician graptolite periderm. *Nature* 236: 443–445.
- Urbanek, A. 1976. The problem of graptolite affinities in the light of ultrastructural studies on peridermal derivatives in pterobranchs. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 21: 3–6.
- Urbanek, A. 1986. The enigma of graptolite ancestry: Lesson from a phylogenetic debate. *In*: A. Hoffman and M.H. Nitecki (eds.), *Problematic Fossil Taxa*, 184–226. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Urbanek, A., Mierzejewska, G., and Mierzejewski, P. 1980. Scanning electron microscopy of sessile graptolites. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica* 25: 197–212.
- Urbanek, A. and Mierzejewski, P. 1984. The ultrastructure of the Crustoidea and the evolution of graptolite skeletal tissues. *Lethaia* 17: 73–91.
- Urbanek, A. and Towe, K.M. 1974. Ultrastructural studies on graptolites, 1: The periderm and its derivatives in the Dendroidea and in *Mastigograptus*. Smithsonian Contributions to Paleobiology 20: 1–48.
- Zalasiewicz, J. 1993. Dissepiments in the graptolite *Monograptus turriculatus*. *Lethaia* 26: 203–205.