

IMMOBILIZATION OF COASTAL GRIZZLY BEARS WITH ETORPHINE HYDROCHLORIDE

Authors: HEBERT, D. M., LAY, D. W., and TURNBULL, W. G.

Source: Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 16(3): 339-342

Published By: Wildlife Disease Association

URL: https://doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-16.3.339

The BioOne Digital Library (https://bioone.org/) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (https://bioone.org/subscribe), the BioOne Complete Archive (https://bioone.org/archive), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commmercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

IMMOBILIZATION OF COASTAL GRIZZLY BEARS WITH ETORPHINE HYDROCHLORIDE

D. M. HEBERT, D. W. LAY and W. G. TURNBULL, Fish and Wildlife Branch, Nanaimo, British Columbia V9R 5C8

Abstract: Seventeen coastal grizzly bears (Ursus arctos horribilis) from southwestern British Columbia were captured and immobilized a total of 27 times with etorphine hydrochloride (M99). Effective dosages administered ranged from 0.011 to 0.132 mg/kg. Drug dosages (on a body weight basis) were not significantly related to induction times (R=-.040); however, it appeared that induction could be reduced with an increased dosage. At higher dosage levels respiratory rate was reduced to 2/minute.

INTRODUCTION

For several years etorphine hydrochloride (M99) has been used for immobilization of wild animals. It has been useful for immobilization because of a wide range of dosage levels, potency and length of immobilization period. In addition, M99 is effectively antagonized with diprenorphine (M50-50).

M99 was initially used by Wallach et al.⁴ on black bear (Ursus americanus) and by Larsen³ on polar bear (Thalarctos maritimus). In recent years, additional studies have been conducted involving the use of M99 on black bear,¹ as well as brown bear (Ursus arctos).² To date, however, few reports have been published on the immobilization of grizzly bear (Ursus arctos horribilis).

In 1975, the British Columbia Fish and Wildlife Branch initiated a habitat study in Knight Inlet (50° 55′ N, 125° 42′ E), along the southwestern British Columbia coastline, which required capture and immobilization of coastal grizzly bear. Branch personnel also snared, immobilized, and then transplanted several grizzlies in Wakeman Sound (51° 05′ N, 126° 30′ E) in response to nuisance bear complaints.

This paper reports on the M99 dosages required to immobilize grizzly bears and the induction times observed. Previous studies have indicated that M99 dosages of 0.0198 mg/kg for black bear¹ and 0.0275 mg/kg for brown bear² produced the desired effects of immobilization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Grizzly bear were captured by means of modified Aldrich snares or were immobilized while free roaming. M99, in a concentration of one mg/cc, was used for immobilization. The antagonist, M50-50, at a concentration of two mg/cc, was used in several cases for reversal of the immobilizing effects. Intramuscular injections of M99 were made with a projectile syringe or by hand where possible. In general, cubs were given 1 mg; yearlings, 3 mg; and adults, 5 mg. For the purpose of this project, a bear was considered immobilized when movement capable of hindering handling procedures did not exist and when the respiratory rate was reduced. Recovery time was the length of time between injection of M50-50 and the time the bear gained normal muscle control and its respiratory rate increased.

M99, M50-55 — Canadian Cyanamid Company, Scarborough, Ontario.

bear

Weight of bears was estimated before immobilization. Several individual animals were weighed when a spring scale was available. Any animal that was not fully immobilized by the initial injection was not included in the summary calculation of dosages. Incomplete immobilization usually resulted from failure of the projectile syringe to function properly.

RESULTS

From 29 May 1975, to 3 August 1977, 17 different grizzly bears were immobilized a total of 27 times. As the bears were trapped randomly or were encountered in a problem situation, there was a wide range in age, condition and body weight. Considerable variation in dosages of M99 were administered depending on field circumstances, but all animals were successfully immobilized with either the first injection (Table 1) or a second injection. No bears died from the effects of the drug.

In general, cubs received higher dosages (0.073 - 0.132 mg/kg, n=2)producing lower induction times (3.0 - 4.0 minutes). Yearlings received 0.031 - 0.101 mg/kg (n=11) producing induction times which varied from 1 - 10 min. On a body weight basis, adult bears (n=11) received lower dosages (0.011 - 0.036 mg/kg), yet induction time did not appear to differ significantly (3.0 - 11.0 min.) from the younger age classes. Overall, effective dosages administered ranged from 0.011 - 0.132 mg/kg. Table 1 indicates variation in dosage for individual bears due to age, sex, weight, and the problem situation encountered. During peak immobilization, average respiratory rates were about 2-4/minute. Heart rate ranged from 32 - 64 beats/min. and appeared less related to dosage than to age. Bears not given the antidote, remained immobilized for up to 5.5 hours (n=4), apparently regardless of the dosage administered. The relationship between drug dosage (mg/kg) and induction time

grizzly 7.0 8.0 0.0 0.0 coastal I.S. Σ immobilization of the .145 0.0 5.0 5.0 0.0 0.00 н. dosages used Resp. Rt./ drug and o rate 2862 and 5.0 5.0 5.0 1.5 respiratory 79.5 79.5 79.5 Kg) Wt. 5.5 Sex, 1977 1P

3.6	13/8/75 8/7/76	נדי נדי	0.5	22.7 27.3-	3.0	132	Rump Rump	3.0	2.0	64.0	300	4.0		M	7.0
4	9///8	×	1.5	34.1.	3.0	98.5	ď	Д.	2.0	62.0	Č				
5 4	9///8	r Z	Ad.	34 1.	5.0	.03 180 75	Rump	3.0-5.0 P	4.0		330				
. 13	92/2/6	<u> </u>	¥	36.4	2.0	055	Rump	3.0				7.0	.198	ΞE	30-40
9	9///6	Σ	Ad.	375	5.0	013	Rump	4.0	2.0	32.0	300 P	6.0	.020	M	20-30
					1.0	200. 200.	H. Leg	27.0 P 5.0						Rump	
7	24/6/76	Σ	Ad.	227.3- 318.2	5.0	.020	Frt. Shldr.	6.0	1-2			Ž	No Effect	•	246
œ	2/8/2	Z	Ad.	84.1 1.4	4.0	.011	Frt.	4.0	2.0	38.0	30 P				
					3.0	600	Shid.	4.0							
80	9//8/9	×	Ad.	341	4.0	.011	Frt.	7.0	2.0	38.0					
7	19/8/16	Σ	Ad.	318.2	4.8	610.	Rump	11.0	1.0	44.0					120+
6	28/6/77	Σ	÷;	286.8	5.0	910.	Neck	5.0							Destroyed
311	4/7/77	LΣ	1.5	95.0	3.0	.031	Rump Rump	5.0 4.0	1/50		900				
12(7P)	10/6/77	ᅜ	Ad.	110	4.0	.036	Ft.	5.0	8ec.		99				
13(21-A) 14(7Wc)	28/7/77 3/8/77	Жī	0.5 Ad .	13.6 144.1	1.0	.073	Rump Ft.	4.0			45 150				
15	28/8/77	Σ	Ad.	272.7	5.0	810.	Ft. Shldr.	4.0							
Est. Wt.: Ind. Min.: Nat. Rec. Hrs.: Inj. Site: Recov. Min.: Hr Rt Min.:	<u> </u>	Estimated weight Induction time Natural recovery ! Injection Recovery time Respiratory rate	Estimated weight Induction time Natural recovery time Injection Recovery time Respiratory rate												

was not significant (R=-.04) over the range of dosages administered. Similarly, there appeared to be no significant relationship between drug dosage and induction time for specific age or sex components (R=-.035).

DISCUSSION

M99 is suitable as an immobilizing agent for grizzly bears. It has a rapid induction period, a wide range of tolerance and produces extended periods of anesthesia if no antidote is given. However, it produces significant declines in respiratory rate. Extreme caution must also be used where hypothermia may be induced in cold climates. Several bears showed various degrees of catatonia during immobilization as was reported by Glenn.²

Since M99 was mainly applied on an absolute basis (number of milligrams/bear) rather than a weight

specific basis, cubs received the most drug (Table 1) and adult males and females the least. All dosages were similar to those used by Knight *et al.* (pers. comm.) for immobilizing grizzly bears in Idaho and Wyoming. Those researchers used approximately 0.097 mg/kg (range: 0.064 - 0.141 mg/kg), the average dosage administered to cubs and yearlings in this study.

Induction is an important attribute when working with free ranging animals. Although induction times for free ranging bears were not consistent with dosage, it appeared that induction could be reduced with increased dosages. The lack of statistical significance was probably due to the variety of personnel involved with determining induction times, the wide range of dosages used, and the difficulty in precisely determining rapid induction times when working with free ranging animals in thick coastal brush.

LITERATURE CITED

- BEEMAN, L., M. PELTON and L. MARCUM. 1974. Use of M99 etorphine for immobilizing black bears. J. Wildl. Manage. 38: 568-569.
- GLENN, L. 1972. Report on 1972 brown bear studies. Alaska Fed. Aid in Wildl. Rest. Rep., Proj. W-17-4, W-17-5, Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game, Juneau.
- LARSEN, L.H. 1967. The trapping and study of polar bears, Spitzbergen, 1966.
 Polar Rec. 13: 589-593.
- WALLACH, J., R. FRUEH and M. LENTZ. 1967. The use of M99 as an immobilizing and analgesic agent in captive and wild animals. J. Am. vet. med. Ass. 151: 870-876.

Received for publication 22 June 1979