

AAC Sorel barley

Authors: Khanal, Raja, Copley, Tanya, ter Beek, Sharon, and Choo,

Thin Meiw

Source: Canadian Journal of Plant Science, 102(6): 1213-1216

Published By: Canadian Science Publishing

URL: https://doi.org/10.1139/cjps-2022-0042

The BioOne Digital Library (https://bioone.org/) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (https://bioone.org/subscribe), the BioOne Complete Archive (https://bioone.org/archive), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commmercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.



AAC Sorel barley

Raja Khanal 60a, Tanya Copleyb, Sharon ter Beekc, and Thin Meiw Chooa

^aOttawa Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 960 Carling Ave, Ottawa K1A 0C6, Canada; ^bCentre de recherche sur les grains, 740 Trudeau Road, Saint-Mathieu-de-Beloeil QC J3G 0E2, Canada; ^cCharlottetown Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 440 University Ave, Charlottetown PE C1A 4N6, Canada

Corresponding author: Raja Khanal (email: raja.khanal@agr.gc.ca)

Abstract

"AAC Sorel" is a spring, two-row, general-purpose barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) released by the Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. AAC Sorel is similar in yield to the check cultivars with very good lodging resistance and moderately susceptible to Fusarium head blight (caused by *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe). AAC Sorel is recommended for barley growing areas in eastern Canada.

Key words: cultivar description, Hordeum vulgare L., general-purpose barley, test weight

Résumé

AAC Sorel est une variété d'orge de printemps à deux rangs (Hordeum vulgare L.) d'utilité générale créée au centre de recherche et de développement d'Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada, à Ottawa. AAC Sorel donne un rendement similaire à celui des variétés témoins. Le cultivar se caractérise par une excellente résistance à la verse et est modérément sensible à la brûlure de l'épi causée par Fusarium graminearum Schwabe. La variété AAC Sorel est recommandée pour les régions de l'est du Canada où on cultive l'orge. [Traduit par la Rédaction]

Mots-clés : description de cultivar, Hordeum vulgare L., orge d'utilité général, e poids spécifique,

Introduction

AAC Sorel is a high-yielding barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) cultivar with very good lodging resistance. It was developed at the Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Ottawa, ON. AAC Sorel was evaluated as CH1009-1 in the Quebec Two-Row Barley Registration and Recommendation Tests. CH1009-1 received support for registration from the Quebec Recommending Committee for Cereal in February 2021 and was subsequently registered in Canada by the Variety Registration Office, Plant Production Division, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, Ottawa, ON on 30 June 2022 (Registration No. 9653) with the name AAC Sorel.

Pedigree and breeding methods

The cross leading to AAC Sorel was made in the fall of 2009 between AC Legend and AC Parkhill. AC Legend (Ho et al. 2000b) is a six-row barley cultivar with high yield and good resistance to scald. AC Parkhill (Ho et al. 2000a) is a two-row barley cultivar that was developed by Ottawa Research and Development Centre in 1998. AC Parkhill had high yield and good resistance to powdery mildew. The rationale for the six-row/two-row cross was to identify a two-row cultivar with high yield and stiffness of straw for lodging resistance.

The population was advanced using a bulk breeding method. The F_1 – F_4 bulks were grown at Harrington, PEI, from 2010-2013. In 2013, eighty-two spikes were selected for head type from the F_4 ; the $F_{4:5}$ generation was planted as in a single row at Harrington, PEI, in 2014, and four F_{4:6} lines were selected from single row based on head type, lodging resistance, and plant height. One of four lines was advanced into preliminary yield trials and grown in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) in three replicates with five-row plots 4m in length spaced 15.6cm apart at Harrington, PEI, in 2016. AAC Sorel was advanced into the Maritime two-row barley screening trials in 2017. The advanced trials were grown as yield plots in an RCBD with four replicates at Harrington (PE), Truro (NS), Beloeil (QC), Ottawa (ON), and Brandon (MB). Selection criteria included grain yield, plant height, lodging resistance, and days to maturity.

AAC Sorel was entered into the Quebec Two-Row Barley Registration and Recommendation Trial from 2018–2020. The trial was grown at eight locations, Zone 1 that included St-Hugues (clay soil) and N-D-de-St-Hyacinthe (clay soil), Zone 2 that included Princeville (sandy loam soil) and St-Agustin (sandy loam soil), and Zone 3 that included St-Bruno (clay soil), Normandin (clay), La Pocatière (clay), and Causapscal (loam soil), representing three cereal production zones in Quebec. The criteria used for evaluation included

Table 1. Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) of AAC Sorel compared with the check cultivars in the Quebec Two-Row barley Registration and Recommendation Test, 2018 to 2020*.

Cultivar	Grain yield $(kg ha^{-1})$					
	2018	2019	2020	Mean (2018–2020)		
Island	5196	5641	4081	4972		
Selena	5153	5772	4061	4995		
AAC Sorel	4781	6074	3733	4862		
LSD _{0.05}	400	243	482			
No. of replicates	24	24	12			

^{*}Lines were evaluated at St-Hugues, N-D-de-St-Hyacinthe, Princeville, St-Agustin, Hébertville, Normandin, La Pocatière, and Causapscal.

grain yield, plant height, resistance to lodging, and days to maturity and were tested under the guidelines set by the Quebec Recommending Committee for Crops. The two-row barley cultivars Selena and Island (Choo et al. 2003) were used as agronomic checks. Analyses of variance were conducted using a mixed-effect model with locations and their interactions treated as random effects, and cultivars were treated as fixed effects in SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute 2003).

AAC Sorel along with checks was evaluated in artificialdisease nurseries for reactions to net form of net blotch (Pyrenophora teres f. teres) isolates WRS102 and WRS858 and spot blotch (Cochliobolus sativus (Ito & Kuribayashi) Drechs., ex Dastur [asexual state Bipolaris sorokiniana (Sacc.) Shoemaker]) isolate WRS 857 in seedling at Morden Research and Development Centre, AAFC, in 2017 and 2018. Similarly, AAC Sorel was also evaluated against spot blotch in seedling test at Brandon Research and Development Centre, AAFC, in 2017 and 2018. Fusarium head blight resistance was evaluated based on deoxynivalenol (DON) concentration of the grain. AAC Sorel was grown at eight site-years in three years using grain spawn method in Ste-Foy, Beloeil, and Normandin in Quebec. Analyses for DON concentration were done at the Mycotoxin Research Laboratory, Ottawa Research and Development Centre, AAFC, using a 30 g seed subsample from each plot. Samples were ground to a fine powder in a Retsch Ultra-Centrifugal Mill Type ZM-l (Brinkman Instruments Inc., Rexdale, ON) with a 0.75 mm wire mesh. A ground sample of 1.00 g was used for DON analysis. The concentration of DON was determined by the competitive direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay procedure using monoclonal antibodies as described by Sinha et al. (1995).

Performance

Based on data from 24 location-years evaluated over 3 years in the Quebec Two-Row Barley Registration and Recommendation Trials (2018–2020), AAC Sorel had similar grain yield compared to Selena and Island (Table 1).

AAC Sorel had significantly higher 1000 kernel weight compared to Selena and Island. AAC Sorel matured 4 days later than both checks, and its plant height was 9 and 3 cm taller than Selena and Island, respectively. AAC Sorel was taller than both checks but better in lodging resistance compared to the checks (Table 2).

Other characteristics

PLANT: Erect juvenile growth; white long coleoptile; dark green leaves; short and narrow upright flag leaf attitude; purple auricles; thin thickness and medium green stems; 0–3 cm stem exertion; V-shaped collar; straight neck.

SPIKE: Two-row type, parallel shape, lax density, long; long rough lemma awns; long (longer than the length of the glume) and rough glume awns; green lemma awn tip; green glume awn tip.

Kernel: Covered (hulled), 9 mm length, 3–4 mm width; long rachilla; short rachilla hairs; yellow aleurone; horseshoe basal marking.

QUALITY: General Purpose (GP), Non-malting.

DISEASE REACTIONS: In artificial inoculation tests, AAC Sorel showed MR-MS reactions to net blotch isolates WRS102 and MBV25 and showed MS reaction to net blotch isolate WRS858. AAC Sorel showed S-MS reaction to scald isolate WRS2275. AAC Sorel had susceptible reaction to spot blotch in both seedling and adult plant stages (Table 3). Under natural infection in the Quebec Two-Row Registration and Recommendation Trials from 2018 to 2020, AAC Sorel had superior foliar and leaf rust resistances than the checks (Table 4). AAC Sorel showed moderately susceptible reaction in artificial inoculation tests with *Fusarium* spp. with low indeoxynivalenol accumulation across eight sites over three years (Table 5).

Maintenance and distribution of pedigreed seed

Breeder seed is maintained by the Ottawa Research and Development Centre, Ottawa, ON K1A 0C6. An application for Plant Breeders' Rights of AAC Sorel was accepted by the Plant Breeders' Rights Office, Canadian Food Inspection Agency on xx xx xx with application number xx. In 2020, AAC Sorel was planted in the greenhouse at the Ottawa Research and Development Centre, AAFC, for purification and multiplication of seed. In total, 154 breeder lines were bulked, and this F_{10} seed formed the first breeder seed. Small amounts of seeds (5 g) are available for research purposes and can be requested from the corresponding author. It is requested that appropriate recognition of source be given when this cultivar contributes to development of new germplasm or cultivars. AAC Sorel has been released on an exclusive basis for seed

Table 2. Agronomic characteristics of AAC Sorel and the check cultivars in the Quebec Two-Row Barley Registration and Recommendation Test during 2018–2020*.

	Maturity (d)	Height (cm)	Lodging (1–9)	Test weight (kg hL ⁻¹)	1000 Kernel weight (g)	
Cultivar	2018–2020	2018–2020	2018–2020	2018–2020	2018–2020	
Island	81.6	70	1.1	67.6	48.1	
Selena	82.1	62	1	67.8	48	
AAC Sorel	86	73	0.7	66.3	56.6	
Number of trials	20	20	20	20	20	

^{*}Data were collected from St-Hugues, N-D-de-St-Hyacinthe, Princeville, St-Agustin, Hébertville, Normandin, La Pocatière, and Causapscal.

Table 3. Disease reactions of AAC Sorel and check cultivars Island and Leader against net blotch, scald, and spot blotch, according to the Morden Research and Development Centre, AAFC, in 2018 and 2019.

	Net blotch			Scald	Spot blotch		
Cultivar	102 ^a	858 ^a	MBV25 ^b	2275 ^c	1903 ^d	Spot blotch ^e	
Island	4	6.5	2.5	S-MS	6	4	
Leader	3	6.5	3.5	S-MS	6.5	6	
AAC Sorel	4	5.5	3	S-MS	6.5	5	
No. of tests	2	2	2	2	2	2	

^aPyrenophora teres net-form isolates WRS102 and WRS858.

Table 4. Disease ratings for AAC Sorel and check cultivars, according to the Quebec Two-Row Barley Registration and Recommendation Test during 2018–2020.

]	Foliar diseases ^a (0–9)	b		Leaf rust (0–9) ^b	
	2018	2019	2020	2018	2019	2020
AAC Sorel	4.7	4.3	3.9	Not present	0.3	Not present
Island	5.6	5.1	4.1	Not present	0.8	Not present
Selena	5.6	5.4	4	Not present	0.0	Not present
Average of trials	5.3	4.9			0.7	
No. of tests	8	8	4		1	

^aNatural mixtures of net blotch, spot blotch, and scald.

Table 5. Deoxynivalenol concentration $(mg kg^{-1})$ for AAC Sorel and check cultivars across eight sites in three years in artificial inoculation tests in Quebec.

	2018				2019		2020		
Cultivar	Beloeil	St-Foy	Normandin	Beloeil	St-Foy	Normandin	Beloeil	St-Foy	Mean
Angus (MS)	0.45	43.9	1.6	4.8	66.1	5.3	10.0	39.8	21.5
Océanik (MS)	0.32	45.9	1.6	5.9	66.7	8.6	4.5	36.0	21.2
Alyssa (MS/S)	0.4	52.2	2.9	5.8	46.6	11.2	5.4	39.7	20.5
Chapais (S)	1.26	51	3.2	28.3	84.1	8.3	8.6	73.9	32.3
AC Klinck (S)	1.14	58.1	2.9	11.9	70.4	10.8	8.3	65.0	28.6
Viviane (HS)	2.75	76.2	3.9	13.3	90.0	14.8	9.9	93.3	38.0
AAC Sorel	0.65	37.7	1.2	4.5	68.2	6.0	7.5	37.3	20.4
LSD _{0.05}	0.92	19	1	5.9	21.1	4.8	6.8	14	

^bPyrenophora teres spot-form isolate MBV25.

^cRhynchosporium secalis isolate WRS2275; reaction categories: 10representsVS, 9 represents S, 7 represents MS, 5 represents MR-MS, 3 represents MR, and 1represents R.

^dCochliobolus sativus isolate WRS1903 at seedling stages (0–9 scale; 0 represents no visible lesions, 4 represents small, and 9 represents very large lesions).

eReactions at adult stages, conducted by James Tucker at the Brandon Research and Development Centre in 2018 and 2019 (0–9 scale; 1 represents no visible lesions, and 9 represents many large legions).

^bVisual disease ratings determined for yield plots, where 0representsno disease and 9 represents severe disease.



production and marketing to SeCan Association, 400-300 Terry Fox Drive, Kanata, ON K2K 0E3, Canada.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to James Tucker (AAFC, Brandon) and Xiben Wang (AAFC, Morden) for foliar disease evaluation. We also acknowledge B. Blackwell from Mycotoxin Research Laboratory, Ottawa Research and Development Centre, AAFC, for analyzing the deoxynivalenol content.

Article information

History dates

Received: 22 February 2022 Accepted: 27 May 2022

Accepted manuscript online: 19 September 2022 Version of record online: 4 November 2022

Copyright

© 2022 The Author(s) T. Copley and Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, as represented by the Minister of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada. Permission for reuse (free in most cases) can be obtained from copyright.com.

Data availability

Primary data is available from the corresponding author on request.

Author information

Author ORCIDs

Raja Khanal https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7705-3651

Author notes

Thin Meiw Choo is retired.

Competing interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Funding statement

This research was supported by the National Barley Cluster under the Canadian Agricultural Partnership (Project number: ASC-11; Activity 4).

References

Choo, T.M., Martin, R.A., ter Beek, S.M., Ho, K.M., Caldwell, C.D., Walker, D., et al. 2003. Island barley. Can. J. Plant Sci. 83: 793–795. doi:10. 4141/P02-149.

Ho, K.M., Choo, T.M., Martin, R.A., Rowsell, J., and Guillemette, L. 2000a. AC Parkhill barley. Can. J. Plant Sci. **80**: 339–340. doi:10.4141/P99-141.

Ho, K.M., Seaman, W.L., Choo, T.M., Martin, R.A., Rowsell, J., Guillemette, L., et al. 2000b. AC Legend barley. Can. J. Plant Sci. 80: 113–115. doi:10. 4141/P99-052.

SAS Institute. 2003. System for Windows Release 9.1. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.

Sinha, R.C., Savard, M.E., Lau, R.J.J.O.A., and Chemistry, F. 1995. Production of monoclonal antibodies for the specific detection of deoxynivalenol and 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol by ELISA. J. Agric. Food Chem. 43: 1740–1744. doi:10.1021/jf00054a061.