

## **Author Response: Inhaled CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration While Wearing Face Masks: A Pilot Study Using Capnography**

Authors: Manzoli, Lamberto, and Acuti Martellucci, Cecilia

Source: Environmental Health Insights, 16(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1177/11786302221137491>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# Author Response: Inhaled CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration While Wearing Face Masks: A Pilot Study Using Capnography

Lamberto Manzoli<sup>1</sup> and Cecilia Acuti Martellucci<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental and Prevention Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy.

Environmental Health Insights

Volume 16: 1

© The Author(s) 2022

Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/11786302221137491



Dear Editor,

First, the authors claim that we did not detect hypercapnia. True, this is stated clearly in the manuscript, and was not an aim of our study.<sup>1</sup>

Second, the authors state that “the level of carbon dioxide considered as the limit by the authors is 5000 ppm.” Indeed, this is not “a limit considered by the authors”, this is the limit established by the Law, including the Italian one.<sup>2</sup> Besides, and more importantly, the authors claim that the only CO<sub>2</sub> concentration that is concerning is the extreme threshold of 50 000 ppm, which is known to have acute toxicity. However, I suggest the authors to treat this topic with the same caution that they ask from us: dismissing the cutoff established by a number of Environmental agencies worldwide,<sup>3,4</sup> is basically implying that these agencies identified a meaningless threshold, with no real clinical significance. If the authors think that this is the case, they should provide some very solid evidence. If so many agencies established such a limit, might there be a reason?

Third, the authors mention one of their studies,<sup>5</sup> in which they found no significant changes in SaO<sub>2</sub> and PETCO<sub>2</sub> in 47 children, followed for 30 minutes. Indeed, we cited the study, correctly reporting that it “identified no changes in physiologic parameters with surgical masks.” We are the first to be glad about these results, and we have no doubts that their study is the first one without limitations. However, these positive findings on a 30-minute span, although important, are certainly not sufficient to conclude that face masks are the best option for children everywhere and at all times. We do need in-depth harm-benefit, cost-effectiveness, cost-utility, and long-term

psychological evaluations, and any conclusion without such fundamental assessments cannot be firm.

Finally, the authors wrote “we believe that the message is the increase in inhaled CO<sub>2</sub> concentration while wearing Face Masks not supported by clinical evidence could be dangerous.” We understand the point, we heard this concern from multiple sources during the study, and even before. But we realized, and hope we will all agree, that it would be even more concerning, for Science, to draw simplistic and (inevitably) scarcely supported conclusions, impose them as unquestionable, and deem every possibly conflicting evidence as “dangerous.”

## Author Contributions

LM: writing—original draft. CAM and LM: writing—review and editing.

## REFERENCES

1. Martellucci CA, Flacco ME, Martellucci M, Violante FS, Manzoli L. Inhaled CO<sub>2</sub> concentration while wearing face masks: a pilot study using capnography. *Environ Health Insights*. 2022;16:11786302221123573.
2. Italian Government. Decree 9 april 2008, n. 81. Actuation of art. 1 of law 3 august 2007, n. 123, concerning health and safety in workplaces. Rome 2008.
3. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (EU-OSHA). Directive 2019/1831 - indicative occupational exposure limit values. 2021. Updated 2021. Accessed September 27, 2021. <https://osha.europa.eu/en/legislation/directive/directive20191831-indicative-occupational-exposure-limit-values>
4. United States Department of Labor. Occupational Safety and Health Administration Occupational Chemical Database - Carbon Dioxide. 2021. Accessed September 9, 2021. <https://www.osha.gov/chemicaldata/183>
5. Lubrano R, Bloise S, Testa A, et al. Assessment of respiratory function in infants and young children wearing face masks during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4:e210414.

**RECEIVED:** October 18, 2022. **ACCEPTED:** October 20, 2022.

**TYPE:** Letter to the Editor

**FUNDING:** The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS:** The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:** Cecilia Acuti Martellucci, Department of Environmental and Prevention Sciences, University of Ferrara, Via Fossato di Mortara 64/B, Ferrara, 44121, Italy. Email: [cecilia.martellucci@unife.it](mailto:cecilia.martellucci@unife.it)



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without