

## Functions for Genomics and Philosophy

Author: Beardsley, Timothy M.

Source: BioScience, 63(6) : 415

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2013.63.6.1>

---

BioOne Complete ([complete.BioOne.org](https://complete.BioOne.org)) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Complete website, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/terms-of-use](https://www.bioone.org/terms-of-use).

Usage of BioOne Complete content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non - commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

---

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

**PUBLISHER**  
Richard T. O'Grady

**EDITOR IN CHIEF**  
Timothy M. Beardsley

**MANAGING EDITOR**  
James M. Verdier

**BOOK REVIEW EDITOR**  
**PEER REVIEW / PRODUCTION COORDINATION**  
Jennifer A. Williams

**MANUSCRIPT EDITOR**  
Nathan N. True

**Editors:** Eye on Education: Beth Baker (educationoffice@aibs.org); Feature articles: Beth Baker (features@aibs.org); Washington Watch: Robert E. Gropp (publicpolicy@aibs.org).

**Editorial Board:** Rick Bonney, Gordon Brown, Richard M. Burian, Catherine E. Carr, Joseph Cloud, Scott Collins, Rita R. Colwell, Charlene D'Avanzo, Kathleen Donohue, David L. Evans, Cassandra G. Extavour, Eric A. Fischer, Kirk Fitzhugh, Nick Haddad, Geoffrey M. Henebry, Cynthia S. Jones, Linda A. Joyce, Edna S. Kaneshiro, David M. Leslie Jr., Harvey B. Lillywhite, Alan C. Love, Paula Mabey, Marshall A. Martin, Janice Moore, Peter B. Moyle, Ben Pierce, Jason Podrabsky, J. Michael Scott, Daniel Simberloff, Martin Tracey, Monica Turner, Randy Wayne, Judith S. Weis, David S. Wilcove, Jean A. Wyld.

**BioScience** (ISSN 0006-3568; e-ISSN 1525-3244) is published 12 times a year by the American Institute of Biological Sciences, 1900 Campus Commons Dr., Suite 200, Reston, VA 20191, in collaboration with the University of California Press. Periodicals postage paid at Berkeley, CA, and additional mailing offices. **POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to *BioScience*, University of California Press, Journals and Digital Publishing, 2000 Center Street, Suite 303, Berkeley, CA 94704-1223, or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com.

**Membership and subscription:** Individual members, go to [www.aibs.org/aibs-membership/index.html](http://www.aibs.org/aibs-membership/index.html) for benefits and services, membership rates, and back issue claims. Subscription renewal month is shown in the four-digit year-month code in the upper right corner of the mailing label. Institutional subscribers, go to [www.ucpressjournals.com](http://www.ucpressjournals.com) or e-mail customerservice@ucpressjournals.com. Out-of-print issues and volumes are available from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635; telephone: 518-537-4700; fax: 518-537-5899; Web site: [www.periodicals.com](http://www.periodicals.com).

**Advertising:** For information about display and online advertisements and deadlines, e-mail adsales@ucpressjournals.com. For information about classified placements and deadlines, contact Jennifer A. Williams, AIBS (jwilliams@aibs.org).

**Copying and permissions notice:** Authorization to copy article content beyond fair use (as specified in sections 107 and 108 of the US Copyright Law) for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by the Regents of the University of California on behalf of AIBS for libraries and other users, provided that they are registered with and pay the specified fee through the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), [www.copyright.com](http://www.copyright.com). To reach the CCC's Customer Service Department, call 978-750-8400 or e-mail [info@copyright.com](mailto:info@copyright.com). For permission to distribute electronically, republish, resell, or repurpose material, use the CCC's Rightslink service on JSTOR at <http://www.jstor.org/rucal/bio>. Submit all other permissions and licensing inquiries through the University of California Press's Rights and Permissions Web site, [www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp](http://www.ucpressjournals.com/reprintInfo.asp), or e-mail [journalspermissions@ucpress.edu](mailto:journalspermissions@ucpress.edu).

**Abstracting and indexing:** For complete abstracting and indexing information, please visit [www.ucpressjournals.com](http://www.ucpressjournals.com).  
© 2013 American Institute of Biological Sciences.  
All rights reserved. Printed by The Sheridan Press.

# BioScience®

**A Forum for Integrating the Life Sciences**  
American Institute of Biological Sciences

## Functions for Genomics and Philosophy

Genomic researchers in the ENCODE (Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) project, which published a mammoth series of biochemical studies of the human genome last September, have got themselves into philosophical hot water. The project's scientists claimed to have identified a function for 80 percent of human DNA, and this was widely thought to have killed the idea that "junk DNA" pervades the genome (doi:10.1038/nature11247). It was a startling result for evolutionary biologists. Now the other shoe has dropped: Biologists with a more evolutionary view have published incisive critiques of not only the methodologies employed by the ENCODE researchers but of their rationales for ascribing function to DNA.

The recent critiques by W. Ford Doolittle (doi:10.1073/pnas.1221376110) and by Dan Graur and his colleagues (doi:10.1093/gbe/evt028) are instructive (and entertaining). Doolittle and Graur find much to dispute in the main ENCODE publication, but a central objection is to ENCODE's use of the idea of a *function*. Some functions of molecular entities are inextricably bound up with the evolutionary reasons for their presence—although only a fraction of those were selected for, in the Darwinian sense (whether for the benefit of the organism or for that of some other level in the biological hierarchy). Other "functions" recognized by ENCODE, however, might have no evolutionary significance. The critics are having a field day making distinctions that were passed over in the euphoria over ENCODE's discovery of widespread biochemical activity in the human genome.

It seems that biologists' conventional understanding of *information*, since the discovery of the genetic code in the 1960s, is starting to fray. "Increasingly, genomics is expanding the boundaries of information as geneticists have usually understood it," Doolittle writes. The meaning or function of any molecule depends on its context. But contexts change with environmental conditions, over the cell cycle, over the lifetime of an individual, and over evolutionary timescales. Matter and information do not always stay in the distinct realms in which they are mentally filed.

The dustup over the functions of genes lends weight to the article by Dietmar Kültz and his colleagues that appears on p. 464 of this issue of *BioScience*. They make a welcome case for a new emphasis in research on understanding how organisms function and interact as integrated units. Genomic data will surely be important for advancing the understanding of emergent characteristics, but no less important will be studies of individual variation and the complex interactions of genomes and life histories. Huge amounts of data will be needed, and they will require a new cadre of investigators trained in handling very large data sets. Yet the techniques are known, and the technologies exist. Proteomics will have to be a large part of the effort, given the growing recognition of the importance of posttranscriptional and posttranslational mechanisms in cells. Future biologists will need to cross disciplinary boundaries every day to understand phenotypes.

Aside from the satisfaction of understanding life in a deeper way, the agenda sketched by Kültz and his coauthors will provide insights essential to predicting how organisms react to changing environmental conditions. The potential benefits for conservation, agriculture, and medicine are clear. And along the way, genomics researchers might get out of philosophical hot water.

TIMOTHY M. BEARDSLEY  
*Editor in Chief*

doi:10.1525/bio.2013.63.6.1