

Human-induced Ecosystem and Landscape Processes Always Involve Soil Change

Author: YAALON, DAN H.

Source: BioScience, 57(11) : 918-919

Published By: American Institute of Biological Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1641/B571102>

The BioOne Digital Library (<https://bioone.org/>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university presses in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. The BioOne Digital Library encompasses the flagship aggregation BioOne Complete (<https://bioone.org/subscribe>), the BioOne Complete Archive (<https://bioone.org/archive>), and the BioOne eBooks program offerings ESA eBook Collection (<https://bioone.org/esa-ebooks>) and CSIRO Publishing BioSelect Collection (<https://bioone.org/csiro-ebooks>).

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Digital Library, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at www.bioone.org/terms-of-use.

Usage of BioOne Digital Library content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne is an innovative nonprofit that sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

Human-induced Ecosystem and Landscape Processes Always Involve Soil Change

DAN H. YAALON

Soil, the living skin of Earth derived from weathered rock materials and surficial biota, has been dubbed “Earth’s critical zone” by the US National Research Council. It is an inseparable part of nature’s dynamic ecosystems, yet it is frequently disregarded when discussing landscape processes or resources and the consequences of land-use or land-cover change (Lambin et al. 2003). A recent review of domesticated nature (Kareiva et al. 2007)—which now encompasses about 50 percent of Earth’s surface—also disregards soil changes, although it does point out that when humans change nature’s landscapes, whether for agriculture or new housing developments, the trade-offs between the resulting benefits and harms must be understood and managed. Understanding the consequences of humans’ domestication of ecosystem services will be incomplete, however, unless the effects of soil changes—and not only in the realm of agriculture—are also considered.

Humans are the current major cause of soil erosion, which is often the only soil process considered in studies of geomorphic processes. Here I wish to emphasize that soil erosion and degradation are not the only important soil processes in landscape ecosystems and land-use change; several processes of soil betterment have also been significant. Granted, the condition of soil is not as easily delineated as that of other domesticated biota or ecosystem services, but it should not be a neglected contributor to ecosystem services.

Agriculture started independently in several areas of the world, but the Near

East continues to be regarded as the region where soil cropping first began, some 10,000 years ago. Since then, cultivation and soil management have induced an erosional loss of topsoils at a rate exceeding the natural rate of soil formation—soil bodies form from bedrock, saprolite, and sedimentary materials over hundreds or thousands of years. Most recently, Montgomery (2007) collected quantitative data showing that the rate of soil erosion from conventionally ploughed agricultural fields averages one to two orders higher than the rates of natural soil production. Loss of soil organic matter and plant nutrients is another common form of soil degradation. Avoiding soil erosion and nutrient depletion has become an important topic in planning for agricultural sustainability.

Soil change recognition

Yet soil change involves numerous ecosystem trade-offs. Cultivation and soil management have also improved many soil properties, which over the long term has affected the history of societies and civilizations (McNeill and Winiwarter 2004). Humans have boosted the productivity of many soils by adding manure, fertilizer, lime, marl, and mulch; by draining, terracing, and irrigating; and by undertaking other conservation and enhancement measures. Productivity increased manifold to feed growing populations while soil resources were limited. Irrigation, too, entails synergic trade-offs: it not only increases productivity in arid regions and during droughts but also leaves behind salts in the productive soil profile,

which may eventually induce the salinization of the soils, reduce productivity, and cause the abandonment of the land.

Soil surveys and mapping at various scales have been progressing steadily in both developed and developing countries (see the International Soil Resource and Information Center’s World Soil Information Web site at www.isric.org). Extrapolating from soil mapping done by the US Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA NRCS), it appears that there are about 300,000 specific soil series worldwide at the lowest level of the soil classification hierarchy. As the study and quantification of soils have proceeded, human-induced changes to soil—“metapedogenesis” (Yaalon and Yaron 1966), or “anthropedogenesis” (Richter 2007)—has become an important topic in the development of soil science. Researchers need to know what kind of soils are most affected by metapedogenesis, and how.

In their framework for human-induced soil changes, Yaalon and Yaron (1966) included a list of common anthropogenic soil change processes, such as drainage and terracing. Richter (2007) lists the properties affected by these processes, such as a change in pH or aggregation. Clearly, erosional degradation is common, and the content of soil organic matter in arable agriculture has

Dan H. Yaalon (e-mail: yaalon@vms.huji.ac.il) is professor emeritus in the Institute of Earth Sciences at Hebrew University in Jerusalem, Israel. © 2007 American Institute of Biological Sciences.

decreased by about half in soils worldwide.

Soil diversity

Long-term field and laboratory experiments on soil organic carbon transformations and nutrient availability have therefore been at the center of soil-change research, but various regional and international organizations are now also promoting the study of other ecosystem services that may be affected by soil change. Arable cropping occupies 12 percent of Earth's surface, but irrigated lands, improved pastures, managed (and fertilized) forests, and abandoned and urban lands add to that total. This drastic human transformation of Earth's soils has taken place over half of the planet's land surface, necessitating a reclassification of soil resources. The impacts are regionally variable, as the "Human Footprint" world map demonstrates (see www.ciesin.columbia.edu/wild_areas).

A medium-scale spatial assessment of human-induced soil changes is not now possible. The following grouping, however, which employs USDA NRCS soil classification terminology, may provide a useful general framework for non-specialists working with soil data. First, the soil areas formed from solid bedrock, as the standard textbook model of pedo-

genesis would have it, are relatively small in relation to soil areas formed from upbuilding and bioturbation. Spodosols, alfisols, and ultisols are examples of soils formed in the textbook fashion.

According to geologic and geomorphic maps, there are about three to four times as many agricultural soils in lowlands and floodplains on more or less loose sedimentary materials, including loessial, glacial, alluvial, and colluvial deposits, periodically supplemented by additional eolian, alluvial, or colluvial deposits. Such soils are formed by what can be termed a cumelic, or upbuilding, soil model. They include entisols, inceptisols, and andisols, which are among the soils most affected by agriculture and most subject to both human-induced degradation and improvement.

A third large group of soils results from mixing by bioturbation (the pedoturbation soil model). This process can retard or even reverse horizonation, frequently resulting in considerable soil depth, as well as more desirable soil properties. Examples are mollisols, vertisols, and many oxisols. These soils are valuable for agriculture and are also subject to human-induced soil changes. (A poster and brief descriptions of these USDA NRCS soil orders can be down-

loaded at http://soils.usda.gov/technical/soil_orders.)

An important task ahead—especially in view of the ongoing climatic and environmental changes induced by humans—is to model and research the actual decadal pedogenic processes and effects of anthropogenic changes. Spatial assessments of the areas must also be made. Soil changes cannot be disregarded any longer in discussions of ecosystem changes or landscape processes.

References cited

- Kareiva P, Watts S, McDonald R, Boucher T. 2007. Domesticated nature: Shaping landscapes and ecosystems for human welfare. *Science* 316: 1866–1869.
- Lambin EF, Geist HJ, Lepers E. 2003. Dynamics of land-use and land-cover change. *Annual Review of Environmental Resources* 28: 205–241.
- McNeill JR, Winiwarter V. 2004. Breaking the sod: Humankind, history, and soil. *Science* 304: 1627–1629.
- Montgomery DR. 2007. Soil erosion and agricultural sustainability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104: 13268–13272.
- Richter DD. 2007. Humanity's transformation of Earth's soil: Pedology's new frontier. *Soil Science* 172. Forthcoming.
- Yaalon DH, Yaron B. 1966. Framework for man-made soil changes: An outline of metapedogenesis. *Soil Science* 102: 272–277.

doi: 10.1641/B571102

Include this information when citing this material.

